Enhanced Sampling Method	CVs	RNA Systems	QM/MM	Total Timescale (μs)	Re
$Refinements\ and\ Validations$	of Force Fields				
M-REMD	-	UUCG-TL (10,14-mers), CCCC, GACC	MM	$\sim \! 7663$	[1]
M-REMD	-	CCCC, GACC	MM	~920	[2
H-REMD	-	single strands, duplexes (12-mers)	MM	~1.7	[3]
T-REMD	-	AAAA, CAAU, CCCC, GACC, UUUU	MM	264	[4]
METAD, T-REMD, RECT	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, \zeta, Z_x, \chi$, distance	CC, AA, CA, AC, GACC, CCCC, AAAA	MM	~206	[5]
METAD, T-REMD, REST2	H-bonds, RMSD	GAGA-TL (8,10-mers)	MM	966	[6]
T-REMD+METAD	€RMSD	GAGA-TL, UUCG-TL (8-mers)	MM	48	[7]
RECT	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, \zeta, Z_x, Z_y, \chi$, distance	A, C, AA, AC, CA, CC	MM	~35	[8]
RECT, T-REMD+METAD	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, \zeta, Z_x, Z_y, \chi$, distance, ϵ RMSD	GACC, CCCC, AAAA, CAAA, UUCG-TL (8-mer)	MM	~420	[9]
US	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, \zeta, \chi$	16 dinucleotides	MM	~18	[10
Conformational Landscapes	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \epsilon, \varsigma, \chi$	10 difficiences	MM	10	[10
RECT, H-REMD, T-REMD	- 0 - 1 - 1 2 2 - 1 distance	GACC	MM	~14.4	[1.1
	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \zeta, Z_x, Z_y, \chi$, distance				[11
METAD	H-bonds, RGyr, RMSD, χ	GAGA-TL, UUCG-TL (10-mers)	MM	0.84	[12
T-REMD	-	SAM-II riboswitch	MM	6	[13
T-REMD, SMD	distances (COM)	(cgauUCUaugc) duplex (22-mer)	MM	~5	[14
T-REMD	-	SVL loop (17-mer)	MM	~58	[15
A-REMD	Z_x , Z_y , χ	U	QM/MM	~ 0.1	[16
BE-METAD	H-bond, RGyr, energy	gene32 mRNA pseudoknot (32-mer)	MM	3	[17
US	distance (COM)	add riboswitch	MM	~0.9	[18
US	γ	duplex, single strands with 2-thiouridines (14-mers)	MM	0.144	[19
US	χ, pseudodihedral (COM)	duplexes with CUG repeats (9,11,19-mers)	MM	~6	[20
US - pseudo string method	distance (COM)	duplex (32-mer)	MM	~0.06	[21
T-REMD	distance (COM)	GCAA -TL (8-mer)	MM	448	[22
T-REMD			MM	~9	_
	-	pT181 RNA hairpins (22-mers)			[23
RAM	H-bonds, distances	TAR (29-mer) in RNA:peptide complex	MM	0.8	[24
REMD+US	distances, RMSD	U-singlestrand (5-mer) in RNA:protein complex	MM	3.6	[25
US	distances	GTPase center of rRNA	MM	~ 1.3	[26
RAM	$\alpha, \beta, \delta, \epsilon, \zeta$, H-bonds	UUCG-TL (14-mer)	MM	~1	[27
T-REMD	-	theophylline aptamer (ligand-free)	MM	1.6	[28
GaMD, TMD	RMSD	CRISPR-Cas9 RNA complex	MM	$\sim \! 14.6$	[29
METAD	distance (COM), stacking	PNA:RNA duplex (12-mer)	MM	0.4	[30
T-REMD+METAD	H-bonds, RGyr	polio viral RNA hairpin (22-mer)	MM	~6.5	[31
US	pseudodihedral (COM)	hairpin (35-mer)	MM	0.72	[32
METAD	χ, pseudodihedral (COM)	duplexes (9,12-mers) with CAG repeats	MM	1.92	[33
T-REMD	-	gcGCAAgc-TL (8-mer)	MM	6	[34
	and Induced Conformational Changes	0			
METAD	distance (COM), hydrophobic contacts, H-bonds	duplex (10-mer) with CAG repeats	MM	1.4	[35
US+TI	distance, coordination	mononucleotides, hammerhead ribozyme	MM	~11.5	[36
SMD	distances	preQ ₁ -III riboswitch	MM	0.1	[37
			MM		
BE-METAD	distance, coordination	nucleosides, GpG dinucleotide, GC duplex (8-mer)		82	[38
GCMC-MD		BWYV pseudoknot, VS ribozyme, 23S rRNA, Mg ²⁺ riboswitch	MM	~4	[39
US	distances, coordinations				
TI	distance (COM)	GTPase center of rRNA	MM	20.5	
Reactivity and Catalysis	<u> </u>		MM MM	20.5 ~0.6	
	distance (COM)	GTPase center of rRNA guanine riboswitch	MM	~0.6	[41
A-REMD	distance (COM)	GTPase center of rRNA			[41
A-REMD US+string method	distance (COM) distances	GTPase center of rRNA guanine riboswitch	MM	~0.6	[41
	distance (COM) distances distances	GTPase center of rRNA guanine riboswitch HDV ribozyme	MM QM/MM	~0.6 0.235	[41 [42 [43
