## Homework 6

## Michael Brodskiy

Professor: J. Blazek

## December 6, 2024

- (a) First and foremost, we can eliminate the pressure contribution, since we are assuming a case in which we treat matter as dust. Dust is assumed to have no pressure, since velocities are non-relativistic, while mass is most of the energy density.
  - (b) We can begin by decomposing the components of the fluid equations into "perturbation form" as follows:

$$\rho = \rho_o + \delta \rho$$
$$\vec{v} = \vec{v}_o + \delta \vec{v}$$
$$\Phi = \Phi_o + \delta \Phi$$

Using standard convention, we take  $\delta\Phi\to\Phi$ . This allows us to rewrite the equations as

$$\begin{cases} \frac{D(\vec{v}_o + \delta \vec{v})}{Dt} &= -\nabla \Phi \\ \frac{D(\rho_o + \delta \rho)}{Dt} &= -(\rho_o + \delta \rho) \nabla \cdot (\vec{v}_o + \delta \vec{v}) \\ \nabla^2 \Phi &= 4\pi G(\rho_o + \delta \rho) \end{cases}$$

And finally we linearize (removing zeroth-order terms):

$$\begin{cases} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\vec{v}_o + \delta \vec{v}) \cdot \nabla \right] \vec{v}_o + \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \vec{v}_o \cdot \nabla \right] \delta \vec{v} &= -\nabla \Phi \\ \\ \frac{\partial \bar{\rho}}{\partial t} + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \vec{v}_o \cdot \nabla \right) \bar{\rho} \delta &= -\bar{\rho} (\nabla \cdot (\vec{v}_o + \delta \vec{v}) + \delta \nabla \cdot \vec{v}_o) \\ \\ \nabla^2 \Phi &= 4\pi G \bar{\rho} \delta \end{cases}$$

(c) Incorporating the background velocity  $(\vec{v}_o = H\vec{x})$ , we may write:

(d) To transition to comoving coordinates, we may use the following relationships:

$$\vec{x} = a\vec{r}$$

The peculiar velocity:

$$\delta \vec{v} = a\vec{u}$$

And the gradient:

$$\nabla_c = \frac{1}{a} \nabla$$

Incorporating this into the above, we get:

$$\begin{cases} a\frac{d\vec{u}}{dt} + 2aH\vec{u} &= -\nabla_c \Phi \\ \frac{d(\delta)}{dt} &= -\nabla_c \cdot (\vec{u}) \\ \nabla_c^2 \Phi &= 4\pi G \bar{\rho} a^2 \delta \end{cases}$$

We can then simplify using dot notation to get the equations in terms of comoving coordinates:

We may see that we have found the damping term proportional to twice the Hubble expansion.

(e) Taking the divergence of the first equation, we get:

$$\nabla_c \cdot \dot{\vec{u}} + 2H\nabla_c \cdot \vec{u} = -\frac{1}{a^2}\nabla_c^2 \Phi$$

We may observe that this can be combined with the third equation to get:

$$\nabla_c \cdot \dot{\vec{u}} + 2H\nabla_c \cdot \vec{u} = -4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta$$

We then take the time derivative of the second equation to write:

$$\ddot{\delta} = -\nabla_c \cdot \dot{\vec{u}}$$

$$\nabla_c \cdot \dot{\vec{u}} = -\ddot{\delta}$$

We then plug this and the undifferentiated form of the second equation into the first and third combined equation to write:

$$-\ddot{\delta} - 2H\dot{\delta} = -4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta$$

We distribute the negative sign to get:

$$\ddot{\delta} + 2H\dot{\delta} = 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta$$

(f) We know that the mean matter density can be written as:

$$\bar{\rho}(a) = \rho_{crit} \Omega_m(a)$$

Furthermore, we know that the critical density is:

$$\rho_{crit} = \frac{3H_O^2}{8\pi G}$$

Combining this with part (e), we get:

$$\ddot{\delta} + 2H\dot{\delta} = \frac{3H^2\Omega_m(a)\delta}{2}$$

(g) • Matter Domination In this case, we may see that:

$$\ddot{\delta} + 2H\dot{\delta} = \frac{3}{2}H^2\delta$$

We can rewrite this in terms of t to get:

$$\ddot{\delta} + \frac{4}{3t}\dot{\delta} - \frac{2}{3t^2}\delta = 0$$

We can see that the only cosmologically relevant solution is when  $\delta \propto t^{2/3}$ , and that, in this case, since  $a \propto t^{2/3}$ , we can conclude:

$$\delta \propto a$$

• Radiation Domination We may observe that  $\Omega_m = 0$ , which gives us:

$$\ddot{\delta} + 2H\dot{\delta} = 0$$

We can rewrite in terms of t to get:

$$\ddot{\delta} + \frac{1}{t}\dot{\delta} = 0$$

We may observe that since the first-order time derivative is proportional to the inverse of t,  $\delta \propto \ln(t)$ . As such, we may conclude:

$$\delta \propto \frac{3}{2} \ln(a)$$

• Λ Domination Similarly to radiation, we get:

$$\ddot{\delta} + 2H\dot{\delta} = 0$$

However, we know that in  $\Lambda$  domination, H is constant. Thus, we can determine that there are two solutions for  $\delta$ , and only one that is cosmologically meaningful:

$$\delta = c$$
 or  $\delta \propto e^{-2Ht}$ 

Given that the second would imply that  $\delta \propto a^{-2}$ , the only relevant solution is, for some constant c:

$$\delta = c$$

And thus, this term is constant for  $\Lambda$  domination.

