

Spectraltheory

Prof. Dr. Tobias Lamm

Sommersemester 2017

Karlsruher Institut für Technologie

Contents

I	Unbounded, adjoint and self-adjoint Operators	2
II	Representation Theorems	9
III	Friedrichs Extension	13
IV	Spectrum and Resolvent	18
V	The Spectral Theorem	26

Chapter I

Unbounded, adjoint and self-adjoint Operators

Let H be a separable Hilbert space, i.e. a real or complex inner product space that is also a complete metric space with respect to the distance function induced by the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on H .

Recall: A mapping $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called an **inner product**, if for all $x, y \in H$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ holds:

$$(S1) \quad \langle x_1 + x_2, y \rangle = \langle x_1, y \rangle + \langle x_2, y \rangle, \quad \langle x, y_1 + y_2 \rangle = \langle x, y_1 \rangle + \langle x, y_2 \rangle$$

$$(S2) \quad \langle \lambda x, y \rangle = \lambda \langle x, y \rangle, \quad \langle x, \lambda y \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle x, y \rangle$$

$$(S3) \quad \langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$$

$$(S4) \quad \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0, \quad \langle x, x \rangle = 0 \iff x = 0$$

A linear operator T in H is a linear map

$$u \mapsto Tu$$

defined on a subspace $\mathcal{D}(T)$ of H , and we call $\mathcal{D}(T)$ the **domain** of T . For $T: \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow H$ we denote the **range** of T with

$$\mathcal{R}(T) := \text{Image}(T).$$

We say that T is **bounded** if it is continuous from $\mathcal{D}(T)$ into H , with respect to the topology induced by H . We recall that if $\mathcal{D}(T) = H$ holds, boundedness of a linear operator is equivalent to continuity in 0, boundedness of $T(U_{(X, \|\cdot\|)})$ in Y and that there $\exists c < \infty$ such that $\|Tx\| \leq c\|x\|$, for proof see theorem 3.3 in the [functional analysis](#) course.

From now on, if $\mathcal{D}(T) \neq H$ we will assume that $\mathcal{D}(T)$ is **dense** in H , i.e. $\overline{\mathcal{D}(T)} = H$. If in this case T would be bounded then T has a unique continuous extension to all of H , for proof see proposition 5.10 in the [functional analysis](#) course. As this simplifies many considerations some of the following theorems would be trivial, and hence, we won't focus on bounded operators during this lecture.

Recall: An operator is called **closed** if the graph

$$G(T) := \left\{ (x, y) \in H \times H \mid x \in \mathcal{D}(T), y = Tx \right\}$$

is closed in $H \times H$.

Definition I.1: Let $T: \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow H$ be a (linear) operator with $\mathcal{D}(T)$ dense in H . Then T is called **closed** if for all

$$u_n \in \mathcal{D}(T), \quad u_n \rightarrow u \in H \quad \text{and} \quad Tu_n \rightarrow v \in H$$

follows that

$$u \in \mathcal{D}(T), \quad v = Tu$$

holds.

Example:

a) Let $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\mathcal{D}(T_0) = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in H . Define the operator

$$T_0 = -\Delta,$$

and take $u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, s.t $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Due to the density:

$$\exists (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n): \quad u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

As a result, $(u_n, -\Delta u_n) \in G(T_0)$ converges in $L^2 \times L^2$ to $(u, -\Delta u) \notin G(T_0)$.

b) Let $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and set $\mathcal{D}(T_1) = W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq H$. Define the operator

$$T_1 = -\Delta.$$

For $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T_1)$ with

$$u_n \rightarrow u \in H \text{ and } (-\Delta u_n) \rightarrow v \in L^2$$

follows that $-\Delta u = v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ weakly, i.e. for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v \varphi \leftarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta u_n) \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_n (-\Delta \varphi) \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u (-\Delta \varphi).$$

$$\xrightarrow{PDE} u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{D}(T_1) \Rightarrow T_1 \text{ is closed.}$$

Definition I.2: An operator T is called **closable** $\iff \overline{G(T)}$ is a graph.

Remark: We call \overline{T} the **closure** of T , and in such case we have

$$\mathcal{D}(\overline{T}) := \{x \in H \mid \exists y: (x, y) \in \overline{G(T)}\}$$

For any $x \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{T})$ the assumption that $\overline{G(T)}$ is a graph implies that y is unique and hence

$$\Rightarrow G(\overline{T}) = \overline{G(T)}, \quad \overline{T}x := y$$

Equivalently, $\mathcal{D}(\overline{T})$ is the set of all $x \in H$ such that there exists a sequence $x_n \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$ in H and Tx_n is a Cauchy sequence. For such x we define

$$\overline{T}x := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n$$

Example: Let $T_0 := -\Delta$, $\mathcal{D}(T_0) = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is closable with $\overline{T_0} = T_1$.

Proof: Let $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that there exists $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $u_n \rightarrow u$ in L^2 and $-\Delta u_n \rightarrow v$ in L^2 , as above:

$$-\Delta u = v \in L^2$$

For a given u the function v is unique, and hence, T_0 is closable. Let $\overline{T_0}$ be the closure with domain $\mathcal{D}(\overline{T_0})$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}(T_0)$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta u \in L^2 \Rightarrow u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{D}(T_1) \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\overline{T_0}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T_1)$$

but $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}(T_1) = W_{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\Rightarrow W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\overline{T_0}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\overline{T_0}) = \mathcal{D}(T_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow T_1 = \overline{T_0}.$$

□

Remark: Assume for a second in the example above that $W^{2,2} \not\subseteq \mathcal{D}(T_0)$ holds:

$$\Rightarrow \exists u \in W^{2,2} \setminus \mathcal{D}(\overline{T_0}), \exists (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } W^{2,2},$$

using the same arguments as in the example above $\Rightarrow \overline{T_0}$ not closed!

Recall: If $T: H \rightarrow H$ is bounded then T^* is defined through

$$\langle u, T^*v \rangle = \langle Tu, v \rangle, \forall u, v \in H$$

$u \mapsto \langle Tu, v \rangle$ defines a continuous linear map on H ($\in H'$). Riesz' representation theorem then ensures the existence of T^* .

Definition I.3: If T is an unbounded operator on H with dense domain we define

$$\mathcal{D}(T^*) := \left\{ v \in H : \mathcal{D}(T) \ni u \mapsto \langle Tu, v \rangle \text{ can be extended as a linear continuous form on } H \right\}$$

Using Riesz' representation theorem $\exists! f \in H$:

$$\langle u, f \rangle = \langle Tu, v \rangle, \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

then define $T^*v = f$, where the uniqueness follows from the density of $\mathcal{D}(T)$ in H .

Remark: If $\mathcal{D}(T) = H$ and T is bounded then we recover the “old” adjoint.

Example: $T_0^* = T_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(T_0^*) &= \left\{ v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni u \mapsto \langle -\Delta u, v \rangle \text{ extendable as a lin. continuous form on } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : -\Delta v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\} = W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{D}(T_1) \end{aligned}$$

Damit ist

$$\langle T_1 u, v \rangle = \langle -\Delta u, v \rangle = \int v(-\Delta u) = \int (-\Delta v) u = \langle u, T_1 v \rangle$$

Theorem I.1: T^* is a closed operator.

