Error Introduced into 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing Results Varies by High Fidelity DNA Polymerase Used

Marc A Sze¹ and Patrick D Schloss^{1†}

† To whom correspondence should be addressed: pschloss@umich.edu

1 Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

Co-author e-mails:

• marcsze@med.umich.edu

Abstract

- Background. Bias is introduced at different stages of the 16S rRNA gene sequencing workflow.
- ³ Although the number of cycles and high fidelity (HiFi) DNA polymerase are studied less often, they
- 4 are still important sources of bias in this workflow. Here, we examine how both cycle number and
- polymerase can change the bacterial community and introduce both error and bias to the final
- 6 obtained results.
- Methods. We extracted DNA from fecal samples (n=4) using a PowerMag DNA extraction kit with a
- 10 minute bead beating step and amplified at 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35 cycles using Accuprime, Kappa,
- 9 Phusion, Platinum, or Q5 HiFi DNA polymerase. Amplification of mock communities (technical
- replicates n=4) consisting of previously isolated whole genomes of 8 different bacteria used the
- same approach. The analysis initially examined the number of Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs)
- 12 for fecal samples and mock communities. It also assessed polymerase dependent differences in
- the Bray-Curtis index, error rate, sequence error prevalence, chimera prevalence, and chimera
- prevalence correlation with the number of OTUs.
- 15 Results. When analyzing fecal samples we observed that the range in the number of OTUs
- edetected was not consistent between HiFi DNA polymerases at 35 cycles (Accuprime = 84 106
- $_{7}$ (min max) versus Phusion = 84 136). Additionally, the median number of OTUs vaired by HiFi
- DNA polymerase used (P-value < 0.0001). Variations in the number of OTUs detected by DNA
- polymerase was observable as early as 20 cycles in mock communities (P-value = 0.002). There
- also was a large range in the number of OTUs by HiFi DNA polymerase at 35 cycles (Accuprime =
- 21 15 20 versus Phusion = 14 73). Chimera prevalence in mock communities varied by polymerase
- with differences being most notable at 35 cycles (Kappa = 5.71% (median) versus Platinum =
- 26.62%) and this variation persisted after chimera removal using VSEARCH. We also observed
- positive correlations between chimera prevalence and the number of OTUs with Platinum having
- the highest ($R^2 = 0.974$) and Kappa having the worse ($R^2 = 0.478$).
- ²⁶ Conclusions. Although the variation in the number of OTUs observed in fecal samples could be
- ²⁷ due to certain polymerases capturing the biological variability better than others, this is unlikely to

be the main reason for this difference. In mock community samples, the divergence in the number of OTUs between the polymerases which can be explained by chimera prevalence suggests that this is the main reason for differences between the polymerases. Ultimately, this variation makes comparison across studies difficult and care should be exercised when choosing the polymerase and number of cycles to be used in 16S rRNA gene sequencing studies.

3 Introduction

- Accounting for bias is critical in order to reach an understanding of bacterial community changes using 16S rRNA gene sequencing results. Bias can change the observed results in a way that is reproducible and standardized. Within this context, our 16S rRNA gene sequencing methods are biased even when these workflows are standardized to increase reproducibility. In order to interpret specific studies within the broader context of the overall field, assessing bias at different parts of the 16S rRNA gene sequencing workflow is critical.
- Many parts of the 16S rRNA gene sequencing workflow contribute bias to the results and are studied extensively. A typical 16S rRNA gene sequencing workflow can be divided into preservation, extraction, PCR, and sequencing steps. Overall, biases due to either preservation or extraction tend to be smaller than the overall biological signal being measured (Song et al., 2016; Bassis et al., 2017). Although the observed biological signal is larger, the contribution of PCR bias to this overall workflow is not well characterized since these studies use the same PCR approach while varying preservation or extraction method.
- Identifying the biases in the PCR stage of 16S rRNA gene sequencing is important because a large 47 body of literature shows that there are a variety of steps during PCR that can change the observed results (Eckert & Kunkel, 1991; Burkardt, 2000). Many of these sources of biases are made worse as the number of cycles increases (Wang & Wang, 1996; Haas et al., 2011; Kebschull & Zador, 50 2015). For example, the selective amplification of AT-rich over GC-rich sequences can exaggerate 51 the difference between 16S rRNA genes higher in AT versus those higher in GC (Polz & Cavanaugh, 1998). Both amplification error and non-specific amplification (e.g. incorrect amplicon size products) 53 can also increase as the number of cycles increases which can drastically change commonly used 54 diversity measures (Acinas et al., 2005; Santos et al., 2016). Additionally, chimeras can form from an aborted extension step followed by a subsequent priming error and secondary extension and will artificially increase community diversity (Haas et al., 2011). Although these differences are not 57 necessarily dependent on primer and DNA polymerase used, there are also biases that are.
- 59 The intrinsic properties to primers and DNA polymerases chosen can also introduce bias. Primers

