What the Taq? The Influence of Different Hi-Fidelity Taq Polymerase on 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing

Marc A Sze[1] and Patrick D Schloss[1][†]

† To whom correspondence should be addressed: pschloss@umich.edu

1 Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

Co-author e-mails:

- marcsze@med.umich.edu

Abstract

Background. Increasing research has found that various methodological steps can have an impact on the observed microbial community when using 16S rRNA gene surveys. These components include, but are not necessarily limited to, preservation media, extraction kit, bead beating time, and primers. Both cycle number and Hifidelity (HiFi) DNA polymerase are sometimes overlooked during this conversation of bias considerations. Here we critically examine both cycle number and HiFi DNA polymerase for biases that may influence downstream diversity measures of 16S rRNA gene surveys.

Methods. DNA from Fecal samples (n = 4) were extracted using a single PowerMag DNA extraction kit with a 10 minute bead beating step and amplified at 15x, 20x, 25x, 30x, and 35x using Accuprime, Kappa, Phusion, Platinum, or Q5 HiFi DNA polymerase. Mock communities (technical replicates n = 4) consisting of the whole genome of 8 different bacteria were also amplified using the same approach. The number of OTUs (Operational Taxonomic Units) was first examined for both fecal samples and mock communites. Next, Bray-Curtis index, the error rate, sequence error prevalence, and chimera prevalence, and the chimera prevalence correlation with number of OTUs was assessed for either cycle number or HiFi DNA polymerase dependent differences.

Results. At 35 cycles there were significant differences between HiFi DNA polymerase for fecal samples (P-value < 0.0001). These HiFi dependent differences in the number of OTUs could be identified as early as 20 cycles in the mock communities (P-value = 0.002). Chimera prevalence varied by HiFi DNA polymerase and these differences were still observed after chimera removal using VSEARCH. Further, the chimera prevalence had a strong positive correlation with the number of OTUs observed in a sample that was also not changed by chimera removal with VSEARCH.

Conclusions. Due to the impact of HiFi DNA polymerase on the number of OTUs, common

2

diversity metrics that incorporate this value could give artificially inflated numbers due

to higher undetected chimeras. So, when designing 16S rRNA gene survey studies it is

important to consider both the cycle number and the type of HiFi DNA polymerase that will

be used since it can increase or decrease the number of OTUS that are observed.

Introduction

Materials & Methods

Human and Mock Samples: A single fecal sample was obtained from 4 individuals who were part of the Enterics Research Investigational Network (ERIN) and the processing and storage of these samples have been published previously (Seekatz et al., 2016). Clinical data and other types of meta data were not utilized or accessed for this study. All samples were extracted using the MOBIO^{TM} PowerMag Microbiome RNA/DNA extraction kit (now Qiagen, MD, USA). The ZymoBIOMICS^{TM} Microbial Community DNA Standard (Zymo, CA, USA) was used in this study and is made up of _Pseudomonas aeruginosa_, _Escherichia coli_, _Salmonella enterica_, _Lactobacillus fermentum_, _Enterococcus faecalis_, _Staphylococcus aureus_, _Listeria monocytogenes_, and _Bacillus subtilis_ at equal genomic DNA abundance (http://www.zymoresearch.com/microbiomics/microbial-standards/ zymobiomics-microbial-community-standards).

PCR Protocol: The five different high fidelity (HiFi) Taq DNA polymerase that were tested were AccuPrime^{TM} (ThermoFisher, MA, USA), KAPA HIFI (Roche, IN, USA), Phusion (ThermoFisher, MA, USA), Platinum (ThermoFisher, MA, USA), and Q5 (New England Biolabs, MA, USA). The PCR cycle conditions for Platinum and Accuprime followed a previously published protocol (Kozich et al., 2013) (https://github.com/SchlossLab/MiSeq_ WetLab_SOP/blob/master/MiSeq_WetLab_SOP_v4.md). If the HiFi Taq had a specific activation time that was different then 2 minutes that was used instead. For Kappa and Q5 the protocol previously published by Gohl and colleagues was used (Gohl et al., 2016). For Phusion the company defined conditions were used but the same extension time as that used for Accuprime and Platinum was used.

The 30 cycle default was used but the cycle conditions started at 15 and increased by 5 up to 35 cycles and was used for both fecal and mock samples. The fecal PCR consisted of all 4 samples at 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35 cycles for each Taq (total samples = 100). Although, the

mock communities also had 4 replicates used for 15, 20, 25, and 35 cycles, 10 replicates were used for 30 cycles for all Taq (total samples = 130). For all the mock community samples there was not enough PCR product at 15 cycles for adequate sequencing.

