Introduction

An important problem in mathematical physics is the solution of the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with distributional potential, which is formally defined by the operation

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \rho \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{x_i} \tag{1.1}$$

on the whole of \mathbb{R} , where δ denotes the Dirac delta distribution and x_i are periodically distributed points on \mathbb{R} . Ω_k will hereafter identify the periodicity cell containing delta point x_k and let w.o.l.g. $x_0 = 0$ and $|\Omega_i| = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Henceforth, consider for a $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the problem

$$\int u'\overline{v'} + \rho \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} u(x_i)\overline{v(x_i)} - \mu \int u\overline{v} = \int f\overline{v} \quad \forall v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}),$$
 (1.2)

where $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a function modelling an external force.

¹Obviously, here is going to be much more but for starters this should suffice

²removed here 'small enough', its at this point just too far fetched. Have to implement it at a later point

The left-hand side of problem (1.2) is actually convergent as for arbitrary $\tilde{x}_i \in \Omega_i$

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |u(x_i)|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(|u(\tilde{x}_i) + \int_{\tilde{x}_i}^{x_i} u'(\tau) d\tau | \right)^2
\leq 2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\Omega_i} |u(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_i} |u'(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right)
\leq 2 \cdot ||u||_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$
(1.3)

The Operator

As we can interpret the left-hand side of (1.2) as a bounded bilinear mapping $B \colon H^1(\mathbb{R}) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$, Lax Milgram's Theorem asserts the existence of a unique element $u \in H^1$ satisfying

$$B[u,v] = \langle f, v \rangle$$

if there exist constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that

$$|B[u,v]| \le \alpha ||u|| ||v|| \quad (u,v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}))$$

and

$$\beta ||u||^2 \le B[u, u] \quad (u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})).$$

Taking these two condition under examination, (1.3) yields for the norm of B[u, v] both.

Theorem 2.1. The bilinear form B[u,v] as left-hand of (1.2) has for all $u,v \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ the properties

- i) B[u,v] is bounded.
- ii) B[u, u] is coercive.

Proof:

i) The boundedness follows from

$$|B(u,\varphi)|^{2} \leq ||u'|| \cdot ||v'|| + 2\rho \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |u(x_{i})|^{2} |v(x_{i})|^{2} - \mu ||u|| \cdot ||v||$$

$$\leq ||u'|| \cdot ||v'|| + 8\rho \cdot ||u||_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} ||v||_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R})}^{2} - \mu ||u|| \cdot ||v||$$

$$= (8\rho - \mu)||u|| \cdot ||v|| + 8\rho (||u|| \cdot ||v'|| + ||u'|| \cdot ||v||) + (8\rho + 1)||u'|| \cdot ||v'||$$

$$\leq \alpha \cdot ||u||_{H^{1}} \cdot ||\varphi||_{H^{1}}$$

ii) For the coercivity assume first $\rho \geq 0$. For $\mu < -1$:

$$B(u, u) = \langle u', u' \rangle + \rho \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} u(x_i)^2 - \mu \langle u, u \rangle$$
$$\geq \langle u', u' \rangle - \mu \langle u, u \rangle \geq \langle u', u' \rangle + \langle u, u \rangle$$
$$= ||u||_{H^1}^2.$$

For $\rho < 0$ there exists a $\mu \in (-\infty, 2\rho)$ such that

$$B(u, u) = \langle u', u' \rangle + \rho \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |u(x_i)|^2 - \mu \langle u, u \rangle$$

$$= \langle u', u' \rangle + \rho \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |u(\tilde{x}_i)|^2 + \int_{\tilde{x}_i}^{x_i} u(x) dx |^2 - \mu \langle u, u \rangle$$

$$\geq \langle u', u' \rangle + 2\rho \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u'(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right) - \mu \langle u, u \rangle$$

$$= (2\rho + 1) ||u'||^2 + (2\rho - \mu) ||u||^2$$

$$\geq \beta ||u||_{H^1}^2,$$

where $u \in H$ is the unique solution to the problem (1.2). Thus, the resolvent $R_{\mu} \colon L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \to H^{1}(\mathbb{R}), f \mapsto u$ is well-defined; obviously the mapping is one-to-one since for $u_{1} = u_{2}$

$$0 = B[u_1, v] - B[u_2, v] = \int (f_1 - f_2)\overline{v} \quad \forall v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$
 (2.1)

As H^1 is dense in L^2 this means that the equation (2.1) holds also for all $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and therefore $f_1 = f_2$ almost everywhere. Accordingly R_{μ} is bijective and we can define the Schrödinger operator as follows

$$A := R_{\mu}^{-1} + \mu I$$

from which $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{R}(R_{\mu})$ follows.