US+string method	distance (COM) distances distances	GTPase center of rRNA guanine riboswitch HDV ribozyme gbnS riboswitch	MM QM/MM QM/MM	~0.6 0.235 ~0.00017	[41 [42 [43 [44
US+string method T-REMD	distance (COM) distances distances distances	GTPase center of rRNA guanine riboswitch HDV ribozyme glnS riboswitch hammerhead ribozyme	MM QM/MM QM/MM MM	~0.6 0.235 ~0.00017 5	[41 [42 [43 [44 [45
US+string method T-REMD US+string method US, TI	distance (COM) distances distances distances distances distances	GTPase center of rRNA guanine riboswitch HDV ribozyme glnS riboswitch hammerhead ribozyme HDV ribozyme	QM/MM QM/MM MM MM, QM/MM MM, MM	~0.6 0.235 ~0.00017 5 0.0465, 0.00019	[41 [42 [43 [44 [45]
US+string method T-REMD US+string method US, TI US+string method	distance (COM) distances distances distances distances distances distances distances angles distances	GTPase center of rRNA guanine riboswitch HDV ribosyme ghnS riboswitch hammerhead ribosyme HDV ribosyme twister ribosyme ghnS riboswitch	QM/MM QM/MM MM MM, QM/MM MM QM/MM	~0.6 0.235 ~0.00017 5 0.0465, 0.00019 0.5 ~0.00017	[41 [42 [43 [44 [45 [46
US+string method T-REMD US+string method US, TI US+string method TI	distance (COM) distances distances distances - distances, angles distances distances distances distances distances	GTPase center of rRNA guanine riboswitch HDV ribozyme glnS riboswitch hammerhead ribozyme HDV ribozyme twister ribozyme glnS riboswitch group-II introns	QM/MM QM/MM MM MM, QM/MM MM, QM/MM QM/MM QM/MM	~0.6 0.235 ~0.00017 5 0.0465, 0.00019 0.5 ~0.00017 ~0.00001	[41] [42] [43] [44] [45] [46] [47] [48]
US+string method T-REMD US+string method US, TI US+string method TI METAD	distance (COM) distances distances distances distances distances distances distances distances distances distances distances	GTPase center of rRNA guanine riboswitch HDV ribozyme glmS riboswitch hammerhead ribozyme HDV ribozyme twister ribozyme twister ribozyme glmS riboswitch group-II introns GAAA-TL, UUCG-TL (10-mers), GC duplex (14-mer)	QM/MM QM/MM MM MM, QM/MM MM QM/MM QM/MM QM/MM QM/MM	~0.6 0.235 ~0.00017 5 0.0465, 0.00019 0.5 ~0.00017 ~0.00001 ~0.0001	[40] [41] [42] [43] [44] [45] [46] [47] [48] [49]
US+string method T-REMD US+string method US, TI US+string method TI METAD US+string method	distance (COM) distances distances distances - distances, angles distances distances distances distances distances	GTPase center of rRNA guanine riboswitch HDV ribozyme ghnS riboswitch hammerhead ribozyme HDV ribozyme twister ribozyme ghnS riboswitch group-II introns GAAA-TL, UUCG-TL (10-mers), GC duplex (14-mer) ghnS riboswitch	MM QM/MM QM/MM MM, QM/MM MM, QM/MM QM/MM QM/MM QM/MM QM/MM	~0.6 0.235 ~0.00017 5 0.0465, 0.00019 0.5 ~0.00017 ~0.00001 ~0.001 0.0072	[41] [42] [43] [44] [45] [46] [47] [48] [49]
US+string method T-REMD US+string method US, TI US+string method TI METAD	distance (COM) distances distances distances distances distances distances distances distances distances distances distances	GTPase center of rRNA guanine riboswitch HDV ribozyme glmS riboswitch hammerhead ribozyme HDV ribozyme twister ribozyme twister ribozyme glmS riboswitch group-II introns GAAA-TL, UUCG-TL (10-mers), GC duplex (14-mer)	QM/MM QM/MM MM MM, QM/MM MM QM/MM QM/MM QM/MM QM/MM	~0.6 0.235 ~0.00017 5 0.0465, 0.00019 0.5 ~0.00017 ~0.00001 ~0.0001	[41] [42] [43] [44] [45] [46] [47] [48] [49]

References

- [1] Christina Bergonzo, Niel M Henriksen, Daniel R Roe, and Thomas E Cheatham III. Highly sampled tetranucleotide and tetraloop motifs enable evaluation of common RNA force fields. RNA, 21(9):1578–1590, 2015.
