#### I The Information of Detailed Proofs

#### I.1 Proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1. (Generalization for policy classes of distribution error) Suppose Assumption 1 holds, and for any  $\hat{\epsilon} > 0$ , given  $D_{\rm I}$  and  $\pi_{\rm E}$  with

$$e(\hat{c}_{D_{\mathrm{I}}}^{(m)} \rho^{\pi_{\mathrm{E}}}, \hat{c}_{D_{\mathrm{I}}}^{(m)} \rho^{\Pi}) \geq \sup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \{e(\hat{c}_{D}^{(m)} \rho^{\pi_{\mathrm{E}}}, \hat{c}_{D}^{(m)} \rho^{\Pi})\} - \hat{\epsilon},$$

then

$$\underbrace{e(C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}}\rho^{\pi_{\mathrm{E}}}, C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}}\rho^{\Pi})}_{\mathrm{Appr}(\mathcal{D}, m)} \underbrace{-2\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{I}}}^{(m)}(C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}}\rho^{\Pi}) - 8B_{\Pi}\sqrt{\frac{\log(3/\delta)}{2m}}}_{\mathrm{Estm}(\Pi, m, \delta)} - \hat{\epsilon}$$

for all  $\delta \in (0,1)$ , with a probability of at least  $1-\delta$ .

*Proof.* For notational simplicity, we denote  $z_i=(s_{D_1}^{(i)},a_{D_1}^{(i)}),\ Z=(z_1,...,z_m).$  Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{(s,a)\sim\hat{\mathbb{D}}_{\mathrm{I}}} \left[ C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}} \rho^{\pi_{\mathrm{E}}}(s,a) \right] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z} \left[ C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}} \rho^{\pi_{\mathrm{E}}} \right],$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{(s,a)\sim\hat{\mathbb{D}}_{\mathrm{I}}} \left[ C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}} \rho^{\pi}(s,a) \right] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z} \left[ C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}} \rho^{\pi} \right].$$

By Eq. (2) and Definition 2, we only need to prove

$$\boldsymbol{e}(C_{D_{\rm I}}\rho^{\pi_{\rm E}},C_{D_{\rm I}}\rho^{\Pi}) - \boldsymbol{e}(\hat{c}_{D_{\rm I}}^{(m)}\rho^{\pi_{\rm E}},\hat{c}_{D_{\rm I}}^{(m)}\rho^{\Pi})$$

has a lower bound. Specifically,

$$e(C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi_{E}}, C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\Pi}) - e(\hat{c}_{D_{I}}^{(m)}\rho^{\pi_{E}}, \hat{c}_{D_{I}}^{(m)}\rho^{\Pi})$$

$$= C_{D_{I}} \inf_{\pi \in \Pi} \{\mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathbb{D}_{I}} [\rho^{\pi_{E}}(s,a) - \rho^{\pi}(s,a)] \}$$

$$- \hat{c}_{D_{I}}^{(m)} \inf_{\pi \in \Pi} \{\mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \hat{\mathbb{D}}_{I}} [\rho^{\pi_{E}}(s,a) - \rho^{\pi}(s,a)] \}$$

$$\geq C_{D_{I}} \inf_{\pi \in \Pi} \{\mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathbb{D}_{I}} [\rho^{\pi_{E}}(s,a) - \rho^{\pi}(s,a)] - \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \hat{\mathbb{D}}_{I}} [\rho^{\pi_{E}}(s,a) - \rho^{\pi}(s,a)] \}$$

$$\geq C_{D_{I}} \inf_{\pi \in \Pi} \{\mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathbb{D}_{I}} [\rho^{\pi_{E}}(s,a)] - \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \hat{\mathbb{D}}_{I}} [\rho^{\pi_{E}}(s,a)] \}$$

$$+ C_{D_{I}} \inf_{\pi \in \Pi} \{\mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \hat{\mathbb{D}}_{I}} [\rho^{\pi}(s,a)] - \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathbb{D}_{I}} [\rho^{\pi}(s,a)] \}$$

$$= \left(\mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathbb{D}_{I}} [C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi_{E}}(s,a)] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z} [C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi_{E}}] \right)$$

$$- \sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \{\mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathbb{D}_{I}} [C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi}(s,a)] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z} [C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi}] \}.$$

$$(13)$$

First, we show that  $\mathbb{E}_{(s,a)\sim\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{I}}}[C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}}\rho^{\pi_{\mathbf{E}}}(s,a)] - \mathbb{E}_{(s,a)\sim\hat{\mathbb{D}}_{\mathbf{I}}}[C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}}\rho^{\pi_{\mathbf{E}}}(s,a)]$  has a lower bound. Let

$$\phi_{\pi_{\mathbf{E}}}(Z) = \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{I}}} \left[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi_{\mathbf{E}}}(s,a) \right] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z} \left[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi_{\mathbf{E}}} \right].$$

Let Z and Z' be two samples differing by exactly one point, say  $z_i \in Z$ ,  $z_i' \in Z'$ . Note that for all  $(s, a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $C_{D_I} \rho^{\pi_E}(s, a) \leq B_{II}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\pi_{\mathbf{E}}}(Z') - \phi_{\pi_{\mathbf{E}}}(Z) \\ &= (\mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{I}}} \big[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi_{\mathbf{E}}}(s,a) \big] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z'} \big[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi_{\mathbf{E}}} \big] ) \\ &- (\mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{I}}} \big[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi_{\mathbf{E}}}(s,a) \big] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z} \big[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi_{\mathbf{E}}} \big] ) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \big( C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi_{\mathbf{E}}}(z_{i}) - C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi_{\mathbf{E}}}(z'_{i}) \big) \leq \frac{2}{m} B_{\Pi}. \end{split}$$

By a similar derivation, we obtain  $\phi_{\pi_{\rm E}}(Z) - \phi_{\pi_{\rm E}}(Z') \leq \frac{2}{m} B_{\Pi}$ . Therefore, we have  $|\phi_{\pi_{\rm E}}(Z) - \phi_{\pi_{\rm E}}(Z')| \leq \frac{2}{m} B_{\Pi}$ . According to McDiarmid's inequality [21], we have

$$\phi_{\pi_{\mathrm{E}}}(Z) - \mathbb{E}\left[\phi_{\pi_{\mathrm{E}}}(Z)\right] \ge -2B_{II}\sqrt{\frac{\log(3/\delta)}{2m}}$$

with a probability of at least  $1 - \frac{\delta}{3}$ , where the outer expectation is taken over the random choice of  $\hat{\mathbb{D}}_{\mathrm{I}}$  with m state-action pairs. By the fact that  $\mathbb{E}[\phi_{\pi_{\mathrm{E}}}(Z)] = 0$ , we have

$$\phi_{\pi_{\mathcal{E}}}(Z) \ge -2B_{\Pi} \sqrt{\frac{\log(3/\delta)}{2m}} \tag{14}$$

with a probability of at least  $1 - \frac{\delta}{3}$ .