The Domain

¹For every fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ choosing a test function $v \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with supp $v = \Omega_k$ in (1.2) yields

$$\int_{x_{k}-1/2}^{x_{k}} u'(x) \overline{v'(x)} dx = \int_{x_{k}-1/2}^{x_{k}} Au \overline{v} \iff \int_{x_{k}-1/2}^{x_{k}} u(x) \overline{v''(x)} dx = \int_{x_{k}-1/2}^{x_{k}} -Au \overline{v},$$

such that $Au = -u'' \in L^2$ on $(x_k - 1/2, x_k)$ and analogous on $(x_k, x_k + 1/2)$. As $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ was arbitrary $\mathcal{D}(A) \subset \left\{ u \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(H^2(x_i - 1/2, x_i) \cap H^2(x_i, x_i + 1/2) \right) \right\}$.

Next, again for an arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ a test function $v \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with supp $v = \Omega_k$ and integration by parts on both sides of x_k in (1.2) yields

$$-\left(\int_{x_k-1/2}^{x_k}+\int_{x_k}^{x_k+1/2}\right)u''\cdot\overline{v}+\left(u'(x_k-0)\overline{v(x_k)}-u'(x_k+0)\overline{v(x_k)}\right)$$

$$+\rho u(x_k)\overline{v(x_k)} = -\int_{x_k-1/2}^{x_k} u''\overline{v} - \int_{x_k}^{x_k+1/2} u''\overline{v}.$$

But as $v \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, this is equivalent to

$$u'(x_k - 0) - u'(x_k + 0) + \rho u(x_k) = 0$$

¹Here, is also going to be some introduction text, at least it is missing for me...

such that

$$\mathcal{D}(A) \subset \left\{ u \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H^2(x_i, x_{i+1}), u'(x_i - 0) - u'(x_i + 0) + \rho u(x_i) = 0, \ \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} =: B.$$

The action of the operator is defined by

$$Au = \begin{cases} -u'' & (x_k - \frac{1}{2}, x_k) \\ -u'' & (x_k, x_k + \frac{1}{2}), \end{cases} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

The opposite inclusion is shown, as $\mathcal{R}(R_{\mu}) = \mathcal{D}(A)$, by proving for a $u \in B$ that is also in the range of R_{μ} . More specifically, as $\mathcal{D}(R_{\mu}) = L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ define f := Au. To show $u = R_{\mu}(f - \mu u)$ consider

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u' \overline{v'} + \rho \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} u(x_i) \overline{v(x_i)} - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}} u \overline{v} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f - \mu u) \overline{v}$$

$$\iff \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\Omega_i} u' \overline{v'} + \rho u(x_i) \overline{v(x_i)} = -\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{x_i - 1/2}^{x_i} u'' \overline{v} + \int_{x_i}^{x_i + 1/2} u'' \overline{v}.$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ partial integration with a v having supp $v = (x_k - 1/2, x_k + 1/2)$ yields

$$\left(\int_{x_k - 1/2}^{x_k} + \int_{x_k}^{x_k + 1/2} \right) u' \overline{v'} - u'(x_k - 0) \overline{v(x_k)} + u'(x_k + 0) \overline{v(x_k)} = \int_{\Omega_k} u' \overline{v'} + \rho u(x_k) \overline{v(x_k)}$$

$$\iff u'(x_k + 0) - u'(x_k - 0) - \rho u(x_k) = 0$$

such that

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : u \in \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} H^2(x_j, x_{j+1}), u'(x_j - 0) - u'(x_j + 0) + \rho \cdot u(x_j) = 0 \ \forall j \right\}.$$

Furthermore, A is self-adjoint which will be important later.²

²Here has to be some more explanatory text but currently...

