



(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication:
29.07.2009 Bulletin 2009/31

(51) Int Cl.:
H01Q 1/36 (2006.01)

(21) Application number: **08164491.6**

(22) Date of filing: **20.09.1999**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU MC NL PT SE

- **Anguera Pros, Jaume**
Fractus S.A.
08190 Sant Cugat del Valles (Barcelona) (ES)
- **Soler Castany, Jordi**
Fractus S.A.
08190 Sant Cugat del Valles (Barcelona) (ES)

(62) Document number(s) of the earlier application(s) in accordance with Art. 76 EPC:
05000379.7 / 1 526 604
99974041.8 / 1 223 637

(74) Representative: **Carpintero Lopez, Francisco et al**
Herrero & Asociados, S.L.
Alcalá 35
28014 Madrid (ES)

(71) Applicant: **Fractus, S.A.**
08190 San Cugat Del Valles (Barcelona) (ES)

(72) Inventors:
• **Puente Baliarda, Carles**
Fractus S.A.
08190 Sant Cugat del Valles (Barcelona) (ES)
• **Borja Borau, Carmen**
Fractus S.A.
08190 Sant Cugat del Valles (Barcelona) (ES)

Remarks:

- This application was filed on 17-09-2008 as a divisional application to the application mentioned under INID code 62.
- Claims 18, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 are deemed to be abandoned due to non-payment of the claims fees (Rule 45(3) EPC).

(54) **Multilevel antennae**

(57) Antennae in which the corresponding radiative element contains at least one multilevel structure formed by a set of similar geometric elements (polygons or polyhedrons) electromagnetically coupled and grouped such that in the structure of the antenna can be identified each of the basic component elements. The design is such that it provides two important advantages: the antenna may operate simultaneously in several frequencies, and/or its size can be substantially reduced. Thus, a multiband radioelectric behaviour is achieved, that is, a similar behavior for different frequency bands.





FIG. 8

Description

OBJECT OF THE INVENTION

[0001] The present invention relates to antennae formed by sets of similar geometrical elements (polygons, polyhedrons electro magnetically coupled and grouped such that in the antenna structure may be distinguished each of the basic elements which form it.

[0002] More specifically, it relates to a specific geometrical design of said antennae by which two main advantages are provided: the antenna may operate simultaneously in several frequencies and/or its size can be substantially reduced.

[0003] The scope of application of the present invention is mainly within the field of telecommunications, and more specifically in the field of radio-communication.

BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0004] Antennae were first developed towards the end of the past century, when James C. Maxwell in 1864 postulated the fundamental laws of electromagnetism. Heinrich Hertz may be attributed in 1886 with the invention of the first antenna by which transmission in air of electromagnetic waves was demonstrated. In the mid forties were shown the fundamental restrictions of antennae as regards the reduction of their size relative to wavelength, and at the start of the sixties the first frequency-independent antennae appeared. At that time helixes, spirals, logoperiodic groupings, cones and structures defined solely by angles were proposed for construction of wide band antennae.

[0005] In 1995 were introduced the fractal or multifractal type antennae (Patent n° 9501019), which due to their geometry presented a multifrequency behavior and in certain cases a small size. Later were introduced multitriangular antennae (Patent n° 9800954) which operated simultaneously in bands GSM 900 and GSM 1800.

[0006] The antennae described in the present patent have their origin in fractal and multitriangular type antennae, but solve several problems of a practical nature which limit the behavior of said antennae and reduce their applicability in real environments.

[0007] From a scientific standpoint strictly fractal antennae are impossible, as fractal objects are a mathematical abstraction which include an infinite number of elements. It is possible to generate antennae with a form based on said fractal objects, incorporating a finite number of iterations. The performance of such antennae is limited to the specific geometry of each one. For example, the position of the bands and their relative spacing is related to fractal geometry and it is not always possible, viable or economic to design the antennae maintaining its fractal appearance and at the same time placing the bands at the correct area of the radioelectric spectrum. To begin, truncation implies a clear example of the limitations brought about by using a real fractal type antenna

which attempts to approximate the theoretical behavior of an ideal fractal antenna. Said effect breaks the behavior of the ideal fractal structure in the lower band, displacing it from its theoretical position relative to the other bands and in short requiring a too large size for the antenna which hinders practical applications.

[0008] In addition to such practical problems, it is not always possible to alter the fractal structure to present the level of impedance of radiation diagram which is suited to the requirements of each application. Due to these reasons, it is often necessary to leave the fractal geometry and resort to other types of geometries which offer a greater flexibility as regards the position of frequency bands of the antennae, adaptation levels and impedances, polarization and radiation diagrams.

