

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The Operator</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	The Domain . . . . .	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Fundamental domain of periodicity and the Brillouin zone</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	The Spectrum of $A_k$ . . . . .	10
3.2	The Floquet transformation . . . . .	11
3.3	Completeness of the Bloch waves . . . . .	13
<b>4</b>	<b>The spectrum of <math>A</math></b>	<b>15</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

An important problem in mathematical physics is the solution of the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with distributional potential, which is formally defined by the operation

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \rho \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{x_i} \quad (1.1)$$

on the whole of  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $\delta$  denotes the Dirac delta distribution and  $x_i$  are periodically distributed points on  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\Omega_k$  will hereafter identify the periodicity cell containing delta point  $x_k$  and let w.o.l.g.  $x_0 = 0$  and  $|\Omega_i| = 1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Henceforth, consider for  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  the problem

$$\int u' \overline{v'} + \rho \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} u(x_i) \overline{v(x_i)} - \mu \int u \overline{v} = \int f \overline{v} \quad \forall v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is a function modelling an external force.

The left-hand side of problem (1.2) is actually convergent as for arbitrary  $\tilde{x}_i \in \Omega_i$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |u(x_i)|^2 &\leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( |u(\tilde{x}_i) + \int_{\tilde{x}_i}^{x_i} u'(\tau) d\tau| \right)^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \int_{\Omega_i} |u(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_i} |u'(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right) \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

## Chapter 2

# The Operator

As we can interpret the left-hand side of (1.2) as a bounded bilinear mapping  $B: H^1(\mathbb{R}) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , Lax Milgram's Theorem asserts the existence of a unique element  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying

$$B[u, v] = \langle f, v \rangle$$

if there exist constants  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  such that

$$|B[u, v]| \leq \alpha \|u\| \|v\| \quad (u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}))$$

and

$$\beta \|u\|^2 \leq B[u, u] \quad (u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})).$$

Taking these two condition under examination, (1.3) yields for the norm of  $B[u, v]$  both.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The bilinear form  $B[u, v]$  as left-hand of (1.2) has for all  $u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$  the properties*

i)  $B[u, v]$  is bounded.

ii)  $B[u, u]$  is coercive.

*Proof:*

i) The boundedness follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
|B(u, \varphi)|^2 &\leq \|u'\| \cdot \|v'\| + 2\rho \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |u(x_i)|^2 |v(x_i)|^2 - \mu \|u\| \cdot \|v\| \\
&\leq \|u'\| \cdot \|v'\| + 8\rho \cdot \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 \|v\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 - \mu \|u\| \cdot \|v\| \\
&= (8\rho - \mu) \|u\| \cdot \|v\| + 8\rho (\|u\| \cdot \|v'\| + \|u'\| \cdot \|v\|) + (8\rho + 1) \|u'\| \cdot \|v'\| \\
&\leq \alpha \cdot \|u\|_{H^1} \cdot \|\varphi\|_{H^1}
\end{aligned}$$

ii) For the coercivity assume first  $\rho \geq 0$ . For  $\mu < -1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
B(u, u) &= \langle u', u' \rangle + \rho \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} u(x_i)^2 - \mu \langle u, u \rangle \\
&\geq \langle u', u' \rangle - \mu \langle u, u \rangle \geq \langle u', u' \rangle + \langle u, u \rangle \\
&= \|u\|_{H^1}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $\rho < 0$  there exists a  $\mu \in (-\infty, 2\rho)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
B(u, u) &= \langle u', u' \rangle + \rho \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |u(x_i)|^2 - \mu \langle u, u \rangle \\
&= \langle u', u' \rangle + \rho \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| u(\tilde{x}_i) + \int_{\tilde{x}_i}^{x_i} u(x) dx \right|^2 - \mu \langle u, u \rangle \\
&\geq \langle u', u' \rangle + 2\rho \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u'(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right) - \mu \langle u, u \rangle \\
&= (2\rho + 1) \|u'\|^2 + (2\rho - \mu) \|u\|^2 \\
&\geq \beta \|u\|_{H^1}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

