

Spectraltheory

Prof. Dr. Tobias Lamm

Sommersemester 2017

Karlsruher Institut für Technologie

Contents

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| I | Unbounded, adjoint and self-adjoint operators | 2 |
| II | Representation Theorems | 9 |
| A | Exercises | 11 |

Chapter I

Unbounded, adjoint and self-adjoint operators

Let H be a separable Hilbert space, i.e. a real or complex inner product space that is also a complete metric space with respect to the distance function induced by the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on H .

A linear operator T in H is a linear map

$$u \mapsto Tu$$

defined on a subspace $\mathcal{D}(T)$ of H , and we call $\mathcal{D}(T)$ the **domain** of T . For $T: \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow H$ we denote the **range** of T with

$$\mathcal{R}(T) := \text{Image}(T).$$

We say that T is **bounded** if it is continuous from $\mathcal{D}(T)$ into H , with respect to the topology induced by H . If $\mathcal{D}(T) = H$ we recall the definition of bounded operators from the [functional analysis](#) course. From now on, if $\mathcal{D}(T) \neq H$ we will assume that $\mathcal{D}(T)$ is **dense** in H , i.e. $\overline{\mathcal{D}(T)} = H$.

In this case, if T is bounded then T has a unique continuous extension to all of H . As this simplifies many considerations some of the following theorems would be trivial, and hence, we won't focus on bounded operators during this lecture.

Recall: An operator is called **closed** if the graph

$$G(T) := \left\{ (x, y) \in H \times H \mid x \in \mathcal{D}(T), y = Tx \right\}$$

is closed in $H \times H$.

Definition I.1: Let $T: \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow H$ be a (linear) operator with $\mathcal{D}(T)$ dense in H . Then T is called **closed** if for all

$$u_n \in \mathcal{D}(T), \quad u_n \rightarrow u \in H \quad \text{and} \quad Tu_n \rightarrow v \in H$$

follows that

$$u \in \mathcal{D}(T), \quad v = Tu$$

holds.

Example:

a) Let $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\mathcal{D}(T_0) = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in H . Define the operator

$$T_0 = -\Delta,$$

and take $u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Due to the density:

$$\exists (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n): \quad u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

As a result, $(u_n, -\Delta u_n) \in G(T_0)$ converges in $L^2 \times L^2$ to $(u, -\Delta u) \notin G(T_0)$.

b) Let $T_1 = -\Delta$, $\mathcal{D}(T_1) = W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T_1)$ with

$$u_n \rightarrow u \in H \quad \text{and} \quad (-\Delta u_n) \rightarrow v \in L^2$$

follows that $-\Delta u = v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ weakly, i.e. $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v \varphi \leftarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta u_n) \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_n (-\Delta \varphi) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u (-\Delta \varphi).$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{PDE}} u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{D}(T_1) \Rightarrow T_1 \text{ is closed.}$$

Definition I.2: An operator T is called **closable** $\iff \overline{G(T)}$ is a graph.

Remark: We call \overline{T} the **closure** of T , and in such case we have

$$\mathcal{D}(\overline{T}) := \{x \in H \mid \exists y: (x, y) \in \overline{G(T)}\}$$

For any $x \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{T})$ the assumption that $\overline{G(T)}$ is a graph implies that y is unique and hence

$$\Rightarrow G(\overline{T}) = \overline{G(T)}, \quad \overline{T}x := y$$

Equivalently, $\mathcal{D}(\overline{T})$ is the set of all $x \in H$ such that there exists a sequence $x_n \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$ in H and Tx_n is a cauchy sequence. For such x we define

$$\overline{T}x := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n$$

Example: Let $T_0 := -\Delta$, $\mathcal{D}(T_0) = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is closable with $\overline{T_0} = T_1$.

Proof: Let $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that there exists $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $u_n \rightarrow u$ in L^2 and $-\Delta u_n \rightarrow u$ in L^2 , as above:

$$-\Delta u = v \in L^2$$

For a given u the function u is unique $\Rightarrow T_0$ is closable. Let $\overline{T_0}$ be the closure with domain $\mathcal{D}(\overline{T_0})$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}(T_0)$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta u \in L^2 \Rightarrow u \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{D}(T_1) \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\overline{T_0}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T_1)$$

but $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}(T_1) = W_{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\Rightarrow W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\overline{T_0}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\overline{T_0}) = \mathcal{D}(T_1) \Rightarrow T_1 = \overline{T_0},$$