[0009] Multitriangular structures (Patent n° 9800954) were an example of non-fractal structures with a geometry designed such that the antennae could be used in base stations of GSM and DCS cellular telephony. Antennae described in said patent consisted of three triangles joined only at their vertices, of a size adequate for use in bands 890 MHz - 960 MHz and 1710 MHz - 1880 MHz. This was a specific solution for a specific environment which did not provide the flexibility and versatility required to deal with other antennae designs for other environments.

[0010] Multilevel antennae solve the operational limitations of fractal and multitriangular antennae. Their geometry is much more flexible, rich and varied, allowing operation of the antenna from two to many more bands, as well as providing a greater versatility as regards diagrams, band positions and impedance levels, to name a few examples. Although they are not fractal, multilevel antennae are characterised in that they comprise a number of elements which may be distinguished in the overall structure. Precisely because they clearly show several levels of detail (that of the overall structure and that of the individual elements which make it up), antennae provide a multiband behavior and/or a small size. The origin of their name also lies in said property.

[0011] The present invention consists of an antenna whose radiating element is characterised by its geometrical shape, which basically comprises several polygons or polyhedrons of the same type. That is, it comprises for example triangles, squares, pentagons, hexagons or even circles and ellipses as a limiting case of a polygon with a large number of sides, as well as tetrahedra, hexahedra, prisms, dodecahedra, etc. coupled to each other electrically (either through at least one point of contact or through a small separation providing a capacitive coupling) and grouped in structures of a higher level such that in the body of the antenna can be identified the polygonal or polyhedral elements which it comprises. In turn, structures generated in this manner can be grouped in higher order structures in a manner similar to the basic elements, and so on until reaching as many levels as the antenna designer desires.

[0012] Its designation as multilevel antenna is precise-

ly due to the fact that in the body of the antenna can be identified at least two levels of detail: that of the overall structure and that of the majority of the elements (polygons or polyhedrons) which make it up. This is achieved by ensuring that the area of contact or intersection (if it exists) between the majority of the elements forming the antenna is only a fraction of the perimeter or surrounding area of said polygons or polyhedrons.

[0013] A particular property of multilevel antennae is that their radioelectric behavior can be similar in several frequency bands. Antenna input parameters (impedance and radiation diagram) remain similar for several frequency bands (that is, the antenna has the same level of adaptation or standing wave relationship in each different band), and often the antenna presents almost identical radiation diagrams at different frequencies. This is due precisely to the multilevel structure of the antenna, that is, to the fact that it remains possible to identify in the antenna the majority of basic elements (same type polygons or polyhedrons) which make it up. The number of frequency bands is proportional to the number of scales or sizes of the polygonal elements or similar sets in which they are grouped contained in the geometry of the main radiating element.

[0014] In addition to their multiband behavior, multilevel structure antennae usually have a smaller than usual size as compared to other antennae of a simpler structure. (Such as those consisting of a single polygon or polyhedron). This is because the path followed by the electric current on the multilevel structure is longer and more winding than in a simple geometry, due to the empty spaces between the various polygon or polyhedron elements. Said empty spaces force a given path for the current (which must circumvent said spaces) which travels a greater distance and therefore resonates at a lower frequency. Additionally, its edge-rich and discontinuity-rich structure simplifies the radiation process, relatively increasing the radiation resistance of the antenna and reducing the quality factor Q , i.e. increasing its bandwidth.

[0015] Thus, the main characteristic of multilevel antennae are the following:

- A multilevel geometry comprising polygon or polyhedron of the same class, electromagnetically coupled and grouped to form a larger structure. In multilevel geometry most of these elements are clearly visible as their area of contact, intersection or interconnection (if these exist) with other elements is always less than 50% of their perimeter.
- The radioelectric behavior resulting from the geometry: multilevel antennae can present a multiband behavior (identical or similar for several frequency bands) and/or operate at a reduced frequency, which allows to reduce their size.

[0016] In specialized literature it is already possible to

find descriptions of certain antennae designs which allow to cover a few bands. However, in these designs the multiband behavior is achieved by grouping several single band antennae or by incorporating reactive elements in the antennae (concentrated elements as inductors or capacitors or their integrated versions such as posts or notches) which force the apparition of new resonance frequencies. Multilevel antennae on the contrary base their behavior on their particular geometry, offering a greater flexibility to the antenna designer as to the number of bands (proportional to the number of levels of detail), position, relative spacing and width, and thereby offer better and more varied characteristics for the final product.

