

Can you think that you can see my screen?

OK. So first of all again thanks all for joining this uh, this meeting today. And as I said the the goal of this, of this meeting is to.

Present what we have done for the supporting development of recommender systems and the idea is that we are going to present some research questions just to to, I mean to lead the discussion that you would like to, to have. So we'd like to have some feedback from you from your side and so and this is what we're going to do is.

I'm going to have a first you know a presentation about the challenges and now we want to I mean we we try to to to mitigate and address the challenges around the development within their systems and then I passed the the, the the floor to to cloud that is going to to to give some more details and also to to show demo about the tool that that you're going to again that we developed for supporting development of recommender systems. OK.

So yeah and why we are all here because as I said we, we, we want to apply this methodology that is applied in many, I mean many that they I mean many domains and recently this

methodology is also I mean I mean is gaining you know  
becoming popular also in in our domain in software engineering  
in in general.

Had been involved in a couple of focus groups, uh, in the past  
and I think that it's a, I mean it's a lightweight manner for  
doing evaluation. Of course, as I said that you cannot do any  
quantitative assessment, but it's a.

Good way to to do some qualitative assessment about  
technology or the methodology that that you are proposing.

So the participants, um, I would like to to have a kind of a  
round table because we don't know, I mean especially [omitted]  
does not know all of us. So yeah, I would like to, I mean to  
ask all of us to do a short presentation so that [omitted] will  
understand who we are and why we we are here. So yeah, [omitted], do  
you want to to say something?

Yes. Uh, so I'm [omitted], work as professor at the Western  
Norway University of Flight Sciences. Very long name.

And they've been working with this model driven engineering  
for uh.

17 years Now, I think.

Uh.

Yeah, so my involvement in this is maybe from the perspective of model repair and constraint programming and these things, so.

I think that's enough from me. Thank you. Thank you again.

[omitted] is not here. So [omitted]. Yeah. You want to do a short presentation about about you? Yeah.

Um, so I'm working at Siemens industry.

It's digital industry software. So that's the business unit within Siemens who sell any kind of industry related software.

It's software for product design and then also for simulations.

So solving all sorts of physical questions like simulating the flow around a car for example is a typical or what you see behind me is simulations that you would do to optimize.

Any kind of turbine.

So that's that's the the background that I'm working in from studies. I'm, I'm a physicist.

And now within Siemens, I work in pre development in a strategy and Innovation department. In working on pre development topics related to artificial intelligence and machine learning. They are also recommended systems and other things like quantum computing.

Yeah. So and we have a couple of.

Activities related to quantum related to recommender systems.

And there are many challenges.

And from for many things we need to build ontologies.

Which we but there are other scenarios where we can can be

purely data-driven. So yeah that's I'm very interested to

see what what you guys come up with. OK. Thank you. Thank you.

[omitted].

Yeah, right. Yeah. Well, I I'm professor here at the University

of [omitted].

And yeah, my group is working on model driven engineering, all

sorts of uh all sort of solutions to automate different

things using typically models. And recently we have started to

develop a model driven solution to automatically generate

recommender systems for modeling languages for typically for DSS

and again that's.

My relation with recommender systems.

Thank you, [omitted].

Yes, hello. So my name is [omitted] Vimma. Uh. I'm a professor at

the Johannes Kepler University in Linz.

Um. And we we do software engineering, uh on working on

several topics like modeling software architectures. We do a lot of automation based on AI. And of course here also there is the intersections with recommender systems.

And um.

Yeah.

We are also doing some quantum computing nowadays, so it was interesting to hear that Simmons is also working on this. Yeah, and I'm looking forward to our expert group meeting today.

Thank you. Uh. So I'm also going to do also short presentation and then I will pass through the words to [omitted] [omitted] and the [omitted]. So I'm I'm from the University of Lapira and my research interested related to model driven engineering and.

We have been working on automating the different model management operations.

Making evolution convolutions and in the context of a European project, we mean three or four years ago we started to work on recommender systems in domain of software development. So what we started to do was developing recommender systems to support, you know, developers, different activities of developers and then we at certain points, I mean we've got many, many results in this, in this respect.

And then we, uh, we are trying to bring all the knowledge that we gained now in developing recommender systems for for software engineering to the modeling world. And this is what we're going to present today is one of the results that that we got for during these activity.

[omitted].

Yeah, from from the same University of [omitted]. And I'm a third year PhD student and I started. I mean, maybe PhD.

And by investigating this local platform for recommendation system of course and also.

During these three years I working uh in applying uh new new technologies like for instance machine learning models, data mining approaches to support their development, the development of recommender system and recently we we started to investigate the local proach.

To support the design of these complex systems, basically.

Thank you, Frank.

Good morning everyone, my name is full and I am originally originally from Vietnam and now within the University of Lapila together with the diabetic with joy and cardio and before coming to work with the Polytechnical university body and when I

worked with Thomas and they the group was is working mainly about recommender system linked open data.

So I've got uh experience with those topics and then when I came to Laguna we continue working with the same topic about recommender system and also to deploy you know many applications using deep learning, machine learning and for mining software repository. So like for it GitHub or Stack Overflow. So this is what we are doing right now and we. Would like to you know, to get to know you and of course to get some feedback from you and and we are very you know happy to to see see here today. Thank you very much.

Thanks punk. Uh [omitted]. Hello everyone, I'm [omitted]. I'm a researcher at the University of Languilla. I'm working with David de [omitted] and [omitted] so. The topics are very similar. In particular, my topics is related to mining software repository, mining model software repository, and then applying this knowledge to recommend items in, for instance, for modeling assistance.

Thank you. Thank you very much. So, yeah, let's.

Move on. And as I said we are going to have a two-part. So in the in the first part I'm going to to say something, I mean to

make an introduction about the problem of automating the design of recommender systems. What are the existing solutions, what are the open challenges and also I mean we have a set of research, I mean research questions and then we have a first discussion about.

Most of these part so and we are all involved and and we would like to to see what's your opinion about this first part.

And the second part is about a demo. So therefore rack is the tool that we've been developing, developing for a developing recommender systems, recommender systems that are.

Not only related to modeling but he's supposed to to be to be working on any application domain. That's the ambition and let's see. So we'd like to get collect feedback and opinions from for from your side and of course we have a set of research questions that you're going to use for you know driving the discussion. So yeah automatically the design of recommender systems. What's the problem here? So the context of course is I mean we want to focus on this.

Uh, context. So the the context is recommender systems in software engineering, right? So we want to support software engineering activities in and we want to reduce the burden on



the. Typically software developers are to travel to, access and browsing the various sources they're using. I mean selecting and using items that might be relevant to their need and and to their current development.

Tasks and this is when when recommender systems came to play. So essentially tools that simplify these activities here.

However, the development of what we call the customer recommender systems is a challenging tasks. As I said during the Cross minor project, European project we developed at different recommender systems and we developed the recommender system that work I mean we're asked by the use case partners. So in the project we had we had a number of research use case, I mean industrial partners that I mean identify with the.

Some requirements, um some activities that they wanted to be supported by tools like recommender systems and I mean we this means that we developed a number of recommender systems and this was a challenging task because we have to understand, I mean probably understand what was the problem and understand how to automate that part, how to create, you know, a data set.

Starting from raw data mining, this raw data filter out elements that were not elevated. And I mean, you all know that

this is, you know, very challenging, challenging tasks.

And the currently the bus, the best that you have is that you have a kind of block black box systems. So think for instance to.

The the tool from from GitHub, not the that they introduced this environment to to support the development activities. But this is a black box, right? So you don't have any ways to customize any part of the of the overall process. So you have to use and you're supposed to to use the recommended system as it is no without any possibilities.

Of touching it. So what we then what we?

The intuition here is that we want to develop a local environment, so mean a modeling environment to simplify the development of recommender systems, right?

So we want to propose the, the, the, the, the user what you suppose then and the user of the recommender systems with a number of.

Constructs that can be used to you know simplify all the problems that are typically, I mean all the tasks that are typically performed when you have to develop recommender systems. So and of course here we have also to to take into

into account to you know, to aspects. So automation versus

interaction because here you can for instance.

On the on the right hand, essentially you have a

recommender systems that make use of user feedback while the,

I mean the recommender system is used. So for instance the

recommender system you know recommended the adoption of

summer library and on the left hand side essentially you OK,

you get these silicon rendition, but you don't have any mean to

say OK this recommendation for me to this context is not

relevant you know and here.

