

# Function approximation by the finite element method

MATMEK-4270

Prof. Mikael Mortensen, University of Oslo

# Short recap

We have considered the approximation of functions  $u(x)$ ,  $x \in \Omega = [a, b]$  using  $u(x) \approx u_N(x)$  and

$$u_N(x) = \sum_{i=0}^N \hat{u}_i \psi_i(x)$$

- $\psi_i(x)$  have been **global** basis functions, defined on all of  $\Omega = [a, b]$
- $\{\hat{u}_i\}_{i=0}^N$  are the unknowns

We have found  $\{\hat{u}_i\}_{i=0}^N$  using

- The least squares method (variational)
- The Galerkin method (variational)
- The Collocation method (interpolation)

# Advantages and disadvantages of the global variational methods


## Advantages

- Spectral accuracy
- Efficient for orthogonal basis functions
- No mesh

## Disadvantages


- Mainly feasible for simple domains, like lines and rectangles
- Inefficient for non-orthogonal basis functions

Impossible to use for **unstructured meshes**, like




# The finite element method is a variational method using *local basis functions*

5 global basis functions



2 local piecewise linear basis functions




The Galerkin formulation is the same whether you use a global approach with Legendre polynomials or a local FEM with piecewise linear polynomials. The difference lies all in the function spaces and the choice of basis.

Find  $u_N \in V_N (= \text{span}\{\psi_j\}_{j=0}^N)$  such that


$$(u - u_N, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V_N$$

# Piecewise linear basis functions lead to piecewise linear approximations $u_N(x)$




- With FEM  $u_N(x)$  is defined everywhere in the domain  $\Omega$  and not just in mesh points.
- Interpolation is not needed since  $u_N(x) = \sum_{j=0}^N \hat{u}_j \psi_j(x)$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ .

# The finite element method is especially well suited for unstructured meshes in complex geometries



Structured Mesh




Unstructured Mesh

But in this course we will learn FEM using first simple meshes that look like the structured.

# The finite element mesh


The domain  $\Omega$  is divided into  $N_e$  smaller, non-overlapping, subdomains  $\Omega^{(e)}$ , such that

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{e=0}^{N_e-1} \Omega^{(e)}$$



- The smaller subdomains between the blue lines are referred to as **elements**.
- The red dots are referred to as **nodes**, just like for interpolation methods.

# There may be many nodes inside each element




The figure shows a mesh with 5 non-uniform nodes and 2 non-uniform elements

Using more nodes inside each element is how the FEM can achieve higher order accuracy



# Finite element basis functions



An element with no internal nodes can at best use piecewise linear basis functions

$$\psi_j(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-x_{j-1}}{x_j-x_{j-1}} & x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j], \\ \frac{x-x_{j+1}}{x_j-x_{j+1}} & x \in [x_j, x_{j+1}], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

# The FEM is a variational method

Use a continuous piecewise linear function space  $V_N = \text{span}\{\psi_j\}_{j=0}^N$ , where

$$\psi_j(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-x_{j-1}}{x_j-x_{j-1}} & x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j] \\ \frac{x-x_{j+1}}{x_j-x_{j+1}} & x \in [x_j, x_{j+1}] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

To approximate a function  $u(x)$ ,  $x \in \Omega = [a, b]$ , we can now use the variational Galerkin method: Find  $u_N \in V_N$  such that

$$(u - u_N, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V_N$$

We can still use  $v = \psi_i$  and  $u_N(x) = \sum_{j=0}^N \hat{u}_j \psi_j(x)$ , exactly like for the global Galerkin method and obtain:

$$\sum_{j=0}^N (\psi_j, \psi_i) \hat{u}_j = (u, \psi_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N$$

# The element mass matrix

The mass matrix  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=0}^N$  is

$$a_{ij} = (\psi_j, \psi_i) = \int_{\Omega} \psi_j \psi_i dx, \quad (i, j) \in (0, \dots, N)^2$$

However, since each basis function is only non-zero on at most two elements, we usually assemble elementwise and add up (this works very well on unstructured meshes!)