Next, we show that  $\sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \{ \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{I}}}^{\mathfrak{I}} [C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi}(s,a)] - \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \hat{\mathbb{D}}_{\mathbf{I}}} [C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi}(s,a)] \}$  has an upper bound. Let

$$\phi_{\pi}(Z) = \sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \{ \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{I}}} \left[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi}(s,a) \right] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z} \left[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi} \right] \}.$$

Note that for all  $\pi \in \Pi$ , we have  $\max_{(s,a) \in S \times A} \{C_{D_I} \rho^{\pi}(s,a)\} \leq B_{\Pi}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\pi}(Z') - \phi_{\pi}(Z) \\ &= (\sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \{ \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{I}}} \big[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi}(s,a) \big] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z'} \big[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi} \big] \}) \\ &- (\sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \{ \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{I}}} \big[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi}(s,a) \big] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z} \big[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi} \big] \}) \\ &\leq \sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \left\{ \left( \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{I}}} \big[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi}(s,a) \big] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z'} \big[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi} \big] \right) \\ &- \left( \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{I}}} \big[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi}(s,a) \big] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z} \big[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi} \big] \right) \right\} \\ &= \sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \left\{ \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z} \big[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi} \big] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z'} \big[ C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi} \big] \right\} \\ &= \sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \left\{ \frac{C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi}(z_{i}) - C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}} \rho^{\pi}(z'_{i})}{m} \right\} \leq \frac{2}{m} B_{\Pi}. \end{split}$$

By a similar derivation, we obtain that  $\phi_{\pi}(Z) - \phi_{\pi}(Z') \leq \frac{2}{m}B_{\Pi}$ . Therefore,  $|\phi_{\pi}(Z) - \phi_{\pi}(Z')| \leq \frac{2}{m}B_{\Pi}$ . According to McDiarmid's inequality,

$$\phi_{\pi}(Z) \le \mathbb{E}\big[\phi_{\pi}(Z)\big] + 2B_{\Pi}\sqrt{\frac{\log(3/\delta)}{2m}} \tag{15}$$

with a probability of at least  $1 - \frac{\delta}{3}$ , where the outer expectation is taken over the random choice of  $\hat{\mathbb{D}}_{\mathbb{I}}$  with m state-action pairs.

In what follows, we prove that the right-hand side of Eq. (15) has an upper bound. By the standard Rademacher complexity technique [21], we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[\phi_{\pi}(Z)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \{\mathbb{E}_{Z''} \left[\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z''} \left[C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi}\right]\right] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z} \left[C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi}\right]\}\right] \\
= \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \{\mathbb{E}_{Z''} \left[\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z''} \left[C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi}\right] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z} \left[C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi}\right]\right]\}\right] \\
\leq \mathbb{E}_{Z,Z''} \left[\sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \{\hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z''} \left[C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi}\right] - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{Z} \left[C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi}\right]\}\right] \\
= \mathbb{E}_{Z,Z''} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi}(z_{i}'') - C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi}(z_{i})\right)\}\right] \\
= \mathbb{E}_{\sigma,Z,Z''} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma_{i} \left(C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi}(z_{i}'') - C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi}(z_{i})\right)\}\right] \\
\leq \mathbb{E}_{\sigma,Z''} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma_{i} C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi}(z_{i}'')\}\right] \\
+ \mathbb{E}_{\sigma,Z} \left[\frac{1}{m} \sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \{-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma_{i} C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi}(z_{i})\}\right] \\
= 2\Re_{\mathbb{D}_{I}}^{(m)} \left(C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\Pi}\right), \tag{16}$$

where  $\sigma_i$  is the i.i.d. Rademacher random variable for i = 1, ..., m. According to McDiarmid's inequality,

$$\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbb{D}_{I}}^{(m)}(C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\Pi}) \leq \hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mathbb{D}_{I}}^{(m)}(C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\Pi}) + 2B_{\Pi}\sqrt{\frac{\log(3/\delta)}{2m}}$$
(17)

with a probability of at least  $1 - \frac{\delta}{3}$ , where

$$\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{I}}}^{(m)}(C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}}\rho^{\Pi}) = \mathbb{E}_{\sigma}\left[\frac{1}{m}\sup_{\pi\in\Pi}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sigma_{i}C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}}\rho^{\pi}(z_{i})\right\}\right].$$

Combining Eq. (15) with Eq. (16) and Eq. (17),

$$\phi_{\pi}(Z) \le 2\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{I}}}^{(m)}(C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}}\rho^{\Pi}) + 6B_{\Pi}\sqrt{\frac{\log(3/\delta)}{2m}}$$
 (18)

with a probability of at least  $1 - \frac{2\delta}{3}$ . Combining Eq. (14) with Eq. (18), we have

$$\phi_{\pi_{\rm E}}(Z) - \phi_{\pi}(Z) \ge -2\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mathbb{D}_{\rm I}}^{(m)}(C_{D_{\rm I}}\rho^{\Pi}) - 8B_{\Pi}\sqrt{\frac{\log(3/\delta)}{2m}}$$
(19)

with a probability of at least  $1 - \delta$ . Combining Eq. (13) with Eq. (19) and the condition of Theorem 1, we complete the proof.

# I.2 The lower bound of $e(C_{D_{\rm I}}\rho^{\pi_{\rm E}}, C_{D_{\rm I}}\rho^{\Pi})$ in terms of the covering number

The covering number of the function class  $C_{D_{\rm I}}\rho^{\Pi}$  under the  $\ell_{\infty}$  distance  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  can be denoted as  $\mathcal{N}(C_{D_{\rm I}}\rho^{\Pi},\epsilon,\|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ .

Proposition 1. Under the same assumption of Theorem 1, then

$$e(C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\pi_{E}}, C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\Pi})$$

$$\geq \sup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \{e(\hat{c}_{D}^{(m)}\rho^{\pi_{E}}, \hat{c}_{D}^{(m)}\rho^{\Pi})\} - \frac{8}{m} - \frac{24B_{\Pi}}{\sqrt{m}} \sqrt{\log(\mathcal{N}(C_{D_{I}}\rho^{\Pi}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}))}$$

$$-8B_{\Pi} \sqrt{\frac{\log(3/\delta)}{2m}} - \hat{\epsilon}$$

with a probability of at least  $1 - \delta$ .

*Proof.* We apply Dudley's entropy integral [8] to connect  $\mathfrak{R}^{(m)}_{\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{I}}}(C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}}\rho^{\Pi})$  with the covering number. Specifically, we have

$$\hat{\mathfrak{R}}_{\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{I}}}^{(m)}(C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}}\rho^{\Pi}) \leq \frac{4}{m} + \frac{12}{m} \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}}^{\sqrt{m}B_{\Pi}} \sqrt{\log(\mathcal{N}(C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}}\rho^{\Pi}, \epsilon, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}))} d\epsilon 
= \frac{4}{m} + \frac{12}{m} \sqrt{\log(\mathcal{N}(C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}}\rho^{\Pi}, \xi_{\pi}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}))} (\sqrt{m}B_{\Pi} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}) 
\leq \frac{4}{m} + \frac{12B_{\Pi}}{\sqrt{m}} \sqrt{\log(\mathcal{N}(C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}}\rho^{\Pi}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}))},$$

where  $\xi_{\pi} \in (\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}, \sqrt{m} \frac{B_{\Pi}}{C_{D_1}})$ . Plugging this into Theorem 1, we obtain

$$e(C_{D_{\text{I}}}\rho^{\pi_{\text{E}}}, C_{D_{\text{I}}}\rho^{\Pi})$$

$$\geq \sup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \{e(\hat{c}_{D}^{(m)}\rho^{\pi_{\text{E}}}, \hat{c}_{D}^{(m)}\rho^{\Pi})\} - \frac{8}{m} - \frac{24B_{\Pi}}{\sqrt{m}} \sqrt{\log(\mathcal{N}(C_{D_{\text{I}}}\rho^{\Pi}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}))} - 8B_{\Pi} \sqrt{\frac{\log(3/\delta)}{2m}} - \hat{\epsilon}$$

with a probability of at least  $1 - \delta$ .

### I.3 Proof of Corollary 1

Corollary 1. Suppose Assumption 2 holds and  $\|\theta\|_2 \leq B_{\theta}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{e}(C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}}\rho^{\pi_{\mathrm{E}}}, C_{D_{\mathrm{I}}}\rho^{\Pi}) \geq \sup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \{\boldsymbol{e}(\hat{c}_{D}^{(m)}\rho^{\pi_{\mathrm{E}}}, \hat{c}_{D}^{(m)}\rho^{\Pi})\} \\ & - \frac{8}{m} - \frac{24B_{\Pi}}{\sqrt{m}} \sqrt{p \log(1 + 2\sqrt{2m}B_{\theta}L_{h})} - 8B_{\Pi} \sqrt{\frac{\log(3/\delta)}{2m}} - \hat{\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

with a probability of at least  $1 - \delta$ .

*Proof.*  $C_{D_1}\rho^{\pi}(s,a)$  can be bounded by

$$|C_{D_1}\rho^{\pi}(s,a)| = |\theta^{\top}h(\psi_s,\psi_a)| \le ||\theta||_2 ||h(\psi_s,\psi_a)||_2 \le \sqrt{2}B_{\theta}L_h,$$

where the first inequality comes from Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

To compute the covering number, we exploit the Lipschitz continuity of  $C_{D_{\rm I}}\rho^{\pi}(s,a)$  with respect to the parameter  $\theta$ . Specifically, for two different parameters  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$ , we have

$$||C_{D_{1}}\rho^{\tilde{\pi}_{\theta}}(\psi_{s},\psi_{a}) - C_{D_{1}}\rho^{\tilde{\pi}_{\theta'}}(\psi_{s},\psi_{a})||_{\infty}$$

$$= ||(\theta - \theta')^{\top}h(\psi_{s},\psi_{a})||_{\infty}$$

$$\leq ||\theta - \theta'||_{2} \sup_{(s,a)\in\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{A}} \{||h(\psi_{s},\psi_{a})||_{2}\}$$

$$\leq ||\theta - \theta'||_{2}L_{h} \sup_{(s,a)\in\mathcal{S}\times\mathcal{A}} \{\sqrt{||\psi_{s}||_{2}^{2} + ||\psi_{a}||_{2}^{2}}\}$$

$$\leq ||\theta - \theta'||_{2}L_{h} ||\theta - \theta'||_{2},$$

where (i) comes from Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, (ii) comes from the Lipschitz continuity of h, and (iii) comes from the boundedness of  $\psi_s$  and  $\psi_a$ .

Denote  $\Theta = \{\theta : \|\theta\|_2 \leq B_{\theta}\}$ . By the standard argument of the volume ratio, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(C_{D_{\mathbf{I}}}\rho^{\Pi}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}) \leq \mathcal{N}(\Theta, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}L_{h}}, \|\cdot\|_{2})$$

$$\leq \left(1 + \frac{2B_{\theta}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}L_{h}}}\right)^{p}$$

$$= (1 + 2\sqrt{2m}B_{\theta}L_{h})^{p}. \tag{20}$$

Plugging Eq. (20) into Proposition 1, we complete the proof.

#### I.4 Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2. (GAIL Generalization for policy classes) Under the same assumption of Theorem 1, we have

$$V_{\pi_{\rm E}} - \sup_{\pi \in \Pi} V_{\pi} \ge \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} (\operatorname{Appr}(\mathcal{D}, m) + \operatorname{Estm}(\Pi, m, \delta) - \hat{\epsilon})$$

with a probability of at least  $1 - \delta$ .