[0017] A multilevel structure can be used in any known antenna configuration. As a nonlimiting example can be cited: dipoles, monopoles, patch or microstrip antennae, coplanar antennae, reflector antennae, wound antennae or even antenna arrays. Manufacturing techniques are also not characteristic of multilevel antennae as the best suited technique may be used for each structure or application. For example: printing on dielectric substrate by photolithography (printed circuit technique); dieing on metal plate, repulsion on dielectric, etc.

[0018] Publication WO 97/06578 discloses a fractal antenna, which has nothing to do with a multilevel antenna being both geometries essentially different.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0019] Further characteristics and advantages of the invention will become apparent in view of the detailed description which follows of a preferred embodiment of the invention given for purposes of illustration only and in no way meant as a definition of the limits of the invention, made with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 shows a specific example of a multilevel element comprising only triangular polygons.

Figure 2 shows examples of assemblies of multilevel antennae in several configurations: monopole (2.1), dipole (2.2), patch (2.3), coplanar antennae (2.4), horn (2.5-2.6) and array (2.7).

Figure 3 shows examples of multilevel structures based on triangles.

Figure 4 shows examples of multilevel structures based on parallelepipeds.

Figure 5 examples of multilevel structures based on pentagons.

Figure 6 shows of multilevel structures based on hexagons.

Figure 7 shows of multilevel structures based on polyhedrons.

Figure 8 shows an example of a specific operational mode for a multilevel antenna in a patch configuration for base stations of GSM (900 MHz) and DCS (1800 MHz) cellular telephony.

Figure 9 shows input parameters (return loss on 50 ohms) for the multilevel antenna described in the previous figure.

Figure 10 shows radiation diagrams for the multilevel antenna of figure 8: horizontal and vertical planes.

Figure 11 shows an example of a specific operation mode for a multilevel antenna in a monopole construction for indoors wireless communication systems or in radio-accessed local network environments.

Figure 12 shows input parameters (return loss on 50 ohms) for the multilevel antenna of the previous figure.

Figure 13 shows radiation diagrams for the multilevel antenna of figure 11.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT OF THE INVENTION

[0020] In the detailed description which follows a preferred embodiment of the present invention permanent reference is made to the figures of the drawings, where the same numerals refer to the identical or similar parts.

[0021] The present invention relates to an antenna which includes at least one construction element in a multilevel structure form. A multilevel structure is characterized in that it is formed by gathering several polygon or polyhedron of the same type (for example triangles, parallelepipeds, pentagons, hexagons, etc., even circles or ellipses as special limiting cases of a polygon with a large number of sides, as well as tetrahedra, hexahedra, prisms, dodecahedra, etc. coupled to each other electromagnetically, whether by proximity or by direct contact between elements. A multilevel structure or figure is distinguished from another conventional figure precisely by the interconnection (if it exists) between its component elements (the polygon or polyhedron). In a multilevel structure at least 75% of its component elements have more than 50% of their perimeter (for polygons) not in contact with any of the other elements of the structure. Thus, in a multilevel structure it is easy to identify geometrically and individually distinguish most of its basic component elements, presenting at least two levels of detail: that of the overall structure and that of the polygon or polyhedron elements which form it. Its name is precisely due to this characteristic and from the fact that the

polygon or polyhedron can be included in a great variety of sizes. Additionally, several multilevel structures may be grouped and coupled electromagnetically to each other to form higher level structures. In a multilevel structure all the component elements are polygons with the same number of sides or polyhedron with the same number of faces. Naturally, this property is broken when several multilevel structures of different natures are grouped and electromagnetically coupled to form meta-structures of a higher level.

[0022] In this manner, in figures 1 to 7 are shown a few specific examples of multilevel structures.

[0023] Figure 1 shows a multilevel element exclusively consisting of triangles of various sizes and shapes. Note that in this particular case each and every one of the elements (triangles, in black) can be distinguished, as the triangles only overlap in a small area of their perimeter, in this case at their vertices.

[0024] Figure 2 shows examples of assemblies of multilevel antennae in various configurations: monopole (21), dipole (22), patch (23), coplanar antennae (24), coil in a side view (25) and front view (26) and array (27). With this it should be remarked that regardless of its configuration the multilevel antenna is different from other antennae in the geometry of its characteristic radiant element.

[0025] Figure 3 shows further examples of multilevel structures (3.1-3.15) with a triangular origin, all comprised of triangles. Note that case (3.14) is an evolution of case (3.13); despite the contact between the 4 triangles, 75% of the elements (three triangles, except the central one) have more than 50% of the perimeter free.