Proof: $v_n \in \mathcal{D}(T^*)$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in H and $T^*v_n \rightarrow w^*$ in H for $(v, w^*) \in H \times H$. For all $u \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ we have

$$\langle Tu, v \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Tu, v_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u, T^*v_n \rangle = \langle u, w^* \rangle$$

$(H \ni u \mapsto \langle u, w^* \rangle \text{ is continuous}) \Rightarrow v \in \mathcal{D}(T^*)$ and $w^* = T^*v$ by definition. \square

Theorem I.2: *Let T be an operator in H with domain $\mathcal{D}(T)$. Then*

$$G(T^*) = \left(V \left(\overline{G(T)} \right) \right)^\perp$$

where $V: H \times H \rightarrow H \times H, V(x, y) = (y, -x)$ ($V^2 = -\mathbb{1}$).

Proof: Let $u \in \mathcal{D}(T), (v, w^*) \in H \times H$

$$\Rightarrow \langle V(u, Tu), (v, w^*) \rangle_{H \times H} = \langle Tu, v \rangle - \langle u, w^* \rangle$$

Considering the right-hand side it follows

$$\langle Tu, v \rangle - \langle u, w^* \rangle = 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T) \iff v \in \mathcal{D}(T^*) \text{ and } w^* = T^*v \iff (v, w^*) \in G(T^*),$$

and considering the left-hand side:

$$\Rightarrow \langle V(u, Tu), (v, w^*) \rangle_{H \times H} = 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T) \iff (v, w^*) \in V(G(T))^\perp$$

In general: $U^\perp = \overline{U}^\perp$, and hence

$$\Rightarrow V(G(T))^\perp = \left(\overline{V(G(T))} \right)^\perp = \left(V \left(\overline{G(T)} \right) \right)^\perp.$$

\square

Theorem I.3: *Let T be a closable operator. Then:*

a) $\mathcal{D}(T^*)$ is dense in H

b) $T^{**} := (T^*)^* = \overline{T}$

Proof:

a) Proof through contradiction: $D(T^*)$ not dense in $H \rightarrow \exists w \neq 0 : \langle w, v \rangle = 0 \ \forall v \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(T^*)}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\implies \langle (0, w), (T^*v, -v) \rangle_{H \times H} = 0 \ \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(T^*) \\ &\implies (0, w) \perp V(G(T^*)) \\ &\stackrel{\substack{Thm \\ I.2}}{\implies} V(\overline{G(T)}) = G(T^*)^\perp \\ &\implies V(G(T^*)^\perp) = \overline{G(T)} \end{aligned}$$

For any $M \subseteq H \times H$ we have $V(M^\perp) = V(M)^\perp$ since for $(u, v) \in V(M)^\perp$, $(x, y) \in M$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle V(u, v), (x, y) \rangle_{H \times H} = -\langle (u, v), V(x, y) \rangle_{H \times H} \Rightarrow V(u, v) \in M^\perp \Rightarrow (u, v) \in V(M^\perp) \\ &\implies V(G(T^*)^\perp) = \overline{G(T)} = G(\overline{T}) \implies (0, w) \in G(\overline{T}) \implies w = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{b) } G(T^{**}) \stackrel{\substack{Thm \\ I.2}}{=} V(\overline{G(T^*)})^\perp \stackrel{\substack{Thm \\ I.1}}{=} V(G(T^*)^\perp)^\perp \stackrel{(\perp)}{=} G(\overline{T}) \implies \mathcal{D}(T^{**}) = \mathcal{D}(\overline{T}), T^{**} = \overline{T}$$

□

Definition I.4: We say $T: \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow H$ is **symmetric** if and only if

$$\langle Tu, v \rangle = \langle u, Tv \rangle \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

Example: $T_0 = -\Delta$, $\mathcal{D}(T_0) = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta u) v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u (-\Delta v)$$

Remark: If T is symmetric $\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T^*)$ and

$$Tu = T^*u \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

$\Rightarrow (T^*, \mathcal{D}(T^*))$ is an extension of $(T, \mathcal{D}(T))$.

Lemma I.1: A symmetric operator T is closable.

Proof: It suffice to show that for $u_n \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ with $u_n \rightarrow 0$ and $Tu_n \rightarrow x \in H$ we have $x = 0$

$$\langle x, v \rangle \leftarrow \langle Tu_n, v \rangle = \langle u_n, Tv \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0, Tv \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

$\Rightarrow x = 0$. □

Remark: The proof actually shows that if $\mathcal{D}(T^*)$ is dense in H , then T is closable.

Definition I.5: We call an operator T **self-adjoint** if

$$T = T^* \text{ and } Tu = T^*u \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T),$$

note that the first property implies that $\mathcal{D}(T) = \mathcal{D}(T^*)$.

Theorem I.4: Every self-adjoint operator is closable.

Proof: [Lemma I.1](#) □

Theorem I.5: Let T be an invertible self-adjoint operator, then T^{-1} is also self-adjoint.

Proof: For $T: \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(T)$ consider

Step 1 $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is dense in H . We have to show that $\mathcal{R}(T)^\perp = \{0\}$.

Let $w \in H$ such that

$$\langle Tu, w \rangle = 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

$$\Rightarrow w \in \mathcal{D}(T^*) \text{ and } T^*w = 0 \xrightarrow[s.a.]{inj.} w = 0.$$

Step 2 Let $w: H \times H \rightarrow H \times H$, $w(x, y) = (y, x)$

$$\Rightarrow G(T^{-1}) = \left\{ (x, T^{-1}x) : x \in \mathcal{D}(T) \right\} = w(G(T)) = \left\{ (Ty, y) : y \in \mathcal{D}(T) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G(T^{-1}) &= G((T^*)^{-1}) \xrightarrow[\text{Thm. I.2}]{\text{Proof}} w(V(G(T)^\perp)) \\ &= V(w(G(T)^\perp))^\perp = V(w(G(T)))^\perp \\ &= V(G(T^{-1}))^\perp \xrightarrow[\text{I.2}]{\text{Thm.}} G((T^{-1})^*) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow T^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*$$

□

Chapter II

Representation Theorems

Theorem II.1 (Riesz): *Let $u \mapsto F(u)$ be a linear continuous function on H . Then $\exists! w \in H$:*

$$F(u) = \langle u, w \rangle \quad \forall u \in H$$

Lax-Milgram: V Hilbertspace, sesquilinear form is defined on $V \times V$, $(u, v) \mapsto \alpha(u, v)$ continuous with

$$|\alpha(u, v)| \leq c \|u\| \|v\| \quad \forall u, v \in V$$

Riesz: \exists linear map $A: V \rightarrow V$:

$$\alpha(u, v) = \langle Au, v \rangle$$

Definition II.1: A bilinear form $a: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is ***V-coercive*** if there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$a(u, u) \geq \lambda \|u\|^2 \quad \forall u \in V$$