have variable region dependent binding affinities for different bacteria and depending on the primer pair do not detect specific bacteria (e.g. V1-V3 does not detect *Haemophilus influenzae* and V3-V5 does not detect *Propionibacterium acnes*) (Sze et al., 2015 (Table S4); Meisel et al., 2016). Additionally, there are multiple families of DNA polymerases that have their own error rate and proof reading capacity (Ishino & Ishino, 2014). Interestingly, the influence that these different DNA polymerases can have on the observed 16S rRNA gene sequencing results have not been well studied like some of the other sources of PCR-based bias.

A recent study found clear differences between normal and high fidelity DNA polymerase and that optimization of the PCR protocol could reduce error and chimera generation (Gohl et al., 2016).

This study also found that regardless of DNA polymerase, the number of Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) or taxa generated were not easily reduced using the authors chosen bioinformatic pipeline (Gohl et al., 2016). It is natural to extend this line of inquiry and ask if biases in the number of OTUs and chimeras are also dependent on the type of high fidelity DNA polymerase. There is some reason to think that this may be the case since many of these high fidelity DNA polymerases come from different families (e.g. *Taq* belongs to the family A polymerases) and may intrinsically have different error rates that cannot be completely removed with modifications (Ishino & Ishino, 2014).

Although bias introduced due to differences between DNA polymerase and high fidelity DNA polymerase has been investigated for 16S rRNA gene sequencing, the bias caused due to differences between specific high fidelity DNA polymerases has not been. This study will investigate how high fidelity DNA polymerases can bias observed bacterial community results derived from 16S rRNA gene sequencing. We will accomplish this by examining if any of five different types of high fidelity DNA polymerases introduce significant biases into 16S rRNA gene surveys, if this is a cycle dependent phenomenon, and whether they can be removed using a standard bioinformatic pipeline.

5 Materials & Methods

Human and Mock Samples: A single fecal sample was obtained from 4 individuals who were part
of the Enterics Research Investigational Network (ERIN). The processing and storage of these
samples were previously published (Seekatz et al., 2016). Other than confirmation that none of
these individuals had a Clostridium difficle infection, clinical data and other types of meta data were
not utilized or accessed for this study. All samples were extracted using the MOBIOTM PowerMag
Microbiome RNA/DNA extraction kit (now Qiagen, MD, USA). The ZymoBIOMICSTM Microbial
Community DNA Standard (Zymo, CA, USA) was used for mock communities and was made
up of Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Escherichia coli, Salmonella enterica, Lactobacillus fermentum,
Enterococcus faecalis, Staphylococcus aureus, Listeria monocytogenes, and Bacillus subtilis at
equal genomic DNA abundance (http://www.zymoresearch.com/microbiomics/microbial-standards/

