01 Models and Benchmarks

May 9, 2025

1 Medical Model Optimization + Mixture of Experts

1.1 Notebook 1: Model Selection & Benchmarking

Authors:

- Dan Harvey
- Xinzhuo Jiang

Affiliation:

High-Performance Machine Learning (HPML) Columbia University

1.1.1 Project Overview

This project investigates how to optimize large language models (LLMs) for medical applications by leveraging modern efficiency techniques and modular model design.

1.1.2 Objectives

- 1. **Benchmark** multiple medical and general-purpose LLMs to establish quantitative performance baselines.
- 2. Optimize selected models through quantization, pruning, and architectural tuning.
- 3. **Design and evaluate** a Mixture-of-Experts (MoE) architecture with specialized, task-specific experts.

1.1.3 This notebook focuses on Step 1: loading and benchmarking key candidate models.

```
[1]: ## Environment Setup: Dependencies and Imports
import os
import sys
import importlib
import subprocess
import torch
import platform
```

```
import time
```

```
[2]: # Add project root to path
     project root = os.path.abspath(os.path.join(os.getcwd(), '..'))
     if project_root not in sys.path:
         sys.path.append(project_root)
     # Required packages
     required_packages = [
         'torch', 'transformers', 'datasets', 'accelerate', 'flash_attn',
         'evaluate', 'lm_eval', 'sklearn', 'matplotlib', 'wandb',
         'tqdm', 'sentencepiece', 'scipy', 'einops'
     ]
     # Check and install missing packages
     for package in required_packages:
        try:
            module = importlib.import_module(package)
            print(f" {package} installed successfully")
             if package == 'torch':
                 print(f" Version: {torch.__version__}")
                 print(f" CUDA available: {torch.cuda.is_available()}")
                 if torch.cuda.is_available():
                    print(f" CUDA version: {torch.version.cuda}")
                     print(f" GPU: {torch.cuda.get_device_name(0)}")
             elif hasattr(module, '__version__'):
                 print(f" Version: {module.__version__}")
         except ImportError:
             print(f" {package} not found. Installing...")
             subprocess.check_call([sys.executable, "-m", "pip", "install", package])
             module = importlib.import module(package)
             print(f" {package} installed successfully (post-install)")
             if hasattr(module, '__version__'):
                 print(f" Version: {module.__version__}}")
     # You may need to restart the Kernel to use these
```

```
torch installed successfully
Version: 2.6.0+cu124
CUDA available: True
CUDA version: 12.4
GPU: NVIDIA A100-SXM4-40GB
transformers installed successfully
Version: 4.51.3
datasets installed successfully
Version: 3.6.0
accelerate installed successfully
```

```
Version: 1.6.0
```

flash_attn installed successfully

Version: 2.7.4.post1

evaluate installed successfully

Version: 0.4.3

lm_eval installed successfully
sklearn installed successfully

Version: 1.6.1

matplotlib installed successfully

Version: 3.10.0

wandb installed successfully

Version: 0.19.10

tqdm installed successfully

Version: 4.67.1

sentencepiece installed successfully

Version: 0.2.0

scipy installed successfully

Version: 1.15.2

einops installed successfully

Version: 0.8.1

```
[3]: # Load section dependencies
from transformers import AutoTokenizer, AutoModelForCausalLM
import gc
import lm_eval
```

2 Model Selection: Baseline Models

We will work with the following Hugging Face models:

Model Name	Size	Notes			
TsinghuaC3I/Llama-3-8B-UltraM&BicalMedical domain-specific, fine-tuned, ideal teacher &					
		benchmark			
meta-llama/Llama-3.2-3B	3B	Same architecture, smaller, ideal as an expert or			
		student			
Qwen/Qwen3-4B	4B	Non-LLaMA expert for diversity in MoE			

These models will serve as the baseline in our pipeline and will be evaluated for:

- Performance on medical QA and reasoning tasks
- Suitability for distillation and expert specialization
- Impact of downstream optimizations (quantization, pruning, MoE routing)

Note: All models are initially loaded in full FP32 (float32) precision to serve as accurate performance baselines before applying any quantization or memory optimization techniques.

```
[6]: # Hugging Face Access - Llama is Gated
!huggingface-cli login
```

```
_1_1_1_1
       _|_|_|
      _l _l
             _| _|_| _| _|
                           _|
 _| _|
      _ |
      _| _| _| _| _| _| _| _| _| _| _| _| _|
 _|_|_|_|
_|_|_|
   _|_|_|
   _ |
        _|
    _|_|_|
```

```
To log in, `huggingface_hub` requires a token generated from https://huggingface.co/settings/tokens .

Enter your token (input will not be visible):

Add token as git credential? (Y/n) n

Token is valid (permission: read).

The token `helm` has been saved to /root/.cache/huggingface/stored_tokens

Your token has been saved to /root/.cache/huggingface/token

Login successful.

The current active token is: `helm`
```

3 Load Baseline Models

3.1 Llama-3-8B-UltraMedical

Links

- Hugging Face Model Card - Paper / Source

Approximate GPU Memory Requirements: - FP32: ~32.4 GB

```
- FP16: ~48 GB
- INT8: ~24 GB
- INT4: ~12 GB
```