Theorem 3.1. A is a self-adjoint operator

Proof: First, focus on $R_{\mu}(A)^{-1} = (A - \mu I)$ which is a symmetric operator as for all $v \in H^1$:

$$\begin{split} \langle R_{\mu}^{-1}u,v\rangle &= \langle (A-\mu I)u,v\rangle \\ &= \int (A-\mu I)(u)\overline{v}dx \\ &= \int u'\overline{v'} - \lambda \int u\overline{v} + \rho \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} u(x_i)\overline{v(x_i)} \\ &= \langle u, (A-\mu I)v\rangle = \langle u, R_{\mu}^{-1}v\rangle. \end{split}$$

Now as $\mathcal{D}(R_{\mu}) = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{R}(R_{\mu}) = \mathcal{D}(R_{\mu}^{-1})$ for each $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ it follows

$$\langle R_{\mu}f, g \rangle = \langle R_{\mu}f, R_{\mu}^{-1}R_{\mu}g \rangle = \langle f, R_{\mu}g \rangle$$

such that R_{μ} is also symmetric. Using both symmetries for an arbitrary $v^* \in \mathcal{D}(R_{\mu}^{-1})$ for which a $v \in \mathcal{R}(R_{\mu}^{-1}) = \mathcal{D}(R_{\mu})$ exists such that

$$\langle u, v^* \rangle = \langle R_{\mu}^{-1} R_{\mu} u, v^* \rangle = \langle R_{\mu} u, v \rangle = \langle u, R_{\mu} v \rangle.$$

Which means $v^* \in \mathcal{R}(R_{\mu})$ and therefore is R_{μ}^{-1} self-adjoint. As A is simply R_{μ}^{-1} shifted by the real constant μ , A is self-adjoint as well.

Fundamental domain of periodicity and the Brillouin zone

Let Ω be the fundamental domain of periodicity associated with (1.1), for simplicity let $\Omega = \Omega_0$ and thus $x_0 = 0$ being the delta-point contained in Ω . As commonly used by literature the reciprocal lattice for Ω is equal to $[-\pi, \pi]$, the so called one-dimensional Brillouin zone B. For fixed $k \in \overline{B}$, consider now the operator A_k on Ω formally defined by the operation

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \rho \delta_{x_0}.$$

More precisely, define A_k as follows: let us consider the problem to find for $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ a $u \in H^1_k$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u' \overline{v'} + \rho u(x_0) \overline{v(x_0)} - \mu \int_{\Omega} u \overline{v} = \int_{\Omega} f \overline{v} \quad \forall v \in H_k^1,$$

where

$$H_k^1 := \left\{ H^1(\Omega) : \psi(-\frac{1}{2}) = e^{ik}\psi(-\frac{1}{2}), \psi'(-\frac{1}{2}) = e^{ik}\psi'(-\frac{1}{2}) \right.$$

$$\left. \text{and } \psi'(x_0 - 0) - \psi'(x_0 + 0) + \rho\psi'(x_0) = 0 \right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Using the fact that H_k^1 is a closed subspace¹ of $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ one can apply the same arguments as above for A to show that the resolvent $R_{\mu,k}$ of A_k is well defined and analogously

$$A_k := R_{\mu,k}^{-1} + \mu.$$

As from now, consider the periodic eigenvalue problem

$$A_k \psi = \lambda \psi \text{ on } \Omega \text{ for } \psi \in H_k^1,$$
 (4.2)

In writing the boundary condition in (4.1), we understand ψ extended to the whole of \mathbb{R} . In fact, (4.1) forms boundary conditions on $\partial\Omega$, so-called semi-periodic boundary conditions. Furthermore, we know that (4.2), (4.1) is a symmetric eigenvalue problem² in $L^2(\Omega)$ and ψ from (4.2) extended to the whole of \mathbb{R} by (4.1) solves also the eigenvalue problem of A with the same eigenvalue.