PCR Protocol: The five different high fidelity DNA polymerases (hereto referred to as polymerases)
that were tested included AccuPrimeTM (ThermoFisher, MA, USA), KAPA HIFI (Roche, IN, USA),
Phusion (ThermoFisher, MA, USA), Platinum (ThermoFisher, MA, USA), and Q5 (New England
Biolabs, MA, USA). The PCR cycle conditions for Platinum and Accuprime followed a previously
published protocol (Kozich et al., 2013) (https://github.com/SchlossLab/MiSeq_WetLab_SOP/blob/
master/MiSeq_WetLab_SOP_v4.md). The polymerases activation time was 2 minutes, unless a
different activation was specified. For Kappa and Q5, a previously published protocol was used
(Gohl et al., 2016). For Phusion, the company defined conditions were used except for extension
time, where the Accuprime and Platinum settings were used.

The cycle conditions for both fecal and mock samples started at 15 and increased by 5 up to 35 cycles with amplicons used at each 5-step increase for sequencing. The PCR of fecal DNA samples consisted of all 4 samples at 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35 cycles for each polymerase (total sample n=100). The mock communities had 4 replicates at 15, 20, 25, and 35 cycles and 10 replicates for 30 cycles for all polymerases (total samples n=130). No mock community sample had enough PCR product at 15 cycles for adequate 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

Sequence Processing: The mothur software program was used for all sequence processing steps (Schloss et al., 2009). The protocol has been previously published (Kozich et al., 2013) (https://www.mothur.org/wiki/MiSeq SOP). Two major differences from the published protocol were the use of VSEARCH instead of UCHIME for chimera detection and the use of the OptiClust 115 algorithm instead of average neighbor for OTU generation at 97% similarity (Edgar et al., 2011; Rognes et al., 2016; Westcott & Schloss, 2017). Sequence error was determined using the 'seq.error' command on mock samples (Schloss et al., 2009; Cole et al., 2013; Rognes et al., 2016).

112

113

114

116

117

118

Analysis Workflow: All samples were rarefied to 1000 sequence. The total number of OTUs was 119 analyzed for both the fecal and mock community samples. For fecal samples, cycle dependent 120 affects on Bray-Curtis indices were assessed for cycle group and within individual differences from 121 the previous cycle (e.g. 20 versus 25, 25 versus 30). Based on these observations we analyzed 122 potential reasons for these differences. Analysis of the mock community of each polymerase 123 for sequence error rate, number of sequences with an error, base substitution, and numbers 124 of chimeras before and after chimera removal with VSEARCH was assessed. Additionally, the 125 correlation between the number of chimeras and the number of OTUs was also assessed. 126

Statistical Analysis: All analysis was done with the R (v 3.4.4) software package (R Core Team, 127 2017). Data transformation and graphing was completed using the tidyverse package (v 1.2.1) and 128 colors selected using the viridis package (v 0.4.1) (Garnier, 2017; Wickham, 2017). Differences in the total number of OTUs were analyzed using an ANOVA with a tukey post-hoc test. For the 130 fecal samples the data was normalized to each individual by cycle number to account for the 131 biological variation between people. Bray-Curtis distance matrices were generated using mothur after 100 sub-samplings at 1000, 5000, 10000, and 15000 sequence depth. The distance matrix 133 data was analyzed using PERMANOVA with the vegan package (v 2.4.5) (Oksanen et al., 2017) 134 and Kruskal-Wallis tests within R. For both error and chimera analysis, samples were tested using 135 Kruskal-Wallis with a Dunns post-hoc test. Where applicable correction for multiple comparison 136 utilized the Benjamini-Hochberg method (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995). 137

Reproducible Methods: The code and analysis can be found here https://github.com/SchlossLab/ Sze_PCRSeqEffects_XXXX_2017. The raw sequences can be found in the SRA at the following

accession number SRP132931.

