

# MATH-517: Assignment 2

Valerio Viscovo

04/10/2025

## Theoretical exercise

### 1) Proof of the Weighted Least Squares Solution

Let's prove that the solution to the minimization problem

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}(x) &= (\hat{\beta}_0(x), \hat{\beta}_1(x)) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \{Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1(X_i - x)\}^2 K\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h}\right) \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^2} ((\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta))\end{aligned}$$

is given by the weighted least squares estimator:

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y},$$

where the matrices and vectors are defined as:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}, \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_1 - x \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & X_n - x \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2},$$

$$\mathbf{W} = \operatorname{diag} \left( K\left(\frac{X_1 - x}{h}\right), \dots, K\left(\frac{X_n - x}{h}\right) \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

The objective function in matrix form is:

$$L(\beta) = (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^t \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)$$

Expanding the quadratic form yields:

$$L(\beta) = \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y} - \beta^t \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} \beta + \beta^t \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} \beta$$

Since  $\mathbf{W}^t = \mathbf{W}$  (as it is a diagonal matrix) and the middle two terms are scalars which are transposes of each other ( $\mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} \beta = (\mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} \beta)^t = \beta^t \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y}$ ), the function simplifies to:

$$L(\beta) = \mathbf{Y}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y} - 2 \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y} \beta + \beta^t \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} \beta$$

Taking the derivative with respect to  $\beta$  and setting it to zero (the first-order condition):

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta} = -2 \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y} + 2 \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} \beta = \mathbf{0}$$

Solving for  $\hat{\beta}$ :

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y}$$

The second derivative is  $\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \beta^2} = 2 \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}$ , which is positive definite (since  $\mathbf{W}$  is positive definite due to the kernel function), confirming that  $\hat{\beta}$  is a minimum.

The estimator  $\hat{m}(x)$  is the first component of  $\hat{\beta}$ , so  $\hat{m}(x) = \hat{\beta}_0(x)$ . Denoting the first row of  $(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W}$  as  $(w_{n,1}, \dots, w_{n,n})$ , we have:

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n w_{n,i} Y_i$$

Thus, the Local Linear Regression is a Linear Smoother.

## 2) Derivation of the Explicit Expression for the Weights

As demonstrated:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_0(x) \\ \hat{\beta}_1(x) \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y}$$

We compute  $\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}$ :

$$\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ X_1 - x & \dots & X_n - x \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{W} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_1 - x \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & X_n - x \end{pmatrix}$$

Multiplying the first two matrices ( $\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W}$ ):

$$= \begin{pmatrix} K\left(\frac{X_1-x}{h}\right) & \dots & K\left(\frac{X_n-x}{h}\right) \\ (X_1-x)K\left(\frac{X_1-x}{h}\right) & \dots & (X_n-x)K\left(\frac{X_n-x}{h}\right) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_1 - x \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & X_n - x \end{pmatrix}$$

Carrying out the final matrix multiplication:

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{X_i-x}{h}\right) & \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i-x)K\left(\frac{X_i-x}{h}\right) \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i-x)K\left(\frac{X_i-x}{h}\right) & \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i-x)^2 K\left(\frac{X_i-x}{h}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

Using the notation  $S_{n,k} = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - x)^k K\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h}\right)$  we have:

$$\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} = nh \begin{pmatrix} S_{n,0} & S_{n,1} \\ S_{n,1} & S_{n,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

The inverse of  $\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}$  is:

$$(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} = \frac{1}{nh} \begin{pmatrix} S_{n,0} & S_{n,1} \\ S_{n,1} & S_{n,2} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{nh(S_{n,0}S_{n,2} - S_{n,1}^2)} \begin{pmatrix} S_{n,2} & -S_{n,1} \\ -S_{n,1} & S_{n,0} \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} &= \frac{1}{nh(S_{n,0}S_{n,2} - S_{n,1}^2)} \begin{pmatrix} S_{n,2} & -S_{n,1} \\ -S_{n,1} & S_{n,0} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\cdot \begin{pmatrix} K\left(\frac{X_1 - x}{h}\right) & \dots & K\left(\frac{X_n - x}{h}\right) \\ (X_1 - x)K\left(\frac{X_1 - x}{h}\right) & \dots & (X_n - x)K\left(\frac{X_n - x}{h}\right) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The estimator  $\hat{\beta}_0(x)$  is found by taking the dot product of the first row of the matrix  $(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W}$  with the vector  $\mathbf{Y}$ . Hence, the weights  $w_{n,i}(x)$  are the entries of the first row of  $(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{W}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} w_{n,i}(x) &= \frac{1}{nh(S_{n,0}S_{n,2} - S_{n,1}^2)} \left[ S_{n,2} K\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h}\right) - S_{n,1} (X_i - x) K\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{S_{n,2} - S_{n,1}(X_i - x)}{nh(S_{n,0}S_{n,2} - S_{n,1}^2)} K\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h}\right) \end{aligned}$$

### 3) Proof that the Weights Satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^n w_{n,i}(x) = 1$

The sum of the weights is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_{n,i}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{S_{n,2} - S_{n,1}(X_i - x)}{nh(S_{n,0}S_{n,2} - S_{n,1}^2)} K\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h}\right) \right)$$

Factoring out the common terms:

$$= \frac{1}{nh(S_{n,0}S_{n,2} - S_{n,1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ S_{n,2} K\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h}\right) - S_{n,1} (X_i - x) K\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h}\right) \right]$$

Separating the summation:

$$= \frac{1}{nh(S_{n,0}S_{n,2} - S_{n,1}^2)} \left[ S_{n,2} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h}\right) - S_{n,1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - x) K\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h}\right) \right]$$

Using the definitions of  $S_{n,0}$  and  $S_{n,1}$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h}\right) = nhS_{n,0}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - x)K\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h}\right) = nhS_{n,1}$$

Substituting these back into the expression:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_{n,i}(x) = \frac{1}{nh(S_{n,0}S_{n,2} - S_{n,1}^2)} [S_{n,2}(nhS_{n,0}) - S_{n,1}(nhS_{n,1})]$$

$$= \frac{nh(S_{n,0}S_{n,2} - S_{n,1}^2)}{nh(S_{n,0}S_{n,2} - S_{n,1}^2)} = 1$$

This proves that the weights satisfy the necessary property:  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_{n,i}(x) = 1$ .

## **Practical exercise**

### **Description of the Simulation Study**

#### **Intervening Quantities**