Sequence Processing: The mothur software program was utilized for all sequence processing steps (Schloss et al., 2009). The protocol followed was similar to what has been previously publsihed (Kozich et al., 2013) (https://www.mothur.org/wiki/MiSeq_SOP). Two major differences from the stated protocol were the use VSEARCH instead of UCHIME for chimera detection and the use of the OptiClust algorithmn instead of average neighbor for Operational Taxonomic Unit (OTU) generation (Edgar et al., 2011; Rognes et al., 2016; Westcott & Schloss, 2017). Sequence error was determined using the seq.error command on mock samples after chimera removal and classification to the RDP to remove non-bacterial sequences (Schloss et al., 2009; Cole et al., 2013; Rognes et al., 2016).

Statistical Analysis: All analysis was done with the R (v 3.4.3) software package (R Core Team, 2017). Data tranformation and graphing was completed using the tidyverse package (v 1.1.1) and colors selected using the viridis package (v 0.4.0) (Garnier, 2017; Wickham, 2017). The total number of OTUs were analyzed using an ANOVA with a tukey post-hoc test. For the fecal samples the data was normalized to each individual by cycle number to account for the biological variation between different people. Bray-Curtis matrices were generated using mothur after 100 sub-samplings at 1000, 5000, 10000, and 15000. The distance matrix data was analyzed using PERMANOVA with the vegan package (v 2.4.4) (Oksanen et al., 2017) and kruskal-wallis tests within R. For both error and chimera analysis, samples were tested using Kruskal-Wallis with a Dunns post-hoc test. Where applicable correction for multiple comparison utilized the Benjamini-Hochberg method (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995).

Analysis Workflow: The total number of OTUs after sub-sampling was analyzed for both the fecal and mock community samples. Cycle dependent affects on Bray-Curtis indicies

6

82 were next assessed for the fecal samples looking at both overall cycle differences and
83 within individual differences for the previous cycle (e.g. 20 versus 25, 25 versus 30, etc.).
84 From these observations we wanted to next analyze potential reasons as to why some
85 of these differences may have occured. First, analysis of general sequence error rate,
86 number of sequences with an error, and base subsitution were assessed in the mock
87 community for each Taq. After assessing these errors, the total number of chimeras was
88 determined after sequence processing. The fecal samples were analyzed at 4 different
89 sub-sampling levels, 1000, 5000, 10000, and 15000 while the mock community samples
90 were analysed at 3 levels, 1000, 5000, 10000.

91 ***Reproducible Methods:*** The code and analysis can be found here https://github.com/
92 SchlossLab/Sze_PCRSeqEffects_XXXX_2017. The raw sequences can be found in the
93 SRA at the following accesssion number **need to upload still**.

Results

The Number of OTUs is Dependent on HiFi Taq Used: After normalization by individual, for each cycle number, we observed that for fecal samples the number of OTUs identified was dependent upon the HiFi DNA polymerase used and this difference increased as the depth of sub-sampling increased [Figure 1]. Lower cycle numbers (15-20) resulted in less differences between HiFI DNA polymerase while cycle numbers of 25, 30, and 35 had larger clearer defined differences [Figure 1]. Only 35 cycles had HiFi Taq differences that were significantly different at all sub-sampling levels (P-value < 0.0001) [Table S1]. At sub-sampling depth of 5000 or higher 25 and 30 cycles had HiFi DNA polymerase differences (P-value < 0.05) [Table S1]. Using a Tukey post-hoc test only at 35 cycles were significant differences found to be mainly driven by Platinum being different than all other Taq across sub-sampling depth (P-value < 0.05) [Table S2].

This HiFi DNA polymerase dependent difference in the number of OTUs was also observed in the mock community samples with the same DNA polymerases being high (Platinum) and low (Accuprime) respectively [Figure 2 & Table S3]. Conversely, differences between HiFi DNA polymerase were observed as early as 20 cycles and a sub-sampling depth of 1000 sequences (P-value = 0.002) [Table S3]. Using a Tukey post-hoc test differences between Platinum and the other HiFi DNA polymerases was the major driver of the differences seen at different cycle numbers and sub-sampling depths [Table S4]. Both fecal and mock samples consistently showed that across sub-sampling depth and cycle number the lowest number of OTUs identified was from Accuprime™ while the highest was from Platinum for both fecal and mock samples [Figure 1 & 2].

