

Massive Notes 2.4 pp.228 - 231

Key Points : Basic Impact of Spatial Channel Correlation

1. Basic Impact of Spatial Channel Correlation

1. For **single-user point-to-point MIMO channels** with multiple antennas at both transmitter and receiver, the **spatial channel correlation** is **detrimental**. However, for **multiuser communications with single-antenna UEs**, the picture changes.

2. Why? because it is the **collection of the UE's spatial correlation matrices** that determines the network performance.

3. In fact, 1) The UEs' channels are well modeled as **statistically uncorrelated** because they are generally **physically separated by multiple wavelengths**; 2) although the channel of each UE can exhibit high spatial correlation at the BS, the **spatial correlation matrices can be highly different between UEs**.

4. Consider the UL of a single-cell scenario and assume that the channels are perfectly known. The UEs' channels are distributed as $\mathbf{h}_k \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{0}_M, \mathbf{R}_k)$, for $k = 1, \dots, K$, and we assume that

$$\mathbf{R}_k = K \mathbf{U}_k \mathbf{U}_k^H \quad (2.9)$$

where $\mathbf{U}_k \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M/K}$ are tall unitary matrices (i.e., its columns are orthogonal, $\mathbf{U}_k^H \mathbf{U}_k = \mathbf{I}_{M/K}$) and we assume that $\mathbf{U}_k^H \mathbf{U}_j = \mathbf{0}_{M/K \times M/K}$ for all $j \neq k$. The factor K **normalizes** the channel gain such that $\beta_k = \frac{1}{M} \text{tr}(\mathbf{R}_k) = 1$

2. An Example to Understand the Impact of Spatial Channel Correlation

5. Remember (2.4)

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{R}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{U}^H \tilde{\mathbf{e}} \sim \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{e}$$

use K-L expansion, we have

$$\mathbf{h}_k = \sqrt{K} \mathbf{U}_k \mathbf{e}_k \quad (2.10)$$

where $\mathbf{e}_k \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{0}_{M/K}, \mathbf{I}_{M/K})$

6. Next let's consider the **received UL signal** $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^M$ at the BS, which is given by

$$\mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^K \mathbf{h}_i s_i + \mathbf{n} \quad (2.11)$$

where $s_i \in \mathbb{C}$, for $i = 1, \dots, K$, are the UL signals which power is p_i , and $\mathbf{n} \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{0}_M, \sigma_{\text{UL}}^2 \mathbf{I}_M)$ is receiver noise. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\mathbf{U}_k^{\text{H}}}_{\text{Correlation Eigenspace}} \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{U}_k^{\text{H}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^K \mathbf{h}_i s_i + \mathbf{n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^K \sqrt{K} \mathbf{U}_k^{\text{H}} \mathbf{U}_i \mathbf{e}_i s_i + \mathbf{U}_k^{\text{H}} \mathbf{n} = \sqrt{K} \mathbf{e}_k s_k + \check{\mathbf{n}}_k \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where $\check{\mathbf{n}}_k \sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{0}_{M/K}, \sigma_{\text{UL}}^2 \mathbf{I}_{M/k})$, and **the multisuer is divided into K orthogonal single-user channels with M/K effective antennas.**

7. But if all UEs share the same correlation matrix $\mathbf{R} = K \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^{\text{H}}$, the detrimental effect of a common correlation matrix is apparent when we multiply the received UL signal \mathbf{y} by the correlation-eigenspace \mathbf{U} .