Assumption:

$$W^{2,2} \not\subseteq \mathcal{D}(T_0) \Rightarrow \exists u \in W^{2,2} \setminus \mathcal{D}(T_0), \exists (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } W^{2,2}$$

same argument as in example 1) $\Rightarrow \overline{T_0}$ not closed! □

Recall: If $T: H \rightarrow H$ is bounded then T^* is defined through

$$\langle u, T^*v \rangle = \langle Tu, v \rangle, \quad \forall u, v \in H$$

In the ... $u \mapsto \langle Tu, v \rangle$ defines a continuous linear map on H ($\in H'$), Riesz' representation theorem then ensures the existence of T^* .

Definition I.3: If T is an unbounded operator on H with dense domain, we defined

$$\mathcal{D}(T^*) := \left\{ v \in H : \mathcal{D}(T) \ni u \mapsto \langle Tu, v \rangle \text{ can be extended as a linear continuous form on } H \right\}$$

Using Riesz representation theorem $\exists! f \in H$:

$$\langle u, f \rangle = \langle Tu, v \rangle \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

then define $T^*v = f$, where the uniqueness follows from the density of $\mathcal{D}(T)$ in H .

Remark: If $\mathcal{D}(T) = H$ and T is bounded then we recover the “old” adjoint.

Example: $T_0^* = T_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(T_0^*) &= \left\{ v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni u \mapsto \langle -\Delta u, v \rangle \text{ can be} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{extended as a linear continuous form on } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : -\Delta v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\} = W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{D}(T_1) \end{aligned}$$

Damit ist

$$\langle T_1 u, v \rangle = \langle -\Delta u, v \rangle = \int v(-\Delta u) = - \int (-\Delta v) u = \langle u, T_1 v \rangle$$

Theorem I.1: T^* is a closed operator.

Proof: $v_n \in \mathcal{D}(T^*)$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in H and $T^*v_n \rightarrow w^*$ in H for $(v, w^*) \in H \times H$.

For all $u \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ we have

$$\langle Tu, v \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Tu, v_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u, T^*v_n \rangle = \langle u, w^* \rangle$$

$(H \ni u \mapsto \langle u, w^* \rangle \text{ is continuous}) \Rightarrow v \in \mathcal{D}(T^*)$ and $w^* = T^*v$ by definition. □

Theorem I.2: Let T be an operator in H with domain $\mathcal{D}(T)$. Then

$$G(T^*) = \left(V \left(\overline{G(T)} \right) \right)^\perp$$

where $V : H \times H \rightarrow H \times H, V(x, y) = (y, -x)$ ($V^2 = -\mathbb{I}$).

Proof: Let $u \in \mathcal{D}(T), (v, w^*) \in H \times H$

$$\Rightarrow \langle V(u, TU), (v, w^*) \rangle_{H \times H} = \langle Tu, v \rangle - \langle u, w^* \rangle$$

Considering the right-hand side it follows

$$\langle Tu, v \rangle - \langle u, w^* \rangle = 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T) \iff v \in \mathcal{D}(T^*) \text{ and } w^* = T^*v \iff (v, w^*) \in G(T^*),$$

and considering the left-hand side

$$\Rightarrow \langle V(u, TU), (v, w^*) \rangle_{H \times H} = 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T) \iff (v, w^*) \in V(G(T))^\perp$$

In general: $U^\perp = \overline{U}^\perp$

$$\Rightarrow V(G(T))^\perp = \left(\overline{V(G(T))} \right)^\perp = \left(V(\overline{G(T)}) \right)^\perp$$

□

Theorem I.3: Let T be a closable operator. Then:

a) $\mathcal{D}(T^*)$ is dense in H

b) $T^{**} := (T^*)^* = \overline{T}$

Proof:

a) Proof through contradiction: $D(T^*)$ not dense in $H \rightarrow \exists w \neq 0 : \langle w, v \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(T^*)}$

$$\implies \langle (0, w), (T^*v, -v) \rangle_{H \times H} = 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(T^*)$$

$$\implies (0, w) \perp V(G(T^*))$$

$$\xrightarrow[\text{I.2}]{\text{Thm}} V(\overline{G(T)}) = G(T^*)^\perp$$

$$\implies V(G(T^*)^\perp) = \overline{G(T)}$$

For any $M \subseteq H \times H$ we have $V(M^\perp) = V(M)^\perp$ since for $(u, v) \in V(M)^\perp, (x, y) \in M$