Minimal Bray-Curtis Differences are Detected by Cycle Number: Overall, there was very little difference between each respective 5-cycle increment (e.g. 15x vs 20x) for both fecal and mock samples and this was consistent across the different sub-samplings used

8

[Figure 3]. Two exceptions to the low differences between 5-cycle increments can be found. The first that there seems to be large differences for fecal samples between 20x vs. 25x that is robust against sub-sampling depth [Figure 3A-B]. Second, for the mocks, where data is available, there appears to be a similar large difference detected between 20x and 25x [Figure 3D]. Regardless, by the time PCR cycles reach 25x there does not seem to be large differences in the community [Figure 3].

Using PERMANOVA to test whether there were any differences within HiFi DNA polymerase groups based on cycle number, only Phusion had cycle dependent differences at 1000 and 5000 sub-sampling depth (P-value = 0.03 and 0.01, respectively). Interestingly, Phusion was one of only two DNA polymerase that managed to have samples for the 1000 sub-sampling depth at 15 cycles. Next, we assessed whether there were any major differences between 5 cycle increments within each sample. We found that there was no detectable difference in Bray-Curits index when comparing to the previous 5 cycle increment (P-value > 0.05). However, Phusion at 1000 sub-sampling depth had a P-value = 0.02 before multiple comparison correction. It should be noted that at higher sub-sampling depths these differences in Bray-Curits indicies disappear for both differences in cycle number and within 5 cycle increments within an individual.

Sequence Error is Dependent on both Taq and Cycle Number Used: Differences by HiFi Taq in the median average per base error varied without a clear pattern across sub-sampling depth [Table S5]. Generally, the highest values were for the Kappa HiFI DNA polymerase [Figure 4A]. Sub-sampling depth seems to have little effect on this rate with both 5000 and 10000 sub-sampled sequences showing similar results [Figure 4B-C]. There were small differences between the various HiFi Taq at lower cycle number but larger differences at higher cycle number with Platinum having large differences between all other HiFi DNA polymerase [Figure 4B-C and Table S6].

The total seqeunces with at least one error had multiple differences at different cycle

9

145 numbers and sub-sampling depth driven by large differences in Accuprime™ and Platinum

146 versus the other HiFi Taq tested [Figure S1 & Table S7 & S8]. Although Accuprime™

147 had the lowest per base error rate it had either the largest or second largest number of

148 sequences with at least one error regardless of cycle number or sub-sampling depth [Figure

149 S1]. Investigation of whether there were HiFi DNA polymerase dependent effects on base

150 subsitution found that there was no clear bias and this was indpendent of sub-sampling

151 depth [Figure S2-S4]. Further, the variation in subsitution error seems to reduce as the

152 sub-sampling depth increases [Figure S2-S4].

153 **Chimeric Sequences Correlate with OTUs and are HiFi Taq Dependent:** After chimera

154 removal using VSEARCH and removal of sequences that did not classify as bacteria we

155 assessed the percentage of sequences that were still chimeric within our mock community.

156 At all levels of sub-sampling and cycle number there were significant differences between

157 the HiFi DNA polymerase used (P-value < 0.05) [Table S9]. Using a Dunn's post-hoc

158 test the vast majority of these differences were driven by Platinum being different then

159 all other HiFi DNA polymerase across cycle number and sub-sampling depth [Table S10].

160 Generally, across sub-sampling depth and cycle number Accuprime™ had the lowest

161 chimera prevalence of all the HiFi DNA Polymerase regardless of whether pre.cluster or

162 VSEARCH had been used [Figure 5].

163 For all Taqs a positive correlation was observed between chimeric sequences and number

164 of OTUs, with this correlation being strongest for Accuprime, Platinum and Phusion HiFi

165 DNA Polymerase [Figure 6]. In general, the R^2 value between the number of OTUs and

166 chimeric sequences did not change from the use of pre.cluster and VSEARCH [Figure 6].

167 Taken together this data suggests that a strong correlation exists between the number of

168 OTUs and the prevalence of chimeric sequences. Kappa had the highest per base error

169 rate and the lowest correlations between the number of OTUs and chimeric sequences

170 across sub-sampling depth [Figure 4 & 6].

