




## Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 277828
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments
Knowledge and understanding of the topic/ issues under consideration (30%)	Satisfactory	More in-depth research is needed to get into effective academic discussion in the content. The discussion is largely superficial and repetitive at times, relying in parts from listed facts in paragraphs relating to impacts on countries via percentage of productivity for example. You need to engage with much deeper debate and analysis of your content to fully engage with critical academic reflection.
Criticality (30%)	Satisfactory	There is an overly descriptive tone to some of your assignment. Whilst it is important to establish the facts in the study, the first several pages engage with stating similar information and then a listing system in your paragraphs of a scale regarding working from home and then how this increased through the pandemic. You need to use your word count to get into debate and discussion from a pros and cons perspective of your chosen subject much earlier. The content in the assignment is too generalised and there is no real depth to the discussion. Focused discussion would have allowed you to focus the study and use your word count more specifically to develop a dynamic debate about your subject.
Use of relevant sources (20%)	Satisfactory	There is an extensive list of resources at the end of your assignment, but the application of that research is shallow. Given the list that has been applied to List of references analysis, evaluation, debate and discussion should have been much deeper.
Structure and Presentation (as detailed in the assessment guidance) (10%)	Excellent	Overall the assignment is laid out and structured to an excellent standard. It is clear that time management and planning have gone into developing the visual structure, and so do take this approach forward to your next summative.



<p><b>Academic integrity (10%)</b></p>	<p>Satisfactory</p>	<p>There are scattered areas in your assignment where Turnitin is picking up matches for parts of sentences that you are using, please see the example below:</p>  <p>Avoid this approach as these matches are pushing up your Turnitin match. The assignment is within word count which is good practice. For future put your list of reference on their own page at the end of the assignment. Use all opportunities to apply citation and so where fact and research are discussed apply citation. You do have an emerging strength to your academic writing style, which has tipped the grade here into 'very good' but you need to apply full attention to all the feedback in this document to ensure that you are engaging in a fully holistic approach for future summative.</p>
<p>Overall</p>		
<p><b>Positives:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Generally excellent presentation of the document</li> <li>• With an emerging academic writing style which generally has appropriate syntax and structure</li> <li>• The assignment was handed in on time</li> </ul>		
<p><b>Points for development:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please be mindful of your Turnitin match being pushed up by incidences of sections of sentence matches</li> <li>• Whilst you list many research references, deep research has not been engaged with</li> <li>• The above affects the critical tone of your assignment and makes it quite descriptive</li> <li>• Use a more focused approach to small scale study</li> <li>• Don't fill your word count by listing facts in paragraphs</li> <li>• Engage in much more evaluation and debate in your content</li> </ul>		