$$\langle V(u, v), (x, y) \rangle_{H \times H} = -\langle (u, v), V(x, y) \rangle_{H \times H} \Rightarrow V(u, v) \in M^\perp \Rightarrow (u, v) \in V(M^\perp)$$

$$\Rightarrow V(G(T^*)^\perp) = \overline{G(T)} = G(\overline{T}) \Rightarrow (0, w) \in G(\overline{T}) \Rightarrow w = 0$$

$$\text{b) } G(T^{**}) \stackrel{\text{Thm I.2}}{=} V\left(\overline{G(T^*)}\right)^\perp \stackrel{\text{Thm I.1}}{=} V(G(T^*))^\perp \stackrel{(\perp)}{=} G(\overline{T}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(T^{**}) = \mathcal{D}(\overline{T}), T^{**} = \overline{T}$$

□

Definition I.4: We say $T: \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow H$ is **symmetric** if and only if

$$\langle Tu, v \rangle = \langle u, Tv \rangle \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

Example: $T_0 = -\Delta$, $\mathcal{D}(T_0) = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta u) v = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u (-\Delta v)$$

Remark: If T is symmetric $\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T^*)$ and

$$Tu = T^*u \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

$\Rightarrow (T^*, \mathcal{D}(T^*))$ is an extension of $(T, \mathcal{D}(T))$.

Lemma I.1: A symmetric operator T is closable.

Proof: It suffice to show that for $u_n \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ with $u_n \rightarrow 0$ and $u_n \rightarrow x \in H$ we have $x = 0$

$$\langle x, v \rangle \leftarrow \langle Tu_n, v \rangle = \langle u_n, Tu \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0, Tu \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

$\Rightarrow x = 0$.

□

Remark: The proof actually shows that if $\mathcal{D}(T^*)$ is dense in H , then T is closable.

Definition I.5: We call an operator T **self-adjoint** if

$$T = T^* \text{ and } Tu = T^*u \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T),$$

note that the first property implies that $\mathcal{D}(T) = \mathcal{D}(T^*)$.

Theorem I.4: Every self-adjoint operator is closable.

Proof: [Lemma I.1](#)

□

Theorem I.5: Let T be an invertible self-adjoint operator, then T^{-1} is also self-adjoint.

Proof: For $T: \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(T)$ consider

Step 1 $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is dense in H . We have to show that $\mathcal{R}(T)^\perp = \{0\}$.

Let $w \in H$ such that

$$\langle Tu, w \rangle = 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

$$\Rightarrow w \in \mathcal{D}(T^*) \text{ and } T^*w = 0 \xrightarrow[s.a.]{inj.} w = 0.$$

Step 2 Let $w: H \times H \rightarrow H \times H$, $w(x, y) = (y, x)$

$$\Rightarrow G(T^{-1}) = \left\{ (x, T^{-1}x) : x \in \mathcal{D}(T) \right\} = w(G(T)) = \left\{ (Ty, y) : y \in \mathcal{D}(T) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G(T^{-1}) &= G((T^*)^{-1}) \xrightarrow[\text{Thm. I.2}]{Proof} w(V(G(T)^\perp)) \\ &= V(w(G(T))^\perp) = V(w(G(T)))^\perp \\ &= V(G(T^{-1}))^\perp \xrightarrow[\text{I.2}]{Thm.} G((T^{-1})^*) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow T^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*$$

□

Chapter II

Representation Theorems

Theorem II.1 (Riesz): *Let $u \mapsto F(u)$ be a linear continuous function on H . Then $\exists! w \in H$:*

$$F(u) = \langle u, w \rangle \quad \forall u \in H$$

Lax-Milgram: V Hilbertspace, sesquilinear form is defined on $V \times V$, $(u, v) \mapsto \alpha(u, v)$ continuous with

$$|\alpha(u, v)| \leq c \|u\| \|v\| \quad \forall u, v \in V$$

Riesz: \exists linear map $A: V \rightarrow V$:

$$\alpha(u, v) = \langle Au, v \rangle$$

Definition II.1: A bilinear form $a: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is ***V-coercive*** if there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$a(u, u) \geq \lambda \|u\|^2 \quad \forall u \in V$$

Theorem II.2: *Let a be a continuous sesquilinear and V -coercive on $V \times V$ then A is an isomorphism.*

Proof:

Step 1: A is injective:

$$\|Au\| \|u\| \stackrel{C.S.}{\geq} |\langle Au, u \rangle| = |a(u, u)| \geq \lambda \|u\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Au\| \geq \lambda \|u\| \text{ for all } u \in V.$$

Step 2: $A(V)$ is dense in V . Let $u \in V$ such that

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$$

$$\text{take } v = u \Rightarrow a(u, u) = 0 \Rightarrow u = 0.$$

Step 3: $\mathbb{R}(A) = A(V)$ is closed. Let v_n be a sequence in $A(V)$ and let a_n be such that

$$Au_n = v_n$$

$$\stackrel{(+)}{\implies} u_n \text{ is a Cauchy sequence } \Rightarrow u_n \rightarrow u \in V \text{ und } Au_n \rightarrow Au \Rightarrow v_n \rightarrow Au \in A(V)$$

Step 4: $u = A^{-1}v \stackrel{(+)}{\implies} \|A^{-1}v\| \leq \lambda^{-1}\|v\| \quad \forall v \in V.$

□

Next we consider two Hilbert spaces V, H with $V \subset H$ (the inclusion is continuous), i.e.

$$\exists c < \infty: \quad \|u\|_H \leq c\|u\|_V \quad \forall u \in V$$

and we assume that V is dense in H .

Example: $V = W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\|u\|_L^2 \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,2}}$$

There exists a natural injection from H into V' . Let $h \in H$ then $V \ni u \mapsto \langle u, h \rangle_H$ is continuous on $V \xrightarrow[\text{Thm. II.1}]{\implies} \exists l_h \in V'$:

$$l_h(u) = \langle u, h \rangle_H \quad \forall u \in V$$

injectivity follows from density of V in H . $V \subset H$

Appendix A

Exercises

Exercise 1

a) H separable $\Rightarrow \exists (e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq H$ orthonormal basis of H .

Proof: H separable $\Rightarrow \exists (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq H: \overline{\{u_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}} = H$. Define:

$$H_n := \text{lin}\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$H_n \subseteq H$ closed subspace of H as $\dim H_n \leq n < \infty$. By Projektionssatz there exists an orthogonal projection P_n on H_n . Set

$$g_n := u_n - P_{n-1}u_n \in H_n \cap H_{n-1}^\perp, N := \{n \in \mathbb{N} | g_n \neq 0\}$$

Now we define

$$e_n := \begin{cases} \frac{g_n}{\|g_n\|}, & n \in N \\ 0, & n \notin N \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow e_n \in H_n \cap H_{n-1}^\perp, \text{lin}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} = H_n \Rightarrow (e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis of H .

□

b) $H = L^2(0, 1), \mathcal{D}(T) = W^{1,2}(0, 1) =: H^1(0, 1), Tf = if'$.

Proof: T abgeschlossen: $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T)$ Cauchyfolge bezüglich $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,2}}$. $\xrightarrow[\text{vollständig}]{L^2}$

$\exists x, y \in L^2(0, 1) : x_n \rightarrow x, x'_n \rightarrow y$ in $L^2(0, 1)$

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^1 x \varphi' dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 x_n \varphi' dt = - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 x_n'' \varphi dt = - \int_0^1 y \varphi dt \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0, 1)$$

$\Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{D}(T), x' = y, Tx = ix' \Rightarrow T$ abgeschlossen.

We still have to show that T isn't symmetric:

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle_{L^2} = \int_0^1 ix' \bar{y} dt \stackrel{P.I.}{=} [ixy]_0^1 - \int_0^1 ix \bar{y}' dt = \underbrace{[ixy]_0^1}_{\neq 0 \text{ i. g.}} + \langle x, Ty \rangle_{L^2},$$

d.h. T is not symmetrich $\Rightarrow T$ nicht self-adjoint. T isn't halb-beschränkt nach unten; siehe (iii). \square

c) $\mathcal{D}(T) = W_0^{1,2}(0,1)$, $H = L^2(0,1)$, $Tf = if'$.