We have the need of also employing the same user feedback

mechanism. There is also another dimension is if the system is a

proactive or reactive. So without explicitly asking for

recommendation, this system should mean can not recommend

items or recommender system should be.

Explicitly asked to provide the recommendation that respect to

the current context and also the way the context is actually

drawn and defined.

It can be the case that the user is the one that is going to

establish and define the context or the context is dynamically

automatically created.

So what are the existing approaches? I mean I'm sorry that the veto is not here because I mean you, I mean he was supposed to be part of this, of this group because Vito is working with the Tomasso Dinoia and then that group conceived Elliot, which is I mean a framework for supporting the evaluation of recommender systems. So they have a language.

They're essentially can be used to specify, uh, how to evaluate the recommender systems and the language is, I mean based on the YAML format, and you specify what was supposed to be kind of requirements of evaluation and the the the frame of automatically.

Perform the evaluation itself. OK you specify. For instance will target evaluation metrics or target the parameters? What are the splitting rules of the of the data set and the system?

I mean as I mean number of automated activities that simplify the evaluation of the recommender system.

And then uh, we have also Droid and and one, correct me if I say something wrong because the Droid has been developing the proposed by the Group of Guadalajara and Esther Guerra.

And essentially Droid is a local approach again to simplify

development of recommender system by focusing the modeling

domain. So for modeling assistance, OK and.

And your proach is based on a customer they sell that specify

I mean the relevant the concepts that are needed to configure and build.

The different components that are part of that compose the recommender system.

And then of course we have out on the, you know, line of

research paradigm and essentially this field aims to

automatically identify what's the approach that perform better

for certain for certain task. OK, so essentially even the

understand what are the value for the different parameters of people model.

Then this is automatically done or automatically supported by outernet.

So what got you? Yes. You know Western from [omitted]. Yeah.

Right. Quick question. Yeah.

Are you going to share the slides?

Afterwards, because I would like to go through some of the

literature that you sure, sure, sure. We are happy to share

these slides. Perfect. Thank you. Thank you. Welcome.

So yeah, the I, I don't see any of you while I mean uh sharing my screen. So [omitted] interrupt me if there is some raised. So what are the open challenges, at least the challenges that we consider relevant to be you know, high priority challenges.

So first of all is managing interagency. What the, what does it mean? It means that you we all know.

That when you have to.

Uh, to design, develop, recommender system and then for instance you and mainly when you have to.

Mind, I mean mind the data that then will be used to to feed or to to to support the recorded the recommendations you see that you have two possibly mine data from atherogenesis sources right? And and most of the cases managing intelligibility represent amount. I mean is among the the, the the big beast and now that you have to.

And to manage in order to bring the data in your progenies format or in any way that you can.

Define in Asia in in more convenient manner OK by the subset in subsequent components of the commander systems.

Uh, OK.

What does it mean? It means that the existing approach is working

in very specific domain so.

And what we would like to do is again try to conceive an approach that can be used on any application domain. So we could use the approach for developing publico under system, potentially for supporting software engineering tasks, but also for modeling tasks. And again we need to understand how far we can go, not with this ambition.

So now, uh, yeah, the, this is the, the first set of research questions and the idea here is to again do kind of discussion and presentation about ourselves with respect to the experience that we had on the recommender systems and the experience that we have with the tools that support if any, that support the design of recommender systems and also we would like to.

Have a discussion about the experience that we have in modeling the complex software systems now of course now since the group came up to be quite restricted.

Let's say only only [omitted] is. You know he's a.

Is new in this in this group, but I mean let's.

I mean stick to the plan and let's have a a quick discussion about this research questions. So yeah, let's do again another.

Around the table to to answer these questions here.

Um, yeah. Let's start from [omitted].

So [omitted], the first question is again what's what you, what is your experience with the recommender systems in general and what are I mean the experience that you had with the tool to design this recommender systems and also if you have any experience with the modeling complex software systems from a modeling I mean and then the perspective.

OK, can you show the the question once again? Or maybe you can if you make them small and exactly like this. So what is my experience with recommender systems in general?

So first of all when we use recommender systems?

The persona that we are addressing is the user of our software.

These are typically not software engineers, but um.

Designers.

Or um, simulation engineers depending on on the software that we are using or that the customer is using and then.

What we are working on is for example well assist the customer in using our software, for example predicting the next command which.



Which should follow what the user has been done previously.

If he if there is a sequence of clicks, then we want to optimize the user interface according to what we expect the next meaningful click would be.

That's that's the kind of recommender systems we are looking at.

Other recommender systems um activities go into.

Um, I don't know whether it's the same understanding of recommender systems, but if you have a.

Database of simulation models or um, cut models. For example CID models. In large custom large companies you would have a managed system, a data management system for those, but then finding.

The relevant um model out of this database is difficult.

Therefore a recommendation. We are working on recommender systems or we currently building or recommender systems for this.

OK. So and but in from your side essentially you are developers of recommender systems, right? And you're developing recommender systems that I mean user your clients are going to use.

Exactly. So we are developing recommender systems, usually on

the level of a proof of concept, and then we hand this over to the real developers, the real developers, and we need to really make sure.

That they yeah or.

Has a minimal burden of implement this properly into the product. And yeah, I mean now you have a question that is related to the second point. So do you have, I mean have you found any commonalities or typical recurrent pattern while developing recommender systems for different clients?

So what I'm saying is that have you noticed that the possibility to exploit a common process for developing recommender systems and also kind of reuse components that you defined?

I mean during your development activities.

Experience.

Um.

I have to say that we are at an early stage at this stage where the underlying model is defined by us, trained by data that we have.

And then we shipped this out to to the customers. There is now infrastructure established and communicated to pilot customers which would also allow some customization, extracting off

the data, sending this to a.

To a cloud service where a machine learning model is trained and this is feedback. Feedback OK and and well.

Underlying uh.

Like the the, the definition of, for example, of ontologies is typically not dependent on the customer.

Yeah, it's, it's rather dependent on the product now.

So, and I mean this is the kind of reusability aspect that we can say no in sense that you develop an ontology. And if this does not mean that this ontology is going to be used only for one specific customer, but maybe for a set of customers that work on the same domain exactly, yeah. So exactly this can be seen as.

In some cases it's just a necessity to have an ontology because data is so rare. Industrial data is so precious that you you, you cannot have this big data approach, but you have to use any context which is available, any relation between the data, in order to enhance whatever you want to do. So many of those approaches have to rely on ontology and there you can say, yeah, that's a.

From a reusable point of view, makes sense, yeah?

And since you said the data are so precious, of course not. For

the for, for not.

Obvious reasons um, uh, how do you train your models?

So you I mean it's a big part of the recommender system

development is actually essentially train your model,

you do some experiments, you see that the accuracy that you get

is good or not. And then you it's an interview process now

and as a Siemens now as a developer recommender system for

your partner, do you have the availability of the data?

In order to do this training and this iterative process.

In some cases, yes.

And in case you don't have what, what, what what to do?

We have to prepare the data internally.

OK, so we mimic usage of the software.

And by this we create a data set and this allows us to define

ontologies and pipelines. But as I said, we are at an early stage

of of recommender system or utilizing recommender system

technology. Of course we are dreaming of a general assistant

when using our software like a theory which you can talk to and

then it's much easier and.

And then much easier to use the software. But it's it's very

complex software. It's also very complex user behavior.

And therefore it's it's it's tricky.

OK. And uh, do you have any?

Uh.

Uh, I mean, do you have any, uh, modeling, uh, support?

To develop this system. So what I'm saying is that you start

every time you start from scratch. And you.

Even I mean this is a question to to say to ask if you have or

if you would like to have a modeling approach for supporting

this activity for the supporting development of recommender

systems. I mean before I mean opening the development

environment and start working in developing all the recommender

systems. I am asking if you have any experience and all or need

of.

A modeling environment for supporting the system

development.

Uh, well, it could help, yes. So.

At this point we are. We would do this with Python scripts for

example. So also we would benefit from a low code, no code

platform in which you can quickly put together processes

and models. And for example if you want to compare the

performance of a graph neural network and then if you have

something low code which just allows you to.

Quickly put this together, try out then this would help at the

pre development stage of those things, yeah.

OK, if it's if it's productized, then it's something else, then

it has to be probably written in C++ or or Java. But for for

developing the proof of concept, they are a local platform. Would

help.

Good. OK. Thank you. Thank you very much. Uh, yeah, let's move

on. We did the same. Follow [omitted].

