



## Deliverable 2 Compliance Statement

Team Name: Melbourne Autonomous Systems

We declare that this report and the entry that it describes complies with the rules of the 2016 UAV Challenge, and that we enter with the intention of competing in the spirit of the challenge. Specifically we declare that our entry is compliant with the following topics and provide reference to within our Deliverable 2 document where our method of compliance is described:

Rules Reference	Topic	Compliance	Deliverable 2 Reference
Mandatory / E	ssential re in this section will result in a No-Go findi	na unless there are significant and	d/or extenuatina
circumstances.	Please read the rules in detail. If using two eferences are provided for both aircraft if n	aircraft ensure both aircraft are	
1.6	Maximum of two aircraft for the mission	© Compliant	S4 - Introduction
3.1.1	Must not be a commercial off-the- shelf complete system	□ Retrieval aircraft Compliant □ Support aircraft Compliant	S6 - System Design
3.1.1	Must be capable of autonomous flight	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant☐ Support aircraft Compliant	
3.1.1	Must have a maximum gross weight of less than 100 kg (rotary) or 150kg (fixed wing)	□ Retrieval aircraft Compliant □ Support aircraft Compliant	S6.2 - System Design
3.1.1	Must have continuous telemetry radio communication with the UAV Controller	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant☐ Support aircraft Compliant☐	
3.1.1	Must have an easily accessible E-Stop to render the aircraft deactivated	□ Retrieval aircraft Compliant □ Support aircraft Compliant	
3.1.1	Must have an external visual indication of state (armed, inert, disarmed)	□ Retrieval aircraft Compliant □ Support aircraft Compliant	
3.1.1	Must have an arming switch	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant	
3.1.3	Must implement automatic (on-board) detection of crossing a Geofence boundary	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant☐ Support aircraft Compliant☐	
3.1.4	Must include a flight termination system meeting all conditions	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant☐ Support aircraft Compliant	
3.1.5 & 5.3.2	Flight termination method described and analysis provided of maximum distance outside Geofence	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant☐ Support aircraft Compliant☐	
3.1.6	All criteria for flight termination must result in immediate activation of flight termination	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant☐ Support aircraft Compliant☐	





## Deliverable 2 Compliance Statement

Rules Reference	Topic	Compliance	Deliverable 2 Reference
3.2.1	Flight in the Transit Corridor and	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant	
3.2.1	Remote Landing Site must be	☐ Support aircraft Compliant	
	autonomous		
3.2.2	Must have a ground control station	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant	
	that provides a graphical display	☐ Support aircraft Compliant	
3.2.2	Must provide an NMEA data feed from	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant	
	the ground station	☐ Support aircraft Compliant	
3.2.3	Communication equipment must	□ Compliant	
	comply with ACMA regulations		
3.3.2 & 5.3.2	AMSL altitudes will be measured and	□ Compliant	
	reported as pressure altitudes		
3.3.3 & 5.3.2	Correct aeronautical units used	□ Compliant	
3.3.3	Description of how aircraft will be	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant	
	maintained within its airspeed	☐ Support aircraft Compliant	
	envelope		
3.4.5	Pyrotechnic mechanisms have safety	□ Compliant	
	mechanism implemented and safety	Not Applicable	
	manual provided		
5.2	Disclosure of sponsors and funding		S4 - Introduction
	sources		
5.3.2	Statement of originality and accuracy		S1 - Statement of
	included		Originality and Accuracy
5.3.2	Executive summary provided	□ Compliant	
5.3.2	Introduction and design approach	□ Compliant	
	provided		
5.3.2	Landing site analysis strategy provided	□ Compliant	
5.3.2	System Diagram provided	□ Compliant	
5.3.2	Flight termination system design, state	□ Compliant	
	machine diagrams and transitions		
	provided		
5.3.2	Geofence system design provided	□ Compliant	
5.3.2	Radio frequencies to be used and	□ Compliant	
	relevant licences provided		
5.3.2	Updated risk assessment provided	☐ Compliant	S7 - Risk Assessment
5.3.2	Assessment of the risks associated	□ Compliant	S7 - Risk Assessment
	with autonomously taking off and		
	landing provided		
5.3.2	Risk Management provided	□ Compliant	S8 - Risk Management
5.3.2	Details of the system response to loss	□ Compliant	S8.3 - Risk Management





## Deliverable 2 Compliance Statement

Rules	Topic	Compliance	Deliverable 2
Reference		•	Reference
	of data link provided		
5.3.2	Details of the system response to loss of GPS provided		S8.3 - Risk Management
5.3.2	Details of the system response to lock- up or failure of autopilot provided	Compliant	S8.3 - Risk Management
5.3.2	Details of the system response to lock- up or failure of the GCS provided		S8.3 - Risk Management
5.3.2	Details of the system response to loss of engine power provided	□ Compliant	S8.3 - Risk Management
5.3.2	Details of fuel and/or battery management provided	☑ Compliant	S8.3 - Risk Management
5.3.2	Details of the management of other risks provided	□ Compliant	S8.3 - Risk Managemen
5.3.2	Flight tests results provided	□ Compliant	
5.3.2	Conclusions provided	□ Compliant	
5.3.2	Video provided showing the retrieval aircraft autonomously landing and taking off	□ Compliant	
5.3.2	Video provided showing the teams pre-flight set up and checks	□ Compliant	
Highly Desira	ble		
7.2	"Soft Geofence"	☐ Implemented☐ Not Implemented☐	
5.3.2	Deliverable 2: Max 23 pages.	☐ Compliant☐ Non-Compliant☐	

## Additional Information:

Date: 30/3/2016

Signed by a team representative, on behalf of all team members:

Printed Name: Matthew De Bono