□

where  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$  is the unique solution to the problem (1.2). Thus, the operator  $R_\mu: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}), f \mapsto u$  is for  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  small enough well-defined; obviously the mapping is one-to-one since for  $u_1 = u_2$

$$0 = B[u_1, v] - B[u_2, v] = \int (f_1 - f_2) \bar{v} \quad \forall v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}). \quad (2.1)$$

As  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$  is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  this yields that the equation (2.1) holds also for all  $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$

and therefore  $f_1 = f_2$  almost everywhere. Accordingly  $R_\mu$  is bijective and we can define the Schrödinger operator as follows

$$A := R_\mu^{-1} + \mu I$$

from which follows that  $R_\mu$  is the resolvent of  $A$ .

## 2.1 The Domain

For every fixed  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  choosing a test function  $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{supp } v = \Omega_k$  in (1.2) yields

$$\int_{x_k-1/2}^{x_k} u'(x) \overline{v'(x)} dx = \int_{x_k-1/2}^{x_k} Au \overline{v} \iff \int_{x_k-1/2}^{x_k} u(x) \overline{v''(x)} dx = \int_{x_k-1/2}^{x_k} -Au \overline{v},$$

such that  $Au = -u'' \in L^2$  on  $(x_k - 1/2, x_k)$  and analogous on  $(x_k, x_k + 1/2)$ . As  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  was arbitrary  $\mathcal{D}(A) \subset \{u \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (H^2(x_i - 1/2, x_i) \cap H^2(x_i, x_i + 1/2))\}$ .

Next, again for an arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  a test function  $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{supp } v = \Omega_k$  and integration by parts on both sides of  $x_k$  in (1.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left( \int_{x_k-1/2}^{x_k} + \int_{x_k}^{x_k+1/2} \right) u'' \cdot \overline{v} + \left( u'(x_k - 0) \overline{v(x_k)} - u'(x_k + 0) \overline{v(x_k)} \right) \\ & + \rho u(x_k) \overline{v(x_k)} = - \int_{x_k-1/2}^{x_k} u'' \overline{v} - \int_{x_k}^{x_k+1/2} u'' \overline{v}. \end{aligned}$$

But as  $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , this is equivalent to

$$u'(x_k - 0) - u'(x_k + 0) + \rho u(x_k) = 0$$

such that

$$\mathcal{D}(A) \subset \left\{ u \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} H^2(x_i, x_{i+1}), u'(x_i - 0) - u'(x_i + 0) + \rho u(x_i) = 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} =: B.$$

The action of the operator is defined by

$$Au = \begin{cases} -u'' & (x_k - \frac{1}{2}, x_k) \\ -u'' & (x_k, x_k + \frac{1}{2}), \end{cases} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

The opposite inclusion is shown, as  $\mathcal{R}(R_\mu) = \mathcal{D}(A)$ , by proving for  $u \in B$  that is also in the range of  $R_\mu$ . More specifically, as  $\mathcal{D}(R_\mu) = L^2(\mathbb{R})$  define  $f := Au$ . To show  $u = R_\mu(f - \mu u)$  consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u' \overline{v'} + \rho \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} u(x_i) \overline{v(x_i)} - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}} u \overline{v} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f - \mu u) \overline{v} \\ \iff \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\Omega_i} u' \overline{v'} + \rho u(x_i) \overline{v(x_i)} &= - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{x_i - 1/2}^{x_i} u'' \overline{v} + \int_{x_i}^{x_i + 1/2} u'' \overline{v}. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  partial integration with a function  $v$  having  $\text{supp } v = (x_k - 1/2, x_k + 1/2)$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{x_k - 1/2}^{x_k} + \int_{x_k}^{x_k + 1/2} \right) u' \overline{v'} - u'(x_k - 0) \overline{v(x_k)} + u'(x_k + 0) \overline{v(x_k)} &= \int_{\Omega_k} u' \overline{v'} + \rho u(x_k) \overline{v(x_k)} \\ \iff u'(x_k + 0) - u'(x_k - 0) - \rho u(x_k) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

such that we conclude

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) : u \in \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} H^2(x_j, x_{j+1}), u'(x_j - 0) - u'(x_j + 0) + \rho \cdot u(x_j) = 0 \ \forall j \right\}.$$

