Calculating Chance-corrected Agreement Coefficients (CAC)

Kilem L. Gwet, Ph.D. 2019-08-25

library(irrCAC)

Abstract

The **irrCAC** is an R package that provides several functions for calculating various chance-corrected agreement coefficients. This package closely follows the general framework of inter-rater reliability assessment presented by Gwet (2014). A similar package was developed for STATA users by Klein (2018).

The functions included in this package can handle 3 types of input data: (1) the contingency table, (2) the distribution of raters by subject and by category, (3) the raw data, which is essentially a plain dataset where each row represents a subject and each column, the ratings associated with one rater. The list of all datasets containined in this package can be listed as follows:

```
data(package="irrCAC")
```

Computing Agreement Coefficients

Computing agreement Coefficients from Contingency tables

cont3x3abstractors is one of 2 datasets included in this package and that contain rating data from 2 raters organized in the form of a contingency table. The following r script shows how to compute Cohen's kappa, Scott's Pi, Gwet's AC₁, Brennan-Prediger, Krippendorff's alpha, and the percent agreement coefficients from this dataset.

```
cont3x3abstractors
          Ectopic AIU NIU
#>
#> Ectopic
               13
                     0
#> AIU
                   20
                         7
#> NIU
                 0
                    4 56
 kappa2.table(cont3x3abstractors)
        coeff.name coeff.val
                               coeff.se
                                            coeff.ci coeff.pval
#> 1 Cohen's Kappa 0.7964094 0.05891072 (0.68,0.913)
 scott2.table(cont3x3abstractors)
    coeff.name coeff.val
                           coeff.se
                                          coeff.ci coeff.pval
#> 1 Scott's Pi 0.7962397 0.05905473 (0.679,0.913)
 gwet.ac1.table(cont3x3abstractors)
   coeff.name coeff.val
                            coeff.se
                                          coeff.ci coeff.pval
#> 1 Gwet's AC1 0.8493305 0.04321747 (0.764,0.935)
 bp2.table(cont3x3abstractors)
#>
           coeff.name coeff.val coeff.se
                                                coeff.ci coeff.pval
#> 1 Brennan-Prediger
                          0.835 0.04693346 (0.742,0.928)
 krippen2.table(cont3x3abstractors)
```

Suppose that you only want to obtain Gwet's AC_1 coefficient, but don't care about the associated precision measures such as the standard error, confidence intervals or p-values. You can accomplish this as follows:

```
ac1 <- gwet.ac1.table(cont3x3abstractors)$coeff.val</pre>
```

Then use the variable ac1 to obtain $AC_1 = 0.849$.

Another contingency table included in this package is named **cont3x3abstractors**. You may use it to experiment with the r functions listed above.

Computing agreement coefficients from the distribution of raters by subject & category

Included in this package is a small dataset named **distrib.6raters**, which contains the distribution of 6 raters by subject and category. Each row represents a subject (i.e. a psychiatric patient) and the number of raters (i.e. psychiatrists) who classified it into each category used in the inter-rater reliability study. Here is the dataset and how it can be used to compute the various agreement coefficients:

```
distrib.6raters
#>
      Depression Personality. Disorder Schizophrenia Neurosis Other
                0
#> 1
                                      0
                                                     0
                                                              6
                0
                                                     0
                                      3
                                                              0
                                                                     3
#> 2
#> 3
                0
                                      1
                                                              0
                                                                     1
                                                     4
#> 4
                0
                                      0
                                                     0
                                                              0
                                                     0
#> 5
                0
                                      3
                                                              3
                                                                     0
                2
                                      0
                                                     4
#> 6
#> 7
                0
                                      0
                                                              0
                                                                     2
                                                     4
#> 8
                2
                                      0
                                                     3
                                                              1
                                                                     0
#> 9
                2
                                      0
                                                     0
                                                                     0
#> 10
                0
                                      0
                                                     0
#> 11
                                                     0
                                                              5
                1
                                      0
                                                                     0
#> 12
                                      1
                                                     0
                0
                                      3
                                                     3
                                                              0
                                                                     0
#> 13
#> 14
                1
                                      0
                                                     0
                                                              5
                                                                     0
                                                     0
                0
                                      2
                                                              3
#> 15
                                                                     1
gwet.ac1.dist(distrib.6raters)
                                            conf.int
#> coeff.name
                     coeff
                               stderr
                                                           p.value
#> 1 Gwet's AC1 0.4448007 0.08418757 (0.264,0.625) 0.0001155927 0.5511111
#>
#> 1 0.1914815
fleiss.kappa.dist(distrib.6raters)
                                                             p.value
        coeff.name
                        coeff
                                  stderr
                                              conf.int
#> 1 Fleiss' Kappa 0.4139265 0.08119291 (0.24,0.588) 0.0001622724 0.5511111
#>
#> 1 0.2340741
krippen.alpha.dist(distrib.6raters)
                                          stderr
                coeff.name
                               coeff
                                                       conf.int
#> 1 Krippendorff's Alpha 0.4204384 0.08243228 (0.244,0.597) 0.0001615721
```

```
#> pa pe
#> 1 0.5560988 0.2340741
bp.coeff.dist(distrib.6raters)
#> coeff.name coeff stderr conf.int p.value pa
#> 1 Brennan-Prediger 0.4388889 0.08312142 (0.261,0.617) 0.0001163 0.5511111
#> pe
#> 1 0.2
```