I mean I'm going through Amen. I'm following uh the you know

the way you have my screen. I want to say something. Ohh,

sorry wrong wrong. Please phone.

Do I have just quick question?

We cannot hear you.

Can you hear me now?

Uh, yes.

No.

It's a no, it's not constant. You have some problem.

No.

Norfolk.

I mean, we move on the front. Maybe you can fix the problem.

OK. OK, [omitted]. Yes, um.

Yeah. So first of all, there's just technical thing about calling these four research questions. I somehow would say they are not research questions. These are a kind of uh, yeah, but yeah. So my experience with recommender systems comes from mostly from modeling assistants and model repair software.

Uh, which I think all of you could relate to. You know what it is, but just shortly introducing it is more about statistically say seeing what are the next steps uh developer would take in the next step when they are doing some modeling, right? And then if some errors happen so.

What's the nearest solution for that? For that error or for that problem which appeared in the in the in the modeling process?

And also we've been working a little bit with uh multi models.

So you have multiple models which are corresponding to with each other. If you have multiple companies or in one company where you have different systems, they don't usually talk with each other. And the idea in that project is to to recommend the users OK, how which parts of the data should be made related with each other based on analyzing the.

Contents of the data. Um, but my experience with.

Tools that support the design of recommender systems is that we handcraft things from scratch. It's not good if if I get the question right, it's like.

I don't know if we managed to find any, um, reusable pieces of software or something which is some framework which you say, OK, take this and I feed it with my data and it tells me what's recommended for the next step on these things, OK.

Um, yes, modeling complex systems is also related again to this model management activity with correspondence, uh, definition between different uh.

Systems and and.

And yes so it's it's really come back to you know as you know of course in in research projects you want to simplify the, the complexity and you want to sell it in the papers as easy as possible, right. So we we leave the complexity to the developers who are on board on the project Research project, right.

So and the one question, I mean for instance in the case of [omitted], he said that they start with the kind of proof of concept implementation that consists of a Python scripts and then once they mean stabilize the things they move towards you know C# or C++ or Java.



I mean they created the product uh do you also have the same approach? I mean no, I mean I mean in in case of [omitted] there are, I mean in a company then you have like products and you have to deliver on these things. So so research, research projects usually we do the proof of concepts and which language is used is, I mean in many cases Python is a nearest one you you pick uh and then it's depending on the students or the the researchers involved. So sometimes they.

They migrate quite quickly to to Java uh and sometimes they they keep it simple in in Python And just have a proof of concept and the moment it goes out to be produced a software then there are software professionals who are doing the the development and then they have their own.

OK in environment to do these things. So I think, I think Java is a is A1 which is usually they pick to to do these things, yeah.

OK, good. Thank you very much.

Any questions for [omitted]?

No.

UH-1.

Uh, right um.

Yeah. Uh, well, uh, uh.

Yeah. First question is what's your experience with recommender systems? Yeah, well, um.

I would like to mention 33 relevant systems maybe not sure if they can all be called, uh, recommender systems. So the first one is called extreme.

Um, which is uh, which is a modeling assistance. So it's basically a tool. I will put your reference here.

Which gathers heterogeneous um data, um ontologies, models, XML documents, you name it.

And then you can, while you are modeling, you can, uh, query uh in a uniform way those data sources so that you get recommendations. So it's not.

It's not. Uh, yeah, you you need to to build a query, so to say.

Uh, the second system is a kind of a system, but it's a little bit different because it's based on assistance using a natural language. So here the modeler.

Talks uh and describes. So it's an assistant for conceptual, conceptual modeling. So here the system, I mean the user may say universe, I mean Professor works at universities. And then we have a natural language component that parses this and

creates a conceptual model for you. So the system provides recommendations of.

Of of, of the, the, the models, no. And then the user may change it or or or not but. But it's a kind of natural language based approach.

And the other one you you know it you you have mentioned in in the at the beginning is is Droid which is a system to to support provide automation for all the faces in in building recommender. But this recommender should be for for a modeling language for a DSL. So we support all the faces from data gathering. So the data should be models because you are going to.

To build a recommender for a DSL, so you should feed many as many models as possible.

Then also support preprocessing. No, because maybe you want to, let's say yeah, fix a strings or remove, let's say items that are not very popular. Things like that.

Then, uh, an important thing, and I would say that's for us is the most relevant, is to support the evaluation. I mean, you configure several possibilities and you choose different recommendation methods, content based, item based, collaborative

filtering, whatever, each method may have parameters. So you need to choose a range of parameters, a range of methods.

And and then you evaluate which is the best, the best.

And then you deploy and then you integrate with the modeling tool. That's what we would like to automate. OK.

And yeah, the last question is experience in modeling complex systems, um, worth, I mean our experience is from an academic perspective, uh, kind of modeling complex modeling systems that we.

The complex systems that we wanted. Yes. Yeah. Yeah. I mean this last question, I mean makes more sense when you know the group easier to genius, not only modelers or modeling experts.

Yeah. Thanks. Thanks, [omitted].

Uh, [omitted].

Yes, I hope you can hear me. Yes, OK.

Um, yes. So, um, the question. So I think the first one was about the experience. Yeah, in recommender systems. So maybe I I can explain a bit.

What we did in the past was for instance to develop a framework for web-based recommendation systems. So for instance here was the idea. You have already a website.

OK, uh, maybe this is a website that is not even developed by you and for for adding now recommend or support we used web augmentation, so we focused a lot. How can you adapt the website on the client side in the browser to get a recommendation service. For instance, you have a book shop and the book shop has no recommendation service, but it's a nice book shop and you would like to see what other books. Could be nice for you. So therefore what we did is was a bit about.

Developing tools support in the end having a generic recommendation server.

That was based on collaborative filtering.

Um, that was mostly based on rating certain items. So we had a generic model for this recommendation server and then you could develop different recommender systems that are running in the client side of the websites by the apartment station where you can even use this recommender services from the server. And this was one of our first approaches we we did with with recommend.

Um systems um.

Then what we also did, and maybe this is a bit different um to

this web-based recommendation services because here we had a very fixed.

Model for the recommender service. It was based on rating certain items no and then using collaborative filtering approaches.

For the other work we did for recommender systems was about model repair. So here what we tried to do is to get the recommender system for textual DSLS for fixing inconsistencies.

And here we did not use a databases.

But we used search based approaches, so actually here the recommendation.

Benefits was you don't have to think about how you resolve, in which order, the different uh inconsistencies in which way. So this was recommended somehow. What the short paths, how do you get the good quality of your model? Again by using search based techniques and we integrated this in Eclipse with xtext. So if you had an xtext based DSL you can use our tool in the end.

Having OCL constraints on and so on and then you get this recommended tool for your DSL for fixing inconsistencies. So maybe this is a bit related to what?

[omitted] has explained before, yeah, but I I think you are

using reinforcement learning, right?

Uh, good then uh, yeah, I worked with [omitted] uh, on Extremo. So

this was a nice experience. But here [omitted] already explained what

what has been done.

And what we are currently working on is uh in in the

context of locomote project is predicting the next modeling

operation in in in the modeling tools by using process mining.

So here we also try to have a reusable recommender that is

utilizing the execution logs you have in your modeling editors

and based on process mining you would like to derive.

Process models that are explaining OK, what are?

Common.

Interaction.

Histories.

And based on this you should get.

Yeah, also recommendations what what you should do next in your

modeling ID when you are modeling. So it's more an

operation based viewpoint.

So this is my experience and this is also my experience with

the tools that we have developed so.

Concerning the experience in modeling complex software systems, yeah, so.

Yeah. Not, not, not, not sure. We have done a lot here. Um, maybe also concerning, uh, the the recommenders system. So I think it's quite important to find out what is a good reference architecture.

For a certain recommendation approach.

And if you have this kind of reference architecture, I think then the next step is to automate by using modeling approaches to synthesize these architectures.

Yeah so so this worked quite well in the past for the different approaches I have explained before.

Good, thank you. [omitted], you have a questions I see your I would have a question to [omitted] in this bookshop application.

He said that this is based on book ratings or the recommender system would, as inputs, take a ratings of books. Where is this data coming from? Is this business intelligence data coming from the bookstore itself or is it just taken from Amazon or from somewhere else? And if it's taking if, it's if it's business intelligence from the bookstore?

Is the bookstore usually willing to share the data or is there a



way that it works without sharing the data?

Yeah, yeah. So here somehow we need the data. So if you

integrate it by calling a service that gets the data from

an external website or web service.