(h) We use our solutions from (g) and the following formula:

$$\nabla_c^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \bar{\rho} a^2 \delta$$

• Matter Domination We may observe that, during this period,  $\Phi$  remains constant, since:

$$\Phi \propto \frac{\delta}{a}$$

$$\Phi \propto \frac{a}{a}$$

$$\Phi \propto c$$

• Radiation and  $\Lambda$  Domination We may observe that  $\Phi$  decays, since, for radiation, we see:

$$\Phi \propto \frac{\ln(a)}{a}$$

And for  $\Lambda$  domination:

$$\boxed{\Phi \propto \frac{c}{a}}$$

Note that decay occurs much faster for the case of  $\Lambda$  domination.

- (i) Based on the results from (h), we may conclude that, in a matter-dominated region, the photon would remain at the same energy, since the gravitational potential doesn't change; however, the photon would gain energy (experience the ISW effect) in a radiation or Λ dominated universe, since the gravitational potential would decay, meaning that the decrease in potential would be gained by the photon. Note that, in an underdense region, the opposite would occur.
- 2. We first use the Born approximation to find the perpendicular acceleration:

$$a_{\perp} = \frac{GM}{r^2} \cos(\theta)$$

This acceleration results in the deflection of the light ray. From here, we may define the angle  $\hat{\alpha}$  as the integral of the perpendicular acceleration. We first define:

$$r^2 = \varepsilon^2 + z^2$$

And then:

$$\cos(\theta) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + z^2}}$$

This allows us to write:

$$\hat{\alpha} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{GM\varepsilon}{(\varepsilon^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} dz$$

We integrate to obtain:

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{2GM}{\varepsilon}$$

We may observe that the General Relativity case predicts a deflection angle that is twice that of the Newtonian prediction.

3. First and foremost, we know that gravitational lensing results in two effects: first, the magnification of luminosity, which results in observed luminosity  $\mu L$  with magnification factor  $\mu$  and intrinsic luminosity L; second, the apparent area of the sky is magnified by the same factor  $\mu$ , which results in the density of galaxies being decreased by a factor  $\mu^{-1}$ . Given that n(L) corresponds to the number density of galaxies, we may write:

$$n(L) \to n_{app}(L_{app})$$

From here, we can define an "unlensed" function as:

$$n_{unl}(L) \propto L^{-\alpha}$$

We can integrate to find the quantity of observable galaxies:

$$N_{unl}(L) \propto \int_{L_o}^{\infty} L^{-\alpha} dL$$
  
 $N_{unl}(L) \propto L_o^{1-\alpha}$ 

We then substitute into the observed case:

$$n_{app}(L) \propto \frac{1}{\mu} \left(\frac{\mu}{L_o}\right)^{\alpha - 1}$$

We may simplify to get:

$$n_{app}(L) \propto \left(\mu^{\alpha-2} N_{unl}\right)$$

Thus, we may observe that, when  $\mu > 2$ , more galaxies will be observed. When  $\mu < 2$ , less galaxies are observed since the magnification factor will be less than 1. When  $\mu = 2$ , there is no difference in the quantity of observed galaxies.

4. We may begin by calculating the luminosity distance as:

$$d_L = \chi(1+z)$$

For a  $\Lambda$ CDM universe, we know that  $\chi$  may be obtained using:

$$\chi = \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{H_o \sqrt{.31(1+z')^3 + .69}}$$

Since the redshift is given, we get:

$$\chi = \int_0^{.01} \frac{dz'}{H_o \sqrt{.31(1+z')^3 + .69}}$$

Entering this into a numerical solver, we may obtain:

$$\chi = \frac{.009977}{H_0}$$

Which ultimately gives us:

$$d_L = \frac{.009977(1+.01)c}{70}$$

$$d_L \approx 42.856 [\mathrm{Mpc}]$$

This gives us a time of:

$$t = 4.4055 \cdot 10^{15} [s]$$

Using the time delay given, we may write:

$$\frac{c_{GW}}{c} \approx \frac{1.7}{4.4055 \cdot 10^{15}}$$
$$\frac{c_{GW}}{c} \approx 3.86 \cdot 10^{-16}$$

Thus, we see the upper limit is, roughly, on the order of  $10^{-16}$