[0026] Figure 4 describes multilevel structures (4.1-4.14) formed by parallelepipeds (squares, rectangles, rhombi...). Note that the component elements are always individually identifiable (at least most of them are). In case (4.12), specifically, said elements have 100% of their perimeter free, without there being any physical connection between them (coupling is achieved by proximity due to the mutual capacitance between elements).

[0027] Figures 5, 6 and 7 show non limiting examples of other multilevel structures based on pentagons, hexagons and polyhedron respectively.

[0028] It should be remarked that the difference between multilevel antennae and other existing antennae lies in the particular geometry, not in their configuration as an antenna or in the materials used for construction. Thus, the multilevel structure may be used with any known antenna configuration, such as for example and in a non limiting manner: dipoles, monopoles, patch or microstrip antennae, coplanar antennae, reflector antennae, wound antennae or even in arrays. In general, the multilevel structure forms part of the radiative element characteristic of said configurations, such as the arm, the mass plane or both in a monopole, an arm or both in a dipole, the patch or printed element in a microstrip, patch or coplanar antenna; the reflector for an reflector antenna, or the conical section or even antenna walls in a horn

type antenna. It is even possible to use a spiral type antenna configuration in which the geometry of the loop or loops is the outer perimeter of a multilevel structure. In all, the difference between a multilevel antenna and a conventional one lies in the geometry of the radiative element or one of its components, and not in its specific configuration.

[0029] As regards construction materials and technology, the implementation of multilevel antennae is not limited to any of these in particular and any of the existing or future techniques may be employed as considered best suited for each application, as the essence of the invention is found in the geometry used in the multilevel structure and not in the specific configuration. Thus, the multilevel structure may for example be formed by sheets, parts of conducting or superconducting material, by printing in dielectric substrates (rigid or flexible) with a metallic coating as with printed circuits, by imbrications of several dielectric materials which form the multilevel structure, etc. always depending on the specific requirements of each case and application. Once the multilevel structure is formed the implementation of the antenna depends on the chosen configuration (monopole, dipole, patch, horn, reflector...). For monopole, spiral, dipole and patch antennae the multisimilar structure is implemented on a metal support (a simple procedure involves applying a photolithography process to a virgin printed circuit dielectric plate) and the structure is mounted on a standard microwave connector, which for the monopole or patch cases is in turn connected to a mass plane (typically a metal plate or case) as for any conventional antenna. For the dipole case two identical multilevel structures form the two arms of the antenna; in an opening antenna the multilevel geometry may be part of the metal wall of a horn or its cross section, and finally for a reflector the multisimilar element or a set of these may form or cover the reflector.

[0030] The most relevant properties of the multilevel antennae are mainly due to their geometry and are as follows: the possibility of simultaneous operation in several frequency bands in a similar manner (similar impedance and radiation diagrams) and the possibility of reducing their size compared to other conventional antennae based exclusively on a single polygon or polyhedron. Such properties are particularly relevant in the field of communication systems. Simultaneous operation in several freq bands allows a single multilevel antenna to integrate several communication systems, instead of assigning an antenna for each system or service as is conventional. Size reduction is particularly useful when the antenna must be concealed due to its visual impact in the urban or rural landscape, or to its unaesthetic or unaerodynamic effect when incorporated on a vehicle or a portable telecommunication device.

[0031] An example of the advantages obtained from the use of a multiband antenna in a real environment is the multilevel antenna AM1, described further below, used for GSM and DCS environments. These antennae

are designed to meet radioelectric specifications in both cell phone systems. Using a single GSM and DCS multilevel antenna for both bands (900 MHz and 1800 MHz) cell telephony operators can reduce costs and environmental impact of their station networks while increasing the number of users (customers) supported by the network.

[0032] It becomes particularly relevant to differentiate multilevel antennae from fractal antennae. The latter are based on fractal geometry, which is based on abstract mathematical concepts which are difficult to implement in practice. Specialized scientific literature usually defines as fractal those geometrical objects with a non-integral Hausdorff dimension. This means that fractal objects exist only as an abstraction or a concept, but that said geometries are unthinkable (in a strict sense) for a tangible object or drawing, although it is true that antennae based on this geometry have been developed and widely described in the scientific literature, despite their geometry not being strictly fractal in scientific terms. Nevertheless some of these antennae provide a multiband behaviour (their impedance and radiation diagram remains practically constant for several freq bands), they do not on their own offer all of the behaviour required of an antenna for applicability in a practical environment. Thus, Sierpinski's antenna for example has a multiband behaviour with N bands spaced by a factor of 2, and although with this spacing one could conceive its use for communications networks GSM 900 MHz and GSM 1800 MHz (or DCS), its unsuitable radiation diagram and size for these frequencies prevent a practical use in a real environment. In short, to obtain an antenna which in addition to providing a multiband behaviour meets all of the specifications demanded for each specific application it is almost always necessary to abandon the fractal geometry and resort for example to multilevel geometry antennae. As an example, none of the structures described in figures 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6 are fractal. Their Hausdorff dimension is equal to 2 for all, which is the same as their topological dimension. Similarly, none of the multilevel structures of Figure 7 are fractal, with their Hausdorff dimension equal to 3, as their topological dimension.