*Proof.* Combining the definition of  $V_{\pi}$  in Eq. (1)

$$V_{\pi} = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \rho^{\pi}} [r(s,a)]$$

with the reward function of GAIL  $r_{D_{\rm I}}(s,a) = -\log(1-D_{\rm I}(s,a))$  [16], we obtain

$$V_{\pi_{E}} - \sup_{\pi \in \Pi} V_{\pi}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \rho^{\pi_{E}}} [r(s,a)] - \sup_{\pi \in \Pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \rho^{\pi}} [r(s,a)] \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \inf_{\pi \in \Pi} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \rho^{\pi_{E}}} [-\log(1 - D_{I}(s,a))] - \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \rho^{\pi}} [-\log(1 - D_{I}(s,a))] \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} e(C_{D_{I}} \rho^{\pi_{E}}, C_{D_{I}} \rho^{\Pi}). \tag{21}$$

Plugging Eq. (21) into the conclusion of Theorem 1, we complete the proof.  $\Box$ 

#### II Convergence Analysis of TSSG

The convergence of soft policy iteration in the SAC algorithm has been proved in [15]. Therefore, for a fixed  $\phi$ , the SAM submodule converges. Then we only need to prove the convergence of Alg. 2.

First, the definition of stationary point and two assumptions are given:

**Definition 3.** (Stationary point) Suppose  $(w^*, \theta^*, \alpha^*)$  is a stationary point of Eq. (6),  $\theta^*$  is a stationary point of F if

$$\nabla_{\theta} F(\theta^{\star}; w^{\star}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{\star}), \alpha^{\star}) = 0.$$

The stationarity is a necessary condition for optimality. Since each iteration of Alg. 2 contains T iterations of Alg. 1, the formula below can be used to measure the sub-stationarity of the TSSG algorithm at the N-th iteration:

$$I_N = \min_{0 \le k \le N-1} \left( \mathbb{E} \| \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta_t^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_t^{(k)}) \|_2^2 \right).$$

**Assumption 4.** For any  $\theta, w, \alpha, \hat{\phi}(\theta)$  and  $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist constants

$$M_{\theta}, M_{w}, M_{\alpha} > 0,$$

subject to:

Unbiasedness:

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\nabla_{\theta} \tilde{f}^{(j)}(\theta; w, \hat{\phi}(\theta), \alpha)\big] = \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta; w, \hat{\phi}(\theta), \alpha),$$

Gradient boundedness:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla_{\theta}\tilde{f}^{(j)}(\theta; w, \hat{\phi}(\theta), \alpha)\|_{2}^{2}\right] \leq M_{\theta},$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla_{w}\tilde{J}_{Q}^{(j)}(w; \theta, \hat{\phi}(\theta), \alpha)\|_{2}^{2}\right] \leq M_{w},$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla_{\alpha}\tilde{J}_{t}^{(k)}(\alpha; \theta)\|_{2}^{2}\right] \leq M_{\alpha}.$$

Assumption 4 is mild and satisfied by first-order optimization algorithms [8], e.g., the greedy stochastic gradient algorithm.

**Assumption 5.** (1) There exists a constant  $L_Q > 0$ , subject to for any w and w', we have

$$||Q_w^{\text{soft}} - Q_{w'}^{\text{soft}}||_{\infty} \le L_Q ||w - w'||_2.$$

(2) There exists constants  $S_q, S_Q > 0$ , subject to for any  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$ , we have

$$\|\mathbb{E}_{\rho^{\pi_{\theta}}}[g(\psi_{s},\psi_{a})] - \mathbb{E}_{\rho^{\pi_{\theta'}}}[g(\psi_{s},\psi_{a})]\|_{2} \leq S_{g}\|\theta - \theta'\|_{2},$$

$$\|\nabla_{\theta}\mathbb{E}_{\rho^{\pi_{\theta}}}\left[Q_{w}^{\text{soft}}(\psi_{s},\psi_{a};\theta,\phi)\right] - \nabla_{\theta}\mathbb{E}_{\rho^{\pi_{\theta'}}}\left[Q_{w}^{\text{soft}}(\psi_{s},\psi_{a};\theta',\phi)\right]\|_{2} \leq S_{Q}\|\theta - \theta'\|_{2}.$$

(3) There exists constants  $B_H, L_{\alpha}, S_H > 0$ , subject to for any  $\alpha, \alpha', \theta$  and  $\theta'$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi_{\theta}}} \left[ \alpha \mathbb{H}(\pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)) \right] \leq B_{H},$$

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi_{\theta}}} \left[ \alpha \mathbb{H}(\pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi_{\theta}}} \left[ \alpha' \mathbb{H}(\pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)) \right] \right| \leq L_{\alpha} \|\alpha - \alpha'\|_{2},$$

$$\left\| \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi_{\theta}}} \left[ \alpha \mathbb{H}(\pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)) \right] - \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim d^{\pi_{\theta'}}} \left[ \alpha \mathbb{H}(\pi_{\theta'}(\cdot|s)) \right] \right\|_{2} \leq S_{H} \|\theta - \theta'\|_{2}.$$

(1) of Assumption 5 is the Lipschitz continuity of the soft Q-function with respect to its parameter w. (2) characterizes some Lipschitz continuity conditions with respect to the parameter  $\theta$ . (3) states some common regularity conditions for entropy [13,14,15]. The convergence of the TSSG algorithm is analyzed as follows.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose Assumptions 3,4,5 hold. Given T, in the condition that the SAM submodule converges, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we take

$$\eta_{\theta} = \frac{\epsilon}{2T^2 M_{\theta} (2S_{\theta} + TS_g^2/\mu)},$$

$$\eta_w = \frac{\epsilon^2}{8T^4 L_Q M_{\theta} \sqrt{M_w} (2S_{\theta} + TS_g^2/\mu)},$$

$$\eta_{\alpha} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{8T^4 L_{\alpha} M_{\theta} \sqrt{M_{\alpha}} (2S_{\theta} + TS_g^2/\mu)},$$

where  $S_{\theta} = S_H + S_Q$ . Then at most

$$N = \tilde{O}\left(\frac{T^3 B_F M_{\theta} (S_{\theta} + T S_g^2 / \mu)}{\epsilon^2}\right)$$

iterations such that  $I_N \leq \epsilon$ , where  $B_F = \frac{\sqrt{2}\kappa L_g(2-\gamma)}{1-\gamma} + \frac{B_H}{1-\gamma} + \frac{\mu}{2}\kappa^2$ .

Here  $\tilde{O}$  hides high dependence on T and linear or quadratic dependence on some constants in Assumptions 3-5. Next, we prove Theorem 3.

#### II.1 Boundedness of soft Q-function

**Lemma 1.** For any w, we have  $\|Q_w^{\text{soft}}\|_{\infty} \leq B_Q$ , where  $B_Q = \frac{\sqrt{2}\kappa L_g}{1-\gamma} + \frac{\gamma B_H}{1-\gamma}$ .