- [2] Christina Bergonzo and Thomas E Cheatham III. Improved force field parameters lead to a better description of RNA structure. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 11(9):3969–3972, 2015.
- [3] Shun Sakuraba, Kiyoshi Asai, and Tomoshi Kameda. Predicting RNA duplex dimerization free-energy changes upon mutations using molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 6(21):4348–4351, 2015.
- [4] Sandro Bottaro, Alejandro Gil-Ley, and Giovanni Bussi. RNA folding pathways in stop motion. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 44(12):5883–5891, 2016.
- [5] Alejandro Gil-Ley, Sandro Bottaro, and Giovanni Bussi. Empirical corrections to the AMBER RNA force field with target metadynamics. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 12(6):2790–2798, 2016.
- [6] Petra Kührová, Robert B Best, Sandro Bottaro, Giovanni Bussi, Jiří Šponer, Michal Otyepka, and Pavel Banáš. Computer folding of RNA tetraloops: Identification of key force field deficiencies. J. Chem. Theory Comput., 12(9):4534–4548, 2016.
- [7] Sandro Bottaro, Pavel Banáš, Jiří Šponer, and Giovanni Bussi. Free energy landscape of GAGA and UUCG RNA tetraloops. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 7(20):4032–4038, 2016.
- [8] A Cesari, A Gil-Ley, and G Bussi. Combining simulations and solution experiments as a paradigm for RNA force field refinement. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 12(12):6192–6200, 2016.
- [9] Changwon Yang, Manho Lim, Eunae Kim, and Youngshang Pak. Predicting RNA structures via a simple van der Waals correction to an all-atom force field. J. Chem. Theory Comput., 13(2):395–399, 2017.
- [10] Asaminew H Aytenfisu, Aleksandar Spasic, Alan Grossfield, Harry A Stern, and David H Mathews. Revised RNA dihedral parameters for the AMBER force field improve RNA molecular dynamics. J. Chem. Theory Comput., 13(2):900–915, 2017.
- [11] Alejandro Gil-Ley and Giovanni Bussi. Enhanced conformational sampling using replica exchange with collective-variable tempering. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 11(3):1077–1085, 2015.
- [12] S Haldar, P Kührová, P Banáš, V Spiwok, J Šponer, P Hobza, and M Otyepka. Insights into stability and folding of GNRA and UNCG tetraloops revealed by microsecond molecular dynamics and well-tempered metadynamics. J. Chem. Theory Comput., 11(8):3866, 2015.

- [13] Xu Xue, Wang Yongjun, and Li Zhihong. Folding of SAM-II riboswitch explored by replica-exchange molecular dynamics simulation. *J. Theor. Biol.*, 365:265–269, 2015.
- [14] HaJeung Park, Alex L González, Ilyas Yildirim, Tuan Tran, Jeremy R Lohman, Pengfei Fang, Min Guo, and Matthew D Disney. Crystallographic and computational analyses of AUUCU repeating RNA that causes Spinocerebellar Ataxia type 10 (SCA10). *Biochemistry*, 54(24):3851, 2015.
- [15] Christina Bergonzo, Kathleen B Hall, and Thomas E Cheatham III. Stem-Loop V of Varkud Satellite RNA exhibits characteristics of the Mg²⁺ bound structure in the presence of monovalent ions. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 119(38):12355–12364, 2015.
- [16] Brian K Radak, Melissa Romanus, Tai-Sung Lee, Haoyuan Chen, Ming Huang, Antons Treikalis, Vivekanandan Balasubramanian, Shantenu Jha, and Darrin M York. Characterization of the three-dimensional free energy manifold for the uracil ribonucleoside from asynchronous replica exchange simulations. J. Chem. Theory Comput., 11(2):373–377, 2015.
- [17] Yunqiang Bian, Jian Zhang, Jun Wang, Jihua Wang, and Wei Wang. Free energy landscape and multiple folding pathways of an H-type RNA pseudoknot. *PloS One*, 10(6):e0129089, 2015.
