Disposition 11: State Machine Replication

Mathias Ravn Tversted

January 6, 2020

Table of contents

State machine

Replicated state machine

Consistency: TOB
Synchronous implementation
Async implementation
Enten den her
Eller den her

Client-centric consistency

Definition of a state machine

A state machine consists of

- ► A set of states
- ▶ Initial state $State_0 \in States$
- ► Set of *Inputs*
- Set of Outputs
- ► Transition function
 - $T: States \times Inputs \rightarrow States \times Outputs$

IF and definition of Replicated State Machine

We have I/O ports RSM_i and the following ports

- $ightharpoonup \forall iReceived_i$ outputs what IF has Received
- Process says what should be processed next
- ▶ Deliver; instructs IF to deliver the next message to S_i

It has the following safety requirements:

- ► Validity: If honest server outputs $(y_i, ..., y_n)$ Then $\exists (x_1, ..., x_n) : (y_1, ..., y_n) = M(x_1, ..., x_n)$
- ▶ **Agreement**: If honest server outputs $(y_1, ... y_n)$ then all other servers output at least some prefix of that, or vice versa

Ideal Functionality of an RSM

- ▶ Let $State = State_0$. Foreach RSM_i , $Q_i = \emptyset$.
- ▶ Q_i is the outputs for S_i , which has not yet been delivered. Let $UnProcessed = \emptyset$
- On input x to RSM_i, output x on Received_i, add x to UnProcessed
- On input x on *Process*. If $x \in Unprocessed$, compute (State', y) = T(state, x). Add y to Q_i . Pop x from UnProcessed
- ▶ On input *Deliver_i*, where $Q_i \neq \emptyset$, remove first $y_i \in Q_i$ and output y on RSM_i

Consistency

In order to keep everyone consistent, we need to build it on *Total-Ordered Broadcast*. Because we have state machines, the order of processing matters, therefore we need to be able to have all the machines process things in the same order. These can be

- Synchronous TOB
- Async TOB
- Eventually synchronous broadcast

Synchronous Implementation of TOB

- \triangleright On x at S_i , flood it
- ➤ All servers S_i keep UnQueued_i of received messages. These could be received in arbitrary order
- All servers S_i keep a set $Queued_i$. When they move messages from UnQueued to Queued, they do so in the same order
- ► There is a leader L (sequencer), who makes the order. Corrupted leader may break liveness but not safety
- ▶ If a message is put into Queued, they do it in the same order. Leadership goes round-robin. This guarantees liveness
- ► This happens with blocks

Adapting IF to Async broadcast

Now we have a notion of an epoch, *Unqueued* and we transmit multiple inputs in combined blocks.

- 1. On input x to TOB, add it to *Unqueued*
- 2. Leader of epoch is $P_i \mod n$
- 3. Leader adds *Unqueued* to block and broadcasts it
- 4. When receiving block, add it to Q

Core-set selection: EKSTRA STUFF DER KAN SPRINGES OVER?

We cannot reliably wait for the leader in each epoch. So we have everyone propose a block, and when a block is seen by many honest, we can trust it. We simply have everyone collect these blocks and take the union of them. We have Byzantine Agreement available to us in the async model.

Tidsmæssigt problematisk: Core-set selection

Per fig. 11.4

Client vs. Server side consistency

Server-side, which is all honest servers executing commands in the same order **Client-side**, which is servers being behind other servers. This is why agree requires that for honest servers, that their output is a prefix of another honest parties output