*Proof.* The reward function  $r_{\phi}(s, a)$  can be bounded by

$$|r_{\phi}(s, a)| \le ||\phi||_2 \cdot ||g(\psi_s, \psi_a)||_2 \le \sqrt{2}\kappa L_g.$$

By the definition of soft Q-function [13], we have

$$\begin{aligned} &Q_w^{\text{soft}}(s_t, a_t; \theta, \phi) \\ &= r_{\phi}(s_t, a_t) + \mathbb{E}_{(s_{t+1}, \dots) \sim d^{\pi_{\theta}}} \left[ \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \gamma^l (r_{\phi}(s_{t+l}, a_{t+l}) + \alpha \mathbb{H}(\pi_{\theta}(\cdot | s_{t+l}))) \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \gamma^l \sqrt{2} \kappa L_g + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \gamma^l B_H \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2} \kappa L_g}{1 - \gamma} + \frac{\gamma B_H}{1 - \gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

## II.2 Lipschitz properties of the gradients

**Lemma 2.** Suppose Assumption 5 holds. For any  $\theta, \theta', w, \phi, \alpha$ , we have

$$\|\nabla_{\theta} F(\theta; w, \phi, \alpha) - \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta'; w, \phi, \alpha)\|_{2} \leq S_{\theta} \|\theta - \theta'\|_{2}$$

where  $S_{\theta} = S_H + S_Q$ .

*Proof.* By [14,15], we have

$$\begin{split} & \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta; w, \phi, \alpha) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{s_{t} \sim d^{\pi_{\theta}}} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{a_{t} \sim \pi_{\theta}} [\alpha \nabla_{\theta} \log(\pi_{\theta}(a_{t}|s_{t})) \right. \\ & \left. + \left( \alpha \nabla_{a_{t}} \log(\pi_{\theta}(a_{t}|s_{t})) - \nabla_{a_{t}} Q_{w}^{\text{soft}}(s_{t}, a_{t}; \theta, \phi) \right) \nabla_{\theta} a_{t} \right] \right] \\ &= - \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{s_{t} \sim d^{\pi_{\theta}}} \left[ \alpha \mathbb{H}(\pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s_{t})) \right] - \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{(s_{t}, a_{t}) \sim \rho^{\pi_{\theta}}} \left[ Q_{w}^{\text{soft}}(s_{t}, a_{t}; \theta, \phi) \right]. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\|\nabla_{\theta} F(\theta; w, \phi, \alpha) - \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta'; w, \phi, \alpha)\|_{2}$$

$$\leq \|\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{s_{t} \sim d^{\pi_{\theta}}} \left[ \alpha \mathbb{H}(\pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s_{t})) \right] - \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{s_{t} \sim d^{\pi_{\theta'}}} \left[ \alpha \mathbb{H}(\pi_{\theta'}(\cdot|s_{t})) \right] \|_{2}$$

$$+ \|\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{(s_{t}, a_{t}) \sim \rho^{\pi_{\theta}}} \left[ Q_{w}^{\text{soft}}(s_{t}, a_{t}; \theta, \phi) \right] - \nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{(s_{t}, a_{t}) \sim \rho^{\pi_{\theta'}}} \left[ Q_{w}^{\text{soft}}(s_{t}, a_{t}; \theta', \phi) \right] \|_{2}$$

$$\leq (S_{H} + S_{O}) \|\theta - \theta'\|_{2}.$$

#### II.3 Boundedness of F

**Lemma 3.** Under Assumption 5, there exists  $B_F = \frac{\sqrt{2}\kappa L_g(2-\gamma)}{1-\gamma} + \frac{B_H}{1-\gamma} + \frac{\mu}{2}\kappa^2$  such that for any  $\theta, w, \phi, \alpha$ , we have  $|F(\theta; w, \phi, \alpha)| \leq B_F$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of  $F(\theta; w, \phi, \alpha)$ , we have

$$|F(\theta; w, \phi, \alpha)|$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \rho^{\pi_{\rm E}}}[|r_{\phi}(s,a)|] + \mathbb{E}_{s_t \sim d^{\pi_{\theta}}} \left[\alpha \mathbb{H}(\pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s_t))\right] + ||Q_w^{\rm soft}||_{\infty} + \frac{\mu}{2} ||\phi||_2^2$$

$$\leq \sqrt{2}\kappa L_g + B_H + B_Q + \frac{\mu}{2}\kappa^2$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{2}\kappa L_g(2-\gamma)}{1-\gamma} + \frac{B_H}{1-\gamma} + \frac{\mu}{2}\kappa^2.$$

#### II.4 Proof of Theorem 3

**Theorem 3.** Suppose Assumptions 3,4,5 hold. Given T, in the condition that the SAM submodule converges, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we take

$$\begin{split} \eta_{\theta} &= \frac{\epsilon}{2T^2 M_{\theta}(2S_{\theta} + TS_g^2/\mu)}, \\ \eta_w &= \frac{\epsilon^2}{8T^4 L_Q M_{\theta} \sqrt{M_w}(2S_{\theta} + TS_g^2/\mu)}, \\ \eta_{\alpha} &= \frac{\epsilon^2}{8T^4 L_{\alpha} M_{\theta} \sqrt{M_{\alpha}}(2S_{\theta} + TS_g^2/\mu)}, \end{split}$$

where  $S_{\theta} = S_H + S_Q$ . Then at most

$$N = \tilde{O}\left(\frac{T^3 B_F M_{\theta} (S_{\theta} + T S_g^2 / \mu)}{\epsilon^2}\right)$$

iterations such that  $I_N \leq \epsilon$ , where  $B_F = \frac{\sqrt{2}\kappa L_g(2-\gamma)}{1-\gamma} + \frac{B_H}{1-\gamma} + \frac{\mu}{2}\kappa^2$ .