141 Results

159

161

162

165

166

167

168

The Number of OTUs are Dependent on Polymerase: Differences in the range of the number 142 of OTUs detected for fecal samples is dependent on the polymerase used [Figure 1]. Additionally, 143 there is a trend for lower number of cycles (15-20) to result in a reduced range in the number of OTUs versus higher number of cycles (25, 30, and 35) for all polymerases [Figure 1]. There is an 145 overall difference in the number of OTUs detected within fecal samples between polymerases at 35 146 cycles (F-stat > 16.35, P-value = 9.7e-05) [Table S1]. No differences between the polymerases was found based on a Tukey post-hoc test (P-value > 0.05) [Table S2]. The polymerase dependent difference in the range of the number of OTUs also was observed in the mock community samples 149 [Figure 2]. Regardless if fecal or mock communities were used, the same polymerases had high (Platinum) and low (Accuprime) number of OTUs and this was consistent across the number of 151 cycles used [Figure 1-2 & Table S1-S4]. In contrast to the results obtained with fecal samples, 152 differences between polymerases were observed as early as 20 cycles in the mock community 153 (F-stat = 15.82, P-value = 0.002) [Table S1]. The majority of differences for the number of OTUs detected in the Mock community is largely due to Kappa and Platinum differences to the other 155 polymerases across the different number of cycles [Table S2]. Based on these observations in fecal 156 and mock communities, it is clear that different polymerases result in a different total number of 157 OTUs within a sample. 158

Minimal Bray-Curtis Differences are Detected and are Dependent on Cycle Number: A few small differences based on the number of cycles were detected in overall bacterial community composition. Within the same fecal sample and independent of polymerases, there are large differences in the community composition between 20 and 25 cycles [Figure 3A]. Additionally, when data was available for the mock communities, there are also large observed differences between 20 and 25 cycles [Figure 3B]. These community differences within a sample disappear when comparing 25 to 30 cycles and do not persist past 25 cycles [Figure 3]. Although these trends are clearly noticeable, there is no detectable difference in Bray-Curtis index when comparing to the previous 5-cycle increment for both fecal and mock communities after multiple comparison correction (P-value > 0.05). Using PERMANOVA to test for community differences based on the

number of cycles within polymerases, only Phusion had cycle dependent differences (P-value = 0.03. For fecal samples, Phusion was one of two polymerases that had enough sequences to be rarefied to 1000 at 15 cycles. Overall, these data suggest that there are small polymerase differences in Bray-Curtis index that are dependent on the number of cycles.

Sequence Error is Dependent on both Polymerase and Cycle Number: Differences in the 173 median error rate varied by polymerase, with Kappa being the highest [Figure 4 & Table S3]. The 174 differences in the median error rate between the polymerases also is dependent on the number of 175 cycles, with Platinum and Kappa having the most differences versus other polymerases [Figure 176 4 and Table S4]. The total sequences with at least one error also is dependent on the number 177 of cycles [Table S3 & S4]. These differences in sequences with at least one error is mostly due 178 to differences in AccuprimeTM and Kappa versus the other polymerases [Table S3 & S4]. The 179 differences in error rates were not due to polymerase dependent differences in base substitution 180 rate [Figure S1]. These results suggest that sequence error is dependent on polymerase and the 181 number of cycles, but is not attributable to a specific type. Based on these results, we examined 182 whether chimeras also were dependent on polymerase and whether this also could affect the 183 number of OTUs. 184

Prevalence of Chimeric Sequences are Polymerase Dependent and Correlate with the 185 Number of OTUs: There is significant differences in the chimera prevalence based on polymerase 186 at all the number of cycles used (P-value < 0.05) [Table S3]. Differences in chimera prevalence 187 between Platinum and all other polymerases accounted for the majority of these differences [Table 188 S4]. AccuprimeTM had the lowest chimera prevalence of all polymerases regardless of whether 189 chimera removal with VSEARCH was used [Figure 5A & 5B]. Additionally, there was a plateau in 190 the total percent of chimeras that were removed that was similar for all polymerases [Figure 5C]. A 191 positive correlation was observed between chimeric sequences and the number of OTUs for all 192 polymerases [Figure 6]. This positive correlation was strongest for AccuprimeTM, Platinum, and 193 Phusion [Figure 6]. This data suggests that chimera prevalence depends on polymerase used and 194 confirms that the number of OTUs is dependent on the prevalence of these chimeric sequences. 195