10

Discussion

Our observations build upon previous studies (Gohl et al., 2016) by showing that even different HiFi DNA Polymerase have significant differences in the number of OTUs and that the changes to total OTUs correlate with chimeras not removed after sequence processing [Figure 1-2 & 5]. This is important since many diversity metrics rely on the total number of OTUs as part of their calculations and changes to the total number of OTUs could drastically change the results as well as the findings. Although the attention has mostly been on standardizing and improving collection and extraction methods (Salter et al., 2014) our observations show that independent of this consideration HiFi DNA polymerase can have a noticeable affect on the OTUs generated that can be found across sub-sampling depth and PCR cycle number [Figure 2-4]. These differences were observed in high biomass samples, where biases introduced by such components like kit contamination have less of an effect, suggesting that these differences may be exacerbated in low biomass samples.

Although we did not observe strong differences, based on cycle number, using the Bray-Curtis index the data suggests that there may be differences between 15 cycles and higher cycle numbers, such as 30x, that are commonly used. What is most interesting is that there was no difference within individuals between corresponding 5 cycle increments (e.g. 15 to 20, 20 to 25, etc.). Conversely, this may be due to low power and on observation there does seem to be a trend that 20x and 25x communities are very different [Figure 3]. This finding, in conjunction with the PERMANOVA results, suggest that cycle number can change bacterial community calculations but that these differences are minimal once at least 25 cylces are reached. Increasing the sub-sampling depth, for some DNA polymerase may reduce some of these observed community differences at lower cycle numbers.

Increasing the cycle number also exacerbated chimera prevalence differences between the different HiFi DNA polymerases [Figure 5]. The chimera prevalence was very strongly

11

correlated with the number of OTUs and this value is relied upon heavily for many different downstream community metric calculations. However, Bray-Curtis analysis with PERMANOVA showed few differences based on DNA polymerase. Since it is possible that many of the increased number of OTUs, generated as cycle number increases, are not highly abundant that the Bray-Curtis index is able to successfully downweight these respective OTUs (Minchin, 1987). So, choice of downstream diversity metric could be an important consideration to mitigate these observed changes due to high chimera prevalence in HiFi DNA polymerase such as Platinum.

Our observations suggest that there are clear HiFi dependent differences in both per base error rate and chimeras that cannot be removed using bioinformatic approaches [Figure 4 & 5]. Although it may be a natural assumption that the variation may be due to the DNA polymerase family, the highest chimera rate, from Platinum, was a family A polymerase while the lowest, from Accuprime, was also an A polymerase (Ishino & Ishino, 2014). In fact, from the material safety data sheet (MSDS), it is not clear what the difference between the two different mixes really is. Both Accuprime and Platinum contain a recombinant *Taq* DNA polymerase, a *Pycrococcus* spp GB-D polymerase and a platinum *Taq* antibody. It is possible that differences in how the recombinant *Taq* was generated could be the main reason for the differences in chimera rate since all samples were also sequenced at the same time as well as amplified using the same machine.

Conclusion

Our findings show that measures that rely on number of OTUs will be specific for a particular study and may not be easily generalized to other studies that may be studying a similar area. Care should be taken when choosing a HiFi DNA polymerase for 16S rRNA gene surveys since intrinsic differences can change the number of OTUs observed as well as potentially influence diversity based metrics that do not down weight rare observations.

Acknowledgements

References

Benjamini Y., Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)* 57:289–300.

Cole JR., Wang Q., Fish JA., Chai B., McGarrell DM., Sun Y., Brown CT., Porras-Alfaro A., Kuske CR., Tiedje JM. 2013. Ribosomal database project: Data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research* 42:D633–D642. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkt1244.

Edgar RC., Haas BJ., Clemente JC., Quince C., Knight R. 2011. UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics* 27:2194–2200. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381.

Garnier S. 2017. *Viridis: Default color maps from 'matplotlib'.*

Gohl DM., Vangay P., Garbe J., MacLean A., Hauge A., Becker A., Gould TJ., Clayton JB., Johnson TJ., Hunter R., Knights D., Beckman KB. 2016. Systematic improvement of amplicon marker gene methods for increased accuracy in microbiome studies. *Nature Biotechnology* 34:942–949. DOI: 10.1038/nbt.3601.

Ishino S., Ishino Y. 2014. DNA polymerases as useful reagents for biotechnology â the history of developmental research in the field. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 5. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00465.

Kozich JJ., Westcott SL., Baxter NT., Highlander SK., Schloss PD. 2013. Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq illumina sequencing platform. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*

249 79:5112–5120. DOI: 10.1128/aem.01043-13.

250 Minchin PR. 1987. An evaluation of the relative robustness of techniques for ecological

251 ordination. *Vegetatio* 69:89–107. DOI: 10.1007/bf00038690.