**Theorem 2.2.**  $R_\mu$  is a symmetric operator.

*Proof:* First, focus on  $R_\mu^{-1} = (A - \mu I)$ . As for all  $v \in D(A)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R_\mu^{-1} u, v \rangle &= \langle (A - \mu I) u, v \rangle \\ &= \int u' \overline{v'} - \mu \int u \overline{v} + \rho \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} u(x_i) \overline{v(x_i)} \\ &= \langle u, (A - \mu I) v \rangle = \langle u, R_\mu^{-1} v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$R_\mu^{-1}$  is symmetric. Now, as  $\mathcal{D}(R_\mu) = L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathcal{R}(R_\mu) = \mathcal{D}(R_\mu^{-1})$  for each  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  it follows

$$\langle R_\mu f, g \rangle = \langle R_\mu f, R_\mu^{-1} R_\mu g \rangle = \langle f, R_\mu g \rangle$$

such that  $R_\mu$  is also symmetric. □

**Theorem 2.3.** *A is a self-adjoint operator.*

*Proof:* As we already know that  $R_\mu$  and  $R_\mu^{-1}$  are symmetric, showing that  $R_\mu^{-1}$  is self-adjoint is equivalent to show that if  $v \in \mathcal{D}(R_\mu^{-1*})$  and  $v^* \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  are such that

$$\langle R_\mu^{-1}u, v \rangle = \langle u, v^* \rangle, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(R_\mu^{-1}) \quad (*)$$

then  $v \in \mathcal{D}(R_\mu^{-1})$  and  $R_\mu^{-1}v = v^*$ . In  $(*)$  we define  $u := R_\mu f$  for  $f \in L^2$  and use that  $R_\mu$  is symmetric and defined on the whole of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$\langle f, v \rangle = \langle R_\mu f, v^* \rangle = \langle f, R_\mu v^* \rangle, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(R_\mu^{-1})$$

Which means that  $v \in \mathcal{R}(R_\mu) = \mathcal{D}(R_\mu^{-1})$  and  $R_\mu^{-1}v = v^*$ , i.e.  $R_\mu^{-1}$  is self-adjoint. As the operator  $A$  is simply  $R_\mu^{-1}$  shifted by  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A$  is self-adjoint as well.  $\square$

## Chapter 3

# Fundamental domain of periodicity and the Brillouin zone

Let  $\Omega$  be the fundamental domain of periodicity associated with (1.1), for simplicity let  $\Omega = \Omega_0$  and thus  $x_0 = 0$  being the delta-point contained in  $\Omega$ . As commonly used by literature the reciprocal lattice for  $\Omega$  is equal to  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , the so called one-dimensional Brillouin zone  $B$ . For fixed  $k \in \overline{B}$ , consider now the operator  $A_k$  on  $\Omega$  formally defined by the operation

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \rho\delta_{x_0}.$$

More precisely, define  $A_k$  by considering the problem to find for  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  a function  $u \in H_k^1$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u' \overline{v'} + \rho u(x_0) \overline{v(x_0)} - \mu \int_{\Omega} u \overline{v} = \int_{\Omega} f \overline{v} \quad \forall v \in H_k^1,$$

where

$$H_k^1 := \left\{ \psi \in H^1(\Omega) : \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = e^{ik} \psi\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Due to the fact that convergence in  $H_k^1$  implies the convergence on the trace of  $\Omega$ ,  $H_k^1$  is a closed subspace of  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$  and one can apply the same arguments as above to show that now the operator  $R_{\mu,k}$  is well-defined and define again

$$A_k := R_{\mu,k}^{-1} + \mu,$$



such that  $R_{\mu,k}$  is the resolvent of  $A_k$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *The operator  $R_{\mu,k}$  is compact.*