- [18] Francesco Di Palma, Sandro Bottaro, and Giovanni Bussi. Kissing loop interaction in adenine riboswitch: Insights from umbrella sampling simulations. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 16(Suppl 9):S6, 2015.
- [19] Aaron T Larsen, Albert C Fahrenbach, Jia Sheng, Julia Pian, and Jack W Szostak. Thermodynamic insights into 2-thiouridine-enhanced RNA hybridization. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 43(16):7675–7687, 2015.
- [20] Ilyas Yildirim, Debayan Chakraborty, Matthew D Disney, David J Wales, and George C Schatz. Computational investigation of RNA CUG repeats responsible for myotonic dystrophy 1. J. Chem. Theory Comput., 11(10):4943-4958, 2015.
- [21] Yuan-Yan Wu, Zhong-Liang Zhang, Jin-Si Zhang, Xiao-Long Zhu, and Zhi-Jie Tan. Multivalent ion-mediated nucleic acid helix-helix interactions: RNA versus DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 43(12):6156–6165, 2015.
- [22] Jacob C Miner, Alan A Chen, and Angel E García. Free-energy landscape of a hyperstable RNA tetraloop. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 113(24):6665– 6670, 2016.
- [23] Melissa K Takahashi, Kyle E Watters, Paul M Gasper, Timothy R Abbott, Paul D Carlson, Alan A Chen, and Julius B Lucks. Using in-cell SHAPE-seq and simulations to probe structure–function design principles of RNA transcriptional regulators. RNA, 22(6):920–933, 2016.

- [24] Aditi N Borkar, Michael F Bardaro, Carlo Camilloni, Francesco A Aprile, Gabriele Varani, and Michele Vendruscolo. Structure of a low-population binding intermediate in protein—RNA recognition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A., 113(26):7171–7176, 2016.
- [25] Lela Vukovic, Christophe Chipot, Debora L Makino, Elena Conti, and Klaus Schulten. Molecular mechanism of processive 3' to 5' RNA translocation in the active subunit of the RNA exosome complex. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 138(12):4069–4078, 2016.
- [26] Hamed S Hayatshahi, Christina Bergonzo, and Thomas E Cheatham III. Investigating the ion dependence of the first unfolding step of GTPaseassociating center ribosomal RNA. J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn., pages 1–11, 2017.
- [27] Aditi N Borkar, Pramodh Vallurupalli, Carlo Camilloni, Lewis E Kay, and Michele Vendruscolo. Simultaneous NMR characterisation of multiple minima in the free energy landscape of an RNA UUCG tetraloop. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 19(4):2797–2804, 2017.
- [28] Becka M Warfield and Peter C Anderson. Molecular simulations and Markov state modeling reveal the structural diversity and dynamics of a theophylline-binding RNA aptamer in its unbound state. *PloS One*, 12(4):e0176229, 2017.
- [29] Giulia Palermo, Yinglong Miao, Ross C Walker, Martin Jinek, and J Andrew McCammon. CRISPR-Cas9 conformational activation as elucidated from enhanced molecular simulations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 114(28):7260–7265, 2017.
- [30] Massimiliano Donato Verona, Vincenzo Verdolino, Ferruccio Palazzesi, and Roberto Corradini. Focus on PNA flexibility and RNA binding using molecular dynamics and metadynamics. *Sci. Rep.*, 7:42799, 2017.
- [31] Arup K Pathak and Tusar Bandyopadhyay. Water isotope effect on the thermostability of a polio viral RNA hairpin: A metadynamics study. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 146(16):165104, 2017.
- [32] Zhaoxi Sun, Xiaohui Wang, and John ZH Zhang. Protonation-dependent base flipping in the catalytic triad of a small RNA. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 684:239–244, 2017.
- [33] Feng Pan, Viet Hoang Man, Christopher Roland, and Celeste Sagui. Structure and dynamics of DNA and RNA double helices of CAG and GAC trinucleotide repeats. *Biophys. J.*, 113(1):19–36, 2017.
- [34] Jacob C Miner and Angel E García. Equilibrium denaturation and preferential interactions of an RNA tetraloop with urea. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 121(15):3734–3746, 2017.

- [35] Anna Bochicchio, Giulia Rossetti, Oriana Tabarrini, Sybille Krauβ, and Paolo Carloni. Molecular view of ligands specificity for CAG repeats in anti-Huntington therapy. J. Chem. Theory Comput., 11(10):4911–4922, 2015.