Once again, you can request a single value from these functions. To get only Krippendorff's alpha coefficient without it's precission measures, you may proceed as follows:

```
alpha <- krippen.alpha.dist(distrib.6raters)$coeff
```

The newly-created alpha variable gives the coefficient $\alpha = 0.4204384$.

Two additional datasets that represent ratings in the form of a distribution of raters by subject and by category, are included in this package. These datasets are **cac.dist4cat** and **cac.dist4cat**. Note that these 2 datasets contain more columns than needed to run the 4 functions presented in this section. Therefore, the columns associated with the response categories must be extracted from the original datasets before running the functions. For example, computing Gwet's AC₁ coefficient using the **cac.dist4cat** dataset should be done as follows:

```
ac1 <- gwet.ac1.dist(cac.dist4cat[,2:4])$coeff</pre>
```

Note that the input dataset supplied to the gwet.ac1.dist function is cac.dist4cat[,2:4]. That is, only columns 2, 3, and 4 are extracted from the original datset and used as input data. We know from the value of the newly created variable ac1 that $AC_1 = 0.3518903$.

Computing agreement coefficients from raw ratings

One example dataset of raw ratings included in this package is cac.raw4raters and looks like this:

```
cac.raw4raters
#>
      Rater1 Rater2 Rater3 Rater4
#> 1
            1
                    1
                           NA
                                     1
            2
#> 2
                    2
                            3
                                     2
#> 3
            3
                    3
                            3
                                     3
#> 4
            3
                    3
                            3
                                     3
            2
#> 5
                    2
                             2
                                     2
                    2
                             3
#> 6
            1
                                     4
#> 7
            4
                     4
                             4
                                     4
#> 8
            1
                             2
                                     1
                    1
            2
                    2
                             2
                                     2
#> 9
#> 10
           NA
                    5
                             5
                                     5
                             1
                                     1
#> 11
           NA
                   NA
#> 12
           NA
                   NA
                             3
                                    NA
```

As you can see, a dataset of raw ratings is merely a listing of ratings that the raters assigned to the subjects. Each row is associated with a single subject. Typically, the same subject would be rated by all or some of the raters. The dataset **cac.raw4raters** contains some missing ratings represented by the symbol NA, suggesting that some raters did not rate all subjects. As a matter of fact, in this particular case, no rater rated all subjects.