*Proof:* For each bounded sequence  $(f_j)_{j \geq 1} \in L^2(\Omega)$  there exist  $(u_j)_{j \geq 1} \in H_k^1$  such that

$$u_j = R_{\mu,k} f_j \quad \forall j \geq 1$$

and each  $u_j$  for  $j \geq 1$  has to satisfy

$$\int_{\Omega} u_j' \overline{v'} + \rho u_j(x_0) \overline{v(x_0)} - \mu \int_{\Omega} u_j \overline{v} = \int_{\Omega} f_j \overline{v} \quad \forall v \in H_k^1. \quad (3.2)$$

Now, choosing in (3.2)  $v = u_j$  yields with (1.3) for  $\mu$  small enough

$$\|u_j\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \|f_j\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|u_j\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c \sqrt{\text{vol}(\Omega)}$$

Which shows that  $(u_j)_{j \geq 1}$  is bounded in  $H^1(\Omega)$ . As  $H^1(\Omega) \subset C(\Omega)$  it holds

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq c|x - y|^{1/2} \text{ for some } c > 0. \quad (3.3)$$

From (3.3) follows for  $f \in B_{H^1} := \{f \in H_k^1(\Omega) : \|f\| \leq 1\}$  that

$$|f(x)|^2 \leq 2\|f\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \leq 4 \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Now, given an  $\epsilon > 0$  we partition  $\Omega$  into  $n_{\epsilon}$  equidistant intervals. As all  $f \in B_{H_k^1}$  are by (1.3) uniformly bounded on  $\Omega$  there exist a finite number of constants  $c_1, \dots, c_{\nu_{\epsilon}}$  such that

$$\forall f \in B_{H_k^1} \exists j \in \{1, \dots, \nu_{\epsilon}\} : \quad |f(\frac{k}{n_{\epsilon}}) - c_j| < \frac{1}{n_{\epsilon}} \text{ for } k \in \{1, \dots, n_{\epsilon}\}$$

Hence, we can define a simple function  $g \in L^2(\Omega)$  through those constants on each subin-

terval such that for all  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f - g\|_{L^2}^2 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} |f(x) - c_{k+1}|^2 dx \\
&= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} |f(x) - f(\frac{k}{n})|^2 dx + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} |f(\frac{k}{n}) - c_{k+1}|^2 dx \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{n=0}^{n-1} \frac{c}{n^2} + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n^3} = \frac{2}{n} \left( c + \frac{1}{n} \right) < \epsilon^2 \text{ for } n \text{ small enough.}
\end{aligned}$$

This means for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a finite set of simple functions  $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$  such that for all  $f \in B_{H_k^1}$  there exists a  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\|f - g_k\| \leq \epsilon$ . Together with the closure of  $H_k^1$  this yields the compact embedding of  $H_k^1$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and thus  $R_{\mu,k}$  is compact.  $\square$

### 3.1 The Spectrum of $A_k$

As from now, consider the periodic eigenvalue problem

$$A_k \psi = \lambda \psi \text{ on } \Omega \text{ for } \psi \in H_k^1. \quad (3.4)$$

In writing the boundary condition in (3.1), we understand  $\psi$  extended to the whole of  $\mathbb{R}$ . In fact, (3.1) forms boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega$ , so-called semi-periodic boundary conditions.

Since  $\Omega$  is bounded, and  $R_{\mu,k}$ , as resolvent of  $A_k$ , is a compact and symmetric operator,  $A_k$  has a purely discrete spectrum satisfying

$$\lambda_1(k) \leq \lambda_2(k) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_s(k) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$

and the corresponding eigenfunction can be chosen such that they depend on  $k$  in a measurable way<sup>1</sup> and that they form a  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -orthonormal and complete system  $(\psi_s(\cdot, k))_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  of eigenfunctions for (3.1).