Proof: T closed: as in (ii),

T symmetrisch:

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle_{L^2} = \dots = \underbrace{[ixy]_0^1}_{=0} + \langle x, Ty \rangle_{L^2} = \langle x, Ty \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{D}(T)$$

T not self-adjoint:

$$\mathcal{D}(T^*) = \left\{ y \in L^2(0,1) : x \mapsto \langle Tx, y \rangle_{L^2} \text{ continuous on } \mathcal{D}(T) \right\}$$

Vermutung: $W^{1,2}(0,1) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T^*)$ (even " $=$ "). Let $x \in \mathcal{D}(T)$, $y \in W^{1,2}(0,1)$:

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle_{L^2} = \dots = \underbrace{[ixy]_0^1}_{=0} + \langle x, iy' \rangle_{L^2} = \langle x, iy' \rangle_{L^2}$$

continuous on $\mathcal{D}(T)$, i.e. $W^{1,2}(0,1) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(T^*)$, however $W^{1,2}(0,1) \not\subseteq W_0^{1,2}(0,1)$, i.e. $\mathcal{D}(T) \neq \mathcal{D}(T^*) \Rightarrow T \neq T^*$.

T is not halb-beschränkt nach unten:

$$\text{Consider the comment: } \langle Tx, x \rangle_{L^2} = -2 \int_0^2 1 (\operatorname{Im} x)' \operatorname{Re} x dt \stackrel{“?”}{\geq} c \langle x, x \rangle_{L^2}$$

For $f_0 \in W_0^{1,2}(0,1)$ with $\langle f_0, f_0 \rangle_{L^2} = 1$, $w \in \mathbb{R}$, $f_w(t) := e^{iwt} f_0(t) \Rightarrow \langle f_w, f_w \rangle_{L^2} = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} f'_w(t) &= iwe^{iwt} f_0(t) + e^{iwt} f'_0(t) \\ &= iw f_w(t) + e^{iwt} f'_0(t). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T f_w, f_w \rangle &= \int_0^1 \left(-w f_w(t) + i e^{iwt} f'_0(t) \right) e^{-iwt} \overline{f_0(t)} dt \\ &= \underbrace{\int_0^1 -w |f_0|^2 dt}_{=-w} + \underbrace{\int_0^1 i f'_0(t) \overline{f_0(t)} dt}_{\langle T f_0, f_0 \rangle_{L^2}} = -w + \underbrace{\langle T f_0, f_0 \rangle_{L^2}}_{\in \mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \pm \infty \end{aligned}$$

for $w \rightarrow \pm \infty \Rightarrow T$ ist not halb-beschränkt. In (iii) T has a self-adjoint Er-

weiterung S :

$$\mathcal{D}(S) = \{x \in W^{1,2}(0,1) : x(0) = x(1)\}, \quad Sf = if'$$

□

Definition A.1: Sei $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ offen, $r: \Omega \rightarrow X$ eine Funktion. Man definiert

a) r ist schwach analytisch $\iff \forall \varphi \in X^*: \varphi \circ r$ analytisch auf Ω

b) r ist analytisch $\iff \frac{d}{dz}r(z_0) := r'(z_0) := \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z - z_0)^{-1} [r(z) - r(z_0)]$ existiert in $X \forall z_0 \in \Omega$

c) Kurvenintegrale: Seien $\Gamma := \{\gamma(t) : t \in [a, b]\}$ endlich-stückweise glatte Kurve in Ω , r stetig, dann:

$$\int_{\Gamma} r(\lambda) d\lambda := \int_a^b r(\gamma(t)) \cdot \gamma'(t) dt \in X$$

Satz (Lemma von Dunford): $r: \Omega \rightarrow X$ schwach analytisch $\iff r$ analytisch

Satz (Cauchy's Integralsatz und Formel): Sei $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ offen und konvex, $r: \Omega \rightarrow X$ analytisch. Dann gilt:

a) $\gamma \subseteq \Omega$ stückweise glatt und geschlossen $\Rightarrow \int_{\Gamma} r(\lambda) d\lambda = 0$.

b) $\forall \lambda_0 \in \Omega, a > 0$ mit $\overline{B(\lambda_0, a)} \subseteq \Omega$:

$$r(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\mu - \lambda_0| = a} \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} r(\mu) d\mu \in X.$$

Proof: Sei $x^* \in X^*$, dann ist $x^* \circ r$ analytisch auf Ω . Nach Integralsatz bzw. -formel aus der Funktionentheorie folgt:

$$0 = \int_{\Gamma} x^*(r(\lambda)) d\lambda = x^* \left(\underbrace{\int_{\Gamma} r(\lambda) d\lambda}_{=: x_1} \right),$$

$$x^*(r(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\mu - \lambda_0| = a} \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} x^*(r(\mu)) d\mu = x^* \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\mu - \lambda_0| = a} \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} r(\mu) d\mu \right)$$

$$\iff x^* \left(\underbrace{r(\lambda) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} r(\mu) d\mu}_{=: x_2} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x^*(x_1) = 0, x^*(x_2) = 0 \forall x^* \in X^* \xrightarrow[\text{Banach}]{\text{Hahn-Banach}} x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0.$$

□

Das Dunford-Kalkül

Definition A.2 (Kalkül für Polynome): Sei $A \in L(X)$, $p: \lambda \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \lambda^k$ Polynom, $a_k \in \mathbb{C}$ für $k = 0, \dots, n$. Dann definiert man:

$$p(A) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k A^k \in L(X).$$

\mathcal{P} Vektorraum aller Polynome.