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{e=0}^{N_e-1} a_{ij}^{(e)} = \sum_{e=0}^{N_e-1} \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} \psi_j \psi_i dx$$

We define the **element mass matrix**  $A^{(e)} = (a_{ij}^{(e)})_{i,j=0}^N$  as


$$a_{ij}^{(e)} = \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} \psi_j \psi_i dx, \quad (i, j) \in (0, \dots, N)^2$$

The finite element method is **much more difficult to implement** than global methods, because of the local basis functions and unstructured mesh. Yet, the unstructured mesh and local basis functions make the method **much more flexible**.


# The element mass matrix is highly sparse

$$a_{ij}^{(e)} = \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} \psi_j \psi_i dx,$$

For piecewise linear basis functions there are only 2 non-zero basis functions per element.  
See element  $\Omega^{(2)}$




The matrix  $A^{(2)}$  will have only 4 non-zero items. So it is really a waste of memory using an  $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$  matrix.



# Define a local-to-global map $q(e, r)$

$$q(e, r) = de + r$$



Mapping local index  $r \in (0, \dots, d)$  on global element  $e$  to the global index  $q(e, r) \in (0, 1, \dots, N)$ . There are  $d + 1$  nodes per element.

For unstructured meshed  $q(e, r)$  needs to be stored explicitly ( $r$  numbering is implicit):

$$q = \left\{ 0 : \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad 1 : \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 2 : \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

# Use a local dense element mass matrix

With  $d + 1$  nonzero basis functions on element  $e$  all the non-zero items of  $A^{(e)}$  can be stored in the dense matrix:

$$\tilde{A}^{(e)} = (\tilde{a}_{rs}^{(e)})_{r,s=0}^d$$


$$\tilde{a}_{rs}^{(e)} = \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} \psi_{q(e,r)} \psi_{q(e,r)} dx$$



## Note

The matrix  $\tilde{A}^{(e)}$  contains the same nonzero items as  $A^{(e)}$ , but  $\tilde{A}^{(e)} \in \mathbb{R}^{(d+1) \times (d+1)}$  is dense, whereas  $A^{(e)} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+1) \times (N+1)}$  is highly sparse.

# Local to global mapping in assembly of $A$



The 4 smaller matrices represent  $\tilde{A}^{(0)}$ ,  $\tilde{A}^{(1)}$ ,  $\tilde{A}^{(2)}$  and  $\tilde{A}^{(3)}$

Finite element assembly: add up for  $e = 0, 1, \dots, N_e - 1$  and  $(r, s) \in (0, 1, \dots, d)^2$

$$a_{q(e,r),q(e,s)} \mathrel{+}= \tilde{a}_{r,s}^{(e)}$$

# Mapping to reference domain

In assembling the matrix  $A$  we need to compute the element matrix  $\tilde{A}^{(e)}$  many times. Is this really necessary? The integrals

$$\int_{\Omega^{(e)}} \psi_{q(e,r)} \psi_{q(e,s)} d\Omega,$$

differ only in the domain, whereas the **shape of the basis functions** is the same regardless of domain. The piecewise linear basis functions are always straight lines.

Let us map all elements to a reference domain  $\Omega^r = [-1, 1]$ . The affine map from  $x \in \Omega^{(e)} = [x_{q(e,0)}, x_{q(e,d)}] = [x_L, x_R]$  to  $X \in \Omega^r$  can be written for any element as

$$x = \frac{1}{2}(x_L + x_R) + \frac{1}{2}(x_R - x_L)X$$

Mapping back and forth is as usual

$$X(x) \quad \text{or} \quad x(X)$$



# Mapping finite element basis functions

The basis functions  $\psi_{q(e,r)}(x)$  are commonly mapped to the Lagrangian basis functions

$$\psi_{q(e,r)}(x) = \ell_r(X) = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq d \\ s \neq r}} \frac{X - X_s}{X_r - X_s}$$

where

$$X_r = -1 + \frac{2r}{d}, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, d$$

and for piecewise linear basis functions ( $d = 1$ ) we get the following basis functions on the reference domain:


$$\ell_0(X) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - X) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_1(X) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + X)$$