These values are estimates and may vary based on sequence length, attention optimizations, and tokenizer overhead.

```
[]: #Llama-3-8B-UltraMedical

tokenizer_llama8b_med = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained(
    "TsinghuaC3I/Llama-3-8B-UltraMedical",
    trust_remote_code=True,
    use_auth_token=True
)
```

```
model_llama8b_med = AutoModelForCausalLM.from_pretrained(
       "TsinghuaC3I/Llama-3-8B-UltraMedical",
       trust_remote_code=True,
     device_map="auto",
       torch_dtype=torch.float32,
       use_auth_token=True
    )
    print(" Loaded Llama-3-8B-UltraMedical (FP32, device-mapped)")
   /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-
   packages/transformers/models/auto/tokenization_auto.py:898: FutureWarning: The
   `use auth token` argument is deprecated and will be removed in v5 of
   Transformers. Please use `token` instead.
     warnings.warn(
   /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-
   packages/transformers/models/auto/auto_factory.py:476: FutureWarning: The
   `use_auth_token` argument is deprecated and will be removed in v5 of
   Transformers. Please use `token` instead.
     warnings.warn(
   Loading checkpoint shards:
                           0%1
                                    | 0/4 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
   WARNING:accelerate.big_modeling:Some parameters are on the meta device because
   they were offloaded to the cpu.
    Loaded Llama-3-8B-UltraMedical (FP32, device-mapped)
[]: # Inspect your GPU's memory usage
    !nvidia-smi
   Wed May 7 02:54:17 2025
   +-----
   ----+
   NVIDIA-SMI 550.54.15 Driver Version: 550.54.15 CUDA Version:
   12.4 |
   |-----
   | GPU Name
                         Persistence-M | Bus-Id Disp.A | Volatile
   Uncorr. ECC |
   | Fan Temp Perf Pwr:Usage/Cap | Memory-Usage | GPU-Util
   Compute M. |
   MIG M. |
   =======|
      O NVIDIA A100-SXM4-40GB Off | 00000000:00:04.0 Off |
   0 |
   I N/A 35C
                    50W / 400W | 37641MiB / 40960MiB |
             PO
                                                               0%
```

```
Default |
                        Disabled |
-----+
----+
| Processes:
 GPU
             PID
     GI
        CI
                 Type
                     Process name
GPU Memory |
     ID
        ID
Usage
|-----
```

This model took 33139 MiB / 40960 MiB, or 32.4 GB of GPU Memory.

```
[]: # Offload Model for GPU Space
    del model_llama8b_med
    del tokenizer_llama8b_med

gc.collect()
    torch.cuda.empty_cache()
    time.sleep(5)
    gc.collect()
    torch.cuda.empty_cache()
```

3.2 Llama-3.2-3B

Links

- Hugging Face Model Card
- Paper / Source

Approximate GPU Memory Requirements: - FP32: ~14.9 GB

These are rough estimates. Actual usage depends on sequence length, architecture-specific memory optimizations, and tokenizer overhead.

```
[]: #Llama-3.2-3B

tokenizer_llama3b = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained(
    "meta-llama/Llama-3.2-3B",
    trust_remote_code=True,
    use_auth_token=True
)
```

```
model_llama3b = AutoModelForCausalLM.from_pretrained(
        "meta-llama/Llama-3.2-3B",
        trust_remote_code=True,
        device_map="auto",
        torch_dtype=torch.float32,
        use_auth_token=True
    )
    print(" Loaded Llama-3.2-3B (FP32, device-mapped)")
    /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-
    packages/transformers/models/auto/tokenization_auto.py:898: FutureWarning: The
    `use_auth_token` argument is deprecated and will be removed in v5 of
    Transformers. Please use 'token' instead.
      warnings.warn(
                            0%|
    tokenizer_config.json:
                                        | 0.00/50.5k [00:00<?, ?B/s]
    tokenizer.json:
                     0%1
                                 | 0.00/9.09M [00:00<?, ?B/s]
                              0%|
                                           | 0.00/301 [00:00<?, ?B/s]
    special_tokens_map.json:
    /usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-
    packages/transformers/models/auto/auto_factory.py:476: FutureWarning: The
    `use_auth_token` argument is deprecated and will be removed in v5 of
    Transformers. Please use `token` instead.
      warnings.warn(
                   0%|
                               | 0.00/844 [00:00<?, ?B/s]
    config.json:
    model.safetensors.index.json:
                                   0%|
                                                | 0.00/20.9k [00:00<?, ?B/s]
                              | 0/2 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
    Fetching 2 files:
                        0%1
    model-00002-of-00002.safetensors:
                                       0%1
                                                    | 0.00/1.46G [00:00<?, ?B/s]
                                       0%1
                                                    | 0.00/4.97G [00:00<?, ?B/s]
    model-00001-of-00002.safetensors:
    Loading checkpoint shards:
                                0%|
                                             | 0/2 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
                                          | 0.00/185 [00:00<?, ?B/s]
    generation_config.json: 0%|
     Loaded Llama-3.2-3B (FP32, device-mapped)
[]: # Inspect your GPU's memory usage
    print("\n--- NVIDIA-SMI Snapshot ---")
    print(subprocess.getoutput("nvidia-smi"))
    --- NVIDIA-SMI Snapshot ---
    Wed May 7 02:56:29 2025
    ----+
```

```
| NVIDIA-SMI 550.54.15 | Driver Version: 550.54.15 | CUDA Version:
  12.4
  |-----
  ----+
                 Persistence-M | Bus-Id Disp.A | Volatile
  I GPU Name
  Uncorr. ECC |
  | Fan Temp Perf Pwr:Usage/Cap | Memory-Usage | GPU-Util
  Compute M. |
                          MIG M. |
  =======|
                       Off | 00000000:00:04.0 Off |
  O NVIDIA A100-SXM4-40GB
  0 |
  | N/A 35C
         PO 50W / 400W | 14261MiB / 40960MiB |
                                          0%
  Default |
                          Disabled |
  +-----
  ----+
  ----+
  | Processes:
  1
  | GPU GI CI PID Type Process name
  GPU Memory |
    ID
  ID
  |-----
  +-----
  This model took 14261MiB / 40960MiB, or 14.9GB of GPU Memory.
[]: # Offload Model for GPU Space
  del model_llama3b
  del tokenizer_llama3b
  gc.collect()
  torch.cuda.empty_cache()
  time.sleep(5)
  gc.collect()
  torch.cuda.empty_cache()
```

3.3 Qwen3-4B

Links

- Hugging Face Model Card
- Paper / Source (Qwen2 paper for reference Qwen3 paper may be pending)