[0033] In any case multilevel structures should not be confused with arrays of antennae. Although it is true that an array is formed by sets of identical antennae, in these the elements are electromagnetically decoupled, exactly the opposite of what is intended in multilevel antennae. In an array each element is powered independently whether by specific signal transmitters or receivers for each element, or by a signal distribution network, while in a multilevel antenna the structure is excited in a few of its elements and the remaining ones are coupled electromagnetically or by direct contact (in a region which does not exceed 50% of the perimeter or surface of adjacent elements). In an array is sought an increase in the directivity of an individual antenna or forming a diagram for a specific application; in a multilevel antenna the object is to obtain a multiband behaviour or a reduced size

of the antenna, which implies a completely different application from arrays.

[0034] Below are described, for purposes of illustration only, two non-limiting examples of operational modes for Multilevel Antennae (AM1 and AM2) for specific environments and applications.

MODE AM1

[0035] This model consists of a multilevel patch type antenna, shown in figure 8, which operates simultaneously in bands GSM 900 (890 MHz - 960 MHz) and GSM 1800 (1710 MHz - 1880 MHz) and provides a sector radiation diagram in a horizontal plane. The antenna is conceived mainly (although not limited to) for use in base stations of GSM 900 and 1800 mobile telephony.

[0036] The multilevel structure (8.10), or antenna patch, consists of a printed copper sheet on a standard fiberglass printed circuit board. The multilevel geometry consists of 5 triangles (8.1-8.5) joined at their vertices, as shown in figure 8, with an external perimeter shaped as an equilateral triangle of height 13.9 cm (8.6). The bottom triangle has a height (8.7) of 8.2 cm and together with the two adjacent triangles form a structure with a triangular perimeter of height 10.7 cm (8.8).

[0037] The multilevel patch (8.10) is mounted parallel to an earth plane (8.9) of rectangular aluminum of 22 x 18.5 cm. The separation between the patch and the earth plane is 3.3 cm, which is maintained by a pair of dielectric spacers which act as support (8.12).

[0038] Connection to the antenna is at two points of the multilevel structure, one for each operational band (GSM 900 and GSM 1800). Excitation is achieved by a vertical metal post perpendicular to the mass plane and to the multilevel structure, capacitively finished by a metal sheet which is electrically coupled by proximity (capacitive effect) to the patch. This is a standard system in patch configuration antennae, by which the object is to compensate the inductive effect of the post with the capacitive effect of its finish.

[0039] At the base of the excitation post is connected the circuit which interconnects the elements and the port of access to the antenna or connector (8.13). Said interconnection circuit may be formed with microstrip, coaxial or strip-line technology to name a few examples, and incorporates conventional adaptation networks which transform the impedance measured at the base of the post to 50 ohms (with a typical tolerance in the standing wave relation (SWR) usual for these application under 1.5) required at the input/output antenna connector. Said connector is generally of the type N or SMA for micro-cell base station applications.

[0040] In addition to adapting the impedance and providing an interconnection with the radiating element the interconnection network (8.11) may include a diplexor allowing the antenna to be presented in a two connector configuration (one for each band) or in a single connector for both bands.

[0041] For a double connector configuration in order to increase the insulation between the GSM 900 and GSM 1800 (DCS) terminals, the base of the DCS band excitation post may be connected to a parallel stub of electrical length equal to half a wavelength, in the central DCS wavelength, and finishing in an open circuit. Similarly, at the base of the GSM 900 lead can be connected a parallel stub ending in an open circuit of electrical length slightly greater than one quarter of the wavelength at the central wavelength of the GSM band. Said stub introduces a capacitance in the base of the connection which may be regulated to compensate the residual inductive effect of the post. Furthermore, said stub presents a very low impedance in the DCS band which aids in the insulation between connectors in said band.

[0042] In figures 9 and 10 are shown the typical radioelectric behavior for this specific embodiment of a dual multilevel antenna.