Theorem II.2: *Let a be a continuous sesquilinear and V -coercive on $V \times V$ then A is an isomorphism.*

Proof:

Step 1: A is injective:

$$\|Au\| \|u\| \stackrel{C.S.}{\geq} |\langle Au, u \rangle| = |a(u, u)| \geq \lambda \|u\|^2 \quad (+)$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Au\| \geq \lambda \|u\| \text{ for all } u \in V.$$

Step 2: $A(V)$ is dense in V . Let $u \in V$ such that

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$$

$$\text{take } v = u \Rightarrow a(u, u) = 0 \Rightarrow u = 0.$$

Step 3: $\mathbb{R}(A) = A(V)$ is closed. Let v_n be a sequence in $A(V)$ and let u_n be such that

$$Au_n = v_n$$

$$\stackrel{(+)}{\implies} u_n \text{ is a Cauchy sequence } \Rightarrow u_n \rightarrow u \in V \text{ und } Au_n \rightarrow Au \Rightarrow v_n \rightarrow Au \in A(V)$$

Step 4: $u = A^{-1}v \stackrel{(+)}{\implies} \|A^{-1}v\| \leq \lambda^{-1}\|v\| \quad \forall v \in V.$

□

Next we consider two Hilbert spaces V, H with $V \subset H$ (the inclusion is continuous), i.e.

$$\exists c < \infty: \quad \|u\|_H \leq c\|u\|_V \quad \forall u \in V$$

and we assume that V is dense in H .

Example: $V = W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n), H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\|u\|_L^2 \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,2}}$$

There exists a natural injection from H into V' . Let $h \in H$ then $V \ni u \mapsto \langle u, h \rangle_H$ is continuous on $V \xrightarrow[\text{Thm. II.1}]{\implies} \exists l_h \in V'$:

$$l_h(u) = \langle u, h \rangle_H \quad \forall u \in V$$

injectivity follows from density of V in H . $V \subseteq H \subset V'$ cont. sesquilinear form a on $V \times V$ which is V -coercive \rightarrow Associate an unbounded operator S with a

$$\mathcal{D}(S) := \left\{ u \in V : a(u, v) \text{ is cont. on } V \text{ with respect to the topology induced by } H \right\}$$

skipped a part! Unreadable

Theorem II.3: Let a be a continuous sesquilinear form on V which is V -coercive then S is bijective from $\mathcal{D}(S)$ into H and $S^{-1} \in L(H, \mathcal{D}(S))$. Moreover, $\mathcal{D}(S)$ is dense in H .

Proof:

1) S injective: $\exists \alpha > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \|u\|_H^2 &\leq C \alpha \|u\|_V^2 \leq C |a(u, u)| = c |\langle Su, u \rangle_H| \leq c \|Su\|_H \|u\|_H, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(S) \\ \Rightarrow \alpha \|u\|_H &\leq c \|Su\|_H, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(S) \quad (+). \end{aligned}$$

2) S surjective:

Let $h \in H$. Choose $w \in V$ such that

$$\langle h, v \rangle_H = \overline{\langle v, h \rangle_H} = \overline{l_h(v)} = \langle w, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V$$

where we used Riesz' representation theorem in the last step.

(Note: $l_h \in V' \Rightarrow \overline{l_h} \in$ continuous linear form on V).

is tis correct? Hard to read!

Define $u := A^{-1}w \in V \Rightarrow a(u, v) = \langle Au, v \rangle_V = \langle w, v \rangle_V = \langle h, v \rangle_H$

$$\Rightarrow u \in \mathcal{D}(S), \quad Su = h$$

(V dense in H). (+) implies that S^{-1} is continuous.

3) Density of $\mathcal{D}(S)$:

Let $h \in H$ such that $\langle u, h \rangle_H = 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(S)$. S surjective $\exists v \in \mathcal{D}(S)$: $Sv = h$

$$\Rightarrow \langle Sv, u \rangle = 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(S)$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle Sv, v \rangle_H = 0 \Rightarrow a(v, v) = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0 \Rightarrow h = 0$$

a hermitian iff

$$a(u, v) = \overline{a(v, u)} \quad \forall u, v \in V$$

□

Theorem II.4: Under the assumptions of [Theorem II.3](#) and a being hermitian it follows that

a) S is closed

b) $S = S^*$

c) $\mathcal{D}(S)$ dense in V

Proof:

a) [Theorem I.4](#)

b) a hermitian

$$\Rightarrow \langle Su, v \rangle_H = a(u, v) = \overline{a(v, u)} = \overline{\langle Sv, u \rangle_H} = \langle u, Sv \rangle_H \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{D}(S)$$

$\Rightarrow S$ symmetric $\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S) \subset \mathcal{D}(S^*)$. Let $v \in \mathcal{D}(S^*)$, S surjective

$$\Rightarrow v_0 \in \mathcal{D}(S) : Sv_0 = S^*v.$$

For all $u \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ we get

$$\langle Su, v_0 \rangle_H = \langle u, Sv_0 \rangle_H = \langle u, S^*v \rangle_H = \langle Su, v \rangle_H$$

$$\Rightarrow v = v_0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S) = \mathcal{D}(S^*), Sv = S^*v \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(S).$$

c) follows from [Theorem II.3](#)

□

Chapter III

Friedrichs Extension

Definition III.1: Let T_0 be a symmetric unbounded operator with domain $\mathcal{D}(T_0)$ we say that T_0 is **semi-bounded** if $\exists c > 0$:

$$\langle T_0 u, u \rangle_H \geq -c \|u\|_H^2 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T_0)$$

Example:

a) Schrödinger Operator. \mathbb{R}^m , $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $\mathcal{D}(T_0) = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$

$$T_0 := -\Delta + V(x),$$

$V \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ with $V(x) \geq -c \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. For $u \in \mathcal{D}(T_0)$

$$\langle T_0 u, u \rangle_H = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} (\Delta u + V u) u = \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\nabla u|^2}_{\geq 0} + \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} V(x) |u(x)|^2}_{\geq -c \int |u|^2 = -c \|u\|_H^2}$$

b) $S_z := -\Delta - \frac{z}{r}$, whereas $r = |x|$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$

Hardy inequality in $\mathbb{R}^3 (m = 3)$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^{-2} |u(x)|^2 dx \leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2(x) dx \quad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$$

Proof: $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \nabla u + \frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{|x|^2} u \right|^2 dx \geq 0$

$$\iff \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx \geq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \nabla u(x), \frac{x}{|x|} \rangle u(x) dx$$

now

$$-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \nabla u, \frac{x}{|x|^2} \rangle u dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \nabla |u|^2, \frac{x}{|x|^2} \rangle dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 \underbrace{\operatorname{div} \frac{x}{|x|^2}}_{= \frac{1}{|x|^2}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} dx$$

is tis correct? Hard to read!