Approximate GPU Memory Requirements: - FP32: ~16.9 GB

Qwen models typically require trust_remote_code=True due to custom model implementations.

```
[ ]: # Qwen3-4B
     tokenizer_qwen4b = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained(
         "Qwen/Qwen3-4B",
         trust_remote_code=True,
         use_auth_token=True
     )
     model_qwen4b = AutoModelForCausalLM.from_pretrained(
         "Qwen/Qwen3-4B",
         trust_remote_code=True,
         device_map="auto",
         torch_dtype=torch.float32,
         use_auth_token=True
     print(" Loaded Qwen3-4B (FP32, device-mapped)")
    tokenizer_config.json:
                              0%1
                                           | 0.00/9.68k [00:00<?, ?B/s]
                                | 0.00/2.78M [00:00<?, ?B/s]
    vocab.json:
                  0%1
                                | 0.00/1.67M [00:00<?, ?B/s]
    merges.txt:
                  0%1
```

```
| 0.00/11.4M [00:00<?, ?B/s]
tokenizer.json:
                  0%1
               0%1
                            | 0.00/726 [00:00<?, ?B/s]
config.json:
                                              | 0.00/32.8k [00:00<?, ?B/s]
model.safetensors.index.json:
                                0%|
Fetching 3 files:
                    0%1
                                 | 0/3 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
model-00001-of-00003.safetensors:
                                     0%1
                                                 | 0.00/3.96G [00:00<?, ?B/s]
                                                  | 0.00/3.99G [00:00<?, ?B/s]
model-00002-of-00003.safetensors:
                                    0%|
model-00003-of-00003.safetensors:
                                    0%1
                                                  | 0.00/99.6M [00:00<?, ?B/s]
                                           | 0/3 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
Loading checkpoint shards:
                             0%1
generation_config.json:
                                        | 0.00/239 [00:00<?, ?B/s]
 Loaded Qwen3-4B (FP32, device-mapped)
```

```
[]: # Inspect your GPU's memory usage
  print("\n--- NVIDIA-SMI Snapshot ---")
  print(subprocess.getoutput("nvidia-smi"))
  --- NVIDIA-SMI Snapshot ---
  Wed May 7 02:57:30 2025
  +-----
  ----+
  | NVIDIA-SMI 550.54.15
                 Driver Version: 550.54.15 CUDA Version:
  12.4
  ----+
  | GPU Name
              Persistence-M | Bus-Id Disp.A | Volatile
  Uncorr. ECC |
  | Fan Temp Perf Pwr:Usage/Cap | Memory-Usage | GPU-Util
  Compute M. |
  MIG M. |
  =======|
    O NVIDIA A100-SXM4-40GB Off | 00000000:00:04.0 Off |
  0 |
  | N/A 35C
          PO 50W / 400W | 17331MiB / 40960MiB | 0%
  Default |
                          Ι
  Disabled |
  +-----
  +-----
  ----+
  | Processes:
  | GPU GI CI PID Type Process name
  GPU Memory |
     ID
         ID
  |-----
  ----+
  ** This model took 17331MiB / 40960MiB or \sim16.9GB of GPU Memory **
[]: # Offload Model for GPU Space
  del model_qwen4b
  del tokenizer_qwen4b
```

```
gc.collect()
torch.cuda.empty_cache()
time.sleep(5)
gc.collect()
torch.cuda.empty_cache()
```

4 Benchmarking

To establish performance baselines, we will:

- Load eeach model in full float32 (Already implemented above)
- Run each model through standard medical QA tasks (e.gPubMedQA).
- Repeat each benchmark 3 times and average results.

```
[]: # Import section dependencies
import platform
import psutil
import distro
import numpy as np
```

```
[]: | wandb login
```

```
wandb: WARNING Using legacy-service, which is deprecated.
If this is unintentional, you can fix it by ensuring you do not call
`wandb.require('legacy-service')` and do not set the
WANDB_X_REQUIRE_LEGACY_SERVICE environment variable.
wandb: Logging into wandb.ai. (Learn how to deploy a W&B server
locally: https://wandb.me/wandb-server)
wandb: You can find your API key in your browser here:
https://wandb.ai/authorize?ref=models
wandb: Paste an API key from your profile and hit enter, or press
ctrl+c to quit:
wandb: No netrc file found, creating one.
wandb: Appending key for api.wandb.ai to your netrc file:
/root/.netrc
wandb: Currently logged in as: dyh2111 (med-moe)
to https://api.wandb.ai. Use `wandb login --relogin` to force
relogin
```

```
print(f"System : {platform.system()}")
print(f"OS Flavor : {distro.name()}")
print(f"OS Version : {distro.version()}")
print(f"Release : {system_info.release}")
print(f"Architecture : {platform.machine()}")
print(f"Python Version : {platform.python_version()}")
# =========
# CPU Information
# =========
cpu count = psutil.cpu count(logical=False)
logical_cpu_count = psutil.cpu_count(logical=True)
print("\n CPU Information")
print("-" * 40)
print(f"Processor : {system_info.processor or platform.processor()}")
print(f"Physical Cores : {cpu_count}")
print(f"Logical Cores : {logical_cpu_count}")
# =========
# Memory Information
# =========
memory_info = psutil.virtual_memory()
print("\n Memory Information")
print("-" * 40)
print(f"Total RAM : {memory_info.total / 1024 ** 3:.2f} GB")
print(f"Available RAM : {memory info.available / 1024 ** 3:.2f} GB")
print(f"Used RAM : {memory_info.used / 1024 ** 3:.2f} GB")
# ==========
# Disk Information
# ==========
disk_info = psutil.disk_usage('/')
print("\n Disk Information")
print("-" * 40)
print(f"Total Space : {disk_info.total / 1024 ** 3:.2f} GB")
print(f"Used Space : {disk_info.used / 1024 ** 3:.2f} GB")
print(f"Free Space : {disk_info.free / 1024 ** 3:.2f} GB")
# ============
# GPU Information
# =========
print("\n GPU Info")
print("GPU:", torch.cuda.get_device_name(0))
```

print("CUDA Available:", True)

System Information

 $\verb|Node Name| : 5d6c33d010a6|$

System : Linux
OS Flavor : Ubuntu
OS Version : 22.04
Release : 6.1.123+
Architecture : x86_64
Python Version : 3.11.12