Or maybe the users have to rate their items?

This is uh up to how you implement this rating

functionality. OK so somehow you you have to enhance your web

store whatever you sell, whatever you or whatever you

present could be. Also not non commercial application like we

had a community website with cocktail recipes and so on as

one example. So somehow you need the ratings and you you could

think about it now.

Doing some external calls.

To other websites or services to collect the data or you have to

enhance your website with a kind of rating.

Functionality. OK and then this data has to be sent to your

recommendation server.

And and then you can use the recommender. So as maybe this

also relates to what David I said before so this this data

integration aspect or getting the data in the format.

That you need for your recommender and even getting the

data from your users. This is a huge challenge, yes.

Exactly, yeah.

OK, yeah, what? We also developed recommenders like the search based approaches.

Where you don't need data.

Umm.

OK. Thank you. Thank you very much. Umm, OK. So I would since we are, I mean when I worked there one hour, I think that they would move to the next part of of this group. So Claudia, you want to share the screen? Yes, sure, I'm going to do that.

OK.

Uh, OK, let's see. You can see my screen, yes. Uh, OK. So, um, to cope with the challenges that we that we presented, we propose this tool that is called foreca. Let's say a local the prototype, design, customize and actually deploy. The actual system that they use are as specified.

The treatment point that we we would, we would to cover is first selecting the.

The crucial feature of the one the the customer contact system that the user want to, I mean to to deploy at the very end. And to do this we set up a set of logical constraint among the

chosen feature. Of course this feature are based on recommender system for software engineering, because I mean we can cross miner. We developed, we developed a system.

More developers essentially. So not based on our let's say knowledge and of course we reviewed, we reviewed also notable works in the domain and the final step is the generation of the source code for now in Python. But we'll see how we gonna stand. OK, when we conceived, I mean that the left for rec approach methodology.

Say, uh, we we we identify first a set of challenges that these are, let's say an extended version of the open challenges that we presented before. So the first one is how to specify a common pipeline of recommender system? Because I mean not about recommendation. There are there are a lot of approaches in the domain, not only of course in the engineering domains of development, but.

Umm, and so the the one problem is to identify the common similarity, the common, the common components and the similarities among them. Then that would be a very very problem is the collection of the data, because their system are basically all information retrieval tools or.

Presently we see that the proliferation of machine learning based approach, so the data is crucial task and they usually recommend the system needs a lot of data to perform proper.

To retrieve relevant items then.

Uh, then we have, of course, the development of.

Scored components that are mapped to them, modeling one and at the very end to evaluate the system. Because there are a set of metrics and a lot of evaluation strategies, but not all direct niques are suitable for all for all.

Different type of uh, recommender system because for instance.

Information, uh, collaborative filtering methods have his own metrics, for instance catalog coverage.

The sales diversity and so on. Uh is that uh, for instance, um, machine learning domain are usually evaluated with precision, recall and.

Uh metrics and so in in the in this field we have to cope with the progenity of this kind of things. So this is the architecture, the overall architecture, how we address this heterogeneity by means of feature model. Feature models

are used especially in software, software product line to I mean

to set the general feature, the crucial feature law system.

Uh and uh. Of course this kind of model supports also logical constraints between amalgam and the different components, but for instance, if I'm, I mean if we have some requirement of the data we we have to take into account when we we have to choose the corresponding algorithm for instance.

We have a particular, for instance a collaborative filtering. In collaborative filtering we have as a data structure usually metrics, user item metrics with the ratings.

So when we design a collaborative filtering.

We want to use our collaborative filtering algorithm. We have to.

I mean we we have to encode data in some way and in particular in a matrix format for instance. This is just an example of a constraint between data and then we have also intermediately.

The outputs of each component. So we have carefully designed the constrained and among the components. This is the first phase and we have a second, second refinement. Let's say when we selected the feature, we can we can optimize or customize the algorithm that algorithm each component actually that.

We we selected the, the, the, the. I mean in this case they

the designer selected in this case. So in the, I mean in the,  
in the next step of in this announcement of the system we  
can select for instance.

Yeah, but parameter of machine learning model or for or for  
instance if the collaborative filtering is user based or item  
based and the mini many other aspects of for instance  
evaluation methodology on in which way we can test this  
system and so on that are not in the final step. But as I said  
there is a generation of source code.

Manager of the developed the development, we we generate the  
Python code for the system and in three, let's say different.

Three different using the three different formats.

That's the notebook, because it is more readable. Let's say for  
the I mean another user. The flask web interface always  
written.

Also written in Python And playing the Python code to test  
on your machine. So this is the future model the dimension, of  
course, yeah, for space, I mean for the sake of the presentation  
we collapsed some feature, but I can show you the extended model  
in the demo. As you can see we have elicited the components of  
genetic, genetic, potentially genetic recommender system.

Uh, so the algorithm you see the algorithm component that the data set component in which we specify the different data set.

For instance if it is a supervised or unsupervised does it for machine learning problem. Then we have different classes of algorithms like for instance availability, filtering, content based algorithm, classification, neural networks and so on. And also also an aspect that actually I don't, I don't mention.

Indeed, the management of the presentation layer, let's say of their communities.

In a word, how how we we present the final recommendation to the final user? Because also I mean the interaction of user is a crucial component. And then of course we have this list of course we I mean it is our ambition to implement all all the the components but.

Uh, I have a request. Maybe? Yeah, accepted the request. OK, OK, perfect.

OK, and and of course ER, we implement a subset of this measure for this demo, but let's see after this and they they constrain the logical constraint and dimension. And is a subset is represented by by this table. For instance, as I said before,

if we select an unsupervised algorithm, we cannot we cannot  
select supervised the data that because the data.

But not in the right format for this kind of algorithm. And then  
we have for instance in if we want to use a another language  
preparation, since we cannot do it if the data are not included  
in a textual format. So.

And then of course we have to take into account all these  
aspects when we have, I mean opposing and building our  
recommender system.

OK, we will do in the second phase we use the the concept  
coming from a model driven engineering that is meta model  
actually model is a model in general is a presentation of  
real world entities and the middle model, middle models give  
us the concept to to represent a model of the system. So you see  
that here we have different we have.

Different.

Concepts. Let's say abstract concepts that represent the real  
world entity. In this case we have the the concept of data  
set, the concept of algorithm, recommender, system itself and  
so on. You see also that we conceptualize also the Python  
libraries that we use. And of course also here we we actually



implement the two of.

Of this library, but we can we can discuss it later. And in this case, just to end, the meta model is used to in the second phase of the process, so to to enhance the initial specification that is, I mean the initial feature that the users selected in the first place.

Uh, in this phase? Uh, so.

Umm then that space is driven by acceleo. That is a model driven also, yeah, a model driven tool that is capable of generating source code by using the templates. So here we have an example of accelerator template and as you can see we can specify not only Python. In this case we have Python code but but we we can specify we can generate.

Source code for every kind of language because it's a model driven tool. So depending on the.

Affected feature that the user as a specified before we can generate the corresponding code. For instance, here we have a set of, let's say condition and.

Uh, we use model concept to generate the source code and of course to tune the the recommender system in the end.

So to evaluate, I mean the capability of left or right to

mimic the.

Existing system we reuse as a use case to different approaches

but we developed the indecorous manner Vortex one is cross

record that is our collaborative filtering based recommender

system for third party libraries and basically we have matrix

stuff structure in which the recommender system takes the

request from the user by using the by using the the GitHub

project.

And that is the abandoned. This actually and recommend uninstall

by items. So to set I mean to mimic the prospect

configuration. Of course we have to select.

You have to select the filtering algorithm. You see that the ER

we have the representation of the Fisher model as a set of

checkboxes, so the user can easily interact with the the

corresponding feature and you it. It is worth noting that the

number of manually, I mean the feature that user the user have

to select finally is very immediately because thanks to

the.

Vertical constraint that we define. We define it that we we

can. I mean this is then we can automatically select the

remaining treasure for based of course on some choice of the

user. And then we have the second phase represented in the right side of the slide which we have. We can fine tune the system. For instance, here we can set the library user for the generation the.

Been the dipole evaluation, the number of recommendation, recommended item, number of folders that they devaluation for instance. And you see that of course we can customize every aspect of the system, not only in this evaluation. And this is the final generated code for CROSSTREK in Python. So you see that we have a complete implementation in the actually working implementation.