$\Rightarrow \int |\nabla u|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2}$. Now

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{r} |u(x)|^2 dx \leq \left(\int \frac{|u(x)|^2}{r^2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|u\|_L^2$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{r^2} |u(x)|^2 dx \leq 4 \langle -\Delta u, u \rangle_L^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{r} |u(x)|^2 dx \leq \epsilon \cdot \langle -\Delta u, u \rangle_L^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|u\|_{L^2}^2$$

hence

$$\langle S_z u, u \rangle_{L^2} = \langle -\Delta u, u \rangle_{L^2} - z \langle \frac{u}{r}, u \rangle_{L^2} \geq (1 - \epsilon) \langle -\Delta u, u \rangle_{L^2} - \frac{z}{\epsilon} \|u\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$\text{Choose } \epsilon = \frac{1}{z} \Rightarrow \langle S_z u, u \rangle_{L^2} \geq -z^2 \|u\|_{L^2}^2$$

□

Theorem III.1: *A symmetric semibounded operator T_0 on H with dense domain $\mathcal{D}(T_0)$ admits a self-adjoint extension, called **Friedrichs extension**.*

Proof: Replace T_0 by $T_0 + \lambda \mathbb{1}$ such that

$$\langle T_0 u, u \rangle_H \geq \|u\|_H^2 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T_0)$$

$$(u, v) \mapsto a_0(u, v) := \langle T_0 u, v \rangle_H \text{ on } \mathcal{D}(T_0) \times \mathcal{D}(T_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_0(u, u) \geq \|u\|_H^2$$

Let V be the completion in H of $\mathcal{D}(T_0)$ for the norm $u \mapsto \rho_0(u) = \sqrt{a_0(u, u)} \iff u \in H$ belongs to V if $\exists u_n \in \mathcal{D}(T_0)$ s.t. $u_n \rightarrow u$ in H and $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to ρ_0

“Candidate Norm”:

$$\|u\|_V = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_0(u_n)$$

where u_n is as above.

□

Lemma III.1: *Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{D}(T_0)$ with respect to ρ_0 such that $x_n \rightarrow 0$ in H . Then $p_0(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof: Observe that $p_0(x_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R}_+ , and hence, converges in $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$. Assume that $p_0(x_n) \rightarrow \alpha > 0$. Now $a_0(x_n, x_m) = a_0(x_n, x_n) + a_0(x_n, x_m - x_n)$

$$|a_0(x_n, x_m - x_n)| \leq \sqrt{a_0(x_n, x_n)} \sqrt{a_0(x_m - x_n, x_m - x_n)}$$

$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \forall n, m \geq N$:

$$|a_0(x_n, x_m) - \alpha^2| < \epsilon$$

$\epsilon = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \Rightarrow |a_0(x_n, x_m)| = |\langle T_0 x_n, x_m \rangle| \geq \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 > 0 \forall n, m \geq N$. Let $m \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow x_m \rightarrow 0$, which leads to the contradiction. \square

page 13 hard to read AND understand structure! skipped that completely

Theorem III.2 (Example: Dirichlet Realisation): *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain, $\partial\Omega$ smooth, T_1 is defined by:*

$$\mathcal{D}(T_1) = W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad T_1 = -\Delta: \mathcal{D}(T_1) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$$

T_1 is self-adjoint; T_1 is called the Dirichlet realisation of $-\Delta$.

Proof: (with gaps)

Define

$$\mathcal{D}(T_0) := C_c^\infty(\Omega), \quad T_0 := -\Delta, \quad H = L^2(\Omega)$$

Through integration by parts respectively Green's formula:

T_0 is symmetric, non-negative (i.e. semi-bounded)

Consider $\tilde{T}_0 := T_0 + \mathbb{1}_H$. V : closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. in $W^{2,2}(\Omega)$

$$\xrightarrow[\text{Friedrich ext.}]{S} \mathcal{D}(S) = \{u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \mid -\Delta u \in L^2(\Omega)\}$$

$$\xrightarrow[\text{Theory}]{\text{Regularity}} \mathcal{D}(S) = W^{2,2} \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

for more details about the regularity theory see “2nd order elliptic operators”, PDE Evans. \square

Example:

a) Harmonic oscillator

Define $\mathcal{D}(T_0) := C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $T_0 := -\Delta + |x|^2 + 1$, $= L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Let V be the completion of C_c^∞ in L^2 with respect to the norm

$$u \mapsto (\langle \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle_{L^2} + \langle |x|u(x), |x|u(x) \rangle_{L^2} + \langle u, u \rangle_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\langle T_0 u, u \rangle_{L^2}}.$$

By calculation:

$$V = \left\{ u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid x_j u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \ \forall j = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

Domain of S :

$$\mathcal{D}(S) = \left\{ u \in V \mid T_0 u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\} = \left\{ u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) : x^\alpha u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \leq 2 \right\}$$

b) Schrödinger operator with a Coulomb potential

Define $\mathcal{D}(T_0) := C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $T_0 = -\Delta - \frac{1}{r}$, $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We saw that T_0 is semi-bounded: $\langle T_0 u, u \rangle_{L^2} \geq -\|u\|_{L^2}^2$

$$\tilde{T}_0 := T_0 + 2 \cdot 1_H, \quad \mathcal{D}(\tilde{T}_0) = \mathcal{D}(T_0)$$

satisfies the assumptions of the Friedrichs extension. Completion V of C_c^∞ in L^2 with respect to the norm

$$u \mapsto \left(\langle \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle_{L^2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(2 - \frac{1}{r} \right) |u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\langle \tilde{T}_0 u, u \rangle_{L^2}}$$

is $V = W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof: $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is dense in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Therefore, we only need to check that the norm above and $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,2}}$ are equivalent. By the proof of the analysis of the Schrödinger operator:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{r} |u(x)|^2 dx \leq \epsilon \langle -\Delta u, u \rangle_{L^2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 = \epsilon \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \forall \epsilon > 0, \ u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

(See Bernhard Helffer, “Spectral theory and app.”).

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,2}}^2 = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \stackrel{\text{Hardy}}{\leq} 5 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \left(2 - \frac{1}{r} \right) u \right\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

Domination of S : Hardy inequality $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{r}u \in L^2$ for $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

$$\Rightarrow u \in D(S) : \Delta u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S) = W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

□

c) Neumann boundary conditions: on the half-plane $H = L^2((0, \infty))$ define the form

$$a(u, v) := \int_0^\infty u'(x)v'(x)dx$$

for $u, v \in \mathcal{D}(a) = W^{1,2}(0, \infty) \Rightarrow a(u, u) = \|u'\|_{L^2}^2 \geq -\|u\|_{L^2}^2$. a is closed by completeness of $W^{1,2}(0, \infty)$.