*Proof.* Employing Lemma 2 and the Mean Value Theorem, we have

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( F(\theta_{t+1}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) - F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \right) \\
- \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left\langle \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}), \theta_{t+1}^{(k)} - \theta_{t}^{(k)} \right\rangle \\
= \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \left\langle \nabla_{\theta} F(\tilde{\theta}_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}), \theta_{t+1}^{(k)} - \theta_{t}^{(k)} \right\rangle \\
- \left\langle \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}), \theta_{t+1}^{(k)} - \theta_{t}^{(k)} \right\rangle \right) \\
\leq \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \|\nabla_{\theta} F(\tilde{\theta}_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \\
- \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \\
- \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \|_{2} \|\theta_{t+1}^{(k)} - \theta_{t}^{(k)}\|_{2} \\
\leq S_{\theta} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \|\tilde{\theta}_{t}^{(k)} - \theta_{t}^{(k)}\|_{2} \|\theta_{t+1}^{(k)} - \theta_{t}^{(k)}\|_{2} \leq S_{\theta} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \|\theta_{t+1}^{(k)} - \theta_{t}^{(k)}\|_{2}^{2}, \tag{22}$$

where  $\tilde{\theta}_t^{(k)}$  is some interpolation between  $\theta_{t+1}^{(k)}$  and  $\theta_t^{(k)}$ . Note that

$$\mathbb{E}\langle\nabla_{\theta}F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}), \theta_{t+1}^{(k)} - \theta_{t}^{(k)}\rangle \\
\stackrel{(i)}{=} \mathbb{E}\langle\nabla_{\theta}F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}), -\eta_{\theta}(\nabla_{\theta}F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \hat{\phi}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) + \xi_{\theta_{t}}^{k})\rangle \\
\stackrel{(ii)}{=} \mathbb{E}\langle\nabla_{\theta}F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}), -\eta_{\theta}\nabla_{\theta}F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \hat{\phi}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)})\rangle \\
\stackrel{(iii)}{=} \mathbb{E}\langle\nabla_{\theta}F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}), -\eta_{\theta}\nabla_{\theta}F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)})\rangle \\
\stackrel{(iv)}{=} -\eta_{\theta}\mathbb{E}\|\nabla_{\theta}F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)})\|_{2}^{2}, \tag{23}$$

where

$$\xi_{\theta_t}^k = \frac{1}{n_\theta} \sum_{j \in D_\theta^t} \nabla_\theta \tilde{f}^{(j)}(\theta_t^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \hat{\phi}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_t^{(k)}) - \nabla_\theta F(\theta_t^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \hat{\phi}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_t^{(k)}).$$

Here (ii) comes from the unbiased property of  $\tilde{f}^{(j)}$ , and (iii) comes from the unbiased property of  $\hat{\phi}(\theta^{(k)})$  and the linearity of  $\nabla_{\theta} F$  in  $\phi$ . Now taking the

expectation on both sides of Eq. (22) and plugging Eq. (23) in, we obtain

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \mathbb{E}F(\theta_{t+1}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) - \mathbb{E}F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \right) 
+ \eta_{\theta} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \mathbb{E} \| \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \|_{2}^{2} \right) 
\leq S_{\theta} \left( \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E} \| \theta_{t+1}^{(k)} - \theta_{t}^{(k)} \|_{2}^{2} \right) 
= S_{\theta} \eta_{\theta}^{2} \left( \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{1}{n_{\theta}^{2}} \mathbb{E} \| \sum_{j \in D_{\theta}^{t}} (\nabla_{\theta} \tilde{f}^{(j)}(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \hat{\phi}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)})) \|_{2}^{2} \right) 
\leq S_{\theta} \eta_{\theta}^{2} \left( \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \frac{1}{n_{\theta}} \sum_{j \in D_{\theta}^{t}} \mathbb{E} \| \nabla_{\theta} \tilde{f}^{(j)}(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \hat{\phi}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \|_{2}^{2} \right) \right) 
\leq S_{\theta} \eta_{\theta}^{2} T M_{\theta}.$$
(24)

Dividing both sides by  $\eta_{\theta}$  and rearranging the terms in Eq. (24), we get

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \mathbb{E} \| \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \|_{2}^{2} \right) \\
\leq \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \mathbb{E} F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) - \mathbb{E} F(\theta_{t+1}^{(k)}; w_{t+2}^{(k)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t+1}^{(k)}) \right)}{\eta_{\theta}} \\
+ \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \mathbb{E} F(\theta_{t+1}^{(k)}; w_{t+2}^{(k)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t+1}^{(k)}) - \mathbb{E} F(\theta_{t+1}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \right)}{\eta_{\theta}} \\
+ \frac{S_{\theta} \eta_{\theta} T M_{\theta}}{\eta_{\theta}} \\
= \frac{\mathbb{E} F(\theta^{(k)}; w_{1}^{(k)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{0}^{(k)}) - \mathbb{E} F(\theta^{(k+1)}; w_{T+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{T}^{(k)})}{\eta_{\theta}} \\
+ \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \mathbb{E} F(\theta_{t+1}^{(k)}; w_{t+2}^{(k)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t+1}^{(k)}) - \mathbb{E} F(\theta_{t+1}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \right)}{\eta_{\theta}} \\
+ S_{\theta} \eta_{\theta} T M_{\theta}. \tag{25}$$

where  $w_{T+1}^{(k)}=w_1^{(k+1)}$ . By Assumption 5, for any  $\theta,w,w',\theta^-,\alpha,\alpha'$ , we have