CPU Information

Processor : x86_64 Physical Cores : 6 Logical Cores : 12

Memory Information

Total RAM : 83.48 GB Available RAM : 79.70 GB Used RAM : 2.89 GB

Disk Information

Total Space : 235.68 GB Used Space : 70.45 GB Free Space : 165.21 GB

GPU Info

GPU: NVIDIA A100-SXM4-40GB CUDA Available: True

4.1 Llama-3-8B-UltraMedical

4.2 Measure baseline performance

```
[]: #Load Llama-3-8B-UltraMedical
model_name = "TsinghuaC3I/Llama-3-8B-UltraMedical"

# Load tokenizer once (doesn't affect model loading time)
tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained(
    model_name,
    trust_remote_code=True,
    use_auth_token=True
)
```

```
load_times = []
trials = 5
print(f" Starting timed model loads ({trials} repetitions)...\n")
for i in range(trials):
    start_time = time.monotonic()
    model = AutoModelForCausalLM.from_pretrained(
        model name,
        trust_remote_code=True,
        device_map="auto",
        torch_dtype=torch.float32,
        use_auth_token=True
    )
    elapsed = time.monotonic() - start_time
    load_times.append(elapsed)
    print(f" Run {i + 1}: Loaded in {elapsed:.2f} seconds")
    # Clean up between runs (free GPU memory)
    del model
    gc.collect()
    torch.cuda.empty_cache()
    time.sleep(5)
    gc.collect()
    torch.cuda.empty_cache()
# Summary stats
mean_time = np.mean(load_times)
std_dev_time = np.std(load_times)
print(f"\n {model_name} Load Time Summary (FP32)")
print(f"- Average Load Time: {mean_time:.2f} seconds")
print(f"- Std Dev:
                             {std_dev_time:.2f} seconds")
/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-
packages/transformers/models/auto/tokenization_auto.py:898: FutureWarning: The
`use_auth_token` argument is deprecated and will be removed in v5 of
Transformers. Please use `token` instead.
 warnings.warn(
 Starting timed model loads (5 repetitions)...
/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-
packages/transformers/models/auto/auto_factory.py:476: FutureWarning: The
```

```
`use_auth_token` argument is deprecated and will be removed in v5 of
Transformers. Please use `token` instead.
  warnings.warn(
Loading checkpoint shards:
                             0%1
                                          | 0/4 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
 Run 1: Loaded in 5.21 seconds
Loading checkpoint shards:
                                          | 0/4 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
                             0%1
 Run 2: Loaded in 5.11 seconds
Loading checkpoint shards:
                             0%1
                                          | 0/4 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
 Run 3: Loaded in 5.11 seconds
Loading checkpoint shards:
                                          | 0/4 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
                             0%1
 Run 4: Loaded in 5.10 seconds
                                          | 0/4 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
Loading checkpoint shards:
 Run 5: Loaded in 5.10 seconds
 TsinghuaC3I/Llama-3-8B-UltraMedical Load Time Summary (FP32)
- Average Load Time: 5.13 seconds
- Std Dev:
                     0.04 seconds
```

4.3 Llama-3.2-3B

```
model_name = "meta-llama/Llama-3.2-3B"

# Load tokenizer once (doesn't affect model loading time)
tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained(
    model_name,
    trust_remote_code=True,
    use_auth_token=True
)

load_times = []

trials = 5

print(f" Starting timed model loads ({trials} repetitions)...\n")

for i in range(trials):
    start_time = time.monotonic()

model = AutoModelForCausalLM.from_pretrained(
    model_name,
```

```
trust_remote_code=True,
        device_map="auto",
        torch_dtype=torch.float32,
        use_auth_token=True
    )
    elapsed = time.monotonic() - start_time
    load_times.append(elapsed)
    print(f" Run {i + 1}: Loaded in {elapsed:.2f} seconds")
    # Clean up between runs (free GPU memory)
    del model
    gc.collect()
    torch.cuda.empty_cache()
    time.sleep(5)
    gc.collect()
    torch.cuda.empty_cache()
# Summary stats
mean_time = np.mean(load_times)
std_dev_time = np.std(load_times)
print(f"\n {model_name} Load Time Summary (FP32)")
print(f"- Average Load Time: {mean_time:.2f} seconds")
print(f"- Std Dev:
                             {std_dev_time:.2f} seconds")
```