Associated operator T : $v \in \mathcal{D}(T) \exists f_v \in L^2(0, \infty)$:

$$\int_0^\infty u'(x)v'(x)dx = \int_0^\infty u(x)f_v(x)dx \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(0, \infty).$$

$\Rightarrow f_v = -(v')' = -v''$, therefore $v \in W^{2,2}(0, \infty)$, $Tv = -v''$. Note for $v \in W^{2,2}(0, \infty)$, $u \in W^{1,2}(0, \infty)$:

$$\begin{aligned} a(u, v) &= \int_0^\infty u'(x)v'(x)dx \\ &= [u(x)v'(x)]_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty u(x)v''(x)dx \\ &= \underbrace{u(0)v'(0)}_{=0} + \int_0^\infty u(x)Tv(x)dx = \langle u, Tv \rangle_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the associated operator is $T_N := T$ acts as $T_N v = -v''$ on the domain

$$\mathcal{D}(T_N) = \{v \in W^{2,2}(0, \infty) \mid v'(0) = 0\}$$

T_N is called the Neumann Laplacian.

Chapter IV

Spectrum and Resolvent

Let X be a Banach space and H a Hilbert space.

Definition IV.1: Let $T: \mathcal{D}(T) \subseteq \rightarrow X$ linear operator. We define

- We call the following set the **resolvent set**:

$$\text{res}(T) := \rho(T) := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda \mathbb{1} - T \text{ is bijective with bounded inverse} \right\}.$$

- The set $\text{spec}(T) := \sigma(T) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(T)$ is called **spectrum**.
- The set $\text{spec}_p(T) := \sigma_p(T) := \left\{ \text{Eigenvalues of } T \right\}$ is the **point spectrum**.
- The following set is called the **continuous spectrum**: $\text{spec}_c(T) := \sigma_c(T)$

$$\sigma_c(T) := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda \mathbb{1} - T \text{ is inj., but not surj., range}(\lambda \mathbb{1} - T) \text{ is dense in } X \right\}$$

- The following set is called the **residual spectrum**: $\text{spec}_{res}(T) := \sigma_{res}(T)$

$$\sigma_{res}(T) := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda \mathbb{1} - T \text{ is inj., but not surj., range}(\lambda \mathbb{1} - T) \text{ is not dense in } X \right\}$$

- The **resolvent function**: $R_T: \rho(T) \rightarrow L(X, X) =: L(X)$

$$\lambda \mapsto R_T(\lambda) := R(\lambda, T) := (\lambda \mathbb{1} - T)^{-1}$$

Remarks:

- $\dim(X) < \infty : \sigma(T) = \sigma_p(T)$
- $\sigma(T) = \sigma_p(T) \dot{\cup} \sigma_c(T) \dot{\cup} \sigma_{res}(T)$

Theorem IV.1: *If $\rho(T) \neq \emptyset$ then T is closed.*

Proof: $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ then $\text{graph}(R(\lambda, T))$ is closed (by the closed graph theorem). For $x \in \mathcal{D}(T)$, $y \in X$ with $R(\lambda, T)y = x$:

$$\|x\|_{\lambda\mathbb{1}-T} = \|(\lambda - T)x\|_X + \|x\|_X = \|y\|_X + \|R(\lambda, T)y\|_X = \|y\|_{R(\lambda, T)}.$$

Therefore, $\text{graph}(\lambda\mathbb{1} - T)$ and $\text{graph}(R(\lambda, T))$ are isometric, and so $\lambda\mathbb{1} - T$ is closed

$$\Rightarrow T \text{ is closed}$$

□

Theorem IV.2: *For a closed operator T one has the equivalence*

$$\lambda \in \rho(T) \iff \begin{cases} \text{kern}(\lambda\mathbb{1} - T) = 0, & \text{“inj.”} \\ \text{range}(\lambda\mathbb{1} - T) = X, & \text{“surj.”} \end{cases}$$

Proof:

“ \Rightarrow ” By definition.

“ \Leftarrow ” Let $\lambda \in C$ with $\text{kern}(\lambda\mathbb{1} - T) = 0$, $\text{range}(\lambda\mathbb{1} - T) = X$. Then the inverse

$$(\lambda\mathbb{1} - T)^{-1} : X \rightarrow X$$

is defined everywhere and has a closed graph (as $\lambda\mathbb{1} - T$ is closed), see proof of Theorem IV. 1. By the closed graph theorem $(\lambda\mathbb{1} - T)^{-1}$ is bounded, i.e. $\lambda \in \rho(T)$.

□

Theorem IV.3 (Properties of the resolvent):

(i) For $\lambda_0 \in \rho(T)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda_0 - \lambda| < \frac{1}{\|R(\lambda_0, T)\|_{L(X)}}$, we have

$$R(\lambda, T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(\lambda_0, T)^{n+1} (\lambda_0 - \lambda)^n$$

and $\lambda \in \rho(T)$, i.e. $R(\cdot, T)$ is locally holomorphic, $\rho(T)$ is open, $\sigma(T)$ is closed.

(ii) *Resolvent equation*

$$R(\lambda, T) - R(\mu, T) = (\mu - \lambda) R(\lambda, T) R(\mu, T) \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \rho(T)$$

$$\text{Note } \frac{1}{\lambda - T} - \frac{1}{\mu - T} = \frac{(\mu - T) - (\lambda - T)}{(\lambda - T)(\mu - T)} = \frac{(\mu - \lambda)}{(\lambda - T)(\mu - T)}$$

$$(iii) \quad R(\lambda, T) R(\mu, T) = R(\mu, T) R(\lambda, T) \quad \forall \mu, \lambda \in \rho(T).$$

$$(iv) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} R(\lambda, T) = -R(\lambda, T)^2 \quad \forall \lambda \in \rho(T)$$

$$(v) \quad T R(\lambda, T) = R(\lambda, T) T \quad \forall \lambda \in \rho(T)$$

Proof:

$$(i) \quad \lambda - T = (\lambda - \lambda_0) + (\lambda_0 - T) = (\lambda_0 - T) \left[\mathbf{1}_X - \frac{(\lambda_0 - \lambda)}{(\lambda - \lambda_0)} R(\lambda_0, T) \right].$$

Define $S := (\lambda_0 - \lambda) R(\lambda_0, T) \in L(X)$ with $\|S\|_{L(X)} < 1$. By Neumann series:

$$R(\lambda, T) = (\mathbf{1}_X - S)^{-1} R(\lambda_0, T) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n \right) R(\lambda_0, T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(\lambda_0, T)^{n+1} (\lambda_0 - \lambda)^n$$

and $\lambda \in \rho(T)$.

$$(ii) \quad R(\lambda, T) - R(\mu, T) = R(\lambda, T) [\mathbf{1}_X - (\lambda - T) R(\mu, T)]$$

$$= R(\lambda, T) [(\mu - T) - (\mu - T)] R(\mu, T)$$

$$= (\mu - \lambda) R(\lambda, T) R(\mu, T)$$

$$(iii) \quad R(\lambda, T) R(\mu, T) \stackrel{(ii)}{=} \frac{R(\lambda, T) - R(\mu, T)}{\mu - \lambda} = \frac{R(\mu, T) - R(\lambda, T)}{\lambda - \mu} \stackrel{(ii)}{=} R(\mu, T) R(\lambda, T)$$

$$(iv) \quad \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \lambda} \frac{R(\mu, T) - R(\lambda, T)}{\mu - \lambda} \stackrel{(ii)}{=} \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \lambda} \frac{(\lambda - \mu) R(\mu, T) R(\lambda, T)}{(\mu - \lambda)} = -R(\lambda, T)^2$$

$$(v) \quad T R(\lambda, T) - R(\lambda, T) T = (T - R(\lambda, T) T (\lambda - T)) R(\lambda, T)$$

$$= R(\lambda, T) \underbrace{((\lambda - T) T - T (\lambda - T))}_{=0} R(\lambda, T) = 0$$