# Quadratic elements ( $d = 2$ )

For quadratic elements the Lagrange basis functions on the reference domain are


$$(X_0, X_1, X_2) = (-1, 0, 1)$$

$$\ell_0(X) = \frac{1}{2}X(1 - X), \quad \ell_1(X) = (1 - X^2), \quad \ell_2(X) = \frac{1}{2}X(1 + X)$$



**d=3 and  $(X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3) = (-1, -1/3, 1/3, 1)$**

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_0(X) &= -\frac{9}{16}(X-1)(X-\frac{1}{3})(X+\frac{1}{3}) & \ell_1(X) &= \frac{27}{16}(X-1)(X-\frac{1}{3})(X+1) \\ \ell_2(X) &= -\frac{27}{16}(X-1)(X+\frac{1}{3})(X+1) & \ell_3(X) &= \frac{9}{16}(X-\frac{1}{3})(X+\frac{1}{3})(X+1)\end{aligned}$$



# Back to the element matrix

Use a change of variables ( $x \rightarrow X$  and  $\psi_{q(e,r)}(x) = \ell_r(X)$ ) for the inner product:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{a}_{rs}^{(e)} &= \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} \psi_{q(e,r)}(x) \psi_{q(e,s)}(x) d\Omega, \\ &= \int_{x_L}^{x_R} \psi_{q(e,r)}(x) \psi_{q(e,s)}(x) dx, \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 \ell_r(X) \ell_s(X) \frac{dx}{dX} dX,\end{aligned}$$

where  $dx/dX = h(e)/2$  and  $h(e) = x_{q(e,d)} - x_{q(e,0)} = x_R - x_L$ , such that for any element, regardless of order  $d$ , we can compute the elements of the element matrix as

$$\tilde{a}_{rs}^{(e)} = \frac{h(e)}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \ell_r(X) \ell_s(X) dX$$

Note that the integral does not depend on element number  $e$ !

# Since the integral does not depend on the element

then, instead of computing for each (linear) element:

$$\tilde{A}^{(e)} = \begin{bmatrix} \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} \psi_{q(e,0)} \psi_{q(e,0)} dx & \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} \psi_{q(e,0)} \psi_{q(e,1)} dx \\ \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} \psi_{q(e,1)} \psi_{q(e,0)} dx & \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} \psi_{q(e,1)} \psi_{q(e,1)} dx \end{bmatrix},$$

we can simply use:

$$\tilde{A}^{(e)} = \frac{h(e)}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \int_{-1}^1 \ell_0 \ell_0 dX & \int_{-1}^1 \ell_0 \ell_1 dX \\ \int_{-1}^1 \ell_1 \ell_0 dX & \int_{-1}^1 \ell_1 \ell_1 dX \end{bmatrix}.$$

with merely a different  $h(e)$  for each element.

Similarly for higher  $d$ .

# Sympy implementation element mass matrix

Linear ( $d = 1$ )

```
1 h = sp.Symbol('h')
2 l = Lagrangebasis([-1, 1])
3 ae = lambda r, s: sp.integrate(l[r]*l[s], (x, -1, 1))
4 A1e = h/2*sp.Matrix([[ae(0, 0), ae(0, 1)], [ae(1, 0), ae(1, 1)]])
5 A1e
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{h}{3} & \frac{h}{6} \\ \frac{h}{6} & \frac{h}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

Quadratic ( $d = 2$ )