Starting timed model loads (5 repetitions)...

```
| 0/2 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
Loading checkpoint shards:
                             0%1
 Run 1: Loaded in 2.68 seconds
                                          | 0/2 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
Loading checkpoint shards:
                             0%|
 Run 2: Loaded in 2.67 seconds
Loading checkpoint shards:
                                          | 0/2 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
                             0%|
 Run 3: Loaded in 2.45 seconds
                                          | 0/2 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
Loading checkpoint shards:
                             0%1
 Run 4: Loaded in 2.45 seconds
Loading checkpoint shards:
                             0%|
                                          | 0/2 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
 Run 5: Loaded in 2.46 seconds
 meta-llama/Llama-3.2-3B Load Time Summary (FP32)
- Average Load Time: 2.54 seconds
- Std Dev:
                    0.11 seconds
```

```
[]: import random
    import json
    import wandb
    import subprocess
    import time
    import os
    from datetime import datetime
    # Model and Task Config
    model_name = "meta-llama/Llama-3.2-3B"
    task_name = "pubmedqa"
    output_base = "./results"
    # Start W&B run
    run_name = f"{model_name.replace('/', '_')}_{task_name}_5x"
    wandb_run = wandb.init(
        project="med-moe-baseline-evals",
        name=run_name,
        config={
            "model": model_name,
            "task": task_name,
            "batch size": 8,
            "precision": "fp32",
            "eval_method": "lm_eval",
            "repeats": 5
        }
    )
    # -----
    # Run 5x Evaluation Loop
    # -----
    for i in range(5):
        print(f"\n Run {i + 1}/5")
        # Create timestamped output folder
        timestamp = datetime.now().strftime("%Y-%m-%dT%H-%M-%S")
        run_output_dir = os.path.join(output_base, f"run_{i+1}_{timestamp}")
        os.makedirs(run_output_dir, exist_ok=True)
        # Define lm_eval command
        command = [
            "lm_eval",
            "--model", "hf",
```

```
"--tasks", task_name,
    "--model_args", f"pretrained={model_name},parallelize=True",
    "--device", "cuda:0",
    "--batch_size", "8",
    "--write_out",
    "--output_path", run_output_dir,
    "--trust_remote_code",
    "--confirm_run_unsafe_code"
1
# Start timing
start_time = time.monotonic()
result = subprocess.run(command, capture_output=True, text=True)
elapsed = time.monotonic() - start_time
print(f" Run {i + 1} completed in {elapsed:.2f} seconds")
print("STDOUT:\n", result.stdout)
# -----
# Find and parse result file
result_file = None
for fname in os.listdir(run_output_dir):
    if fname.startswith("eval results") and fname.endswith(".json"):
        result_file = os.path.join(run_output_dir, fname)
       break
if result_file is None:
   print(f" No eval_results_*.json found in {run_output_dir}")
    continue
try:
   with open(result_file) as f:
        data = json.load(f)
   task_data = data["results"][task_name]
    acc = task_data.get("acc,none")
    stderr = task_data.get("acc_stderr,none")
    if acc is not None and stderr is not None:
        wandb run.log({
           f"{task_name}/accuracy": acc,
            f"{task name}/stddev": stderr,
            f"{task_name}/eval_time_sec": elapsed,
            "run_index": i + 1
        print(f" Logged to W&B: acc={acc:.3f}, stderr={stderr:.4f}")
```

```
else:
            print(f" Missing keys in result: {task_data.keys()}")
    except Exception as e:
        print(f" Failed to parse results from {result_file}: {e}")
# Finish W&B run
# -----
wandb_run.finish()
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
 Run 1/5
 Run 1 completed in 30.88 seconds
STDOUT:
hf (pretrained=meta-
llama/Llama-3.2-3B, parallelize=True, trust_remote_code=True), gen_kwargs: (None),
limit: None, num_fewshot: None, batch_size: 8
| Tasks | Version|Filter|n-shot|Metric| | Value| | Stderr|
|-----:|----:|----:|----:|----:|
            1|none | 0|acc |\uparrow |0.732| \pm |0.0198|
|pubmedga|
 No eval_results_*.json found in ./results/run_1_2025-05-07T03-52-27
 Run 2/5
 Run 2 completed in 30.71 seconds
STDOUT:
hf (pretrained=meta-
llama/Llama-3.2-3B,parallelize=True,trust_remote_code=True), gen_kwargs: (None),
limit: None, num_fewshot: None, batch_size: 8
| Tasks | Version|Filter|n-shot|Metric| | Value|
|-----:|----:|----:|----:|----:|----:|----:|
|pubmedga|
               1|none |
                            0|acc | 1 | 0.732| ± | 0.0198|
 No eval_results_*.json found in ./results/run_2_2025-05-07T03-52-58
 Run 3/5
 Run 3 completed in 30.65 seconds
```

```
STDOUT:
    hf (pretrained=meta-
    llama/Llama-3.2-3B, parallelize=True, trust_remote_code=True), gen_kwargs: (None),
    limit: None, num_fewshot: None, batch_size: 8
    | Tasks | Version|Filter|n-shot|Metric| | Value|
                                                     |Stderr|
    |-----:|----:|----:|----:|
    |pubmedga|
                   1|none | 0|acc |\uparrow |0.732| \pm |0.0198|
     No eval_results_*.json found in ./results/run_3_2025-05-07T03-53-28
     Run 4/5
     Run 4 completed in 30.57 seconds
    STDOUT:
     hf (pretrained=meta-
    llama/Llama-3.2-3B, parallelize=True, trust_remote_code=True), gen_kwargs: (None),
    limit: None, num_fewshot: None, batch_size: 8
    | Tasks | Version|Filter|n-shot|Metric| | Value|
    |-----:|----:|----:|----:|----:|
    |pubmedga|
                   1 none
                                0|acc | 1 | 0.732 | ± | 0.0198 |
     No eval_results_*.json found in ./results/run_4_2025-05-07T03-53-59
     Run 5/5
     Run 5 completed in 30.52 seconds
    STDOUT:
    hf (pretrained=meta-
    llama/Llama-3.2-3B, parallelize=True, trust_remote_code=True), gen_kwargs: (None),
    limit: None, num_fewshot: None, batch_size: 8
    | Tasks | Version|Filter|n-shot|Metric|
                                            lValuel
                                                      |Stderr|
    |-----:|----:|----:|----:|----:|----:|
    |pubmedqa|
                   1|none | 0|acc | \uparrow | 0.732|_{\pm} | 0.0198|
     No eval_results_*.json found in ./results/run_5_2025-05-07T03-54-29
    <IPython.core.display.HTML object>
    <IPython.core.display.HTML object>
    <IPython.core.display.HTML object>
    4.4
          Qwen3-4B
[]: #Load Quen3 4B
    model_name = "Qwen/Qwen3-4B"
```

```
# Load tokenizer once (doesn't affect model loading time)
tokenizer = AutoTokenizer.from_pretrained(
    model_name,
    trust_remote_code=True,
   use_auth_token=True
)
load_times = []
trials = 5
print(f" Starting timed model loads ({trials} repetitions)...\n")
for i in range(trials):
    start_time = time.monotonic()
    model = AutoModelForCausalLM.from_pretrained(
        model_name,
        trust_remote_code=True,
        device_map="auto",
        torch_dtype=torch.float32,
        use_auth_token=True
    )
    elapsed = time.monotonic() - start_time
    load_times.append(elapsed)
    print(f" Run {i + 1}: Loaded in {elapsed:.2f} seconds")
    # Clean up between runs (free GPU memory)
    del model
    gc.collect()
    torch.cuda.empty_cache()
    time.sleep(5)
    gc.collect()
    torch.cuda.empty_cache()
# Summary stats
mean_time = np.mean(load_times)
std_dev_time = np.std(load_times)
print(f"\n {model_name} Load Time Summary (FP32)")
print(f"- Average Load Time: {mean_time:.2f} seconds")
print(f"- Std Dev:
                             {std_dev_time:.2f} seconds")
```

Starting timed model loads (5 repetitions)...

