



PES UNIVERSITY

Department of Computer Science & Engineering

Machine Learning Lab



UE23CS352A

WEEK 4 submission

Name of the Student	MOHAMMED NAWAZ
SRN	PES2UG23CS347
Section	F
Department	CSE
Submission Date	31/08/2025

SCREENSHOTS :

1. Wine



EVALUATING MANUAL MODELS FOR WINE QUALITY

--- Individual Model Performance ---

Decision Tree:

Accuracy: 0.7271
Precision: 0.7716
Recall: 0.6965
F1-Score: 0.7321
ROC AUC: 0.8025

KNN:

Accuracy: 0.7750
Precision: 0.7790
Recall: 0.8093
F1-Score: 0.7939
ROC AUC: 0.8757

Logistic Regression:

Accuracy: 0.7396
Precision: 0.7619
Recall: 0.7471
F1-Score: 0.7544
ROC AUC: 0.8246

--- Manual Voting Classifier ---

Voting Classifier Performance:

Accuracy: 0.7500, Precision: 0.7773
Recall: 0.7471, F1: 0.7619, AUC: 0.8683

```

=====
RUNNING BUILT-IN GRID SEARCH FOR WINE QUALITY
=====

--- GridSearchCV for Decision Tree ---
Best params for Decision Tree: {'classifier__max_depth': None, 'classifier__min_samples_split': 2, 'feature_selection_k': 5}
Best CV score: 0.7301

--- GridSearchCV for KNN ---
Best params for KNN: {'classifier__n_neighbors': 11, 'classifier__weights': 'distance', 'feature_selection_k': 10}
Best CV score: 0.7900

--- GridSearchCV for Logistic Regression ---
Best params for Logistic Regression: {'classifier__C': 0.1, 'classifier__penalty': 'l2', 'feature_selection_k': 11}
Best CV score: 0.7400



=====
EVALUATING BUILT-IN MODELS FOR WINE QUALITY
=====

--- Individual Model Performance ---

Decision Tree:
Accuracy: 0.7479
Precision: 0.7519
Recall: 0.7899
F1-Score: 0.7704
ROC AUC: 0.7447

```

2. HR Attrition



Activate Windows



EVALUATING MANUAL MODELS FOR HR ATTRITION

--- Individual Model Performance ---

Decision Tree:
Accuracy: 0.8231
Precision: 0.3333
Recall: 0.0986
F1-Score: 0.1522
ROC AUC: 0.7107

KNN:
Accuracy: 0.8277
Precision: 0.4242
Recall: 0.1972
F1-Score: 0.2692
ROC AUC: 0.7340

Logistic Regression:
Accuracy: 0.8571
Precision: 0.6333
Recall: 0.2676
F1-Score: 0.3762
ROC AUC: 0.7759

--- Manual Voting Classifier ---

Voting Classifier Performance:
Accuracy: 0.8299, Precision: 0.4375
Recall: 0.1972, F1: 0.2718, AUC: 0.7700

BEST CV SCORE: 0.8000

EVALUATING BUILT-IN MODELS FOR HR ATTRITION

--- Individual Model Performance ---

Decision Tree:
Accuracy: 0.8322
Precision: 0.4571
Recall: 0.2254
F1-Score: 0.3019
ROC AUC: 0.7331

KNN:
Accuracy: 0.8277
Precision: 0.4242
Recall: 0.1972
F1-Score: 0.2692
ROC AUC: 0.7340

Logistic Regression:
Accuracy: 0.8481
Precision: 0.5588
Recall: 0.2676
F1-Score: 0.3619
ROC AUC: 0.7758

Activate Win
Data Science

1. Introduction

This lab focused on **hyperparameter tuning** and comparing manual implementations of grid search with scikit-learn's built-in **GridSearchCV**. The tasks involved:

- Performing manual hyperparameter search with custom loops and cross-validation.
- Using **GridSearchCV** with pipelines for automated hyperparameter optimization.

- Comparing performance using metrics like Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1, and ROC AUC.
- Visualizing model performance using ROC curves and confusion matrices.

Two datasets were used: **Wine Quality** and **HR Attrition**.

2. Dataset Description

2.1 Wine Quality Dataset

- **Source / Task:** Predict whether a wine is of good quality (binary: quality > 5 → good).
- **Features:** 11 numerical chemical properties (e.g., acidity, sugar, pH, alcohol).
- **Instances:** ~1,599 rows (red wine dataset).
- **Target Variable:** `good_quality` (0 = not good, 1 = good).

2.2 HR Attrition Dataset

- **Source / Task:** Predict employee attrition (Yes/No) from HR attributes.
 - **Features:** Mix of categorical and numeric variables (age, department, job role, monthly income, years at company, job satisfaction, etc.).
 - **Instances:** ~1,470 rows.
 - **Target Variable:** `Attrition` (Yes/No).
-

3. Methodology

Key Concepts:

- **Hyperparameter Tuning:** Trying multiple parameter values to find the best-performing model.
- **Grid Search:** Exhaustively searching across parameter combinations.
- **K-Fold Cross-Validation:** Splitting data into k folds for stable evaluation.

Pipeline Components:

1. `StandardScaler`: Normalizes numerical features.
2. `SelectKBest`: Selects top features based on statistical tests.
3. Classifier: Decision Tree, K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), or Logistic Regression.

Approaches Used:

- **Manual Search:** Custom loops with cross-validation to pick best hyperparameters.
 - **GridSearchCV:** Automated search with the same pipeline and parameter grids.
-

4. Results and Analysis

4.1 Wine Quality Results

Manual Implementation (Test Set Performance):

	Classifier	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Score	ROC AUC
	Decision Tree	0.7271	0.7716	0.6965	0.7321	0.8025
	KNN	0.7750	0.7790	–	–	–
				0.7354	0.7441	0.8240

Logistic Regression 0.7396 0.7530

GridSearchCV (Built-in) Results:

	Classifier	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Score	ROC AUC
	Decision Tree	0.7479	0.7519	0.7899	0.7704	0.7447
	KNN	0.7750	0.7790	same	same	same
	Logistic Regression	0.7292	0.7530	0.7354	0.7441	0.8240

Key Observations:

- KNN and Logistic Regression produced identical metrics in both manual and built-in approaches → consistent pipeline setup.
- Decision Tree showed small differences between manual vs built-in due to randomness, hyperparameter refitting differences, or CV folds.
- KNN had highest accuracy (0.7750); Logistic Regression had highest ROC AUC (0.8240).

4.2 HR Attrition Results

The same methodology was applied to the HR dataset. Results can be summarized using the same metrics table (Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1, ROC AUC) for Decision Tree, KNN, and Logistic Regression once the evaluation metrics are computed.

5. Visual Analysis Notes

- **ROC Curves:** Logistic Regression and KNN had the strongest curves (highest AUC values).
 - **Confusion Matrices:** Showed class imbalance effects; precision often exceeded recall, meaning fewer false positives but more false negatives.
-

6. Conclusion & Takeaways

- **Best Models for Wine Quality:**
 - KNN → Highest accuracy (0.7750).
 - Logistic Regression → Best ROC AUC (0.8240) with balanced precision/recall.
- **Tool Comparison:**
 - Manual grid search helps understand the tuning process but can introduce inconsistencies if not perfectly aligned with cross-validation logic.
 - **GridSearchCV** provides a reliable and standardized approach for hyperparameter tuning.
- **Next Steps for HR Dataset:**
 - Complete HR pipeline runs with proper encoding for categorical features.
 - Report final metrics using the same tables and visualization methods as Wine Quality.