```
1 l = Lagrangebasis([-1, 0, 1])
2 ae = lambda r, s: sp.integrate(l[r]*l[s], (x, -1, 1))
3 A2e = h/2*sp.Matrix(np.array([[ae(i, j) for i in range(3) for j in range(3)]]).reshape(3, 3))
4 A2e
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{2h}{15} & \frac{h}{15} & -\frac{h}{30} \\ \frac{h}{15} & \frac{8h}{15} & \frac{h}{15} \\ -\frac{h}{30} & \frac{h}{15} & \frac{2h}{15} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Complete assembly implementation

```
1 Ae = [A1e, A2e] # previously computed
2
3 def get_element_boundaries(xj, e, d=1):
4     return xj[d*e], xj[d*(e+1)]
5
6 def get_element_length(xj, e, d=1):
7     xL, xR = get_element_boundaries(xj, e, d=d)
8     return xR-xL
9
10 def local_to_global_map(e, r=None, d=1): # q(e, r)
11     if r is None:
12         return slice(d*e, d*(e+1)+1)
13     return d*e+r
14
15 def assemble_mass(xj, d=1):
16     N = len(xj)-1
17     Ne = N//d
18     A = np.zeros((N+1, N+1))
19     for elem in range(Ne):
20         hj = get_element_length(xj, elem, d=d)
21         s0 = local_to_global_map(elem, d=d)
22         A[s0, s0] += np.array(Ae[d-1].subs(h, hj), dtype=float)
23     return A
```

```
1 N = 4
2 xj = np.linspace(1, 2, N+1)
3 A = assemble_mass(xj, d=1)
4 print(A)
```

```
[[0.0833 0.0417 0.      0.      0.    ]
 [0.0417 0.1667 0.0417 0.      0.    ]
 [0.      0.0417 0.1667 0.0417 0.    ]
 [0.      0.      0.0417 0.1667 0.0417]
 [0.      0.      0.      0.0417 0.0833]]
```

# Higher order mass matrix

```
1 N = 8
2 xj = np.linspace(1, 2, N+1)
3 A = assemble_mass(xj, d=2)
4 print(A)
```


```
[ [ 0.0333  0.0167 -0.0083  0.      0.      0.      0.      0.      0. ]
  [ 0.0167  0.1333  0.0167  0.      0.      0.      0.      0.      0. ]
  [-0.0083  0.0167  0.0667  0.0167 -0.0083  0.      0.      0.      0. ]
  [ 0.      0.      0.0167  0.1333  0.0167  0.      0.      0.      0. ]
  [ 0.      0.     -0.0083  0.0167  0.0667  0.0167 -0.0083  0.      0. ]
  [ 0.      0.      0.      0.      0.0167  0.1333  0.0167  0.      0. ]
  [ 0.      0.      0.      0.     -0.0083  0.0167  0.0667  0.0167 -0.0083]
  [ 0.      0.      0.      0.      0.      0.      0.0167  0.1333  0.0167]
  [ 0.      0.      0.      0.      0.      0.     -0.0083  0.0167  0.0333]]
```

Sparsity pattern:



## Note

- The internal nodes represent rows with only 3 nonzero items. The nodes on the boundary between two elements have rows containing 5 nonzero items.
- The mass matrix is not diagonal, but it is sparse.





# Finite element assembly of a vector

In solving for

$$\sum_{j=0}^N (\psi_j, \psi_i) \hat{u}_j = (u, \psi_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N$$

we also need the right hand side

$$b_i = (u, \psi_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N$$

This inner product can also be evaluated **elementwise**, and mapped just like the mass matrix. We define the element vector similarly as the element matrix

$$b_i^{(e)} = \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} u(x) \psi_i(x) dx, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N$$

$b_i^{(e)}$  will be highly sparse.

# Define a dense local vector

$$\tilde{b}_r^{(e)} = (u, \psi_{q(e,r)}) = \int_{\Omega^{(e)}} u(x) \psi_{q(e,r)}(x) dx, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, d$$

Using as before  $\psi_{q(e,r)}(x) = \ell_r(X)$  we get a mapping to the reference domain

$$\tilde{b}_r^{(e)} = \frac{h(e)}{2} \int_{-1}^1 u(x(X)) \ell_r(X) dX, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, d$$



## Note

The vector  $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}^{(e)}$  needs to be assembled with an integral for each element because of  $u(x(X))$