The second I mean the second use case that we use, it is a horror right that these are machine learning based the classifier for meta models. So we selected this this second approach to to show that the that the Lefrak is capable of managing the different algorithm and strategy because.

Crosstrek is based on availability filtering, while here we are using a neural network to. I mean to classify media models in this case but can be.

Also other other different areas of the artifacts and also similarly similar to Prozac, here we have the two phases. One

is the feature selection, yeah, you see that we go between deeper by selecting for instance in this in this case a supervised feedforward neural network and the in the second phase we again set the other parameters of the model.

Also here we have the generated code and to evaluate I mean we all we all success. I mean the the quantitative, quantitative evaluation.

See that the aspect of refractor by running a personal validation in which we demonstrated that refractor resemble in some way the the original results of the tools. Because we have, I mean more or less the same.

Uh, the same results on average. So OK, I guess which? Directly to the plug in, no?

I have, though of course I have a demo that we recorded for Rex's conference.

And you see, yeah, we what we developed is an Eclipse plugin.

And you see here that we have.

See the complete feature model which the user can navigate.

And.

And here the user can can specify a new configuration for instance here we want to mimic across direct for instance and

you see that there is that produce this kind of file that is based on visual model. So the user can select what were they the algorithm and each component. Usually that also there is some show they go strains so the user.

And are driven in some way in this election.

And though of course they are OK, we can select also the presentation layer, component data, also the data set, the pressing data set library also written in Python And also I mean the web library for developing.

This is uh and so on. Um.

OK, so we can skip a beat that. OK here from the from that we we automatically generate a model that is the second phase. So this model is compliant with the meta model that I showed. So you see that error.

This is some generate an initial specification of the system and the user can refine it. By using I mean the this modeling environment in this case. So you see that in cross fold validation we can set.

We can set for instance the number of folder that is used at the.

In the evaluation uh settings and uh the the final

recommendation. Also the the final recommendation algorithm and the CER you can customize every aspect of, in this case the collaborative filtering system. We can select the number of neighborhood and so on.

So OK, OK we can skip to the the generation part and from this model we generated the Python code as we can see from the video. So here this is a running code to I mean to deploy capital system actually.

So, and this is the running system in the Jupiter notebook environment. You see that the code is.

Uh, it's actually the lawyer that.

On Amazon, OK. So Umm the same goes, I mean the same process has been done for Aurora. What is different I mean is that the as you can see the, the, the, the future about the I mean they they chose an algorithm so we select a feed forward network is different to the library that we use it because we use to learn to generate it. But the, I mean the.

Should see is the same and uh we uh we also have uh, let's say.

In this case, we have Bob's Plain Python code to test in our ID for, for instance.

So, OK, coming back to the presentation.

Um, OK, OK, we developed this Eclipse plugin, but what we want to do is to, I mean make Laforet more platform independent in the sense that.

We want to. I mean to.

I mean they they improve their usability also by means of for instance a cloud based architecture or for instance a standalone version at his daughter. So he's in this way the system is not bounded by let's say a modeling a specific model in a framework like clips. And actually what we did already is to generate a web editor in which the user can select.

Um.

And again specify this.

The the the system, the entire system using the uh.

Well, basically generated from the meta model. So you can see here that also in this I mean in this web auditor that we generated starting from the feature model and the middle model we have also the context assistant, how to complete the complaints from the issues and so on. All I mean all all features that can help the user, I mean to better to better design.

And the best the, the, the, the system uh, so just to summarize,

so we developed the model driven based environment let's say

following the logo to I mean to design possibly every.

Any class of recommendation, recommendation, recommendation system and of course.

Uh, even though I just show the uh, the red part, generally the

coding Python, thanks to the acceleo and techniques

techniques we can generate tools. So Java implementation or

C or whatever and.

A11 point that we we would mention is their extensibility

because if I wanted to decide to I mean to introduce a new

component for recently in the data set, the preprocessing or

in the.

Uh, algorithm a new algorithm for instance I I have to just

two to extend the two models. So first if we should if we should

model and the the meta model that can drive in this.

Uh, in drive the user during the specification. And of course

what we want to do also is to develop actual, actually a local

the platform in the sense that we can enhance the, the UI, the

user interface with.

The classical uh breaks of local so blocked.

And that.



We we can uh, we can also.

Not an agnostic environment to compose with the tracking drop utilities the the pipeline and of course we can support, as I said, the different systems and libraries by introducing by just extending the model. OK.

Uh, we are, and we can discuss this.

In this kind of research question about more now of Lefrak.

Yeah. Before doing that. So I would like to thank Vasta for joining us and we'll ask him to, yeah, to do just a short introduction about yeah. But if you can say a few words about, yeah, what you're doing, where are you from?

Yeah, sorry for being late. I'm [omitted] and Emily, and I'm from the Polytechnic University of Bari and I'm a researcher there and I usually work on recommended systems and knowledge representation. So in the last, let's say 5 to 10 years, I worked on it and.

We barbish the AT Rexis mostly and international semantic web conferences, so we basically work in this.

Great space in the middle between knowledge representation and semantics and recommendation systems.

Well, I tried to to make it as, uh, yeah, as compact as possible. I'm not one of the.

Although the recommendation frameworks, yeah that was presented before and for what regards the recommender systems, I mostly worked on factorization methods, deep learning methods and evaluation of beyond accuracy dimensions for recommender systems.

OK, thanks for the digging introduction and because we we, I mean we this this.

Meeting here was, I mean essentially consists of two parts. So the the first part that unfortunately you missed was about, yeah, presenting what I mean the other challenges about now development of of recommender systems, existing tools. So of course this part is, I mean you are aware of all of this. But at the end of this first part essentially we had a quick discussion where each of us essentially answered a number of questions about what is your experience with recommender systems in general and actually you already.

And you have done this during this presentation that you have just done. And also we had another questions about was this your experience with the tools that supported the design of

recommended system. So during this first part of the meeting we also mentioned the Elliott. So the, the and the tool that your group I mean you are you guys have been working on to support the evaluation of recommender systems now if I'm not wrong, so if you can say.

Also, a few words about, uh, yeah, the experience for the supporting tools. Yeah, yeah, exactly.

Yeah, yeah. I'm not I I'm buying. No means an expert of tools used to create recommended systems since we usually.

Write code from scratch for any recommended system. We use and then we integrated in the framework in the last few years and before we just.

Write a code, check the reproducibility of the experiments and then use it.

Uh, our only experience with the these tools are the the connection with you guys that are devoting a lot of effort on creating these distances.

Yeah. And then then I think that yeah we can continue with the we do about answering these questions that we have here. So what do you mean what you can say about given ability or I mean do you think that it is useful tool like for left for

rack in supporting development of a customer recommender

systems, you can say some comment about this. Yeah, I

think he is because when when we try to.

Let's say introduce recommender systems to the to the students

or to the stakeholders when we have project with companies.

Let's say there is like a wall.

Between what they think I recommend the system means and

are they actually work so and what they need what they

recommended system need to to work properly.

So these kind of tools, I think that they are.

Let's say uh very very important to to lower the the barrier and

to make also these small companies, let's say some

startups or.

We had the opportunity to to work, be it with the BBC team.

Let's work on uh on the recommendation engine and uh.

And they had the same problem. So these kind of tools are, I

think they are really, really helpful for them and obviously

on the one side we should have somebody with really in the

development of recommended systems and the structure and

framework all these.

Much later models mainly and on the other side obviously, and

another kind of subject.

Or audience with the different skills? Or or just The Who wants

to run experiments without going too much into the details?

OK. So, yeah, very useful. Thank you. So this is essentially, so

you answered about this usefulness of tools like Forex

for you know, guiding and supporting the development of

recommender systems. Now you all followed, I mean you enter the

just in time while when Claudia was presenting Laforet, maybe

you missed a couple of minutes since the beginning. So

according to what what you have seen?

Uh, can you comment about. Yeah, about what you have seen about

the tools, the tools. So you see that the tool now rely on the

kind of reference architecture. So this was what manner was

mentioning at the beginning. So essentially we rely on the

availability or we design the reference architecture

recommender systems and we try to automate a different process,

no. So can you comment about what you've seen, how the left

for like you know?

Support, uh all the different phases and by by considering I

mean what you have in mind in terms of what would be an ideal

tool for supporting the development of recommender

system. So can you comment about what you've seen and what you would expect or what to improve or extend the tool that you have seen in order to meet your expectation?