[0043] Figure 9 shows return losses (L_r) in GSM (9.1) and DCS (9.2), typically under -14 dB (which is equivalent to SWR <1.5), so that the antenna is well adapted in both operation bands (890 MHz-960 MHz and 1710 MHz-1880 MHz).

[0044] Radiation diagrams in the vertical (10.1 and 10.3) and the horizontal plane (10.2 and 10.4) for both bands are shown in figure 10. It can be seen clearly that both antennae radiate using a main lobe in the direction perpendicular to the antenna (10.1 and 10.3), and that in the horizontal plane (10.2 and 10.4) both diagrams are sectorial with a typical beam width at 3 dB of 65°. Typical directivity (d) in both bands is $d > 7$ Db.

Mode AM2

[0045] This model consists of a multilevel antenna in a monopole configuration, shown in figure 11, for wireless communications systems for indoors or in local access environments using radio.

[0046] The antenna operates in a similar manner simultaneously for the bands 1880 MHz-1930 MHz and 3400 MHz-3600 MHz, such as in installations with the system DECT. The multilevel structure is formed by three or five triangles (see figures 11 and 3.6) to which may be added an inductive loop (11.1). The antenna presents an omnidirectional radiation diagram in the horizontal plane and is conceived mainly for (but not limited to) mounting on roof or floor.

[0047] The multilevel structure is printed on a Rogers® RO4003 dielectric substrate (11.2) of 5.5 cm width, 4.9 cm height and 0.8 mm thickness, and with a dielectric permittivity equal to 3.38. the multilevel element consists of three triangles (11.3-11.5) joined at the vertex; the bottom triangle (11.3) has a height of 1.82 cm, while the multilevel structure has a total height of 2.72 cm. In order to reduce the total size of the antenna the multilevel element is added an inductive loop (11.1) at its top with a trapezoidal shape in this specific application, so that the total size of the radiating element is 4.5 cm.

[0048] The multilevel structure is mounted perpendicularly on a metallic (such as aluminum) earth plane (11.6) with a square or circular shape about 18 cm in length or diameter. The bottom vertex of the element is placed on the center of the mass plane and forms the excitation point for the antenna. At this point is connected the interconnection network which links the radiating element to the input/output connector. Said interconnection network may be implemented as a microstrip, strip-line or coaxial technology to name a few examples. In this specific example the microstrip configuration was used. In addition to the interconnection between radiating element and connector, the network can be used as an impedance transformer, adapting the impedance at the vertex of the multilevel element to the 50 Ohms ($L_r < 14$ dB, SWR < 1.5) required at the input/output connector.

[0049] Figures 12 and 13 summarize the radioelectric behavior of antennae in the lower (1900) and higher bands (3500).

[0050] Figure 12 shows the standing wave ratio (SWR) for both bands: Figure 12.1 for the band between 1880 and 1930 MHz, and Figure 12.2 for the band between 3400 and 3600 MHz. These show that the antenna is well adapted as return losses are under 14 dB, that is, SWR < 1.5 for the entire band of interest.

[0051] Figure 13 shows typical radiation diagrams. Diagrams (13.1), (13.2) and (13.3) at 1905 MHz measured in the vertical plane, horizontal plane and antenna plane, respectively, and diagrams (13.4), (13.5) and (13.6) at 3500 MHz measured in the vertical plane, horizontal plane and antenna plane, respectively.

[0052] One can observe an omnidirectional behaviour in the horizontal plane and a typical bilobular diagram in the vertical plane with the typical antenna directivity above 4 dBi in the 1900 band and 6 dBi in the 3500 band.

[0053] In the antenna behavior it should be remarked that the behavior is quite similar for both bands (both SWR and in the diagram) which makes it a multiband antenna.

[0054] Both the AM1 and AM2 antennae will typically be coated in a dielectric radome which is practically transparent to electromagnetic radiation, meant to protect the radiating element and the connection network from external aggression as well as to provide a pleasing external appearance.

[0055] It is not considered necessary to extend this description in the understanding that an expert in the field would be capable of understanding its scope and advantages resulting thereof, as well as to reproduce it.

[0056] However, as the above description relates only to a preferred embodiment, it should be understood that within this essence may be introduced various variations of detail, also protected, the size and/or materials used in manufacturing the whole or any of its parts.