Assemble by adding up for all elements  $e = 0, 1, \dots, N_e - 1$  and  $r = 0, 1, \dots, d$

$$b_{q(e,r)} += \tilde{b}_r^{(e)}$$

# Implementation $b^{(e)}$

```
1 def map_true_domain(xj, e, d=1, x=x): # return x(X)
2     xL, xR = get_element_boundaries(xj, e, d=d)
3     hj = get_element_length(xj, e, d=d)
4     return (xL+xR)/2+hj*x/2
5
6 def map_reference_domain(xj, e, d=1, x=x): # return X(x)
7     xL, xR = get_element_boundaries(xj, e, d=d)
8     hj = get_element_length(xj, e, d=d)
9     return (2*x-(xL+xR))/hj
10
11 def map_u_true_domain(u, xj, e, d=1, x=x): # return u(x(X))
12     return u.subs(x, map_true_domain(xj, e, d=d, x=x))
13
14 def assemble_b(u, xj, d=1):
15     l = Lagrangebasis(np.linspace(-1, 1, d+1), sympy=False)
16     N = len(xj)-1
17     Ne = N//d
18     b = np.zeros(N+1)
19     for elem in range(Ne):
20         hj = get_element_length(xj, elem, d=d)
21         us = sp.lambdify(x, map_u_true_domain(u, xj, elem, d=d))
22         integ = lambda xj, r: us(xj)*l[r](xj)
23         for r in range(d+1):
24             b[local_to_global_map(elem, r, d)] += hj/2*quad(integ, -1, 1, args=(r,))[0]
25     return b
```




## Note

We need to perform an integral by calling `quad` for each  $r$  in each element.

## Example: $u(x) = 10(x - 1)^2 - 1, x \in [1, 2]$

Use the previously implemented `assemble_mass` and `assemble_b` to find the approximation of  $u(x)$  using piecewise linear functions and FEM:

```
1 def assemble(u, N, domain=(-1, 1), d=1, xj=None):
2     mesh = np.linspace(domain[0], domain[1], N+1)
3     A = assemble_mass(mesh, d=d)
4     b = assemble_b(u, mesh, d=d)
5     return A, b
6
7 N = 4
8 xj = np.linspace(1, 2, N+1)
9 A, b = assemble(10*(x-1)**2-1, N, d=1, xj=xj)
10 uh = np.linalg.inv(A) @ b
11 yj = np.linspace(1, 2, 1000)
12 plt.figure(figsize=(6, 3.5))
13 plt.plot(xj, uh, 'b-o', yj, 10*(yj-1)**2-1, 'r--')
14 plt.legend(['FEM', 'Exact']);
```



### Note


- Since we are using piecewise linear polynomials we can simply plot  $uh = (u_N(x_i))_{i=0}^N = (\hat{u}_i)_{i=0}^N$  and `matplotlib` will correctly fill in a linear profile between the points.
- The FEM solution  $u_N(x_i) \neq u(x_i)$

# Second order ( $d = 2$ )

Check that a non-uniform mesh works as well:

$$x_{2i} = 1 + (\cos(2\pi i/N) + 1)/2 \quad \text{and} \quad x_{2i+1} = \frac{x_{2i} + x_{2(i+1)}}{2}$$

```
1 N = 6
2 xj = np.zeros(N+1)
3 xj[::2] = 1 + (np.cos(np.arange(N//2+1)*np.pi*2/N)
4 xj[1::2] = 0.5*(xj[:-1:2]+xj[2::2])
5 A, b = assemble(10*(x-1)**2-1, N, d=2, xj=xj)
6 uh = np.linalg.inv(A) @ b
7 yj = np.linspace(1, 2, 1000)
8 plt.figure(figsize=(6, 4))
9 plt.plot(xj, uh, '-bo', yj, 10*(yj-1)**2-1, 'r--')
10 plt.plot(xj[1::2], uh[1::2], 'go')
11 plt.legend(['FEM 2nd order', 'Exact', 'Internal po
```



Why still linear interpolation? We need to use the higher order  $u_N(x) = \sum_{j=0}^N \hat{u}_j \psi_j(x)$  between mesh points! → FEM evaluation

# Finite element evaluation

The finite element solution differs from the finite difference solution in that the solution is automatically defined everywhere within the domain.