Since Ω is bounded, the subsequently shown compactness can be used to verify that (4.2), (4.1) has a $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -orthonormal and complete system $(\psi_s(\cdot, k))_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$ of eigenfunctions in $H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ with corresponding eigenvalues satisfying

$$\lambda_1(k) \le \lambda_2(k) \le \ldots \le \lambda_s(k) \to \infty \text{ as } s \to \infty.$$

The eigenfunctions $\psi_s(\cdot, k)$ are called Bloch waves. They can be chosen such that they depend on k in a measurable way (see [M. Reed and B. Simon. Methods of modern mathematical physics I–IV]).

Theorem 4.1. The operator $R_{\mu,k}$ is compact.

Proof: For each bounded sequence $(f_j)_{j\geq 1}\in L^2(\Omega)$ there exist $(u_j)_{j\geq 1}\in H^1_k$ such that

$$u_j = R_{\mu,k} f_j \quad \forall j \ge 1$$

¹I think I will explain this also in more detail

²Evans page 645

and each u_j for $j \geq 1$ has to satisfy

$$\int_{\Omega} u_j' \overline{v'} + \rho u_j(x_0) \overline{v(x_0)} - \mu \int_{\Omega} u_j \overline{v} = \int f_j \overline{v} \quad \forall v \in H_k^1.$$
 (4.3)

Now, choosing in (4.3) $v = u_j$ yields with (1.3) for μ small enough

$$||u_j||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le ||f_j||_{L^2(\Omega)} ||u_j||_{L^2(\Omega)} \le c\sqrt{vol(\Omega)}$$

Which shows that $(u_j)_{j\geq 1}$ is bounded in $H^1(\Omega)$. As $H^1(\Omega)\subset C(\Omega)$ it holds

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le c|x - y|^{1/2} \text{ for some } c > 0.$$
 (4.4)

From (4.4) follows for a $f \in B_{H^1} := \{ f \in H^1_k(\Omega) : ||f|| \le 1 \}$ that

$$|f(x)|^2 \le 2||f||_{L^2}^2 + 2 \le 4 \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Now, given an $\epsilon > 0$ we partitioning Ω into n_{ϵ} equidistant intervals and define a simple function $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that on each subinterval the constant value of the function c_k holds $|f(\frac{k}{n}) - c_{k+1}| < \frac{1}{n}$ which leads to

$$||f - g||_{L^{2}}^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} |f(x) - c_{k+1}|^{2} dx$$

$$= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} |f(x) - f(\frac{k}{n})|^{2} dx + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} |f(\frac{k}{n}) - c_{k+1}|^{2} dx$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{n=0}^{n-1} \frac{c}{n^{2}} + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n^{3}} = \frac{2}{n} \left(c + \frac{1}{n} \right) < \epsilon^{2} \text{ for } n \text{ small enough.}$$

There is only a finite number of simple functions as... 3 , this means for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite set of simple functions $\{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$ such that for all $f \in B_{H_k^1}$ there is a $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $||f - g_k|| \le \epsilon$. Together with the closure of H_k^1 this yields the compact embedding of H_k^1 in $L^2(\Omega)$ and thus $R_{\mu,k}$ is compact.

 $^{^{3}}$ todo

Now, we want to transform the eigenvalue problem (4.2) such that the boundary condition is independent from k. Define therefore

$$\varphi_s(x,k) := e^{-ikx} \psi_s(x,k).$$

Then,

$$A_k \psi_s(x,k) = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi_s(x,k)|_{(x_0 - \frac{1}{2}, x_0)} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(x_0 - \frac{1}{2}, x_0)} + \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi_s(x,k)|_{(x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{2})} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{2})}$$

$$= e^{ikx} \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + ik\right)^2 \varphi_s(x,k)|_{(x_0 - \frac{1}{2}, x_0)} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(x_0 - \frac{1}{2}, x_0)}$$

$$+ e^{ikx} \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + ik\right)^2 \varphi_s(x,k)|_{(x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{2})} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{2})}.$$