□

Next, we look at some basic examples:

Definition IV.2 (essential range, dt. wesentlicher Bildbereich): Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measure space $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ measurable. Define the essential range of f as:

$$\text{essrange}(f) := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mu(\{x \in \Omega: |\lambda - f(x)| < \epsilon\}) > 0 \ \forall \epsilon > 0 \right\}$$

Theorem IV.4 (Example: Spectrum of the multiplication operator): Let $f \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, M_d be the multiplication operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{D}(M_f) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : fu \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \quad M_f u = fu$$

Then there holds:

$$(i) \quad \sigma(M_f) = \text{essrange}(f)$$

$$(ii) \quad \sigma_p(M_f) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathcal{L}^d(\{x \mid f(x) = \lambda\}) > 0 \right\}$$

Proof:

$$(i) \quad “\subseteq” \quad \lambda \notin \text{essrange}(f), \text{ i.e. } \exists c > 0 \text{ s.t. } |\lambda - f(x)| \geq c \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

$$\Rightarrow g := \frac{1}{\lambda - f} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow gu \in L^2 \ \forall u \in L^2$$

$$\Rightarrow M_g \in L(L^2) \text{ with}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_g(\lambda - M_f)u(x) &= \frac{1}{\lambda - f(x)} (\lambda - f(x)) u(x) \\ &= u(x) = (\lambda - M_f) M_g u(x) \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(M_f) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e. } \lambda \in \rho(M_f) \text{ with } R(\lambda, M_f) = M_g.$$

$$“\supseteq” \quad A \in \text{essrange}(f), \text{ we denote for any } m \in \mathbb{N}:$$

$$\tilde{S}_m := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\lambda - f(x)| < 2^{-m}\}.$$

Choose $S_m \subseteq \tilde{S}_m$ s.t. $\mathcal{L}^d(S_m) \in (0, \infty)$; define

$$\phi_m(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & x \in S_m \\ 0, & x \notin S_m \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\lambda - M_f) \phi_m\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{S_m} |\lambda - f(x)|^2 |\phi_m(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq 2^{-2m} \|\phi_m\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}\end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow If $\lambda \in \rho(M_f)$, but then

$$\|(\lambda - M_f)^{-1}\|_{L(L^2)} \geq \frac{\|(\lambda - M_f)^{-1} (\lambda - M_f) \phi_m\|_{L^2}}{\|(\lambda - M_f) \phi_m\|_{L^2}} \geq 2^{2m} \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$

$\Rightarrow \lambda \in \sigma(M_f)$.

(ii) “ \Rightarrow ” $\lambda \in \sigma_p(M_f) \iff \exists \phi \in L^2 \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $(\lambda - f(x)) \phi(x) = 0$ for a.e. x , i.e. $\phi = 0$ a.e. on $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid f(y) \neq \lambda\}$, and therefore

$$\sigma_p(M_f) = \emptyset \iff L^d(\{x \mid f(x) = \lambda\}) = 0$$

“ \Leftarrow ” $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $\mathcal{L}^d(\{x \mid f(x) = \lambda\}) > 0$. Take $S \subseteq \{x \mid f(x) = \lambda\}$ with $\mathcal{L}^d(S) \in (0, \infty)$, define

$$\phi_S(x) := \begin{cases} 1, & x \in S \\ 0, & x \notin S \end{cases}$$

Then:

$$f(x)\phi_S(x) = \lambda\phi_S(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$\Rightarrow \phi$ is Eigenfunction to the Eigenvalue $\lambda \Rightarrow \lambda \in \sigma_p(M_f)$.

□

Example:

a) $(\sigma(T) = \emptyset)$ Setting: $H = L^2(0, 1)$, $\mathcal{D}(T) = \{f \in W^{1,2}(0, 1) \mid f(0) = 0\}$, $Tf = f'$.
Claim: $\sigma(T) = \emptyset, \sigma(T) = \mathbb{C}$.

Proof: For $g \in L^2(0, 1)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the equation $(\lambda - T)f = g$ has the unique solution

$$f(x) = - \int_0^x e^{-\lambda(x-t)} g(t) dt, \quad x \in (0, 1), f \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

since $f'(x) = \lambda \int_0^x e^{\lambda(x-t)} g(t) dt - g(x) = \lambda f(x) - g(x)$. For $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ with $(\lambda - T)\tilde{f} = g$ we get

$$\lambda(f - \tilde{f}) = (f - \tilde{f})' \iff f - \tilde{f} = ce^{\lambda \cdot} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}(0)=f(0)} c = 0 \Rightarrow f = \tilde{f}$$

So $\lambda \in \rho(T)$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_0^1 \left| -\int_0^x e^{-\lambda(x-t)} g(t) dt \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 e^{2|\lambda|x} \left| \int_0^1 |g(t)| dt \right|^2 dx \stackrel{H\ddot{o}lder}{\leq} \underbrace{\int_0^1 e^{2|\lambda|x} dx}_{<\infty} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho(T) = \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \sigma(T) = \emptyset. \quad \square$$

b) $(\sigma(T) = \mathbb{C})$ Setting: $H = L^2(0, 1)$, $\mathcal{D}(T) = W^{1,2}(0, 1)$, $Tf = f'$.

Claim: $\sigma(T) = \mathbb{C}$, $\sigma(T) = \emptyset$.

Proof: For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$: $\phi_\lambda(x) := e^{\lambda x}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. $\phi_\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ with $T\phi_\lambda = \lambda\phi_\lambda$.

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_p(T) = \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \sigma(T) = \mathbb{C}, \quad \rho(T) = \emptyset \quad \square$$

Following are going to be some basic facts on the spectra of self-adjoint operators.