Loading checkpoint shards: 0% | 0/3 [00:00<?, ?it/s]

```
Run 1: Loaded in 3.27 seconds
                                           | 0/3 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
    Loading checkpoint shards:
     Run 2: Loaded in 3.02 seconds
                                            | 0/3 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
    Loading checkpoint shards:
     Run 3: Loaded in 3.01 seconds
                                            | 0/3 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
    Loading checkpoint shards:
                               0%|
     Run 4: Loaded in 3.02 seconds
    Loading checkpoint shards:
                               0%1
                                           | 0/3 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
     Run 5: Loaded in 3.12 seconds
     Qwen/Qwen3-4B Load Time Summary (FP32)
    - Average Load Time: 3.09 seconds
    - Std Dev:
                       0.10 seconds
[8]: import random
    import json
    import wandb
    import subprocess
    import time
    import os
    from datetime import datetime
    # -----
    # Model and Task Config
    # -----
    model_name = "Qwen/Qwen3-4B"
    task_name = "pubmedga"
    output_base = "./results"
    # -----
    # Start W&B run
    run_name = f"{model_name.replace('/', '_')}_{task_name}_5x"
    wandb_run = wandb.init(
        project="med-moe-baseline-evals",
        name=run_name,
        config={
            "model": model_name,
            "task": task_name,
            "batch_size": 8,
            "precision": "fp32",
            "eval_method": "lm_eval",
            "repeats": 5
```

```
}
# Run 5x Evaluation Loop
# -----
for i in range(5):
   print(f"\n Run {i + 1}/5")
   # Create timestamped output folder
   timestamp = datetime.now().strftime("%Y-%m-%dT%H-%M-%S")
   run_output_dir = os.path.join(output_base, f"run_{i+1}_{timestamp}")
   os.makedirs(run_output_dir, exist_ok=True)
    # Define lm_eval command
   command = [
       "lm_eval",
       "--model", "hf",
       "--tasks", task_name,
       "--model_args", f"pretrained={model_name},parallelize=True",
       "--device", "cuda:0",
       "--batch_size", "8",
       "--write_out",
       "--output path", run output dir,
       "--trust_remote_code", "--confirm_run_unsafe_code"
   1
   # Start timing
   start_time = time.monotonic()
   result = subprocess.run(command, capture_output=True, text=True)
   elapsed = time.monotonic() - start_time
   print(f" Run {i + 1} completed in {elapsed:.2f} seconds")
   print("STDOUT:\n", result.stdout)
   print("STDERR:\n", result.stderr)
    # -----
    # Find and parse result file
    # -----
   result_file = None
   for fname in os.listdir(run_output_dir):
       if fname.startswith("eval_results") and fname.endswith(".json"):
           result_file = os.path.join(run_output_dir, fname)
           break
   if result_file is None:
       print(f" No eval_results_*.json found in {run_output_dir}")
```

```
continue
    try:
        with open(result_file) as f:
            data = json.load(f)
        task_data = data["results"][task_name]
        acc = task_data.get("acc,none")
        stderr = task_data.get("acc_stderr,none")
        if acc is not None and stderr is not None:
            wandb_run.log({
               f"{task_name}/accuracy": acc,
               f"{task_name}/stddev": stderr,
               f"{task_name}/eval_time_sec": elapsed,
                "run_index": i + 1
            })
            print(f" Logged to W&B: acc={acc:.3f}, stderr={stderr:.4f}")
        else:
            print(f" Missing keys in result: {task_data.keys()}")
    except Exception as e:
        print(f" Failed to parse results from {result_file}: {e}")
  Finish W&B run
# -----
wandb_run.finish()
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
 Run 1/5
 Run 1 completed in 43.17 seconds
STDOUT:
hf (pretrained=Qwen/Qwen3-4B,parallelize=True,trust_remote_code=True),
gen_kwargs: (None), limit: None, num_fewshot: None, batch_size: 8
| Tasks | Version|Filter|n-shot|Metric| | Value|
|-----:|----:|----:|
             1|none | 0|acc |\uparrow |0.768| \pm |0.0189|
|pubmedga|
```

```
STDERR:
 2025-05-09 01:54:35.138132: E
external/local_xla/xtream_executor/cuda/cuda_fft.cc:477] Unable to register
cuFFT factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuFFT when one has
already been registered
WARNING: All log messages before absl::InitializeLog() is called are written to
STDERR
E0000 00:00:1746755675.159982
                                13896 cuda dnn.cc:8310] Unable to register cuDNN
factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuDNN when one has already
been registered
E0000 00:00:1746755675.166597
                                13896 cuda_blas.cc:1418] Unable to register
cuBLAS factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuBLAS when one has
already been registered
2025-05-09:01:54:51,923 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:368] Passed
`--trust_remote_code`, setting environment variable
`HF_DATASETS_TRUST_REMOTE_CODE=true`
2025-05-09:01:54:51,923 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:379] Selected Tasks:
['pubmedqa']
2025-05-09:01:54:51,924 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator:169] Setting random seed to
0 | Setting numpy seed to 1234 | Setting torch manual seed to 1234 | Setting
fewshot manual seed to 1234
2025-05-09:01:54:51,924 INFO
                                 [lm eval.evaluator:206] Initializing hf model,
with arguments: {'pretrained': 'Qwen/Qwen3-4B', 'parallelize': True,
'trust_remote_code': True}
2025-05-09:01:54:51,965 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.models.huggingface:153] Using
`accelerate launch` or `parallelize=True`, device 'cuda:0' will be overridden
when placing model.
2025-05-09:01:54:52,762 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.models.huggingface:359] Model parallel
was set to True, setting max memory per GPU to {0: 42027843584} and device map
to auto
Loading checkpoint shards:
                                          | 0/3 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
                             0%1
                                        | 1/3 [00:01<00:02, 1.31s/it]
Loading checkpoint shards:
                            33%|
Loading checkpoint shards: 67%|
                                       | 2/3 [00:02<00:01, 1.33s/it]
Loading checkpoint shards: 100%
                                     | 3/3 [00:02<00:00, 1.12it/s]
/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/datasets/load.py:1231: FutureWarning:
The repository for bigbio/pubmed qa contains custom code which must be executed
to correctly load the dataset. You can inspect the repository content at
https://hf.co/datasets/bigbio/pubmed_qa
You can avoid this message in future by passing the argument
`trust_remote_code=True`.
Passing `trust remote code=True` will be mandatory to load this dataset from the
next major release of `datasets`.
  warnings.warn(
2025-05-09:01:54:56,411 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.api.task:420] Building contexts for
pubmedqa on rank 0...
```