Defining the operator $\tilde{A}_k \colon D(A_k) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ through

$$\tilde{A}_k \varphi_s(x,k) \coloneqq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + ik\right)^2 \varphi_s(x,k)|_{(x_0 - \frac{1}{2}, x_0)} & \text{for } x \in (x_0 - \frac{1}{2}, x_0) \\ \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + ik\right)^2 \varphi_s(x,k)|_{(x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{2})} & \text{for } x \in (x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{2}) \end{cases}$$

and using (4.2) and (4.1), gives

$$\varphi_s(x - \frac{1}{2}, k) = e^{-ik(x - \frac{1}{2})}\psi_s(x - \frac{1}{2}, k) = e^{-ik(x + \frac{1}{2})}\psi_s(x + \frac{1}{2}, k) = \varphi_s(x + \frac{1}{2}, k).$$

Which shows that $(\varphi_s(\cdot, k))_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal and complete system of eigenfunctions of the periodic eigenvalue problem

$$\tilde{A}_k \varphi = \lambda \varphi \text{ on } \Omega,$$
 (4.5)

$$\varphi(x - \frac{1}{2}) = \varphi(x + \frac{1}{2}). \tag{4.6}$$

with the same eigenvalue sequence $(\lambda_s(s))_{s\in\mathbb{N}}$ as in (4.2). We shall see that the spectrum of the operator A can be constructed from the eigenvalue sequences $(\lambda_s(s))_{s\in\mathbb{N}}$ by varying k over the Brillouin zone B.

An important step towards this aim is the Floquet transformation

$$(Uf)(x,k) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x-n)e^{ikn} \quad (x \in \Omega, k \in B).$$
 (4.7)

Theorem 4.2. $U: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\Omega \times B)$ is an isometric isomorphism, with inverse

$$(U^{-1}g)(x-n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \int_{B} g(x,k)e^{-ikn}dk \quad (x \in \Omega, n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$
 (4.8)

If $g(\cdot, k)$ is extended to the whole of \mathbb{R} by the semi-periodicity condition (4.1), we have

$$U^{-1}g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \int_{B} g(\cdot, k) dk. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof: For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\Omega} |f(x-n)|^2 dx. \tag{4.10}$$

Here, we can exchange summation and integration by Beppo Levi's Theorem. Therefore,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x-n)|^2 < \infty \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Thus, (Uf)(x,k) is well-defined by (4.7) (as a Fourier series with variable k) for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, and Parseval's equality gives, for these x,

$$\int_{B} |(Uf)(x,k)|^{2} dk = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x-n)|^{2}.$$

By (4.10), this expression is in $L^2(\Omega)$, and

$$||Uf||_{L^2(\Omega \times B)} = ||f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

We are left to show that U is onto, and that U^{-1} is given by (4.8) or (4.9). Let

 $g \in L^2(\Omega \times B)$, and define

$$f(x-n) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \int_{B} g(x,k)e^{-ikn}dk \quad (x \in \Omega, n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$
 (4.11)

For fixed $x \in \Omega$, Parseval's Theorem gives

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x-n)|^2 = \int_B |g(x,k)|^2 dk,$$

whence, by integration over Ω ,

$$\int_{\Omega \times B} |g(x,k)|^2 dx dk = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x-n)|^2 dx$$
 (4.12)

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\Omega} |f(x-n)|^2 dx \tag{4.13}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx, \tag{4.14}$$

i.e. $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Now (4.7) gives, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$,

$$f(x-n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \int_{B} (Uf)(x,k)e^{-ikn}dk \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

whence (4.11) implies Uf = g and (4.8). Now (4.9) follows from (4.8) using $g(x + n, k) = e^{ikn}g(x, k)$.

Completeness of the Bloch waves

Using the Floquet transformation U, we are now able to prove a completeness property of the Bloch waves $\psi_s(\cdot, k)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ when we vary k over the Brillouin zone B.

Theorem 5.1. For each $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$f_l(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^l \int_B \langle (Uf)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_s(x, K) dk \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$
 (5.1)

Then, $f_l \to f$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ as $l \to \infty$.