$$\begin{split} |F(\theta; w, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{-}), \alpha) - F(\theta; w', \phi^{\star}(\theta^{-}), \alpha')| \\ &= |\mathbb{E}_{s_{t} \sim \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{I}}} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{a_{t} \sim \pi_{\theta}} \left[ \alpha \log(\pi_{\theta}(a_{t}|s_{t})) - Q_{w}^{\text{soft}}(s_{t}, a_{t}; \theta, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{-})) \right] \right] \\ &- \mathbb{E}_{s_{t} \sim \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{I}}} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{a_{t} \sim \pi_{\theta}} \left[ \alpha' \log(\pi_{\theta}(a_{t}|s_{t})) - Q_{w'}^{\text{soft}}(s_{t}, a_{t}; \theta, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{-})) \right] \right] | \\ &\leq |\mathbb{E}_{s_{t} \sim \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{I}}} \left[ \alpha \mathbb{H}(\pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s_{t})) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{s_{t} \sim \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{I}}} \left[ \alpha' \mathbb{H}(\pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s_{t})) \right] | + ||Q_{w}^{\text{soft}} - Q_{w'}^{\text{soft}}||_{\infty} \\ &\leq L_{\alpha} ||\alpha - \alpha'||_{2} + L_{Q} ||w - w'||_{2}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \mathbb{E}F(\theta_{t+1}^{(k)}; w_{t+2}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t+1}^{(k)}) - \mathbb{E}F(\theta_{t+1}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \right) \\
\leq \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( L_{\alpha} \mathbb{E} \| \alpha_{t+1}^{(k)} - \alpha_{t}^{(k)} \|_{2} + L_{Q} \mathbb{E} \| w_{t+2}^{(k)} - w_{t+1}^{(k)} \|_{2} \right) \eta_{\theta} \\
\leq \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( L_{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha} \mathbb{E} \| \nabla_{\alpha} \tilde{J}_{t}^{(k)} (\alpha_{t}^{(k)}; \theta_{t+1}^{(k)}) \|_{2} \\
+ L_{Q} \eta_{w} \frac{\sum_{j \in D_{w}^{t}} \mathbb{E} \| \nabla_{w} \tilde{J}_{Q}^{(j)} (w_{t+1}^{(k)}; \theta_{t+1}^{(k)}, \hat{\phi}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t+1}^{(k)}) \|_{2}}{n_{w}} \right) \\
\leq \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( L_{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha} \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \| \nabla_{\alpha} \tilde{J}_{t}^{(k)} (\alpha_{t}^{(k)}; \theta_{t+1}^{(k)}) \|_{2}^{2}} \\
+ L_{Q} \eta_{w} \frac{\sum_{j \in D_{w}^{t}} \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \| \nabla_{w} \tilde{J}_{Q}^{(j)} (w_{t+1}^{(k)}; \theta_{t+1}^{(k)}, \hat{\phi}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t+1}^{(k)}) \|_{2}^{2}}{n_{w}} \right) \\
\leq T L_{\alpha} \sqrt{M_{\alpha}} \eta_{\alpha} + T L_{Q} \sqrt{M_{w}} \eta_{w}. \tag{26}$$

Plugging Eq. (26) into Eq. (25), we get

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \mathbb{E} \| \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \|_{2}^{2} \right) \\
\leq \frac{\mathbb{E} F(\theta^{(k)}; w_{1}^{(k)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{0}^{(k)}) - \mathbb{E} F(\theta^{(k+1)}; w_{T+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{T}^{(k)})}{\eta_{\theta}} \\
+ T L_{\alpha} \sqrt{M_{\alpha}} \frac{\eta_{\alpha}}{\eta_{\theta}} + T L_{Q} \sqrt{M_{w}} \frac{\eta_{w}}{\eta_{\theta}} + T S_{\theta} M_{\theta} \eta_{\theta} \\
= \frac{\mathbb{E} F(\theta^{(k)}; w_{1}^{(k)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{0}^{(k)}) - \mathbb{E} F(\theta^{(k+1)}; w_{1}^{(k+1)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k+1)}), \alpha_{0}^{(k+1)})}{\eta_{\theta}} \\
+ \frac{\mathbb{E} F(\theta^{(k+1)}; w_{1}^{(k+1)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k+1)}), \alpha_{0}^{(k+1)}) - \mathbb{E} F(\theta^{(k+1)}; w_{T+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{*}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{T}^{(k)})}{\eta_{\theta}} \\
+ T L_{\alpha} \sqrt{M_{\alpha}} \frac{\eta_{\alpha}}{\eta_{\theta}} + T L_{Q} \sqrt{M_{w}} \frac{\eta_{w}}{\eta_{\theta}} + T S_{\theta} M_{\theta} \eta_{\theta}. \tag{27}$$

For notational simplicity, we define a vector function

$$G(\pi) = \mathbb{E}_{o^{\pi}}[g(\psi_s, \psi_a)].$$

Given a fixed  $\theta^{(k)}$ , by the definition of G, the optimal

$$\phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}) = \frac{1}{\mu} [G(\pi_{\mathbf{E}}) - G(\pi_{\theta^{(k)}})]$$

can be obtained.

Now consider

$$\mathbb{E}F(\theta^{(k+1)}; w_1^{(k+1)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)}), \alpha_0^{(k+1)}) - \mathbb{E}F(\theta^{(k+1)}; w_{T+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_T^{(k)}),$$

by the definition of soft Q-function [13]

$$Q_w^{\text{soft}}(s_t, a_t; \theta, \phi)$$

$$= r_{\phi}(s_t, a_t) + \mathbb{E}_{(s_{t+1}, \dots) \sim d^{\pi_{\theta}}} \left[ \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \gamma^l (r_{\phi}(s_{t+l}, a_{t+l}) + \alpha \mathbb{H}(\pi_{\theta}(\cdot | s_{t+l}))) \right],$$

we have

$$\begin{split} &\mathbb{E}F(\theta^{(k+1)}; w_1^{(k+1)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)}), \alpha_0^{(k+1)}) - \mathbb{E}F(\theta^{(k+1)}; w_{T+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_T^{(k)}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}F(\theta^{(k+1)}; w_1^{(k+1)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)}), \alpha_0^{(k+1)}) - \mathbb{E}F(\theta^{(k+1)}; w_1^{(k+1)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_T^{(k)}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \rho^{\pi_{\rm E}}} \left[r_{\phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)})}(s,a) - r_{\phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)})}(s,a)\right] \right. \\ &+ \mathbb{E}_{s_t \sim \mathcal{D}_1} \left[\mathbb{E}_{a_t \sim \pi_{\theta^{(k+1)}}} \left[ -Q_{w_1^{(k+1)}}^{\rm soft}(s_t, a_t; \theta^{(k+1)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)})) \right. \right. \\ &+ Q_{w_1^{(k+1)}}^{\rm soft}(s_t, a_t; \theta^{(k+1)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)})) \right] \right] - \frac{\mu}{2} (\|\phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)})\|_2^2 - \|\phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)})\|_2^2) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[ \left(\mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \rho^{\pi_{\rm E}}} \left[ r_{\phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)})}(s,a) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \rho^{\pi_{\theta^{(k+1)}}}} \left[ r_{\phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)})}(s,a) \right] \right) \right. \\ &- \left. \left( \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \rho^{\pi_{\rm E}}} \left[ r_{\phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)})}(s,a) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \rho^{\pi_{\theta^{(k+1)}}}} \left[ r_{\phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)})}(s,a) \right] \right) \right] \\ &- \frac{\mu}{2} (\|\phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)})\|_2^2 - \|\phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)})\|_2^2) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \langle G(\pi_{\rm E}) - G(\pi_{\theta^{(k+1)}}), \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)}) - \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}) \rangle \\ &- \frac{\mu}{2} \mathbb{E} \langle \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)}) + \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)}) - \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}) \rangle \\ &= \mathbb{E} \langle \mu \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)}) - \frac{\mu}{2} (\phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)}) + \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)})), \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)}) - \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\mu}{2} \mathbb{E} \|\phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)}) - \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}) \|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{\mu}{2} \mathbb{E} \|\frac{1}{\mu} (G(\pi_{\theta^{(k+1)}}) - G(\pi_{\theta^{(k)}})) \|_2^2. \end{split}$$