Now, we want to transform the eigenvalue problem (3.4) such that the boundary condition is independent from  $k$ . Define therefore

$$\varphi_s(x, k) := e^{-ikx} \psi_s(x, k).$$

---

<sup>1</sup>see [M. Reed and B. Simon. Methods of modern mathematical physics I–IV]

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_k \psi_s(x, k) &= \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi_s(x, k)|_{(x_0 - \frac{1}{2}, x_0)} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(x_0 - \frac{1}{2}, x_0)} + \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi_s(x, k)|_{(x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{2})} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{2})} \\
&= e^{ikx} \left( \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + ik \right)^2 \varphi_s(x, k)|_{(x_0 - \frac{1}{2}, x_0)} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(x_0 - \frac{1}{2}, x_0)} \\
&\quad + e^{ikx} \left( \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + ik \right)^2 \varphi_s(x, k)|_{(x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{2})} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Defining the operator  $\tilde{A}_k: D(A_k) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$  through

$$\tilde{A}_k \varphi_s(x, k) := \begin{cases} \left( \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + ik \right)^2 \varphi_s(x, k)|_{(x_0 - \frac{1}{2}, x_0)} & \text{for } x \in (x_0 - \frac{1}{2}, x_0) \\ \left( \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + ik \right)^2 \varphi_s(x, k)|_{(x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{2})} & \text{for } x \in (x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{2}) \end{cases}$$

and using (3.4) and (3.1), gives

$$\varphi_s(x - \frac{1}{2}, k) = e^{-ik(x - \frac{1}{2})} \psi_s(x - \frac{1}{2}, k) = e^{-ik(x + \frac{1}{2})} \psi_s(x + \frac{1}{2}, k) = \varphi_s(x + \frac{1}{2}, k).$$

Which shows that  $(\varphi_s(\cdot, k))_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an orthonormal and complete system of eigenfunctions of the periodic eigenvalue problem

$$\tilde{A}_k \varphi = \lambda \varphi \text{ on } \Omega, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\varphi(x - \frac{1}{2}) = \varphi(x + \frac{1}{2}). \tag{3.6}$$

with the same eigenvalue sequence  $(\lambda_s(s))_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  as in (3.4). We shall see that the spectrum of the operator  $A$  can be constructed from the eigenvalue sequences  $(\lambda_s(s))_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  by varying  $k$  over the Brillouin zone  $B$ .

## 3.2 The Floquet transformation

An important step towards this aim is the Floquet transformation

$$(Uf)(x, k) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x - n) e^{ikn} \quad (x \in \Omega, k \in B). \tag{3.7}$$

**Theorem 3.2.**  $U: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega \times B)$  is an isometric isomorphism, with inverse

$$(U^{-1}g)(x - n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \int_B g(x, k) e^{-ikn} dk \quad (x \in \Omega, n \in \mathbb{Z}). \quad (3.8)$$

If  $g(\cdot, k)$  is extended to the whole of  $\mathbb{R}$  by the semi-periodicity condition (3.1), we have

$$U^{-1}g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \int_B g(\cdot, k) dk. \quad (3.9)$$

*Proof:* For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\Omega} |f(x - n)|^2 dx. \quad (3.10)$$

Here, we can exchange summation and integration by Beppo Levi's Theorem. Therefore,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x - n)|^2 < \infty \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Thus,  $(Uf)(x, k)$  is well-defined by (3.7) (as a Fourier series with variable  $k$ ) for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , and Parseval's equality gives, for these  $x$ ,

$$\int_B |(Uf)(x, k)|^2 dk = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x - n)|^2.$$

By (3.10), this expression is in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , and

$$\|Uf\|_{L^2(\Omega \times B)} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

We are left to show that  $U$  is onto, and that  $U^{-1}$  is given by (3.8) or (3.9). Let  $g \in L^2(\Omega \times B)$ , and define

$$f(x - n) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \int_B g(x, k) e^{-ikn} dk \quad (x \in \Omega, n \in \mathbb{Z}). \quad (3.11)$$