Proof: Sine $Uf \in L^2(\Omega \times B)$, we have $(Uf)(\cdot, k) \in L^2(\Omega)$ for a.e. $k \in B$ by Fubini's Theorem. Since $(\psi_s(\cdot, k))_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$ is orthonormal and complete in $L^2(\Omega)$ for each $k \in B$, we obtain

$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \|(Uf)(\cdot,k) - g_l(\cdot,k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0 \text{ for a.e. } k \in B$$

where

$$g_l(x,k) := \sum_{s=1}^l \langle (Uf)(\cdot,k), \psi_s(\cdot,k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_s(x,k).$$
 (5.2)

Thus, for $\chi(k) := \|(Uf)(\cdot, k) - g_l(\cdot, k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$, we get

$$\chi_l(k) \to 0$$
 as $l \to \infty$ for a.e. $k \in B$,

and moreover, by Bessel's inequality,

$$\chi_l(k) \leq \|(Uf)(\cdot,k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$
 for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and a.e. $k \in B$

and $\|(Uf)(\cdot,k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ is in $L^1(B)$ as a function of k by Theorem 4.2. Altogether, Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence theorem implies

$$\int_{B} \chi_l(k) dk \to 0 \text{ as } l \to \infty,$$

i.e.,

$$||Uf - g_l||_{L^2(\Omega \times B)} \to 0 \text{ as } l \to \infty$$
 (5.3)

Using (5.1), (5.2) and (4.9), we find that $f_l = U^{-1}g_l$, whence (5.3) gives

$$||U(f-f_l)||_{L^2(\Omega\times B)}\to 0$$
 as $l\to\infty$,

and the assertion follows since $U \colon L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\Omega \times B)$ is isometric by Lemma (4.2).

The spectrum of A

In this section, we will prove the main result stating that

$$\sigma(A) = \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} I_s \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$I_s := \{\lambda_s(k) : k \in \overline{B}\} \quad (s \in \mathbb{N})$$

For each $s \in \mathbb{N}$, λ_s is a continuous function of $k \in \overline{B}$, which follows by standard arguments from the fact that the coefficients in the eigenvalue problem (4.5), (4.6) depend continuously on k. Thus, since B is compact and connected,

$$I_s$$
 is a compact real interval, for each $s \in \mathbb{N}$. (6.2)

Moreover, Poincare's min-max principle for eigenvalues implies that

$$\mu_s \leq \lambda_s(k)$$
 for all $s \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \overline{B}$

with $(\mu_s)_{s\in\mathbb{N}}$ denoting the sequence of eigenvalues of problem (4.2) with Neumann ("free") boundary conditions. Since $\mu_s \to \infty$ as $s \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\min I_s \to \infty \text{ as } s \to \infty,$$

which together with (6.2) implies that

$$\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} I_s \text{ is close.} \tag{6.3}$$

The first part of the statement (6.1) is

Theorem 6.1. $\sigma(A) \supset \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} I_s$.

Proof: Let $\lambda \in \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} I_s$, i.e. $\lambda = \lambda_s(k)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $k \in \overline{B}$, and

$$A\psi_s(\cdot, k) = \lambda\psi_s(\cdot, k) \tag{6.4}$$

We regard $\psi_s(\cdot, k)$ as extended to the whole of \mathbb{R} by the boundary condition (4.1), whence, due to the periodicity of A, (6.4) holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi_s \in H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ We choose a function $\eta \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\eta(x) = 1 \text{ for } |x| \le \frac{1}{4}, \quad \eta(x) = 0 \text{ for } |x| \ge \frac{1}{2},$$

and define, for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$u_l(x) := \eta\left(\frac{|x|}{l}\right)\psi_s(x,k).$$