| 0/500 [00:00<?, ?it/s]

0%1

100% | 500/500 [00:00<00:00, 75126.35it/s] 2025-05-09:01:54:56,472 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line): Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography. Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups. The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer: (end of prompt on previous line) target string or answer choice index (starting on next line): (end of target on previous line) 2025-05-09:01:54:56,472 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request: Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different

(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and

over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes', 'final decision': 'yes', 'LONG ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' yes'), idx=0, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc_id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:01:54:56,472 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line): Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and

```
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
test) in patients versus control subjects.
Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?
Answer:
(end of prompt on previous line)
target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):
(end of target on previous line)
2025-05-09:01:54:56,472 INFO
                                 [lm eval.evaluator utils:210] Request:
Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked
by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to
demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.)
puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.',
'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control
group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba
models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal
sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary
squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between
the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or
thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in
only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically
significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control
subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in
length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different
(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS',
'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and
over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution',
'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male',
'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002',
'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes',
'final decision': 'yes', 'LONG ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography
demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the
m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients
with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic
technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'},
arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation
movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of
the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with
dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a
medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects
underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625
RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m.
puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and
straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two
groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of
```

control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' no'), idx=1, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:01:54:56,472 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line): Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography. Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups. The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer: (end of prompt on previous line) target string or answer choice index (starting on next line): (end of target on previous line) 2025-05-09:01:54:56,472 INFO [lm eval.evaluator utils:210] Request: Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary

squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or

```
thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in
only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically
significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control
subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in
length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different
(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS',
'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and
over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution',
'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male',
'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002',
'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes',
'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography
demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the
m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients
with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic
technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'},
arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation
movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of
the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with
dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a
medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects
underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625
RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m.
puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and
straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two
groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of
control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly
different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The
m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in
80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', 'maybe'), idx=2,
metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa',
doc id=0, repeats=1)
2025-05-09:01:54:56,472 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator:517] Running loglikelihood
requests
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  0%|
                                               | 0/1500 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
                                  0%1
                                               | 1/1500 [00:00<19:30, 1.28it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  2%|
                                               | 25/1500 [00:01<00:48,
30.31it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                              | 49/1500 [00:01<00:29,
                                  3%1
49.91it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  5%|
                                              | 73/1500 [00:01<00:21,
64.93it/s]
```

Running loglikelihood 75.70it/s]	requests:	6%	97/1500 [00:01<00:18,
Running loglikelihood 84.40it/s]	requests:	8%	121/1500 [00:02<00:16,
Running loglikelihood 90.99it/s]	requests:	10%	145/1500 [00:02<00:14,
Running loglikelihood 96.05it/s]	requests:	11%	169/1500 [00:02<00:13,
Running loglikelihood 99.86it/s]	requests:	13%	193/1500 [00:02<00:13,
Running loglikelihood 103.59it/s]	requests:	14%	217/1500 [00:02<00:12,
Running loglikelihood 106.51it/s]	-	16%	241/1500 [00:03<00:11,
Running loglikelihood 109.63it/s]		18%	265/1500 [00:03<00:11,
Running loglikelihood 112.10it/s]	-	19%	289/1500 [00:03<00:10,
Running loglikelihood 114.54it/s]	-	21%	313/1500 [00:03<00:10,
Running loglikelihood 116.59it/s]	-	22% l 24% l	337/1500 [00:03<00:09,
Running loglikelihood 118.47it/s] Running loglikelihood		24%1	361/1500 [00:04<00:09, 385/1500 [00:04<00:09,
120.08it/s] Running loglikelihood	-	27%	409/1500 [00:04<00:08,
122.02it/s] Running loglikelihood	-	29%	433/1500 [00:04<00:08,
123.83it/s] Running loglikelihood	-	30%	457/1500 [00:04<00:08,
125.21it/s] Running loglikelihood	-	32%	481/1500 [00:05<00:08,
126.60it/s] Running loglikelihood	-	34%	505/1500 [00:05<00:07,
127.78it/s] Running loglikelihood		35%	529/1500 [00:05<00:07,
128.96it/s] Running loglikelihood	requests:	37%	553/1500 [00:05<00:07,
129.95it/s] Running loglikelihood	requests:	38%	577/1500 [00:05<00:07,
130.95it/s] Running loglikelihood	requests:	40%	601/1500 [00:05<00:06,
131.75it/s] Running loglikelihood	requests:	42%	625/1500 [00:06<00:06,
132.52it/s] Running loglikelihood 132.96it/s]	requests:	43%	649/1500 [00:06<00:06,