Here is you can compute the various agreement coefficients using the raw ratings:

```
pa.coeff.raw(cac.raw4raters)
#> $est
```

```
#> coeff.name pa pe coeff.val coeff.se conf.int p.value
#> 1 Percent Agreement 0.8181818 0 0.8181818 0.12561 (0.542,1) 4.345373e-05
#> w.name
#> 1 unweighted
#>
#> $weights
#> [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]
#> [1,] 1 0 0 0 0
#> [2,] 0 1 0 0 0
#> [3,] 0
          0
             1 0 0
#> [4,] 0 0 0 1 0
#> [5,] 0 0 0 0 1
#>
#> $categories
#> [1] 1 2 3 4 5
gwet.ac1.raw(cac.raw4raters)
#> $est
\# coeff.name pa pe coeff.val coeff.se conf.int p.value
#> w.name
#> 1 unweighted
#>
#> $weights
#> [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]
#> [1,] 1 0 0 0 0
#> [2,] 0
          1 0 0 0
#> [3,] 0 0 1 0 0
#> [4,] 0 0 0 1 0
#> [5,] 0
          0 0 0 1
#>
#> $categories
#> [1] 1 2 3 4 5
fleiss.kappa.raw(cac.raw4raters)
#> $est
#> coeff.name pa pe coeff.val coeff.se conf.int
#> 1 Fleiss' Kappa 0.8181818 0.2387153 0.76117 0.15302 (0.424,1)
#> p.value
               w.name
#> 1 0.000419173 unweighted
#>
#> $weights
#> [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]
#> [1,] 1 0 0 0 0
#> [2,] 0
          1 0 0 0
      0
#> [3,]
          0 1 0 0
      0 0 0 1 0
#> [4,]
#> [5,] 0 0 0 1
#>
#> $categories
#> [1] 1 2 3 4 5
krippen.alpha.raw(cac.raw4raters)
#> $est
           coeff.name pa pe coeff.val coeff.se conf.int
#> 1 Krippendorff's Alpha 0.805 0.24 0.74342 0.14557 (0.419,1)
```

```
#> p.value w.name
#> 1 0.0004594257 unweighted
#>
#> $weights
#> [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]
#> [1,]
      1 0 0 0
#> [2,]
       0
            1
               0
                   0
#> [3,] 0 0 1 0 0
#> [4,] 0 0 0 1 0
#> [5,] 0 0 0 1
#>
#> $categories
#> [1] 1 2 3 4 5
conger.kappa.raw(cac.raw4raters)
#> $est
      coeff.name pa pe coeff.val coeff.se conf.int
#>
#> 1 Conger's Kappa 0.8181818 0.2334252 0.76282 0.14917 (0.435,1)
#> p.value w.name
#> 1 0.0003367066 unweighted
#>
#> $weights
#> [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]
#> [1,] 1 0 0 0 0
#> [2,] 0 1 0 0 0
      0
          0
              1 0 0
#> [3,]
#> [4,] 0 0 0 1 0
#> [5,] 0
          0 0 0 1
#>
#> $categories
#> [1] 1 2 3 4 5
bp.coeff.raw(cac.raw4raters)
#> $est
        coeff.name pa pe coeff.val coeff.se conf.int p.value
#> 1 Brennan-Prediger 0.8181818 0.2 0.77273 0.14472 (0.454,1) 0.0002375609
#> w.name
#> 1 unweighted
#>
#> $weights
#> [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]
#> [1,] 1 0 0 0 0
#> [2,] 0
          1
              0 0 0
#> [3,] 0 0 1 0 0
#> [4,]
      0 0 0 1 0
      0
          0 0 0
#> [5,]
#>
#> $categories
#> [1] 1 2 3 4 5
```

Most users of this package will only be interessted in the agreement coefficients and possibly in the related statistics such as the standard error and p-values. In this case, you should run these functions as follows $(AC_1 \text{ is used here as an example. Feel free to experiment with the other coefficients):}$

```
ac1 <- gwet.ac1.raw(cac.raw4raters)$est
ac1</pre>
```

```
#> coeff.name pa pe coeff.val coeff.se conf.int p.value
#> 1     AC1 0.8181818 0.1903212 0.77544 0.14295 (0.461,1) 0.000208721
#> w.name
#> 1 unweighted
```

You can even request only the AC_1 coefficient estimate 0.77544. You will then proceed as follows:

```
ac1 <- gwet.ac1.raw(cac.raw4raters)$est
ac1$coeff.val
#> [1] 0.77544
```

References:

- 1. Gwet, K.L. (2014) Handbook of Inter-Rater Reliability, 4th Edition. Advanced Analytics, LLC.
- 2. Klein, D. (2018) "Implementing a general framework for assessing interrater agreement in Stata," *The Stata Journal*, **18**, 871-901.