Uh, yeah, yeah. I think that left Foreca is is very, very useful and it is general enough to cope with the different recommendation frameworks and we know it. And I think that, OK, it is evident that a lot of effort have been put in making it so general.

Uh.

I think that different groups that want to use Lab 4 maybe some.

Some, some bees, some part of the audience obviously would like to.

To just use the tool as it is with the different interfaces and.

And the field the phones up and and then uh, run uh, run the experiments and everything.

But I think that other.

And the group's maybe uh could use Lefrak as a I am by no means an expert all software engineering, but to use lab forak as a.

Low level layer.

And developed their own interfaces to do that, like a

mobile app or a specific web app to use.

In their company.

With the specific configuration for some models and imagine that

in this case, in this case they would like.

Call the specific API's of these.

Low, low level, uh, leverick, so D engine, let's say of love for

work and OK, I think that I answered a couple of questions.

I yeah, the others, yeah, I mean, I mean you said there

actually essentially what you're saying is that maybe the

graphical interface or what you're proposing as a front end

for the user might not be, I mean satisfy some users. So what

you're saying or what you're proposing is that keep the core.

As they are and may be exposed to different ways of selecting

the features or customizing the models that you that we

generate, let me let me make an example.

The BC group was working on mostly.

On content based and ibry, Dirk condition models. OK so

basically.

They were.

Mostly not interested at all in a lot of different collaborative filtering methods, but for what regards the content based and the IBRD models, maybe they would like to have a specific preprocessing steps.

Before a feeding the recommendation systems so they they would integrate. These are these steps within.

A specific proprietary framework or interface through that and then run under the hood.

A lab for act to to prepare the code for the experiments.

OK. Yeah. Thank you very much. Thank you very much.

Um, yeah, I would I would pass to [omitted]. [omitted], can you say also your your thought I mean share with with us what do you think?

Yes. So sure. So it if it's about these uh these questions I think it's if from my perspective I would say the short part of the demo which I the short part of this work which I've seen now looks very promising and very nice. The only thing is that I would say I would need much more time to answer all your questions. Yeah. Yeah. Yeah. Yeah because as you first noticed you you said it yourself I've I've not been involved in the in the local Mode Project Alliance this is.



To me this is the first time I'm seeing this and the only thing which could come to my mind is that usually when you have a long long list of configuration things which you show cloud you showed in the in the demo.

It might only question is whether there was any default variant. So if you say if I don't know anything, I just go for the default, is it possible?

Yes, it is not. I mean, I mean this is not done now, but you can of course specify default to the feature model of course.

Yes, for sure, yes you can.

Uh, yeah, also for instance in the in the web-based data that I showed. I mean it's still a prototype, but we can drive, I mean the user with also I mean some for instance for information about the different algorithm, for instance collaborative filtering is suitable for this. So maybe for your problem is.

More suitable than another, uh, recommender system for sure. For sure we can add this. Thanks for. Yeah, I mean that that's all because I mean in a point of time I think I saw it was like 300 or 400 different configurations which was possible. So, so for if it's a.

I mean to make it easier to use and user friendly, I think it's

nice to have these custom uh, this default things. Hmm.

Otherwise I think I would I'm looking very much forward to to

see more about uh left for rack because I think it's something

which we could use in different projects. But for now I don't

know so much about it to say anything about.

About it. About answering these questions.

Yeah, for instance, what do UM.

It is possible for instance to to extend because currently we

we don't have the support for enforcement learning now.

Because I mean the idea of using or extend your tool is that. I

mean currently we support a number of techniques and for

each technique we have the off to the corresponding

implementation, meaning that we have a library for supporting

those. So extending the tool means that we introduce a new

feature. So the feature can be reinforcement learning. Then we

have and this was for the feature site, then for the

metamodel.

Side of course we needed to introduce the meter passes to

consisting of the parameters that you might want to speak. I

mean to to specify in order to customize no and you to

completely enable the the usage of the reinforcement learning. And then you generate of course the code that according to template that of course you have to define. But that's the way of this is again from the developer of the site, but the user from from inside is just to take and pick.

The reinforcement learning specified that the the the the parameters and then generated the code and use it. So that's the way.

Then it sounds sounds really nice and from the user perspective could be.

I mean with with these simplifications could be realized and I mean it's, I mean with extent, I mean how difficult would it be for a newcomer. I mean these kind of things I would say it's it's more suitable to to to to to put it in I'll say this SUS I don't know what was signing for system under study scale something like that. I think it's called because they are like a list of I think it's 13.

Um, predefined questions which you can somehow modify a little bit and then send it broadly to users of the system, right?

I think that that could be an idea to get answers on this some estimates.

OK good [omitted], you want you have a question. OK, so at the end you are proposing to have a kind of meta recommender that is able to set the configuration for a specific problem. So what that means you have a specific problem and you have to choose if you would like to use machine learning or collaborative filtering technique. And at the end you are proposing to have made a recommender system that is able to define the configuration.

So the problem is that a default configuration is.

We can we can define that default configuration, but the problem is that the the configuration really depends on what are you purposes or what the recommendation should do.

So for this reason maybe we have to implement some things that task to the, the, the, the, the. The purpose is to the to the user and then depending on the purpose is to recommend the possible configuration.

Yeah. I don't say it's easy. I'm just saying yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah. But it is related also to what?

Thing so essentially we are discussing about an alternative way of making the tool, I mean of using the tool. So essentially we can use a natural language interface and sensory

use. Specify in another language what the recommender system should do and maybe my answering a number of questions or can be by means of web-based or DSL. So I see this comment in line with what [omitted] was saying about this point.

Thank you. Thank you.

[omitted].

Yes.

Umm.

I think it was a very nice presentation. It difficult to answer those questions also because I I'm not a software engineer and I speak a little bit of different language. So as I said, we have difficult personas within Siemens who get in touch with recommender systems. So there are people in predevelopment who look into the technology, technology look what has to be done to bring this out to the customer.

So for example define the technology and on ontology estimate how much effort this is to bring this into the to the product. Then there are product developers who really implement this properly into the product. But you have to understand these are products which costs.

10 thousands of EUR. So the the, the quality has to be really,

really high. So they probably have are hesitant to adopt to.

Two novel technologies.

Unless customer usage is already shown. Therefore there is a

third persona, namely our engineering services and

customer support, and there I think this can be really useful.

Because they are.

There are also innovation topics like recommender systems where

people we get in touch with the customers understand their their

need, have their hand on the data.

And quickly have to build something up which would would

solve their their customer pain.

And and for those things or in for for customization of the of

the recommender systems I think that's that's useful.

For the sorry if I can comment what you're saying, just sorry

for interrupting you. So I I I mean I go back quickly on what

you said at the beginning. So in the sense that you first develop

a proof of concept, typically Python scripts and then you

actually develop the real product once you are satisfied

with the proof of concept. So does it make sense for you that

we can say left for rank and use for the first part for the

development of the proof of proof of concept. So essentially

we we use left for rack for supporting the development of the proof of concept.

And if you are satisfied with what you get out of this, then you can, you know, translate or move towards the the real product. Does it make sense? That makes sense, yeah. You can quote me on this and so for.

Setting up the proof of concept, um this can be useful, but it can be also useful for the engineering services when they apply this for different customers because the data situation might be very different and therefore the model might be a different that you want to or the whole recommender system backbone might be different.

And they are. Having this vast amount of options available can be helpful. Although I also agree on having that would be helpful to have default settings which help our engineering services then to quickly choose them.

Good. Thank you.

Uh, [omitted].

I have to. Yeah. So.

Uh, yeah, thanks. Thanks for the presentation. I was quite interesting to see what you have developed in general. I really

like your approach going from the feature model to the EMF model, so to have this different configuration stages and I think it's also meaningful the languages you have selected now first the feature.

Modeling approach because here it seems you have a very fixed configuration space and then you have the modeling approach that allows you a bit more input from from the user side.

So it it seems to need to be very useful, um, what I was thinking, could you, could you go back to the two examples quickly you have shown, yes.

The example you may need this, yeah from the configuration I guess. OK yeah case case studies. Yeah exactly. OK so you you had so.

I was thinking.

Uh, because the first question is about the the usability.

Or could you use costs? Uh, uh, your your porch. Could you use your approach for to apply it directly to your approach?

OK, yes, OK meta live for rec. Let's say yes, because it it seems like for many steps you have to do like also what [omitted] said before, not the default values, but it would be interesting, for instance now if you select no certain features,



you could explore someone else before already also selected  
this features, selected other features.