Discussion

208

209

210

211

213

214

216

217

218

220

221

223

In this study we show that the number of OTUs, error rate, and chimera prevalence depends on 197 polymerase used [Figure 1-5]. These differences are important because many diversity metrics 198 rely on the number of OTUs or other measures dependent on error rate and chimera prevalence 199 as part of their metric calculations (e.g. richness). Additionally, the earlier detection of differences 200 in total number of OTUs between polymerases in the mock versus fecal samples might indicate 201 that high biomass samples may underestimate the biases present within low biomass samples. 202 We observed that undetected chimeras that were not identified and removed using standard bioinformatic approaches cause many of these differences. This suggests that some of the specific 204 diversity differences between studies can be attributed to differences in polyermase used. Based 205 on these observations, metrics that measure within sample diversity like richness depend on polymerase but this may not be the case for metrics that assess between sample diversity. 207

There were few differences that depend on polymerase for between sample diversity, as measured by the Bray-Curtis index. Our observations generally found no differences in the overall bacterial community composition for the number of cycles used. One possible reason for this outcome is that our study did not have enough power to detect differences due to low sample number in each group. Another reason is that many of the OTUs are likely not highly abundant, allowing the Bray-Curtis index to be able to successfully down-weight chimeric OTUs (Minchin, 1987). The choice of downstream diversity metric could be an important consideration in helping to mitigate these observed polymerase dependent differences in chimera prevalence. Metrics that solely use presence/absence of OTUs (e.g. Jaccard (Real & Vargas, 1996)) may be less robust to chimera prevalence and by extension total number of OTU differences in polymerases. When choosing a distance metric, careful consideration of the biases introduced from the PCR step of the 16S rRNA gene sequencing workflow need to be taken into account. With differences in the number of OTUs and chimera prevalence depending on polymerase used, it might be easier to avoid specific DNA polymerase families altogether.

Although the variation in error rate and chimera prevalence may be due to the DNA polymerase 222 family, this is unlikely to be the only contributor. For example, the highest and lowest chimera rates both belonged to a family A polymerase (Platinum and AccuprimeTM respectively) (Ishino & Ishino, 2014). Additionally, based on the material safety data sheet the differences between the two polymerases are not immediately apparent. Both polymerases contain a recombinant Tag DNA polymerase, a *Pyrococcus* spp GB-D polymerase and a platinum *Tag* antibody. With everything else being equal, it is possible that differences in how the recombinant Tag was generated could be a contributing factor for the differences observed between the polymerases. We are unlikely to avoid adding polymerase dependent bias to 16S rRNA gene sequencing results, however, these differences may not be large enough to mask the actual biological signal.

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

234

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

252

The majority of polymerases we studied add small increases in the number of OTUs and chimera prevalence and may be masked by biological differences. The sequence error introduced by the 233 polymerase is also small and likely to be smaller than the biological variation within a specific study, which would be consistent with previous findings for preservation and DNA extraction methods (Salter et al., 2014; Song et al., 2016; Luo et al., 2016). The choice of polymerase should be 236 an important consideration in the creation of a 16S rRNA gene sequencing workflow because 237 using different polymerases can add error and bias to the downstream observations. Although 238 standardization of the workflow may partially solve this problem by introducing a consistent bias to 239 all samples, it does come at a cost. 240

Methods can be standardized but they commonly contain bias that is reproducible and may miss important associations. Bias can be easily reproduced and can be found in every step of the 242 16S rRNA gene sequencing workflow (Salter et al., 2014; Gohl et al., 2016; Luo et al., 2016; Amir et al., 2017). This study shows that specific diversity metrics used to measure the microbial community consistently vary based on polymerase. Standardizing multiple workflows to one specific polymerase could be detrimental since some polymerases may work better in certain situations over others. Arguably, the degree of workflow standardization across studies and research group needs to be approached on a study by study basis and not every project needs to use the exact same approach. All aspects of the 16S rRNA gene sequencing workflow need to be customized for the specific microbial community that will be sampled. Although a diversity of approaches may make reproducibility and replicability more difficult it will help to avoid systematic biases from occuring due to widespread standardization of approaches.