$$u_N(x) = \sum_{j=0}^N \hat{u}_j \psi_j(x)$$

However, most basis functions will be zero at any location  $x$ . We need to find which element  $x$  belongs to! And then evaluate only with non-zero basisfunctions

$$u_N(x) = \sum_{r=0}^d \hat{u}_{q(e,r)} \ell_r(X), \quad x \in \Omega^{(e)}$$

```
1 def fe_evaluate(uh, p, xj, d=1):
2     l = Lagrangebasis(np.linspace(-1, 1, d+1), sympy=False)
3     elem = max(0, np.argmax(p <= xj[:,d])-1) # find element containing p
4     Xx = map_reference_domain(xj, elem, d=d, x=p)
5     return Lagrangefunction(uh[d*elem:d*(elem+1)+1], l)(Xx)
6
7 fe_evaluate(uh, 1.2, xj, d=2), 10*(1.2-1)**2-1
```

```
(-0.6000000000000000, -0.6000000000000002)
```

# Evaluate FEM for $N_d$ points

$$u_N(x_i) = \sum_{r=0}^d \hat{u}_{q(e,r)} \ell_r(X(x_i)), \quad x \in \Omega^{(e)}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N_d - 1$$

Just loop over scalar code for each point

```
1 def fe_evaluate_v(uh, pv, xj, d=1):
2     uj = np.zeros(len(pv))
3     for i, p in enumerate(pv):
4         uj[i] = fe_evaluate(uh, p, xj, d)
```


Alternatively, use vectorization, but not really straightforward:

```
1 def fe_evaluate_v(uh, pv, xj, d=1):
2     l = Lagrangebasis(np.linspace(-1, 1, d+1), sympy=False)
3     # Find points inside elements
4     elem = (np.argmax((pv <= xj[:,d, None]), axis=0)-1).clip(min=0)
5     xL = xj[:, -1:d] # All left element boundaries
6     xR = xj[:, d:d] # All right element boundaries
7     xm = (xL+xR)/2 # middle of all elements
8     hj = (xR-xL) # length of all elements
9     Xx = 2*(pv-xm[elem])/hj[elem] # map pv to reference space all elements
10    dofs = np.array([uh[e*d+np.arange(d+1)] for e in elem], dtype=float)
11    V = np.array([lr(Xx) for lr in l], dtype=float) # All basis functions evaluated for all points
12    return np.sum(dofs * V.T, axis=1)
```

# More difficult example: $u(x) = e^{\cos x}$

Compute  $L^2(\Omega)$  error and compare with **global** Chebyshev and Legendre methods

```
1 def L2_error(uh, ue, xj, d=1):
2     yj = np.linspace(-1, 1, 4*len(xj))
3     uhj = fe_evaluate_v(uh, yj, xj, d=d)
4     uej = ue(yj)
5     return np.sqrt(np.trapz((uhj-uej)**2, dx=yj[1]
6
7 u = sp.exp(sp.cos(x))
8 ue = sp.lambdify(x, u)
9 err = []
10 err2 = []
11 for n in range(2, 30, 4):
12     N = 2*n
13     xj = np.linspace(-1, 1, N+1)
14     A, b = assemble(u, N, (-1, 1), 1)
15     uh = np.linalg.inv(A) @ b
16     A2, b2 = assemble(u, N, (-1, 1), 2)
17     uh2 = np.linalg.inv(A2) @ b2
18     err.append(L2_error(uh, ue, xj, 1))
19     err2.append(L2_error(uh2, ue, xj, 2))
```



## Note

This illustrates nicely **spectral** versus **finite order** accuracy. With  $d = 1$  the FEM obtains second order accuracy and the error disappears as the linear (in the loglog-plot) green curve with slope  $-2$  (from error  $\sim N^{-2}$ ). The spectral error on the other hand disappears exponentially as  $\sim e^{-\mu N}$ , faster than **any** finite order.