Lemma IV.1: Let T be a closable operator in a Hilbert space H , $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$a) \quad \ker(\bar{\lambda} - T^*) = \text{range}(\lambda - T)^\perp$$

$$b) \quad \overline{\text{range}(\lambda - T)} = \ker(\bar{\lambda} - T^*)^\perp$$

Proof:

a) $\mathcal{D}(T)$ is dense in H :

$$\begin{aligned} f \in \ker(\bar{\lambda} - T^*) &\iff \langle g, (\bar{\lambda} - T^*)f \rangle_H = 0 \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{D}(T) \\ &\iff \langle g, T^*f \rangle_H = \lambda \langle g, f \rangle \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{D}(T) \\ &\iff \langle Tgf \rangle_H = \langle \lambda g, f \rangle \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{D}(T) \\ &\iff \langle (\lambda - T)g, f \rangle = 0 \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{D}(T) \\ &\iff f \in \text{range}(\lambda - T)^\perp \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{b) } \ker(\bar{\lambda} - T^*)^\perp = (\text{range}(\lambda - T)^\perp)^\perp = \overline{\text{range}(\lambda - T)}$$

□

Theorem IV.5 (Spectrum of self-adjoint operator is real): *thm.iv.5]* Let T be a self-adjoint operator on H , then $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$:

$$\|R(\lambda, T)\|_{L(H)} \leq \frac{1}{|\text{Im}(\lambda)|}$$

Proof: Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, $u \in \mathcal{D}(T)$:

$$\langle (\lambda - T)u, u \rangle = \lambda \langle u, u \rangle - \langle Tu, u \rangle = \text{Re}(\lambda) \langle u, u \rangle + i \text{Im}(\lambda) \langle u, u \rangle - \langle Tu, u \rangle,$$

$$\langle Tu, u \rangle = \langle u, T^*u \rangle \stackrel{T \text{ s.a.}}{=} \overline{\langle u, Tu \rangle} \Rightarrow \langle Tu, u \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\text{Im}(\lambda)| \|u\|_H^2 \leq |\langle (\lambda - T)u, u \rangle| \stackrel{C.S.}{\leq} \|(\lambda - T)u\|_H \|u\|_H$$

$$\Rightarrow |\text{Im}(\lambda)| \leq \|(\lambda - T)u\|_H, \quad (*)$$

$\ker(\lambda - T) = \{0\}$ and $\text{range}(\lambda - T)$ is closed, since for $u \in \overline{\text{range}(\lambda - T)}$, $(u_n)_n \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T)$ s.t. $(\lambda - T)u_n \rightarrow u$ in H .

$$\Rightarrow ((\lambda - T)u_n)_n \text{ Cauchy in } H \stackrel{(*)}{\Rightarrow} (u_n)_n \text{ Cauchy in } H$$

$$\xrightarrow[\text{complete}]{H} \exists u \in H \text{ s.t. } u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } H \xrightarrow[\text{closed}]{\lambda - T} u \in \mathcal{D}(T) \text{ with } (\lambda - T)u = v.$$

$$\Rightarrow v \in \text{range}(\lambda - T)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Lem.IV.1(ii)}} \text{range}(\lambda - T) = \{0\}^\perp = H$$

$\Rightarrow \lambda \in \rho(T)$, $R(\lambda, T) \in L(H)$ with

$$\|R(\lambda, T)\|_{L(H)} = \sup_{u \neq 0} \frac{\|R(\lambda, T)u\|_H}{\|(\lambda - T)R(\lambda, T)u\|_H} \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \sup_{u \neq 0} \frac{\|R(\lambda, T)u\|}{|\text{Im}(\lambda)| \|R(\lambda, T)u\|} = \frac{1}{|\text{Im}(\lambda)|}$$

□

Lemma IV.2 (Spectrum of bounded operators): *Let $T \in L(X)$ then:*

$$\emptyset \neq \sigma(T) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq \|T\|_{L(X)}\},$$

i.e. $\sigma(T)$ is compact.

Proof: See a FA course. □

Theorem IV.6 (Location of spectrum of self-adjoint bounded operators): *Let $T \in L(H)$ be self-adjoint. Denote*

$$m := m(T) := \inf_{u \neq 0} \frac{\langle Tu, u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle}, \quad M := M(T) := \sup_{u \neq 0} \frac{\langle Tu, u \rangle}{\langle u, u \rangle}$$

Then $\sigma(T) \subseteq [m, M], \{m, M\} \subseteq \sigma(T)$.

Proof: By Theorem IV.5: $\sigma(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. For $\lambda \in (M, \infty)$:

$$|\langle (\lambda - T)u, u \rangle| = \lambda \langle u, u \rangle - \langle Tu, u \rangle \geq (\lambda - M) \langle u, u \rangle$$

$\Rightarrow (\lambda - T)^{-1} \in L(H)$ by Lax-Milgram Theorem, $\lambda \in \rho(T)$. For $\lambda \in (-\infty, m)$: same way

$$\Rightarrow \sigma(T) \subseteq [m, M].$$

$M \in \sigma(T)$: obtain $(u, v) \mapsto \langle (M - T)u, v \rangle_H$ is an inner product. For $u, v \in H$ by C.S.-inequality

$$|\langle (M - T)u, v \rangle|^2 \leq \langle (M - T)u, u \rangle \langle (M - T)v, v \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \| (M - T)u \|_H^2 = \left| \sup_{\|v\| \leq 1} \langle (M - T)u, v \rangle \right| \langle (M - T)u, u \rangle = \|M - T\|_{L(H)} \langle (M - T)u, u \rangle$$

By assumption construct $(u_n)_n \subseteq H$ s.t. $\|u_n\| = 1$.

$$\langle Tu_n, u_n \rangle \rightarrow M$$

$\Rightarrow (M - T)u_n \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow M \notin \rho(T) \Rightarrow M \in \sigma(T)$. For m in the same way. □

Lemma IV.3: $T = T^* \in L(H, H)$ and $\text{spec}(T) = \{0\} \Rightarrow 0$.

Proof: Theorem IV.6 $\Rightarrow m = M = 0 \Rightarrow \langle Tx, x \rangle = 0 \forall x \in H$

$$\xrightarrow[\text{Formula}]{\text{What?}} \langle Tx, y \rangle = 0 \forall x, y \in H \Rightarrow T = 0$$

□

Theorem IV.7: *The spectrum of a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space is a non-empty closed subset of \mathbb{R} .*

Proof: Assume $\text{spec}(T) = \emptyset \Rightarrow T^{-1} \in L(H, H)$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

$$L_\lambda := -\frac{T}{\lambda} \left(ZT - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left(T - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^{-1} \in L(H, H), \quad L_\lambda^{-1} = \left(T^{-1} - \lambda \right)$$

$\Rightarrow \lambda \in \rho(T^{-1}) \Rightarrow \text{spec}(T^{-1}) = \{0\}$. T^{-1} is self-adjoint by Theorem I.5 $\Rightarrow T^{-1} = 0$, which yields a contradiction. □

Chapter V

The Spectral Theorem

Let T be a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space H .