For fixed  $x \in \Omega$ , Parseval's Theorem gives

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x - n)|^2 = \int_B |g(x, k)|^2 dk,$$

whence, by integration over  $\Omega$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega \times B} |g(x, k)|^2 dx dk = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x - n)|^2 dx \quad (3.12)$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\Omega} |f(x - n)|^2 dx \quad (3.13)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx, \quad (3.14)$$

i.e.  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Now (3.7) gives, for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ,

$$f(x - n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \int_B (Uf)(x, k) e^{-ikn} dk \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

whence (3.11) implies  $Uf = g$  and (3.8). Now (3.9) follows from (3.8) using  $g(x + n, k) = e^{ikn} g(x, k)$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 Completeness of the Bloch waves

Using the Floquet transformation  $U$ , we are now able to prove a completeness property of the Bloch waves  $\psi_s(\cdot, k)$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  when we vary  $k$  over the Brillouin zone  $B$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *For each  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , define*

$$f_l(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^l \int_B \langle (Uf)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_s(x, k) dk \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}). \quad (3.15)$$

*Then,  $f_l \rightarrow f$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof:* Since  $Uf \in L^2(\Omega \times B)$ , we have  $(Uf)(\cdot, k) \in L^2(\Omega)$  for a.e.  $k \in B$  by Fubini's Theorem. Since  $(\psi_s(\cdot, k))_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  is orthonormal and complete in  $L^2(\Omega)$  for each  $k \in B$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \|(Uf)(\cdot, k) - g_l(\cdot, k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0 \text{ for a.e. } k \in B$$

where

$$g_l(x, k) := \sum_{s=1}^l \langle (Uf)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_s(x, k). \quad (3.16)$$

Thus, for  $\chi_l(k) := \|(Uf)(\cdot, k) - g_l(\cdot, k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ , we get

$$\chi_l(k) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty \text{ for a.e. } k \in B,$$

and moreover, by Bessel's inequality,

$$\chi_l(k) \leq \|(Uf)(\cdot, k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \text{ for all } l \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and a.e. } k \in B$$

and  $\|(Uf)(\cdot, k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$  is in  $L^1(B)$  as a function of  $k$  by Theorem 3.2. Altogether, Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence theorem implies

$$\int_B \chi_l(k) dk \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty,$$

i.e.,

$$\|Uf - g_l\|_{L^2(\Omega \times B)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.17}$$

Using (3.15), (3.16) and (3.9), we find that  $f_l = U^{-1}g_l$ , whence (3.17) gives

$$\|U(f - f_l)\|_{L^2(\Omega \times B)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty,$$

and the assertion follows since  $U: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega \times B)$  is isometric by Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

## Chapter 4

# The spectrum of $A$

In this section, we will prove the main result stating that

$$\sigma(A) = \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} I_s \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$I_s := \{\lambda_s(k) : k \in \overline{B}\} \quad (s \in \mathbb{N})$$

For each  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda_s$  is a continuous function of  $k \in \overline{B}$ , which follows by standard arguments from the fact that the coefficients in the eigenvalue problem (3.5), (3.6) depend continuously on  $k$ . Thus, since  $B$  is compact and connected,

$$I_s \text{ is a compact real interval, for each } s \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.2)$$

Moreover, Poincaré's min-max principle for eigenvalues implies that

$$\mu_s \leq \lambda_s(k) \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \overline{B}$$

with  $(\mu_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$  denoting the sequence of eigenvalues of problem (3.4) with Neumann (“free”) boundary conditions. Since  $\mu_s \rightarrow \infty$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\min I_s \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty,$$

which together with (4.2) implies that

$$\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} I_s \text{ is close.} \quad (4.3)$$

The first part of the statement (4.1) is

**Theorem 4.1.**  $\sigma(A) \supset \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} I_s$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\lambda \in \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} I_s$ , i.e.  $\lambda = \lambda_s(k)$  for some  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $k \in \overline{B}$ , and

$$A\psi_s(\cdot, k) = \lambda\psi_s(\cdot, k) \quad (4.4)$$

We regard  $\psi_s(\cdot, k)$  as extended to the whole of  $\mathbb{R}$  by the boundary condition (3.1), whence, due to the periodicity of  $A$ , (4.4) holds for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\psi_s \in H_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R})$