53 Conclusion

Our observations fill a gap in knowledge on the bias introduced to 16S rRNA gene sequencing results due to differences in polymerases. We found that the number of OTUs and the chimera prevalence is dependent on both polymerase and cycle number chosen. Care should be taken when choosing a polymerase for 16S rRNA gene surveys because their intrinsic differences can change the number of OTUs observed and influence diversity based metrics that do not down-weight rare observations. Knowing the inherent bias associated with different polymerases allows for better interpretation of the relationsip between an individual study to their respective field of research.

61 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all the study participants in ERIN whose samples were utilized. We would also like to thank Judy Opp and April Cockburn for their effort in sequencing the samples as part of the Microbiome Core Facility at the University of Michigan. Salary support for Marc A. Sze came from the Canadian Institute of Health Research and NIH grant UL1TR002240. Salary support for Patrick D. Schloss came from NIH grants P30DK034933 and 1R01CA215574.

267 References

- ²⁶⁸ Acinas SG., Sarma-Rupavtarm R., Klepac-Ceraj V., Polz MF. 2005. PCR-induced sequence
- ²⁶⁹ artifacts and bias: Insights from comparison of two 16S rRNA clone libraries constructed
- 270 from the same sample. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 71:8966-8969. DOI:
- 271 10.1128/aem.71.12.8966-8969.2005.
- Amir A., McDonald D., Navas-Molina JA., Debelius J., Morton JT., Hyde E., Robbins-Pianka A.,
- 273 Knight R. 2017. Correcting for microbial blooms in fecal samples during room-temperature shipping.
- 274 mSystems 2:e00199–16. DOI: 10.1128/msystems.00199-16.
- Bassis CM., Nicholas M. Moore., Lolans K., Seekatz AM., Weinstein RA., Young VB., Hayden
- ²⁷⁶ MK. 2017. Comparison of stool versus rectal swab samples and storage conditions on bacterial
- community profiles. *BMC Microbiology* 17. DOI: 10.1186/s12866-017-0983-9.
- 278 Benjamini Y., Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful
- 279 approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)
- 280 57:289-300.
- ²⁸¹ Burkardt H-J. 2000. Standardization and quality control of PCR analyses. *Clinical Chemistry and*
- 282 Laboratory Medicine 38. DOI: 10.1515/cclm.2000.014.
- ²⁸³ Cole JR., Wang Q., Fish JA., Chai B., McGarrell DM., Sun Y., Brown CT., Porras-Alfaro A., Kuske
- ²⁸⁴ CR., Tiedje JM. 2013. Ribosomal database project: Data and tools for high throughput rRNA
- analysis. Nucleic Acids Research 42:D633-D642. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkt1244.
- Eckert KA., Kunkel TA. 1991. DNA polymerase fidelity and the polymerase chain reaction. Genome
- ²⁸⁷ Research 1:17–24. DOI: 10.1101/gr.1.1.17.
- Edgar RC., Haas BJ., Clemente JC., Quince C., Knight R. 2011. UCHIME improves sensitivity and

- speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics* 27:2194–2200. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381.
- 290 Garnier S. 2017. Viridis: Default color maps from 'matplotlib'.
- ²⁹¹ Gohl DM., Vangay P., Garbe J., MacLean A., Hauge A., Becker A., Gould TJ., Clayton JB., Johnson
- ²⁹² TJ., Hunter R., Knights D., Beckman KB. 2016. Systematic improvement of amplicon marker gene
- methods for increased accuracy in microbiome studies. *Nature Biotechnology* 34:942–949. DOI:
- 294 10.1038/nbt.3601.
- ²⁹⁵ Haas BJ., Gevers D., Earl AM., Feldgarden M., Ward DV., Giannoukos G., Ciulla D., Tabbaa D.,
- Highlander SK., Sodergren E., Methe B., DeSantis TZ., Petrosino JF., Knight R., and BWB. 2011.
- ²⁹⁷ Chimeric 16S rRNA sequence formation and detection in sanger and 454-pyrosequenced PCR
- ²⁹⁸ amplicons. *Genome Research* 21:494–504. DOI: 10.1101/gr.112730.110.
- lshino S., Ishino Y. 2014. DNA polymerases as useful reagents for biotechnology â the history of
- developmental research in the field. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 5. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00465.
- ³⁰¹ Kebschull JM., Zador AM. 2015. Sources of PCR-induced distortions in high-throughput sequencing
- data sets. Nucleic Acids Research: gkv717. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkv717.
- Kozich JJ., Westcott SL., Baxter NT., Highlander SK., Schloss PD. 2013. Development of a
- 304 dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the
- MiSeq illumina sequencing platform. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 79:5112–5120. DOI:
- 306 10.1128/aem.01043-13.
- Luo T., Srinivasan U., Ramadugu K., Shedden KA., Neiswanger K., Trumble E., Li JJ., McNeil
- DW., Crout RJ., Weyant RJ., Marazita ML., Foxman B. 2016. Effects of specimen collection
- methodologies and storage conditions on the short-term stability of oral microbiome taxonomy.
- Applied and Environmental Microbiology 82:5519–5529. DOI: 10.1128/aem.01132-16.
- Meisel JS., Hannigan GD., Tyldsley AS., SanMiguel AJ., Hodkinson BP., Zheng Q., Grice EA. 2016.
- Skin microbiome surveys are strongly influenced by experimental design. Journal of Investigative

- Dermatology 136:947–956. DOI: 10.1016/j.jid.2016.01.016.
- Minchin PR. 1987. An evaluation of the relative robustness of techniques for ecological ordination.
- ³¹⁵ Vegetatio 69:89–107. DOI: 10.1007/bf00038690.
- Oksanen J., Blanchet FG., Friendly M., Kindt R., Legendre P., McGlinn D., Minchin PR., O'Hara
- RB., Simpson GL., Solymos P., Stevens MHH., Szoecs E., Wagner H. 2017. Vegan: Community
- 318 ecology package.
- Polz MF., Cavanaugh CM. 1998. Bias in template-to-product ratios in multitemplate PCR. Applied
- and Environmental Microbiology 64:3724–3730.
- R Core Team. 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R
- Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Real R., Vargas JM. 1996. The probabilistic basis of jaccards index of similarity. Systematic Biology
- 324 45:380–385. DOI: 10.1093/sysbio/45.3.380.
- Rognes T., Flouri T., Nichols B., Quince C., Mahé F. 2016. VSEARCH: A versatile open source tool
- ³²⁶ for metagenomics. *PeerJ* 4:e2584. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.2584.
- Salter SJ., Cox MJ., Turek EM., Calus ST., Cookson WO., Moffatt MF., Turner P., Parkhill J., Loman
- NJ., Walker AW. 2014. Reagent and laboratory contamination can critically impact sequence-based
- microbiome analyses. *BMC Biology* 12. DOI: 10.1186/s12915-014-0087-z.
- 330 Santos QMB-d los., Schroeder JL., Blakemore O., Moses J., Haffey M., Sloan W., Pinto AJ.
- 2016. The impact of sampling, PCR, and sequencing replication on discerning changes in
- drinking water bacterial community over diurnal time-scales. Water Research 90:216–224. DOI:
- 333 10.1016/j.watres.2015.12.010.
- Schloss PD., Westcott SL., Ryabin T., Hall JR., Hartmann M., Hollister EB., Lesniewski RA.,
- Oakley BB., Parks DH., Robinson CJ., Sahl JW., Stres B., Thallinger GG., Horn DJV., Weber CF.
- 2009. Introducing mothur: Open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software
- for describing and comparing microbial communities. Applied and Environmental Microbiology