Claims

1. Multilevel antenna **characterised in that** it includes at least one multilevel structure which is a set of polygonal or polyhedral elements of the same type (same number of sides or faces) although not necessarily of the same size, the multilevel structure and the polygons or polyhedrons which form it having different geometry, said polygons or polyhedrons being electromagnetically coupled to each other so that the area of contact between elements does not cover a major part of the perimeter or area at least in most of the polygons or polyhedrons, so that the area of contact between elements is under 50% of the perimeter or area in at least 75% of the polygons or polyhedrons, thereby allowing to geometrically distinguish in the multilevel structure the majority of the polygons or polyhedrons which form it.
2. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claim 1, **characterised in that** the multilevel structure is formed exclusively by triangles.
3. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claim 1, **characterised in that** the multilevel structure is formed exclusively by polygons of a single type, such as four sided polygons, pentagons, hexagons, heptagons, octagons, decagons, dodecagons, circles or ellipses among others.
4. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claim 1, **characterised in that** said multilevel structure is formed exclusively by polyhedrons, cylinders or cones.
5. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in above claims, **characterised in that** the multilevel structure is mounted perpendicular to the earth plane in a monopole configuration.
6. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claims 1 to 3, **characterised in that** the multilevel structure is mounted parallel to the earth plane in a patch or microstrip antenna configuration.
7. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claims 5 and 6, **characterised in that** in antennae with a patch configuration the multilevel element is one of the radiating elements of a planar microstrip or patch structure with parasite patches at several levels.
8. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claims 1 to 3, **characterised in that** the multilevel structure forms: both arms of a dipole configuration antenna, part of the antenna in a coplanar configuration, at least one of the faces in a pyramidal horn.
9. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claims 1 to 4, **characterised in that** the multilevel structure or its pe-

rimeter form the cross section of a conical or pyramidal horn type antenna.

10. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claims 1 to 4, **characterised in that** the perimeter of the multilevel structure determines the shape of at least one loop in a spiral type antenna. 5
11. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claims 1 to 4, **characterised in that** it may be part of an array of antennae. 10
12. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claims 1 to 4, **characterised in that** the multilevel structure is constructed from a conducting, superconducting or dielectric material, or a combination thereof. 15
13. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claim 12, **characterised in that** the multilevel structure conforms the geometry of the spaces made in a metallic, superconducting or dielectric structure. 20
14. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claims 1 to 4, **characterised in that** the antenna has a multiband behaviour, that is, its level of impedance and radiation diagram are similar in several frequency bands, so that the antenna maintains basically the same radioelectric operativity and functionality in said bands. 25
15. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claims 1 to 4, **characterised in that** the antenna has a small size compared to a circular, square or triangular antenna whose perimeter can be circumscribed in the multilevel structure and which operates in the same frequency (same frequency of resonance). 30 35
16. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claim 14, **characterised in that** the multiband behavior allows it to operate simultaneously in several frequencies and thereby to be shared by several communication services or systems. 40
17. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claim 14, **characterised in that** it is applied for base stations of mobile telephony, in communications terminals (transmitters or receivers), in vehicles, communications satellites or in radar systems. 45
18. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claims 1 to 4, **characterised in that** the multilevel structure in those cases where it radiates inefficiently can be used as a multiband or miniature resonator. 50
19. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claims 1 to 4, **characterised in that** the antenna incorporates, in addition to the multilevel element, an interconnection circuit which links the structure to the input/output connector and which may be used to incorporate adap-

tation networks for impedances, filters or diplexers.

20. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claims 1 to 4, **characterised in that** the multilevel structure is loaded with capacitive or inductive elements to change its size, resonance frequency, radiation diagrams or impedance. 5
21. Multilevel antenna, as claimed in claims 1 to 4, **characterised** several multilevel structures of the same type (same characteristic polygon or polyhedron, same number, arrangement and coupling between elements) referred to as first level structures, may be grouped in higher order structures in a manner similar to that of the polygonal or polyhedral elements which form the first level multilevel structure. 10
22. An antenna including at least one multilevel structure wherein the multilevel structure comprises a set of polygonal or polyhedral elements having the same number of sides or faces, wherein not all the polygonal or polyhedral elements have the same size, wherein each of said elements is electromagnetically coupled to at least one other of said elements either directly through at least one point of contact or through a small separation providing coupling, wherein for at least 75% of said polygonal or polyhedral elements, the region or area of contact between said polygonal or polyhedral elements is less than 50% of the perimeter or area of said elements, thereby allowing to geometrically distinguish in the multilevel structure the majority of the polygonal or polyhedral elements which form it, and wherein empty spaces are defined between the polygons or polyhedrons elements. 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50
23. An antenna including at least one multilevel structure, according to any of the preceeding claims **characterized in that** the structure defines discontinuities. 55
24. An antenna including at least one multilevel structure, according to any of the preceeding claims **characterized in that** the polygons overlap in their vertices. 60
25. An antenna including at least one multilevel structure, according to any of the preceeding claims **characterized in that** the geometry of the structure is not fractal. 65
26. Portable communications device incorporating and antenna according to any of the preceding claims. 70