Then,

$$(A - \lambda I)u_{l} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\left(-\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} - \lambda \right) u_{l}|_{(x_{j}, x_{j+1})} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(x_{j}, x_{j+1})} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\left(-\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} - \lambda \right) \left(\eta \left(\frac{|\cdot|}{l} \right) \psi_{s}(\cdot, k) \right) \Big|_{(x_{j}, x_{j+1})} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(x_{j}, x_{j+1})} \right]$$

$$- \frac{2}{l} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\left(\eta' \left(\frac{|\cdot|}{l} \right) \psi'_{s}(\cdot, k) \right) \Big|_{(x_{j}, x_{j+1})} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(x_{j}, x_{j+1})} \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{l^{2}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\left(\eta'' \left(\frac{|\cdot|}{l} \right) \psi_{s}(\cdot, k) \right) \Big|_{(x_{j}, x_{j+1})} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(x_{j}, x_{j+1})} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\eta \left(\frac{|\cdot|}{l} \right) \left(-\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} - \lambda \right) \psi_{s}(\cdot, k) \Big|_{(x_{j}, x_{j+1})} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(x_{j}, x_{j+1})} \right] + R$$

where R is a sum of products of derivatives (of order ≥ 1) of $\eta(\frac{|\cdot|}{l})$, and derivatives (of order ≤ 1) of $\psi_s(\cdot, k)$. Thus (note that $\psi_s(\cdot, k) \in H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$), and the semi-periodic structure of $\psi_s(\cdot, k)$ implies

$$||R|| \le \frac{c}{l} ||\psi_s(\cdot, k)||_{H^1(K_l)} \le c \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}},$$
 (6.6)

with K_l denoting the ball in \mathbb{R} with radius l, centered at x_0 . Together with (6.4), (6.5) and (6.6), this gives

$$\|(A - \lambda I)u_l\| \le \frac{c}{\sqrt{l}}$$

Again, by the semiperiodicity of $\psi_s(\cdot, k)$,

$$||u_l|| \ge c||\psi_s(\cdot, k)|| \ge c\sqrt{l}$$

with c > 0. We obtain therefore

$$\frac{1}{\|u_l\|}\|(A - \lambda I)u_l\| \le \frac{c}{l}$$

Because moreover $u_l \in D(A)$, this results in

$$\frac{1}{\|u_l\|}\|(A-\lambda I)u_l\|\to 0 \text{ as } l\to\infty$$

Thus, either λ is an eigenvalue of A, or $(A - \lambda I)^{-1}$ exists but is unbounded. In both cases, $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$.

Theorem 6.2. $\sigma(A) \subset \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} I_s$.

Proof: Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} I_s$, we have to prove that $\lambda \in \rho(A)$, i.e. that for each $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ some $u \in D(A)$ exists satisfying $(A - \lambda I)u = f$. For given $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we define, for $l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f_l(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^l \int_B \langle (Uf)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_s(x, k) dk$$

and

$$u_l := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^l \int_B \frac{1}{\lambda_s(k) - \lambda} \langle (Uf)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_s(x, k) dk \tag{6.7}$$

Here, note that, due to (6.3) some $\delta > 0$ exists such that

$$|\lambda_s(k) - \lambda| \ge \delta \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{N}, k \in B$$
 (6.8)

In particular, the boundary value problem¹

$$(A - \lambda I)v(\cdot, k) = (Uf)(\cdot, k) \text{ on } \Omega,$$

$$v(\frac{1}{2}) = e^{ik}v(-\frac{1}{2})$$
(6.9)

has a unique solution for every $k \in B$. Bloch wave expansion² gives

$$||(Uf)(\cdot,k)||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\langle (Uf)(\cdot,k), \psi_{s}(\cdot,k) \rangle|^{2}$$
$$= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\langle (A-\lambda)v(\cdot,k), \psi_{s}(\cdot,k) \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}|^{2}$$

Since both $v(\cdot, k)$ and $\psi_s(\cdot, k)$ satisfy semi-periodic boundary conditions, $A - \lambda I$ can be moved to $\psi_s(\cdot, k)$ in the inner product, and hence (4.2) and (6.8) give

$$||(Uf)(\cdot,k)||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_{s}(k) - \lambda|^{2} |\langle v(\cdot,k), \psi_{s}(\cdot,k) \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}|^{2}$$
$$\geq \delta^{2} ||v(\cdot,k)||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

By Theorem 4.2, this implies $v \in L^2(\Omega \times B)$, and we can define $u := U^{-1}v \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

¹todo those next 3 lines!