- 338 75:7537–7541. DOI: 10.1128/aem.01541-09.
- Seekatz AM., Rao K., Santhosh K., Young VB. 2016. Dynamics of the fecal microbiome in
- patients with recurrent and nonrecurrent clostridium difficile infection. *Genome Medicine* 8. DOI:
- 341 10.1186/s13073-016-0298-8.
- Song SJ., Amir A., Metcalf JL., Amato KR., Xu ZZ., Humphrey G., Knight R. 2016. Preservation
- methods differ in fecal microbiome stability, affecting suitability for field studies. mSystems
- 1:e00021–16. DOI: 10.1128/msystems.00021-16.
- Sze MA., Dimitriu PA., Suzuki M., McDonough JE., Campbell JD., Brothers JF., Erb-Downward
- JR., Huffnagle GB., Hayashi S., Elliott WM., Cooper J., Sin DD., Lenburg ME., Spira A., Mohn
- WW., Hogg JC. 2015. Host response to the lung microbiome in chronic obstructive pulmonary
- disease. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 192:438-445. DOI:
- 349 10.1164/rccm.201502-0223oc.
- Wang GCY., Wang Y. 1996. The frequency of chimeric molecules as a consequence of PCR
- co-amplification of 16S rRNA genes from different bacterial species. *Microbiology* 142:1107–1114.
- 352 DOI: 10.1099/13500872-142-5-1107.
- Westcott SL., Schloss PD. 2017. OptiClust, an improved method for assigning amplicon-based
- sequence data to operational taxonomic units. *mSphere* 2:e00073–17. DOI: 10.1128/mspheredirect.00073-17.
- Wickham H. 2017. Tidyverse: Easily install and load 'tidyverse' packages.

- Figure 1: Total Number of OTUs in Fecal Samples by Number of Cycles. The x-axis represents
 the different number of cycles used and the y-axis is the number of OTUs. The legend represents
 the color code for the 5 different HiFi DNA polymerases used. The range in the number of OTUs
 detected in the different fecal samples increased as cycle number increased. This range was also
 larger for specific HiFi DNA polymerases.
- Figure 2: Total Number of OTUs in Mock Samples by Number of Cycles. The x-axis represents
 the different number of cycles used and the y-axis is the number of OTUs. The legend represents
 the color code for the 5 different HiFi DNA polymerases used. The dotted black line represents the
 number of OTUs detected when only the references sequences are clustered. The range in the
 number of OTUs detected in the Mock samples increased as cycle number increased. This range
 was also larger for specific HiFi DNA polymerases.
- Figure 3: Bray-Curtis Community Differences by Five-Cycle Intervals. A) within person differences based on the next 5-cycle PCR interval in fecal samples. B) Within replicate difference based on the next 5-cycle PCR interval in Mock samples.
- Figure 4: HiFi DNA Polymerase Error Rate in Mock Samples. The error bars represent the 75% interquartile range of the median error rate.
- Figure 5: HiFi DNA Polymerase Chimera Prevalence in Mock Samples. A) Precentage of chimeric sequences without the removal of chimeras with VSEARCH. C) Percentage of chimeric sequences with the removal of chimeras with VSEARCH. C) The total percent of chimeric sequences removed with VSEARCH by cycle number. The error bars represent the 75% interquartile range of the median.
- Figure 6: The Correlation between Number of OTUs and Chimeras in Mock Samples.

³⁷⁸ Figure S1: HiFi DNA Polymerase Nucleotide Substitutions in Mock Samples.