Statements:

- 1) T is unitarily equivalent to a multiplication operator on a suitable L^2 -Space (Finite dimension: every self-adjoint $n \times n$ -matrix is unitarily equivalent to a diagonal matrix, i.e. a multiplication operator on $L^2(\{1, \dots, n\})$).
- 2) There exists a functional calculus for T , i.e. for all bounded Borell-functions f , $f(T)$ is defined and $f \mapsto f(T)$ is a homeomorphism of the algebra of Borell-functions

$$f: X \rightarrow Y, \quad X, Y \text{ } \mathbf{cup?} \text{ } VS \text{ s.t. } f^{-1}(U) \in B(X) \quad \forall U \text{ open}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Finite dimensions: } A = U \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} U^{-1}, \quad f(A) := U \begin{pmatrix} f(\lambda_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & f(\lambda_n) \end{pmatrix} U^{-1} \end{array} \right)$$

- 3) T has a spectral representation. There exists a **projection?**-valued measure P_T on $\sigma(T)$, s.t.

$$T = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda dP_T(\lambda)$$

$$(\text{Finite dimension: } A = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j P_j, \quad P_j \text{ projection onto Eigenspace})$$

How to define $f(T)$? Polynomials: $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j x^j$

$$f(T) = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j T^j, \quad D(f(t)) = ?$$

Analytic $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^j(x_0)}{j!} (x - x_0)^j$, $f(T) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^j(x_0)}{j!} (T - x_0)^j$ for $\|T - x_0\| < \rho$

$$f(x) = (x - z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \quad f(T) = (T - z)^{-1} = \text{Res}_T(z)$$

Cauchy integral Formula: Let f be holomorph.

$$f(x_0) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) (x_0 - z)^{-1} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) (z - x_0)^{-1} dz$$

$$w = f(z) (z_0 - z)^{-1} dz, \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$z = x + iy, \bar{z} = x - iy$$

$$dz = dx + idy, d\bar{z} = dx - idy$$

$$\partial_z = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x - i\partial_y), \partial_{\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x + i\partial_y)$$

$$\begin{aligned} dw &= \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \underbrace{dz \wedge dz}_{=0} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} d\bar{z} \wedge dz \\ &= (\partial_{\bar{z}} f(z)) (z_0 - z)^{-1} 2i dx \wedge dy \end{aligned}$$

$M \subset \mathbb{C}$ compact with smooth boundary Γ and $B_{\delta}(z_0) \subseteq \overset{\circ}{M} \hookrightarrow M \setminus B_{\delta}(z_0)$

$$\int_{\partial(\Gamma \setminus B_{\delta}(z_0))} w = \int_{\partial\Gamma} w - \int_{\partial B_{\delta}(z_0)} w = \int_{\Gamma \setminus B_{\delta}(z_0)} dw$$

If f is continuous at z_0 , then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B_{\delta}(z_0)} w &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B_{\delta}(z_0)} \frac{f(z)}{z_0 - z} \\ &= \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(z_0 + \delta e^{it})}{-\delta e^{it}} i \delta e^{it} dt \\ &= -2\pi i f(z_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma \setminus B_{\delta}(z_0)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} (z_0 - z)^{-1} 2i dx \wedge dy &= 2i \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} (z_0 - z)^{-1} dx \wedge dy \\ \Rightarrow f(z_0) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Gamma} w + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} (z) (z_0 - z)^{-1} dx \wedge dy \end{aligned}$$

If $f = 0$ on $\partial\Gamma$ then

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} (z) (z_0 - z)^{-1} dx \wedge dy$$

($f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for as $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$). Now $\Gamma = \mathbb{C}$

$$f(T) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{2f}{2\bar{z}} (z) (T - z)^{-1} dx \wedge dy$$

However, there 2 Problems:

1) maybe $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

2) $\text{spec}(T) \subset \mathbb{C}$

Definition V.1: Let $\tau \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{spec}(T(\tau)) \subset [-2, 2]$, $\tau|_{[-1,1]} \equiv 1$.

$$\sigma(x, y) := \tau\left(\frac{y}{\langle x \rangle}\right), \quad \langle x \rangle := (1 + x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

For $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $n \geq 1$ we define the n -th **almost analytic extension** $\tilde{f}_n: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\tilde{f}_n(z) := \sigma(x, y) \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{f^{(j)}(x)}{j!} (iy)^j$$

Ruh:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \bar{z}}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_x - \frac{1}{i} \partial_y \right) \tilde{f}_n(x, y) \tag{V.1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{f^{(j+1)}(x)}{j!} (iy)^j - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{f^{(j)}(x)}{(j-1)!} (iy)^{j-1} \right) \sigma(x, y) + \frac{1}{2} \sum \dots (\partial_x \sigma + i \partial_y \sigma) \tag{V.2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{f^{(n+1)}(x)}{n!} (iy)^n \sigma(x, y) + \dots \tag{V.3}$$

$\sigma \equiv 1$ on a strip of size $\langle x \rangle$ around \mathbb{R}

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_n(z)}{\partial \bar{z}} \right| = \mathcal{O}(|y|^n) \text{ for } y \rightarrow 0$$

Definition V.2: Let $T = T^*$ be a map which associates to every element $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of a subalgebra \mathcal{E} of the Borel functions $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ an operator $f(T) \in L(H, H)$ is called functional calculus for T if

i) $f \mapsto f(T)$ is an algebra homomorphism

$$(f + \alpha g)(T) = f(T) + \alpha g(T), \quad (f \cdot g)(T) = f(T) \cdot g(T) \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{E}$$

ii) $f(T)^* = \bar{f}(T)$

iii) $\|f(T)\| \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty}$

iv) For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and $r_z(x) = (x - z)^{-1}$ is

$$r_z(T) = \text{Res}_T(z)$$

v) If $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *vandities* on $\text{spec}(T)$, i.e.

$$\text{sp } T(f) \cap \text{spec}(T) = \emptyset, \text{ then } f(t) = 0$$

Definition V.3: For $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$S^\beta := \left\{ f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists c_n < \infty \text{ s.t. } |f^{(n)}(x)| \leq c_n \langle x \rangle^{\beta-n} \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{A} := \bigcup_{\beta < 0} S^\beta$$

$$\|f\|_n := \sum_{j=0}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \underbrace{|f^{(j)}(x)| \langle x \rangle^{j-1}}_{\sim \langle x \rangle^{\beta-1}}$$

well-defined

Addendum

Theorem (Riesz' representation theorem, FA 17.2): Let H be a Hilbert space, and let H' denote its dual space, consisting of all continuous linear functionals from H into the field (\mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R}). For every element of $x' \in X'$ there exists a unique $x \in X$ such that

$$x'(y) = \langle y, x \rangle,$$

for all $y \in X$, and $\|x'\|_{X'} = \|x\|_X$.

Theorem (Closed graph theorem, FA 12.6): thm:acgt] If X and Y are Banach spaces, and $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is a linear operator, then T is continuous if and only if its graph is closed in $X \times Y$, with respect to the product topology.

Definition (Isometric): Let X and Y be metric spaces with metrics d_X and d_Y . A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called an isometry or distance preserving if for any $a, b \in X$ one has

$$d_Y(f(a), f(b)) = d_X(a, b).$$

X and Y are called isometric if there is a bijective isometry from X to Y .

Stichwortverzeichnis

- adjoint, 5
- bounded, 3
 - semi-, 13
- closable, 4
- closed, 3
- closure, 4
- coercive, 9
- dense, 3
- domain, 2
- essential range, 20
- Friedrichs extension, 14
- Graph, 3
- Hardy inequality, 13
- inner product, 2
- Operator
 - bounded, 3
 - closable, 4
 - closed, 3
 - closure of, 4
- range, 2
- resolvent function, 18
- resolvent set, 18
- self-adjoint, 8
- semi-bounded, 13
- spectrum, 18
 - continuous, 18
 - point, 18
 - residual, 18
- symmetric, 7