Under Assumptions 5 and 4, we get

$$\mathbb{E}F(\theta^{(k+1)}; w_1^{(k+1)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)}), \alpha_0^{(k+1)}) - \mathbb{E}F(\theta^{(k+1)}; w_{T+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_T^{(k)}) \\
\leq \frac{S_g^2}{2\mu} \mathbb{E}\|\theta^{(k+1)} - \theta^{(k)}\|_2^2 \leq \frac{TS_g^2}{2\mu} (\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E}\|\theta_{t+1}^{(k)} - \theta_t^{(k)}\|_2^2) \\
\leq \frac{TS_g^2 \eta_{\theta}^2}{2\mu n_{\theta}^2} (\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} (n_{\theta} \sum_{j \in D_{\theta}^t} \mathbb{E}\|\nabla_{\theta} \tilde{f}^{(j)}(\theta_t^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \hat{\phi}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_t^{(k)})\|_2^2)) \\
\leq \frac{T^2 S_g^2 M_{\theta} \eta_{\theta}^2}{2\mu}. \tag{28}$$

Plugging Eq. (28) into Eq. (27), we obtain

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \mathbb{E} \| \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \|_{2}^{2} \right) \\
\leq \frac{\mathbb{E} F(\theta^{(k)}; w_{1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{0}^{(k)}) - \mathbb{E} F(\theta^{(k+1)}; w_{1}^{(k+1)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)}), \alpha_{0}^{(k+1)})}{\eta_{\theta}} \\
+ T L_{\alpha} \sqrt{M_{\alpha}} \frac{\eta_{\alpha}}{\eta_{\theta}} + T L_{Q} \sqrt{M_{w}} \frac{\eta_{w}}{\eta_{\theta}} + (T S_{\theta} M_{\theta} + \frac{T^{2} S_{g}^{2} M_{\theta}}{2\mu}) \eta_{\theta}. \tag{29}$$

Summing the equation Eq. (29) up, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left( \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \mathbb{E} \| \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \|_{2}^{2} \right) \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\eta_{\theta}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left( \mathbb{E} F(\theta^{(k)}; w_{1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{0}^{(k)}) \right)$$

$$- \mathbb{E} F(\theta^{(k+1)}; w_{1}^{(k+1)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k+1)}), \alpha_{0}^{(k+1)}) + NTL_{\alpha} \sqrt{M_{\alpha}} \frac{\eta_{\alpha}}{\eta_{\theta}}$$

$$+ NTL_{Q} \sqrt{M_{w}} \frac{\eta_{w}}{\eta_{\theta}} + N(TS_{\theta}M_{\theta} + \frac{T^{2}S_{g}^{2}M_{\theta}}{2\mu}) \eta_{\theta}.$$

Dividing both sides of the above equation by N, we get

$$\min_{0 \leq k \leq N-1} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \mathbb{E} \| \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \|_{2}^{2} \right) \\
\leq \frac{|F(\theta^{(0)}; w_{1}^{(0)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(0)}), \alpha_{0}^{(0)}) - \mathbb{E} F(\theta^{(N)}; w_{1}^{(N)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(N)}), \alpha_{0}^{(N)})|}{N \eta_{\theta}} \\
+ T L_{\alpha} \sqrt{M_{\alpha}} \frac{\eta_{\alpha}}{\eta_{\theta}} + T L_{Q} \sqrt{M_{w}} \frac{\eta_{w}}{\eta_{\theta}} + (T S_{\theta} M_{\theta} + \frac{T^{2} S_{g}^{2} M_{\theta}}{2\mu}) \eta_{\theta}.$$

By Lemma 3, we have

$$|F(\theta^{(0)}; w_1^{(0)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(0)}), \alpha_0^{(0)}) - \mathbb{E}F(\theta^{(N)}; w_1^{(N)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(N)}), \alpha_0^{(N)})| \le 2B_F,$$

where  $B_F = \frac{\sqrt{2\kappa}L_g(2-\gamma)}{1-\gamma} + \frac{B_H}{1-\gamma} + \frac{\mu}{2}\kappa^2$ . Then we obtain

$$I_{N} \leq T \min_{0 \leq k \leq N-1} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \mathbb{E} \| \nabla_{\theta} F(\theta_{t}^{(k)}; w_{t+1}^{(k)}, \phi^{\star}(\theta^{(k)}), \alpha_{t}^{(k)}) \|_{2}^{2} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{2TB_{F}}{N\eta_{\theta}} + T^{2} L_{\alpha} \sqrt{M_{\alpha}} \frac{\eta_{\alpha}}{\eta_{\theta}} + T^{2} L_{Q} \sqrt{M_{w}} \frac{\eta_{w}}{\eta_{\theta}} + T^{2} M_{\theta} (S_{\theta} + \frac{TS_{g}^{2}}{2\mu}) \eta_{\theta}.$$

Given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , take

$$\begin{split} \eta_{\theta} &= \frac{\epsilon}{2T^2 M_{\theta} (2S_{\theta} + TS_g^2/\mu)}, \\ \eta_w &= \frac{\epsilon^2}{8T^4 L_Q M_{\theta} \sqrt{M_w} (2S_{\theta} + TS_g^2/\mu)}, \\ \eta_{\alpha} &= \frac{\epsilon^2}{8T^4 L_{\alpha} M_{\theta} \sqrt{M_{\alpha}} (2S_{\theta} + TS_g^2/\mu)}, \end{split}$$

then we need at most

$$N = \frac{8TB_F}{\epsilon \eta_{\theta}} = \tilde{O}\left(\frac{T^3 B_F M_{\theta} (S_{\theta} + TS_g^2/\mu)}{\epsilon^2}\right)$$

iterations such that  $I_N \leq \epsilon$ .

Theorem 3 discloses that despite the min-max computational formulation of GAIL without convex-concave structure, the TSSG algorithm can still converge to a stationary point.