²check this

Thus, (6.9) gives

$$\langle (Uf)(\cdot,k), \psi_s(\cdot,k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \langle (A-\lambda I)(Uu)(\cdot,k), \psi_s(\cdot,k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$$
$$= \langle (Uu)(\cdot,k), (A-\lambda I)\psi_s(\cdot,k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$$
$$= (\lambda_s(k) - \lambda) \langle Uu(\cdot,k), \psi_s(\cdot,k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

whence (6.7) implies

$$u_l(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^l \int \langle (Uu)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_s(x, k) dk,$$

and Theorem 5.1 gives

$$u_l \to u, \quad f_l \to f \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$
 (6.10)

We will now prove that in the distributional sense

$$(A - \lambda I)u_l = f_l \text{ for all } l \in \mathbb{N}$$
(6.11)

which implies that $\langle u_l, (A - \lambda I)v \rangle = \langle f_l, v \rangle$ for all $v \in D(A)$, whence Theorem 5.2 implies $u_l \in D(A)$, and

$$(A - \lambda I)u_l = f_l \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{N}$$

Since A is closed³, (6.10) now implies

$$u \in D(A)$$
, and $(A - \lambda I)u = f$

which is the desired result.

Left to prove is (6.11), i.e. that

$$\langle u_l, (A - \lambda I)\varphi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \langle f_l, \varphi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}).$$
 (6.12)

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be fixed, and let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ denote an open interval containing $\mathrm{supp}(\varphi)$

 $^{^3}$ That's clear but I will write some stuff down for that

in its interior. Both the functions

$$r_s(x,k) := \frac{1}{\lambda_s(k) - \lambda} \langle (Uf)(\cdot,k), \psi_s(\cdot,k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_s(x,k) \overline{(A - \lambda I)\varphi(x)},$$

$$t_s(x,k) := \langle (Uf)(\cdot,k), \psi_s(\cdot,k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_s(x,k) \overline{\varphi(x)}$$

are easily seen to be in $L^2(K \times B)$ by Fubini's Theorem, since (6.8) and the fact that $(A - \lambda I)\varphi \in L^{\infty}(K)$ and $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(K)$, imply both

$$\int_{K} |r_s(x,k)|^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{K} |t_s(x,k)|^2 dx$$

are bounded by $C\|(Uf)(\cdot,k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\|\psi_s(\cdot,k)\|_{L^2(K)}^2$ the latter factor is bounded as a function of k because K is covered by a finite number of copies of Ω , and the former is in $L^1(B)$ by Theorem 4.2.⁴

Since $K \times B$ is bounded, r and t are also $L^1(K \times B)$. ⁵ Therefore, Fubini's Theorem implies that the order of integration with respect to x and k may be exchanged for r and t. Thus, by (6.7),

$$\int_{K} u_{l}(x)\overline{(A-\lambda I)\varphi(x)}dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^{l} \int_{K} \left(\int_{B} r_{s}(x,k)dk \right) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^{l} \int_{B} \frac{1}{\lambda_{s}(k) - \lambda} \langle (Uf)(\cdot,k), \psi_{s}(\cdot,k) \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$$

$$\langle \psi_{s}(\cdot,k), (A-\lambda I)\varphi \rangle_{L^{2}(K)}dk.$$

Since φ has compact support in the interior of K, $(A-\lambda I)$ may be moved to $\psi_s(\cdot,k)$,

⁴Isn't this in L2B?

⁵again L2?

⁶How is this important?

and hence (4.2) gives

$$\int_{K} u_{l}(x)\overline{(A-\lambda I)\varphi(x)}dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^{l} \int_{B} \langle (Uf)(\cdot,k), \psi_{s}(\cdot,k) \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \langle \psi_{s}(\cdot,k), \varphi \rangle_{L^{2}(K)}dk$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^{l} \int_{B} \left(\int_{K} t_{s}(x,k)dx \right) dk$$

$$= \int_{K} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^{l} \int_{B} \langle (Uf)(\cdot,k), \psi_{s}(\cdot,k) \rangle_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \psi_{s}(x,k)dk \right] \overline{\varphi(x)}dx$$

$$= \int_{K} f_{l}(x)\overline{\varphi(x)}dx,$$

i.e. (6.12).