FIG. 1






FIG. 3




FIG. 4







FIG. 5



6.1



6.2




6.3




6.4




6.5




6.6



6.7




6.8




6.9


FIG. 6




7.1




7.2




7.3



7.4




7.5



7.6



7.7



7.8

FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10






FIG. 11




12.1




12.2

FIG. 12


$f=1905\text{ MHz}$



13.1




13.2




13.3


$f=3500\text{ MHz}$



13.4



13.5



13.6

FIG. 13



EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 08 16 4491

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (IPC)
X	PUENTE C ET AL: "PERTURBATION OF THE SIERPINSKI ANTENNA TO ALLOCATE OPERATING BANDS" ELECTRONICS LETTERS, IEE STEVENAGE, GB, vol. 32, no. 24, 21 November 1996 (1996-11-21), pages 2186-2188, XP006005983 ISSN: 0013-5194 * the whole document *	1-17,19, 25,26	INV. H01Q1/36
X	WO 97/06578 A (FRACTAL ANTENNA SYSTEMS, INC; COHEN, NATHAN) 20 February 1997 (1997-02-20) * page 26, lines 7-19; figures 7C-1,7C-2 *	1-3,5-7, 11-17	
X	ES 2 112 163 A1 (UNIVERSITAT POLITECNICA DE CATALUNYA) 16 March 1998 (1998-03-16) * the whole document *	1-17,19	
X	PUENTE C ET AL: "FRACTAL MULTIBAND ANTENNA BASED ON THE SIERPINSKI GASKET" ELECTRONICS LETTERS, IEE STEVENAGE, GB, vol. 32, no. 1, 4 January 1996 (1996-01-04), pages 1-2, XP006004544 ISSN: 0013-5194 * the whole document *	1-3,5-7, 11-17,19	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (IPC) H01Q
A	US 4 907 011 A (KUO ET AL) 6 March 1990 (1990-03-06) * abstract; figures 1-3 *	1-17,19, 25,26	
<p>1</p> <p>The present search report has been drawn up for all claims</p>			
Place of search Munich		Date of completion of the search 23 June 2009	Examiner Fanjul Caudevilla, J
<p>CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS</p> <p>X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document</p> <p>T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document</p>			

EPO FORM 1503 03.82 (P04C01)



Application Number

EP 08 16 4491

CLAIMS INCURRING FEES

The present European patent application comprised at the time of filing claims for which payment was due.

- ☒ Only part of the claims have been paid within the prescribed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for those claims for which no payment was due and for those claims for which claims fees have been paid, namely claim(s):

16, 17, 19, 25, 26

- ☐ No claims fees have been paid within the prescribed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for those claims for which no payment was due.

LACK OF UNITY OF INVENTION

The Search Division considers that the present European patent application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention and relates to several inventions or groups of inventions, namely:

- ☐ All further search fees have been paid within the fixed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for all claims.

- ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, the Search Division did not invite payment of any additional fee.

- ☐ Only part of the further search fees have been paid within the fixed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for those parts of the European patent application which relate to the inventions in respect of which search fees have been paid, namely claims:

- ☐ None of the further search fees have been paid within the fixed time limit. The present European search report has been drawn up for those parts of the European patent application which relate to the invention first mentioned in the claims, namely claims:

- ☐ The present supplementary European search report has been drawn up for those parts of the European patent application which relate to the invention first mentioned in the claims (Rule 164 (1) EPC).

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 08 16 4491

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

23-06-2009

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9706578	A	20-02-1997	AT 284080 T 15-12-2004
		DE 69633975 D1	05-01-2005
		DE 69633975 T2	01-12-2005
		EP 0843905 A1	27-05-1998
		ES 2236745 T3	16-07-2005
		US 6140975 A	31-10-2000

ES 2112163	A1	16-03-1998	NONE

US 4907011	A	06-03-1990	NONE

REFERENCES CITED IN THE DESCRIPTION

This list of references cited by the applicant is for the reader's convenience only. It does not form part of the European patent document. Even though great care has been taken in compiling the references, errors or omissions cannot be excluded and the EPO disclaims all liability in this regard.

Patent documents cited in the description

- WO 9501019 A [0005]
- WO 9800954 A [0005]
- WO 9706578 A [0018]