



Deliverable 2 Compliance Statement

Team Name: Melbourne Autonomous Systems

We declare that this report and the entry that it describes complies with the rules of the 2016 UAV Challenge, and that we enter with the intention of competing in the spirit of the challenge. Specifically we declare that our entry is compliant with the following topics and provide reference to within our Deliverable 2 document where our method of compliance is described:

Rules Reference	Topic	Compliance	Deliverable 2 Reference		
Mandatory / E					
	ce in this section will result in a No-Go findi				
	. Please read the rules in detail. If using two		considered and		
Deliverable 2 references are provided for both aircraft if necessary.					
1.6	Maximum of two aircraft for the mission	Compliant	S4 - Introduction		
2.1.1		= Detrieval sineralt Committeet			
3.1.1	Must not be a commercial off-the- shelf complete system		S6 - System Design		
3.1.1	Must be capable of autonomous flight	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant			
		☐ Support aircraft Compliant			
3.1.1	Must have a maximum gross weight of	□ Retrieval aircraft Compliant	S6.2 - System Design		
	less than 100 kg (rotary) or 150kg	☐ Support aircraft Compliant	30.2 - System Design		
	(fixed wing)				
3.1.1	Must have continuous telemetry radio	□ Retrieval aircraft Compliant	S6.5 - Radio Equipment		
	communication with the UAV	☐ Support aircraft Compliant	Zono i tadio zquipinoni		
	Controller				
3.1.1	Must have an easily accessible E-Stop	Retrieval aircraft Compliant			
	to render the aircraft deactivated	☐ Support aircraft Compliant			
3.1.1	Must have an external visual indication	Retrieval aircraft Compliant			
	of state (armed, inert, disarmed)	☐ Support aircraft Compliant			
3.1.1	Must have an arming switch	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant			
3.1.3	Must implement automatic (on-board)	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant			
	detection of crossing a Geofence	☐ Support aircraft Compliant			
	boundary				
3.1.4	Must include a flight termination	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant			
	system meeting all conditions	☐ Support aircraft Compliant			
3.1.5 & 5.3.2	Flight termination method described	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant			
	and analysis provided of maximum	☐ Support aircraft Compliant			
	distance outside Geofence				
3.1.6	All criteria for flight termination must	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant			
	result in immediate activation of flight	☐ Support aircraft Compliant			
	termination				





Deliverable 2 Compliance Statement

Rules Reference	Topic	Compliance	Deliverable 2 Reference
3.2.1	Flight in the Transit Corridor and	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant	
	Remote Landing Site must be	☐ Support aircraft Compliant	
	autonomous		
3.2.2	Must have a ground control station	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant	
	that provides a graphical display	☐ Support aircraft Compliant	
3.2.2	Must provide an NMEA data feed from	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant	
	the ground station	☐ Support aircraft Compliant	
3.2.3	Communication equipment must		S6.5 - Radio Equipment
	comply with ACMA regulations		
3.3.2 & 5.3.2	AMSL altitudes will be measured and	□ Compliant	
	reported as pressure altitudes		
3.3.3 & 5.3.2	Correct aeronautical units used	□ Compliant	
3.3.3	Description of how aircraft will be	☐ Retrieval aircraft Compliant	
	maintained within its airspeed envelope	☐ Support aircraft Compliant	
3.4.5	Pyrotechnic mechanisms have safety	□ Compliant	
	mechanism implemented and safety	Not Applicable	
	manual provided		
5.2	Disclosure of sponsors and funding sources		S4 - Introduction
5.3.2	Statement of originality and accuracy included	Compliant	S1 - Statement of Originality and Accuracy
5.3.2	Executive summary provided	□ Compliant	
5.3.2	Introduction and design approach provided	□ Compliant	
5.3.2	Landing site analysis strategy provided	□ Compliant	
5.3.2	System Diagram provided	☐ Compliant	S6.1 - System Diagrams
5.3.2	Flight termination system design, state machine diagrams and transitions provided	□ Compliant	
5.3.2	Geofence system design provided	☐ Compliant	
5.3.2	Radio frequencies to be used and	☑ Compliant	S6.5 - Radio Equipment
F 2 2	relevant licences provided	- Compliant	
5.3.2	Updated risk assessment provided	□ Compliant	S7 - Risk Assessment
5.3.2	Assessment of the risks associated with autonomously taking off and	☐ Compliant	S7 - Risk Assessment
	landing provided		
5.3.2	Risk Management provided	□ Compliant	S8 - Risk Management
5.3.2	Details of the system response to loss	□ Compliant	S8.3 - Risk Management





Deliverable 2 Compliance Statement

Rules Reference	Topic	Compliance	Deliverable 2 Reference
	of data link provided		
5.3.2	Details of the system response to loss of GPS provided		S8.3 - Risk Management
5.3.2	Details of the system response to lock- up or failure of autopilot provided		S8.3 - Risk Management
5.3.2	Details of the system response to lock- up or failure of the GCS provided		S8.3 - Risk Management
5.3.2	Details of the system response to loss of engine power provided		S8.3 - Risk Management
5.3.2	Details of fuel and/or battery management provided	☑ Compliant	S8.3 - Risk Management
5.3.2	Details of the management of other risks provided		S8.3 - Risk Management
5.3.2	Flight tests results provided	□ Compliant	
5.3.2	Conclusions provided	□ Compliant	
5.3.2	Video provided showing the retrieval aircraft autonomously landing and taking off	□ Compliant	
5.3.2	Video provided showing the teams pre-flight set up and checks	□ Compliant	
Highly Desira	ble		
7.2	"Soft Geofence"	□ Implemented□ Not Implemented	
5.3.2	Deliverable 2: Max 23 pages.	□ Compliant□ Non-Compliant	

Additional Information:

Date: 30/3/2016

Signed by a team representative, on behalf of all team members:

Printed Name: Matthew De Bono