And especially if you go from the feature model to the system  
fine tuning on the EMF model site here this this could be  
very helpful. Maybe this could be a very nice case study in the  
end.

Yeah, yeah for sure we can improve. I mean the the  
graphical interface, so we can provide the as we said the user  
with the default configuration based on the problem on the  
recommender system that the user want. But definitely yes is.

We can, uh, we can apply, I mean this this sort of automation  
also during the feature selection, I mean to improve the  
automation during the feature selection for sure. And also I  
mean come back to that and then and then for the next phases of  
modeling, let's say, you know if I can add something related to  
this when we are selecting the feature or for sure the feature  
model and also the.

Modeler in this election because when we are selecting some  
things, some feature will be the the the selected some feature  
will be unavailable. So depending on the configuration  
that you are specifying with the feature model, the other parts

will be adapted on the selection of specific features. For instance if you are using the user based. Sorry if I'm using unsupervised data set, I cannot use supervised approach. So I kind of guidance.

Or did the user is provided by by the, the, the the constraints that the cloud you showed during the presentation?

Yeah, I think the future model makes perfect sense, right. So this is very helpful you know, because it really helps you to make mistakes and and you also what you have shown now you get this automated selection and so on. So I think this is really the appropriate formalism.

Then there was just thinking maybe on on the EMF model side.

Yeah, maybe to find some features, standard feature values or maybe maybe feature values would have been used before. Could be interesting.

So I I would say the usefulness seems very good to me.

Uh, let me quickly check the other questions that you have.

Yeah, yeah, yeah. They are quite detailed, no question 2.3 the the extensive.

So it seems automation level is quite good in so here I have.

I would say no further comments. The only thing was, uh, maybe I

missed it, but if you now deploy your recommender system.

Could you even uh generate different variants and then see what maybe works better?

Uh, yeah, actually we can do it, but not in parallel because actually, but I mean I guess we can use acceleo to deploy different as you say the different version of the same system and test the better I guess. I mean there is the exact work that one has done with the Droid because Droid have this picture actually to test.

So that's the barrella differententer Quander system. No, definitely we cannot do this this special and I mean it's a very good suggestion, because now yeah actually we deployed just the system and then the user can refine after, etc. The results. But we if we can support the default configuration, we can also generate in parallel different specification of I mean the same system with some.

Clients, as you said. Yeah, yeah, I also in in this respect I liked before very much the comment about the API approach, because now if you generate such a recommender system and then you would like to do changes.

Some fine tuning. How? How is it currently done? Is it done on

again on the model level and yeah and then you reach enerate

again? Yeah. Can you update the recommender system also? Exactly

yes, yes, yes actually yes, yes. So DevOps or Lifecycle

management is not supported yet. I mean, and I think here the API

could be a handy tool because maybe then you have the specific

API where you can do still some.

Some configurations or changes during runtime in in your

system. So I think this this could be a very.

OK yeah, yeah he's, he's.

Uh, useful. Such as some. Yeah, you know.

The the last question I did not fully understand about the

impact of the meta model evolution. What what do you mean

here?

Ah, sorry, uh.

Is actually a mistake the impact of.

Especially the recommender system, let's say.

Yeah, no, this question is to I mean to assess something the

usability of the use of the interface for unknown expert

user of.

Uh, recommended system, let's say, because uh, you know, for

instance.

Umm, a user uh, that is not unexpected domain, maybe to certain point could be lost among the possible choices and then we I mean with the feature model we we tried to to drive him to this, but I mean is that is more on the on the usability considering an expert user.

Activity in in the end. So here you talk about how how easy it is to learn the tool, right? Yeah exactly yeah. And here I would say maybe it makes a difference now if a newcomer to recommender systems at all or if you're already an expert in recommender system and you use the tool so you're only a newcomer to your tool. I think if you're already you have experience in recommender systems, then of course I think your tool based on the feature model. This should be for me.

Easy to use, but yes of course. Maybe you.

Doing some studies in your future could be interesting here, but if you are completely newcomer to recommender systems then maybe it would be a good idea to have a come some kind of explanations about the feature models and guidance.

So yeah, OK. The really the newcomers to recommend US systems, um can can, yeah. It's, I would say more about learnability now that you have some documentation and this kind

of thing, OK.

Or maybe examples, tutorials and these kind of things. Hmm.

OK. OK, good. Yeah, thanks a lot for the presentation. I really like your approach.

Thank you. Thank you. Thank you, [omitted]. Before we move to one, sorry and I don't want to skip the line, I just have a question maybe for, for, for afterwards then.

OK, just finish then the, I mean this round and then we can have another one, uh, one.

Alright. Yeah, yeah. Again thanks for the presentation. I also like the, the, the approach. I like the the idea of starting with the.

Rough, rough configuration. Uh, the features. And then you fill in the details, like, uh, numbers, neighborhood sizes and all the details. I think that that's I I like. I like that.

No, not enjoyed. It's a little bit different. We use a DSL from the beginning. But I also agree with the comments of [omitted] and [omitted], no. So this is going to be used by newcomers.

Then maybe already seen so many features. Uh, it may be a little bit scary, you know. So maybe you have a you may have a kind of with art that goes step by step and then maybe it produces

a like a configuration that may be suitable and then later you can refine. Maybe that would be would help. I don't know. And I also agree with the comment of [omitted] yeah, I I I like the approach that you generate.

There's something intermediate EMF models in this case that the user can fine tune. I I like this approach, but also it's a little bit risky, right? Because then if you use the three editors you can remove objects and objects, um, do things that are not meaningful and then you generate the code, maybe it's not working anymore.

So, but I guess that depends on the interface, no? So you can provide another interface, yes. Exactly, yeah.

So that's why we are moving forward, let's say more web-based, cloud based architecture. So I mean the user cannot, I mean in the sense that we can drive better the user also in the modeling, I mean in the second phase let's say and also in the future as you say. Yeah, yeah, for sure. Maybe we can also constrain the editor in order to just modify the parameters that is not specifying the feature model.

So in this way we can make sure that the the model is still valid after uh, specifying the the the parameters.

Yeah, yeah well probably if the user is is a newcomer, probably something else than than three editor would be, yeah. Because otherwise, you know, if you are not familiar with the MP3 editor, it's a little bit tricky, right? Yes, yes.

And then yeah, so the level of automation seems uh, I mean it's automatic if you have the right components. I think that to combine and you have the right code generators and you have all the components. I think no, it's you provide full automation. So I think it's like here my question is I didn't fully get, I think David was mentioning that but I didn't fully get that. So if I want let's say I want to have a recommender let's say for.

The programming language rust or something like that.

Then what do I need to provide? So I need to provide the kind of encoding of my face. I need to to find a repository of Ras programs and and and embedding of these programs into some vector representation.

And then I come from existing components or what can I do?

Wait, uh um.

If you're saying that you want to implement your recommender systems in the in the in the new language for, currently our



target language is Python, right? So no, no no no no. I I

don't know if I want a recommender for rust programmer.

So a programmer is building programming and I wanna  
recommended for rust.

No, that's OK for me.

Yeah, you what you wanted to watch. OK. So for sure you have

to provide the vectorization of the data. Then you can apply the

preprocessing in order for instance to remove useful

columns or rows or to aggregate columns. So we are providing the

way for aggregating and manipulating the data. But at

the at the beginning we need a vector based representation of

the data set, right? And then at that point I can use all the

components that you already have now in your. OK, yes, yes.

Yeah. So sounds sounds very good. No, I mean sound sound

reasonable not that's um, yeah, let's say uh, yeah it saves

effort, no. So I think it's let's say that the the data set

I mean the generation of and I mean the data extraction is not

fully covered actually, but we are working on it on it for

instance and now we can, we can, we can handle fix it, I mean

structured.

Um, data like CSV file, Jason. But also we can add let's say a

component that that may be a mine, for instance Rostrevor story to. I mean to support also the data preparation, let's say data preprocessing, preparation of genetic data set. Yeah, it's interesting piece of work for sure, yeah.

Yeah. Well then concerning. Yeah. Well it's only I think it's quite useful. No. I mean it's provides automation once you have everything in place and then extensibility here I'm, I'm also not sure because yeah to me a feature model is is is allows you to to work with a close variability, no. So all the features that you can choose are there if you want other features.

Then you need to modify the the feature model and then probably to to add the code generator or whatever. So yes, yeah, it's extensible, means you can understand something without touching.