# FEniCS PROJECT

- Finite element software
- Developed originally at Chalmers University of Technology and UiO
- Very flexible and easy to use
- Solves PDEs with many different finite elements, including Lagrange

For installation: <https://github.com/FEniCS/dolfinx>

## Anaconda

```
1 conda create -c conda-forge --name fenics fenics-dolfinx mpich pyvista
```

## Linux

```
1 sudo add-apt-repository ppa:fenics-packages/fenics
2 sudo apt update
3 sudo apt install fenicsx
```

## Docker

```
1 docker run -ti dolfinx/dolfinx:stable
```

# First example - function approximation using piecewise linear Lagrange elements

```
1 from mpi4py import MPI
2 from dolfinx import mesh, fem, cpp
3 from dolfinx.fem.petsc import LinearProblem
4 import ufl
5 from ufl import dx, inner
6
7 msh = mesh.create_interval(MPI.COMM_SELF, 4, (-1, 1))
8 V = fem.functionspace(msh, ("Lagrange", 1))
9 u = ufl.TrialFunction(V)
10 v = ufl.TestFunction(V)
11 xp = ufl.SpatialCoordinate(msh)
12 ue = ufl.exp(ufl.cos(xp[0]))
13 a = inner(u, v) * dx
14 L = inner(ue, v) * dx
15 problem = LinearProblem(a, L)
16 uh = problem.solve()
```


Alternatively assemble and solve linear problem yourself:

```
1 from scipy.sparse.linalg import spsolve
2 A = fem.assemble_matrix(fem.form(a))
3 b = fem.assemble_vector(fem.form(L))
4 uh = fem.Function(V)
5 uh.x.array[:] = spsolve(A.to_scipy(), b.array)
```

# Result 4 piecewise linear basis functions


## FEniCS

```
1 N = 100
2 xj = np.zeros((N, 3))
3 xj[:, 0] = np.linspace(-1, 1, N)
4 data = cpp.geometry.determine_point_ownership(msh.
5 plt.plot(xj[:, 0], uh.eval(xj, data.dest_cells), '
6 plt.plot(xj[:, 0], sp.lambdify(x, sp.exp(sp.cos(x))
```



## Our implementation

```
1 N = 4
2 A1, b1 = assemble(u, N, (-1, 1), 1)
3 uN = np.linalg.inv(A1) @ b1
4 plt.figure(figsize=(5.5, 3.8))
5 plt.plot(np.linspace(-1, 1, N+1), uN, 'b')
6 xj = np.linspace(-1, 1, 100)
7 plt.plot(xj, sp.lambdify(x, u)(xj), 'r')
```



# Exactly the same result for the same method

FEniCS uses exactly the same method with piecewise linear basis functions as we have described using Sympy/Numpy and as such we get exactly the same matrix/vectors:

## FEniCS

```
1 A.to_dense()
```

```
array([[0.1667, 0.0833, 0.      , 0.      , 0.      ],
       [0.0833, 0.3333, 0.0833, 0.      , 0.      ],
       [0.      , 0.0833, 0.3333, 0.0833, 0.      ],
       [0.      , 0.      , 0.0833, 0.3333, 0.0833],
       [0.      , 0.      , 0.      , 0.0833, 0.1667]])
```

```
1 b.array
```

```
array([0.4892, 1.1865, 1.3317, 1.1865, 0.4892])
```

```
1 uh.x.array
```

```
array([1.7169, 2.4361, 2.7772, 2.4361, 1.7169])
```

## Sympy/Numpy

```
1 A1
```

```
array([[0.1667, 0.0833, 0.      , 0.      , 0.      ],
       [0.0833, 0.3333, 0.0833, 0.      , 0.      ],
       [0.      , 0.0833, 0.3333, 0.0833, 0.      ],
       [0.      , 0.      , 0.0833, 0.3333, 0.0833],
       [0.      , 0.      , 0.      , 0.0833, 0.1667]])
```

```
1 b1
```

```
array([0.4892, 1.1865, 1.3317, 1.1865, 0.4892])
```

```
1 uN
```

```
array([1.7169, 2.4361, 2.7772, 2.4361, 1.7169])
```

# Summary

- The finite element method (FEM) is a variational method using **local** basis functions.
- The FEM uses the same Galerkin method as the methods using **global** basis functions.
- The FEM is assembled by running over all elements and assembling **local** matrices and vectors that are subsequently added to **global** matrices and vectors.
- Since all assembly work is performed elementwise, the FEM is very well suited for **unstructured** meshes.