Satz (Eigenschaften): $p_1, p_2, p \in \mathcal{P}$, $p(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \lambda^k$, $A \in L(X)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Dann gilt:

- (1) Linearität: $(\alpha p_1 + \beta p_2)(A) = \alpha p_1(A) + \beta p_2(A)$.
- (2) Multiplikativität: $(p_1 \cdot p_2)(A) = p_1(A) p_2(A) = p_2(A) \cdot p_1(A)$.
- (3) Beschränktheit: $\|p(A)\|_{L(X)} \leq \sum_{k=0}^n |a_k| \|A\|_{L(X)}^k$.
- (4) Spektrale Abbildungseigenschaft: $\sigma(p(A)) = p(\sigma(A))$.

Proof:

(1) - (3): klar.

(4) “ \supseteq ”: Sei $\mu \in \sigma(A)$, dann hat das Polynom $\lambda \mapsto p(\mu) - p(\lambda) \in \mathcal{P}$ eine Nullstelle in $\lambda = \mu$. Somit folgt:

$$p(\mu) - p(\lambda) = (\mu - \lambda) q(\lambda),$$

für ein $q \in \mathcal{P}$ für alle $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$\xrightarrow[\lambda=A]{(1),(2)} p(\mu) - p(A) = (\mu - A) q(A) = q(A) (\mu - A)$$

Da $\mu \in \sigma(A)$ ist $\mu - A$ nicht injektiv oder nicht surjektiv.

$$\Rightarrow p(\mu) - p(A)$$

kann nicht injektiv oder nicht surjektiv sein $\Rightarrow p(\mu) \in \sigma(p(A))$. “ \subseteq ”: Sei $\mu \in$

$\sigma(p(A))$. Wähle Nullstellen $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{C}$ von $\lambda \mapsto \mu - p(\lambda)$, d.h.

$$\mu - p(\lambda) = a(\lambda - \lambda_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (\lambda - \lambda_m),$$

$a \neq 0 \xrightarrow[\lambda)A]{(1),(2)} \mu - p(A) = a(A - \lambda_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (A - \lambda_m)$. Angenommen: $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \notin \sigma(A)$

$$\Rightarrow L(X) \ni (A - \lambda_m)^{-1} \cdot \dots \cdot (A - \lambda_1)^{-1} a^{-1} = (\mu - p(A))^{-1},$$

was einen Widerspruch zu $\mu \in \sigma(p(A))$ darstellt $\Rightarrow \exists j_0 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$: $\lambda_{j_0} \in \sigma(A)$.

$$\mu - p(\lambda_{j_0}) = 0 \iff \mu = p(\lambda_{j_0}),$$

d.h. $\mu \in p(\sigma(A))$.

□

Bemerkung:

| Kalkül für Polynome | |
|--|--|
| Verallgemeinerte Polynome = Potenzreihen | Approximiere: Satz von Weierstraß $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\ \cdot\ _{C^0[0,1]}} = C^0[0,1]$ |
| $A \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k A^k$ “Konvergenzradius”? \Rightarrow analytische Funktionen \Rightarrow Dunford-Kalkül | \Rightarrow Kalkül für $C^0[0,1]$ Stetige Funktionalkalkül |

Definition A.3 (Kalkül für Potenzreihen): Sei $f(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \lambda^k$, $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, Potenzreihe mit Konvergenzradius $R > 0$. Zu $A \in L(X)$, $r(A) < R$ definieren wir:

$$f(A) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k A^k \right) =: \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k A^k$$

in $L(X)$.

Stichwortverzeichnis

bounded, 2

closable, 3

closed, 3

closure, 3

coercive, 9

dense, 2

domain, 2

Graph, 3

Operator

 bounded, 2

 closable, 3

 closed, 3

 closure of, 3

range, 2