- [36] Maria T Panteva, George M Giambaşu, and Darrin M York. Comparison of structural, thermodynamic, kinetic and mass transport properties of Mg²⁺ ion models commonly used in biomolecular simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 36(13):970–982, 2015.
- [37] Joseph A Liberman, Krishna C Suddala, Asaminew Aytenfisu, Dalen Chan, Ivan A Belashov, Mohammad Salim, David H Mathews, Robert C Spitale, Nils G Walter, and Joseph E Wedekind. Structural analysis of a class III preQ1 riboswitch reveals an aptamer distant from a ribosome-binding site regulated by fast dynamics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 112(27):E3485—E3494, 2015.
- [38] Richard A Cunha and Giovanni Bussi. Unraveling Mg²⁺–RNA binding with atomistic molecular dynamics. RNA, 23(5):628–638, 2017.
- [39] Justin A Lemkul, Sirish Kaushik Lakkaraju, and Alexander D MacKerell Jr. Characterization of ${\rm Mg^{2+}}$ distributions around RNA in solution. $ACS\ omega,\ 1(4):680-688,\ 2016.$
- [40] Hamed S Hayatshahi, Daniel R Roe, Rodrigo Galindo-Murillo, Kathleen B Hall, and Thomas E Cheatham III. Computational assessment of potassium and magnesium ion binding to a buried pocket in GTPase-associating center. RNA, 121(3):451–462, 2017.
- [41] Guodong Hu, Aijing Ma, and Jihua Wang. Ligand selectivity mechanism and conformational changes in guanine riboswitch by molecular dynamics simulations and free energy calculations. J. Chem. Inf. Model., 57(4):918– 928, 2017.
- [42] Brian K Radak, Tai-Sung Lee, Michael E Harris, and Darrin M York. Assessment of metal-assisted nucleophile activation in the hepatitis delta virus ribozyme from molecular simulation and 3D-RISM. RNA, 21(9):1566– 1577, 2015.
- [43] Sixue Zhang, Abir Ganguly, Puja Goyal, Jamie L Bingaman, Philip C Bevilacqua, and Sharon Hammes-Schiffer. Role of the active site guanine in the glms ribozyme self-cleavage mechanism: Quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical free energy simulations. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 137(2):784–798, 2015.
- [44] Jacob B Swadling, David W Wright, James L Suter, and Peter V Coveney. Structure, dynamics, and function of the hammerhead ribozyme in bulk water and at a clay mineral surface from replica exchange molecular dynamics. *Langmuir*, 31(8):2493–2501, 2015.

- [45] Pallavi Thaplyal, Abir Ganguly, Sharon Hammes-Schiffer, and Philip C Bevilacqua. Inverse thio effects in the hepatitis delta virus ribozyme reveal that the reaction pathway is controlled by metal ion charge density. *Biochemistry*, 54(12):2160–2175, 2015.
- [46] Colin S Gaines and Darrin M York. Ribozyme catalysis with a twist: Active state of the twister ribozyme in solution predicted from molecular simulation. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 138(9):3058–3065, 2016.
- [47] Sixue Zhang, David R Stevens, Puja Goyal, Jamie L Bingaman, Philip C Bevilacqua, and Sharon Hammes-Schiffer. Assessing the potential effects of active site Mg²⁺ ions in the *glms* ribozyme–cofactor complex. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 7(19):3984–3988, 2016.
- [48] Lorenzo Casalino, Giulia Palermo, Ursula Rothlisberger, and Alessandra Magistrato. Who activates the nucleophile in ribozyme catalysis? An answer from the splicing mechanism of group II introns. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 138(33):10374–10377, 2016.
- [49] Vojtěch Mlýnský and Giovanni Bussi. Understanding in-line probing experiments by modeling cleavage of nonreactive RNA nucleotides. RNA, 23(5):712–720, 2017.
- [50] Jamie L Bingaman, Sixue Zhang, David R Stevens, Neela H Yennawar, Sharon Hammes-Schiffer, and Philip C Bevilacqua. The GlcN6P cofactor plays multiple catalytic roles in the glms ribozyme. Nat. Chem. Biol., 13(4):439–445, 2017.
- [51] C Schuabb, N Kumar, S Pataraia, D Marx, and R Winter. Pressure modulates the self-cleavage step of the hairpin ribozyme. *Nat. Commun.*, 8:14661, 2017.
- [52] Haoyuan Chen, Timothy J Giese, Barbara L Golden, and Darrin M York. Divalent metal ion activation of a guanine general base in the hammerhead ribozyme: Insights from molecular simulations. *Biochemistry*, 56(24):2985– 2994, 2017.