What already exists? OK, if you need to touch the feature model then yeah so so probably an approach based, I don't know extension points or something like that where I mean because otherwise you as a design you, I mean your group needs to extend it or I need to dive in into the code for extending it.

That's the point. I mean, yeah, yeah, it is done in a way that

is extensible because it's a feature model, so it's more or less.

Know where you would need to touch and then you have this accelerate scripts, but maybe it would be. I don't know if it would be easier to extend if you provide a kind of possibility to extend it externally so that you provide extension points for your. You have a number of features at the top, no? Like the the recommendation method this and that so that I can.

And so the new feature as an as an implementation of an extension point and you you can let's say read those implementation dynamically and not the features to the feature model or something like that. No, I don't know.

These are just my my thoughts maybe, maybe doesn't make a lot of sense.

Uh.

But in in any in any case I think it's, I think it would be this approach is more extensible than if you would. I mean the way you have done it done. If you you would have written it in let's say in in Java or well maybe written in Java. But you know you use templates and each model model so it's it's a it's a more restricted way of extending the tool of course.

But yeah I agree that maybe having a kind of a plug in.

Because at the end of the day, of course you you have a mental model behind.

And it's it, it it's a question about how extensible is that metamodel, what the metamodel is, what it is.

Uh, you can extend it by adding new meta classes.

But if you want to be truly extensible from outside, maybe you need a kind of.

Of plugin or feature of or here.

Mm-hmm. Yeah. The the other thing that is a suggestion that

I, I think someone said I think was it [omitted]?

Probably as a someone that is going to use your tool to create a recommender, maybe I would like to try out different configurations and and maybe yeah compare the performance or something like that. Yeah like you have Android right? So at the end.

Yeah well maybe I suggest that because it's what I have seen and yeah, but sometimes it's really useful not to to yeah and yeah how difficult it would be for a newcomer. Umm yeah I don't know. For someone that don't like to code or is not familiar with this Python libraries it would be very useful you

generate the code.

For someone that is really really newcomer is very. Then

maybe he doesn't even know about what are the. What does the the recommended methods mean? And probably would need something like a wizard or or a kind of default configuration.

Uh, so it depends on what do you mean by newcomer. But but yeah, of course this automation is very useful, no doubt.

OK.

Thanks suhan. Thanks a lot.

Then, uh, [omitted], you want to say something you wouldn't question? Yeah, I have, I have a question, but it may may take 5 minutes or so.

Yes, OK. OK.

So I'm going to talk about one use case. Please don't quote me on this because it's it's current development.

So we have the situation that we want to build a simulation management base where the customer puts all the simulation that he or she runs into it and we define a an ontology to describe those simulation models and context like what are the requirements for the models. Now if someone in the company wants to do a similar simulation, we want to recommend from this

database.

A simulation which was very similar.

For the for the use case given. So maybe the design has changed a bit, but setting up the simulation tool.

Requires lots of work because you have to do many steps meshing configuration and so on, and we want to help and therefore retrieve.

And an entry from the simulation database.

Umm.

Which fits best to the current needs. So usually we have this scenario. We have a complex ontology and very few data points.

Right, so we query and then having some kind of similarity score which which assess whether the simulation fits to the the actual needs.

Do you think that for this data scenarios your platform will help me?

So, complex ontology and you said you need to have the vectorized the data in some kind of vectorized form. OK, I can extract a CSV file, plug it in and then I want to train or.

Test the workflow.

And having them a variety of similarities, measures and so on. This. So these are these are my needs for this use case.

There are other ones where I have more typical machine learning models and there I see that this.

This would help, but also for this I have a complex ontology and few data points. Do you think that your platform helps?

So let's put in this way so the platform simplify.

The typical activities that you have to do [omitted]ly when I mean when I refer to activities essentially I say you have to select the the machine learning component or the technique that you want to use to to to to you know to run your recommender system configure this component.

I mean who told the WD blocks together? So this is what the the the platform gives for free or support, right?

Uh.

So this means that if you can develop your scenario, the scenario that you're seeing, meaning that you can take your ontologies and vectorize your representatives ontology in the way that.

Is needed by.

To collaborative filtering the component or to training

specifically on the neural network. So if you can do this.

You know, [omitted]ly.

Again, I think that the the platform can be employed as long

as the libraries and the components that we characterize

support are enough for developing your case. I mean

[omitted] listed the number of libraries that we characterize

support. So that's a surprise. We for the processing we support

the pandas and Numpy, so as long as.

You you you the components that the libraries that are there are

enough for you then you can use the the platform as it is. If

not we need to extend and then all the discussions that we had

so far about how to extend the platform. So currently this

extension is not supported as a in eclipse. For instance in

Eclipse VS Code where we are this extension mechanism. So

currently we do not have any extension mechanism.

At that level, but the system is engineered in a way that we know

what are the components that need to be added that without

disrupting the rest. OK, OK, so I don't know if I answered the

question. No no no no it it helps. So a certain amount of

pre work has to be done.

And um.



Maybe. I mean if a complex ontology is in front of it, extracting this and then in case the available packages which are implemented so far are not sufficient then one had one would have to extend this. Yeah OK yeah. But to me this is also related to the questions that one of the questions that have done at the beginning for you about the usability now. Yeah because I mean this is a pre work that you can do, you do once and if in a similar cases you can reuse the components that you have previously.

Yeah, yeah, yeah. Therefore I meant I I see the biggest value for Siemens in engineering services customer support where it's about customization the model after all these difficult pre development is pre work is done like defining the ontology, the data structure and so on.

OK. Thank you very much. Thanks. Do you have a questions about this?

Ohh yeah.

Would it be possible to?

Uh, treason over this anthology.

Let.

Me. Um, in the recommender system research field. We are

wondering, since two years ago on 2-3 years ago, about the fairness of the recommendations.

So what would it be possible to associate to these ontologist facts related to the to the performance?

Of specific groups of users.

So based on demography or whatever, and then uh, let the final user ask. Let's see which are the more.

Beer.

Recommendation.

Brooches.

Sorry, I lost the last, I mean sorry I lost part of your questions. What is the most?

The most fair OK in the system with respect to a specific portion of the population, for instance.

Maybe maybe a complex ontology that is able to handle different recommended systems?

And the.

That in case option I could could deal with the the experimental results.

Maybe this kind of an option is the the only formal way?

Let's say two to answer this kind of questions.

And I I think that I know that this would need an expansion of the ontology.

In at least a couple of directions. So the directions of the users and the demography and the direction of the of the results.

But I don't know this could be a potential extension in in this sense.

That would be really appreciated, because I finally user maybe does not anything about how recommended systems works and.

And everything. So they just need to know if a specific recommender system could be fair for for these group of users say. So essentially you have what you're suggesting is for instance what I see in order to answer your question is that we are able to extend the platform on in order to evaluate the recommender systems farther than adopting the typical metrics that you have about precision, recall, Ness, measure and you know these kind of things.

Also an additional thematics about fairness.

You could you could also take from the different frameworks all the all the measures they have. Obviously I know a lot

more and it has more or less 3540 metrics already implemented there.

But the the the idea that the there isn't in in Elliot and there is a in in any recommendation framework is like create these.

These are a shortcut between a final user and the results.

And why there is no? Because if the researcher 1.

To to answer this question, they they need to run experiments, analyze experiments and everything.

But here since you have this complex ontology.

Maybe you can directly create these shortcut between final user and.

End results.

Yeah, Umm.

And I think that there is nothing like these, uh, in the literature so far, but I could be mistaken. But yeah.

I mean no you you know more than much more than at least yeah.

And and obviously if you can reason, this is just an example the fairness example. But in general if you can reason on the results.

Usually you can have a lot of semantics of automatic agents

that could reason.

All all the recommended systems and results and users. So yeah.

That would be great I think, but.

Very good suggestion. I mean we take note of this. Thank you.

Thank you.

I think related to explain ability and reasoning is also of

interest for for industrial use cases indeed indeed because what

we compete with is an expert user of our software and it's

not like in on Amazon where you do shopping and then you want to

have your recommendations. But we have our recommendations have

to be better or quicker than the typical use of our software who

are already domain experts. So if there is reasoning behind

this, this helps.

To accept assistance technology.

Yes.

OK.

OK. So I mean this took a long longer than expected. So we are

really sorry if we, you know, took all this time from your

side, but we really appreciate your availability and your

comments, suggestions. Thanks a lot. And of course we'll keep

you posted about, I mean our work and we'll share with you

what's going on.