252 Oksanen J., Blanchet FG., Friendly M., Kindt R., Legendre P., McGlinn D., Minchin PR.,

253 O'Hara RB., Simpson GL., Solymos P., Stevens MHH., Szoecs E., Wagner H. 2017. *Vegan:*

254 *Community ecology package*.

255 R Core Team. 2017. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna,

256 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

257 Rognes T., Flouri T., Nichols B., Quince C., Mahé F. 2016. VSEARCH: A versatile open

258 source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* 4:e2584. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.2584.

259 Salter SJ., Cox MJ., Turek EM., Calus ST., Cookson WO., Moffatt MF., Turner P.,

260 Parkhill J., Loman NJ., Walker AW. 2014. Reagent and laboratory contamination

261 can critically impact sequence-based microbiome analyses. *BMC Biology* 12. DOI:

262 10.1186/s12915-014-0087-z.

263 Schloss PD., Westcott SL., Ryabin T., Hall JR., Hartmann M., Hollister EB., Lesniewski

264 RA., Oakley BB., Parks DH., Robinson CJ., Sahl JW., Stres B., Thallinger GG., Horn

265 DJV., Weber CF. 2009. Introducing mothur: Open-source, platform-independent,

266 community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities.

267 *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 75:7537–7541. DOI: 10.1128/aem.01541-09.

268 Seekatz AM., Rao K., Santhosh K., Young VB. 2016. Dynamics of the fecal microbiome in

269 patients with recurrent and nonrecurrent clostridium difficile infection. *Genome Medicine* 8.

270 DOI: 10.1186/s13073-016-0298-8.

271 Westcott SL., Schloss PD. 2017. OptiClust, an improved method for assigning

272 amplicon-based sequence data to operational taxonomic units. *mSphere* 2:e00073–17.

273 DOI: 10.1128/mspheredirect.00073-17.

274 Wickham H. 2017. *Tidyverse: Easily install and load 'tidyverse' packages.*

Figure 1: Normalized Fecal Number of OTUs. A) Sub-sampled to 1000 reads. B) Sub-sampled to 5000 reads. C) Sub-sampled to 10000 reads. D) Sub-sampled to 15000 reads. The dotted line represents no change from the mean number of OTUs within that specific individual.

Figure 2: Mock Sample Variability in Number of OTUs based on HiFi DNA Polymerase. A) Sub-sampled to 1000 reads. B) Sub-sampled to 5000 reads. C) Sub-sampled to 10000 reads. The dotted line represents the number of OTUs genereated when the mock reference sequences are run through the pipeline.

Figure 3: Five Cycle Interval Community Differences. A) Fecal samples sub-sampled to 1000 reads. B) Fecal samples sub-sampled to 5000 reads. C) Fecal samples sub-sampled to 10000 reads. D) Mock samples sub-sampled to 1000 reads. E) Mock samples sub-sampled to 5000 reads. F) Mock samples sub-sampled to 10000 reads. The solid black lines represent the median Bray-Curtis index difference within sample for each 5 cycle interval.

Figure 4: HiFi DNA Polymerase Per Base Error Rate in Mock Samples. A) Error rate before the merger of sequences with pre.cluster and the removal of chimeras with VSEARCH. B) Error rate before the removal of chimeras with VSEARCH. C) Full pipeline. The error bars represent the 75% interquartile range of the median.

Figure 5: HiFi DNA Polymerase Chimera Prevalence in Mock Samples. A) Chimera sequence percentage before the merger of sequences with pre.cluster and the removal of chimeras with VSEARCH. B) Chimera sequence percentage before the removal of chimeras with VSEARCH. C) Full pipeline. The error bars represent the 75% interquartile range of the median.

Figure 6: The Correlation between Number of OTUs and Chimeras. A) Correlation before the merger of sequences with pre.cluster and the removal of chimeras with

18

300 VSEARCH. B) Correlation before the removal of chimeras with VSEARCH. C) Correlation

301 with full pipeline.

₃₀₂ **Figure S1: HiFi DNA Polymerase Sequence Error Prevalence in Mock Samples.** A)

₃₀₃ Sequence error prevalence before the merger of sequences with pre.cluster and the

₃₀₄ removal of chimeras with VSEARCH. B) Sequence error prevalence before the removal of

₃₀₅ chimeras with VSEARCH. C) Full pipeline. The error bars represent the 75% interquartile

₃₀₆ range of the median.

₃₀₇ **Figure S2: HiFi DNA Polymerase Nucleotide Subsitutions in Mock Samples.**