We choose a function  $\eta \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\eta(x) = 1 \text{ for } |x| \leq \frac{1}{4}, \quad \eta(x) = 0 \text{ for } |x| \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

and define, for each  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$u_l(x) := \eta\left(\frac{|x|}{l}\right) \psi_s(x, k).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (A - \lambda I)u_l &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[ \left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \lambda \right) u_l|_{(x_j, x_{j+1})} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(x_j, x_{j+1})} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[ \left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \lambda \right) \left( \eta\left(\frac{|\cdot|}{l}\right) \psi_s(\cdot, k) \right) \Big|_{(x_j, x_{j+1})} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(x_j, x_{j+1})} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{l} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[ \left( \eta'\left(\frac{|\cdot|}{l}\right) \psi_s'(\cdot, k) \right) \Big|_{(x_j, x_{j+1})} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(x_j, x_{j+1})} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{l^2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[ \left( \eta''\left(\frac{|\cdot|}{l}\right) \psi_s(\cdot, k) \right) \Big|_{(x_j, x_{j+1})} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(x_j, x_{j+1})} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \left[ \eta\left(\frac{|\cdot|}{l}\right) \left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \lambda \right) \psi_s(\cdot, k) \Big|_{(x_j, x_{j+1})} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(x_j, x_{j+1})} \right] + R \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where  $R$  is a sum of products of derivatives (of order  $\geq 1$ ) of  $\eta(\frac{|\cdot|}{l})$ , and derivatives (of order  $\leq 1$ ) of  $\psi_s(\cdot, k)$ . Thus (note that  $\psi_s(\cdot, k) \in H_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R})$ ), and the semi-periodic structure of



$\psi_s(\cdot, k)$  implies

$$\|R\| \leq \frac{c}{l} \|\psi_s(\cdot, k)\|_{H^1(K_l)} \leq c \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}}, \quad (4.6)$$

with  $K_l$  denoting the ball in  $\mathbb{R}$  with radius  $l$  centered at  $x_0$ . Together with (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6), this gives

$$\|(A - \lambda I)u_l\| \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{l}}$$

Again, by the semiperiodicity of  $\psi_s(\cdot, k)$ ,

$$\|u_l\| \geq c \|\psi_s(\cdot, k)\| \geq c\sqrt{l}$$

with  $c > 0$ . We obtain therefore

$$\frac{1}{\|u_l\|} \|(A - \lambda I)u_l\| \leq \frac{c}{l}$$

Because moreover  $u_l \in D(A)$ , this results in

$$\frac{1}{\|u_l\|} \|(A - \lambda I)u_l\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty$$

Thus, either  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ , or  $(A - \lambda I)^{-1}$  exists but is unbounded. In both cases,  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.**  $\sigma(A) \subset \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} I_s$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} I_s$ , we have to prove that  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ , i.e. that for each  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  some  $u \in D(A)$  exists satisfying  $(A - \lambda I)u = f$ . For given  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , we define, for  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f_l(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^l \int_B \langle (Uf)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_s(x, k) dk$$

and

$$u_l := \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^l \int_B \frac{1}{\lambda_s(k) - \lambda} \langle (Uf)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_s(x, k) dk \quad (4.7)$$

Here, note that, due to (4.3) some  $\delta > 0$  exists such that

$$|\lambda_s(k) - \lambda| \geq \delta \text{ for all } s \in \mathbb{N}, k \in B \quad (4.8)$$

In particular, consider for fixed  $k \in B$  and  $v \in \mathcal{D}(A_k)$ :

$$(A_k - \lambda I)v(\cdot, k) = (Uf)(\cdot, k) \text{ on } \Omega, \quad (4.9)$$

which has a unique solution as  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} I_s$ . Parseval gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Uf)(\cdot, k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\langle (Uf)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\langle (A - \lambda)v(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since both  $v(\cdot, k)$  and  $\psi_s(\cdot, k)$  satisfy semi-periodic boundary conditions,  $A - \lambda I$  can be moved to  $\psi_s(\cdot, k)$  in the inner product, and hence (3.4) and (4.8) give

