## **Task 3.9**

# Step 1

#### Step 1.1

```
Query Query History
   WITH total_amount_cte (customer_id, first_name, last_name, country, city, total_amount) AS
    (SELECT a.customer_id,
 3
         a.first name.
         a.last_name.
 4
 5
         d.country,
 6
         c.city,
         SUM (e.amount) AS total_amount
 8
   FROM customer A
   INNER JOIN address B ON A.address_id = B.address_id
10 INNER JOIN city C ON B.city_id = C.city_id
11  INNER JOIN country D ON C.country_id = D.country_id
12 INNER JOIN payment E ON A.customer_id = E.customer_id
13 WHERE c.city IN ('Aurora','Tokat','Tarsus','Atlixco','Emeishan','Pontianak','Shimoga','Aparecida de Goinia',
14 GROUP BY a.customer_id,
15
             first_name,
16
             last_name,
             country,
17
18
             city
19 ORDER BY total_amount desc
20 LIMIT 5)
21 SELECT AVG (total_amount) AS average
22 FROM total_amount_cte
          average
```



### Step 1.2

```
Query Query History
1 WITH customer_full_info (customer_id, first_name, last_name, address, city_id, city, countr
 2 (SELECT a.customer_id, a.first_name, a.last_name, b.address, b.city_id, c.city, d.country
 3 FROM customer A
 4 INNER JOIN address B ON a.address_id = b.address_id
 5 INNER JOIN city C ON b.city_id = c.city_id
 6 INNER JOIN country D ON c.country_id = d.country_id),
    top_5_customers (customer_id, first_name, last_name, country, city, total_amount) AS
 8
   (SELECT a.customer_id,
           a.first_name,
 9
10
           a.last_name,
11
           d.country,
12
           c.city,
13
           sum (e.amount) as total amount
14 FROM customer A
15 INNER JOIN address B ON A.address_id = B.address_id
16
   INNER JOIN city C ON B.city_id = C.city_id
17 INNER JOIN country D ON C.country_ID = D.country_ID
   INNER JOIN payment E ON A.customer_id = E.customer_id
18
    WHERE c.city IN ('Aurora','Tokat','Tarsus','Atlixco','Emeishan','Pontianak','Shimoga','Apar
19
20
    GROUP BY a.customer_id,
21
              first_name,
22
             last_name,
23
             country,
24
             citv
25
   ORDER BY total_amount desc
26
    limit 5 )
27
    SELECT a.country,
           COUNT(DISTINCT b.customer_id) AS top_5_customer_count,
28
           {\bf COUNT}({\bf DISTINCT~a.customer\_id})~{\bf AS~all\_customer\_count}
29
30 FROM customer_full_info a
```

```
COUNT(DISTINCT a.customer_id) AS all_customer_count
FROM customer_full_info a
LEFT JOIN top_5_customers b ON a.customer_id = b.customer_id
GROUP BY a.country
ORDER BY top_5_customer_count desc
LIMIT 5
```

	country character varying (50) <b>•</b>	top_5_customer_count bigint	all_customer_count bigint
1	Mexico	1	30
2	Turkey	1	15
3	China	1	53
4	Indonesia	1	14
5	United States	1	36

In step 1 what I did to transform the subquery into a common table expression was taking the whole subquery that I created in the last task and getting rid of the outer query and change it with the with statement to create the cte and then added what was the outer main query to the end to get the same result by consulting the cte instead of using the subquery, and on step 2 I had to do pretty much the same but dividing the subqueries in 2 different cte that I joined at the end while making my main query.

## Step 2

## Step 1 subquery

## Messages

Successfully run. Total query runtime: 109 msec. 22 rows affected.

### Step 1 cte

#### Messages

Successfully run. Total query runtime: 54 msec. 22 rows affected.

### Step 2 subquery

#### Messages

Successfully run. Total query runtime: 67 msec. 46 rows affected.

### Step 2 cte

#### Messages

Successfully run. Total query runtime: 54 msec. 45 rows affected.

In the first step there is a big difference between the query runtime of the subquery and the cte, in this case the cte is faster an more efficient to process, but in the second step the subquery and the cte doesn't have a big difference between them but still the subquery is less efficient than the cte, it was not surprising that the cte was faster than the subquery because in some cases cte are the way to go because they are usually more efficient to use in constant changing tables.

# Step 3

Some of the challenges that I faced when transforming the subqueries to cte is that when typing a cte we have to take into consideration that we are creating a temporary table in which our main query is going to look for the information like in any other table, and when we are using subqueries we assign the subquery in a specific spot and it can be used just one time unless we retype it, because of this reason, cte are more useful when we have to get the information of a special table multiple times.