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Uf)(\cdot, k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_s(k) - \lambda|^2 |\langle v(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}|^2 \\ &\geq \delta^2 \|v(\cdot, k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2, this implies  $v \in L^2(\Omega \times B)$ , and we can define  $u := U^{-1}v \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus, (4.9) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (Uf)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} &= \langle (A - \lambda I)(Uu)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &= \langle (Uu)(\cdot, k), (A - \lambda I)\psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &= (\lambda_s(k) - \lambda) \langle Uu(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

whence (4.7) implies

$$u_l(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^l \int \langle (Uu)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_s(x, k) dk,$$

and Theorem 3.3 gives

$$u_l \rightarrow u, \quad f_l \rightarrow f \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}). \quad (4.10)$$

We will now prove that in the distributional sense

$$(A - \lambda I)u_l = f_l \text{ for all } l \in \mathbb{N} \quad (4.11)$$

which implies that  $\langle u_l, (A - \lambda I)v \rangle = \langle f_l, v \rangle$  for all  $v \in D(A)$ , whence Theorem 3.16 implies  $u_l \in D(A)$ , and

$$(A - \lambda I)u_l = f_l \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{N}$$

Since  $A$  is closed, (4.10) now implies

$$u \in D(A), \text{ and } (A - \lambda I)u = f$$

which is the desired result.

Left to prove is (4.11), i.e. that

$$\langle u_l, (A - \lambda I)\varphi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \langle f_l, \varphi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}). \quad (4.12)$$

Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  be fixed, and let  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  denote an open interval containing  $\text{supp}(\varphi)$  in its interior. Both the functions

$$\begin{aligned} r_s(x, k) &:= \frac{1}{\lambda_s(k) - \lambda} \langle (Uf)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_s(x, k) \overline{(A - \lambda I)\varphi(x)}, \\ t_s(x, k) &:= \langle (Uf)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_s(x, k) \overline{\varphi(x)} \end{aligned}$$

are easily seen to be in  $L^2(K \times B)$  by Fubini's Theorem, since (4.8) and the fact that  $(A - \lambda I)\varphi \in L^\infty(K)$  and  $\varphi \in L^\infty(K)$ , imply both

$$\int_K |r_s(x, k)|^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_K |t_s(x, k)|^2 dx$$

are bounded by  $C\|(Uf)(\cdot, k)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \|\psi_s(\cdot, k)\|_{L^2(K)}^2$  the latter factor is bounded as a function of  $k$  because  $K$  is covered by a finite number of copies of  $\Omega$ , and the former is in  $L^2(B)$  by Theorem 3.2.

Since  $K \times B$  is bounded,  $r$  and  $t$  are also  $L^2(K \times B)$ . Therefore, Fubini's Theorem implies that the order of integration with respect to  $x$  and  $k$  may be exchanged for  $r$  and  $t$ .

Thus, by (4.7),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_K u_l(x) \overline{(A - \lambda I)\varphi(x)} dx &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^l \int_K \left( \int_B r_s(x, k) dk \right) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^l \int_B \frac{1}{\lambda_s(k) - \lambda} \langle (Uf)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&\quad \langle \psi_s(\cdot, k), (A - \lambda I)\varphi \rangle_{L^2(K)} dk.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi$  has compact support in the interior of  $K$ ,  $(A - \lambda I)$  may be moved to  $\psi_s(\cdot, k)$ , and hence (3.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_K u_l(x) \overline{(A - \lambda I)\varphi(x)} dx &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^l \int_B \langle (Uf)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \langle \psi_s(\cdot, k), \varphi \rangle_{L^2(K)} dk \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^l \int_B \left( \int_K t_s(x, k) dx \right) dk \\
&= \int_K \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B|}} \sum_{s=1}^l \int_B \langle (Uf)(\cdot, k), \psi_s(\cdot, k) \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_s(x, k) dk \right] \overline{\varphi(x)} dx \\
&= \int_K f_l(x) \overline{\varphi(x)} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. (4.12). □