Running loglikelihood r	requests:	45%	673/1500 [00:06<00:06,
Running loglikelihood r 135.02it/s]	requests:	46%	697/1500 [00:06<00:05,
Running loglikelihood r 136.74it/s]	requests:	48%	721/1500 [00:06<00:05,
Running loglikelihood r 137.95it/s]	requests:	50%	745/1500 [00:06<00:05,
Running loglikelihood r 141.48it/s]	requests:	51%	769/1500 [00:07<00:05,
Running loglikelihood r 144.24it/s]	requests:	53%	793/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood r 146.16it/s]	requests:	54%	817/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood r 147.76it/s]	requests:	56%	841/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood r 150.10it/s]	requests:	58%	865/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood r 152.37it/s]	requests:	59%	889/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood r 153.67it/s]	requests:	61%	913/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood r 154.78it/s]	requests:	62%	937/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood r 157.92it/s]	requests:	64%	961/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood r 160.33it/s]	requests:	66%	985/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood r 162.33it/s]	-	67%	1009/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood r 163.99it/s]	-	69%	1033/1500 [00:08<00:02,
Running loglikelihood r 165.20it/s]	requests:	70%	1057/1500 [00:08<00:02,
Running loglikelihood r 166.44it/s]	-	72%	1081/1500 [00:09<00:02,
Running loglikelihood r 167.81it/s]	-	74%	1105/1500 [00:09<00:02,
Running loglikelihood r 170.95it/s]	-	75%	1129/1500 [00:09<00:02,
Running loglikelihood r 173.79it/s]	_	77%	1153/1500 [00:09<00:01,
Running loglikelihood r 176.22it/s]	-	78%	1177/1500 [00:09<00:01,
Running loglikelihood r 178.37it/s]	_	80%	1201/1500 [00:09<00:01,
Running loglikelihood r 182.42it/s]	requests:	82%	1225/1500 [00:09<00:01,

```
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 83%|
                                           | 1249/1500 [00:10<00:01,
185.84it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 85%|
                                           | 1273/1500 [00:10<00:01,
188.81it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                           | 1297/1500 [00:10<00:01,
                                 86%1
190.62it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 88%|
                                           | 1321/1500 [00:10<00:00,
193.70it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                           | 1345/1500 [00:10<00:00,
                                 90%|
196.33it/s]
                                           | 1369/1500 [00:10<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 91%|
201.41it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 93%|
                                           | 1393/1500 [00:10<00:00,
206.01it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 94%|
                                           | 1417/1500 [00:10<00:00,
214.34it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 96%|
                                           | 1446/1500 [00:10<00:00,
235.22it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                           | 1489/1500 [00:11<00:00,
                                 99%|
251.91it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests: 100%
                                           | 1500/1500 [00:11<00:00,
135.42it/s]
fatal: not a git repository (or any of the parent directories): .git
2025-05-09:01:55:12,285 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.loggers.evaluation_tracker:209] Saving
results aggregated
 No eval_results_*.json found in ./results/run_1_2025-05-09T01-54-30
 Run 2/5
 Run 2 completed in 46.50 seconds
STDOUT:
hf (pretrained=Qwen/Qwen3-4B,parallelize=True,trust_remote_code=True),
gen_kwargs: (None), limit: None, num_fewshot: None, batch_size: 8
| Tasks | Version|Filter|n-shot|Metric|
                                          |Value|
|-----:|----:|----:|----:|----:|----:|----:|
|pubmedga|
                1|none |
                              0|acc | 1 | 0.768 | ± | 0.0189 |
STDERR:
 2025-05-09 01:55:18.260852: E
external/local_xla/xla/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_fft.cc:477] Unable to register
cuFFT factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuFFT when one has
already been registered
WARNING: All log messages before absl::InitializeLog() is called are written to
STDERR
E0000 00:00:1746755718.282057
                                14152 cuda_dnn.cc:8310] Unable to register cuDNN
factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuDNN when one has already
been registered
```

```
E0000 00:00:1746755718.288523
                                14152 cuda_blas.cc:1418] Unable to register
cuBLAS factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuBLAS when one has
already been registered
2025-05-09:01:55:35,028 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:368] Passed
`--trust remote code`, setting environment variable
`HF DATASETS TRUST REMOTE CODE=true`
2025-05-09:01:55:35,028 INFO
                                 [lm eval. main :379] Selected Tasks:
['pubmedqa']
2025-05-09:01:55:35,029 INFO
                                 [lm eval.evaluator:169] Setting random seed to
0 | Setting numpy seed to 1234 | Setting torch manual seed to 1234 | Setting
fewshot manual seed to 1234
2025-05-09:01:55:35,030 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator:206] Initializing hf model,
with arguments: {'pretrained': 'Qwen/Qwen3-4B', 'parallelize': True,
'trust_remote_code': True}
2025-05-09:01:55:35,070 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.models.huggingface:153] Using
`accelerate launch` or `parallelize=True`, device 'cuda:0' will be overridden
when placing model.
2025-05-09:01:55:35,815 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.models.huggingface:359] Model parallel
was set to True, setting max memory per GPU to {0: 42027843584} and device map
to auto
                             0%|
                                          | 0/3 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
Loading checkpoint shards:
                            33%1
                                        | 1/3 [00:01<00:02, 1.17s/it]
                                       | 2/3 [00:02<00:01, 1.18s/it]
```

```
Loading checkpoint shards:
Loading checkpoint shards: 67%|
Loading checkpoint shards: 100%|
                                     | 3/3 [00:02<00:00, 1.25it/s]
```

/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/datasets/load.py:1231: FutureWarning: The repository for bigbio/pubmed_qa contains custom code which must be executed to correctly load the dataset. You can inspect the repository content at https://hf.co/datasets/bigbio/pubmed_qa

You can avoid this message in future by passing the argument `trust_remote_code=True`.

Passing `trust_remote_code=True` will be mandatory to load this dataset from the next major release of `datasets`.

warnings.warn(

2025-05-09:01:55:39,137 INFO [lm eval.api.task:420] Building contexts for pubmedqa on rank 0...

```
0%1
               | 0/500 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
100%|
          | 500/500 [00:00<00:00, 99598.78it/s]
```

[lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl 2025-05-09:01:55:39,197 INFO eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):

Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer:

(end of prompt on previous line)

target string or answer choice index (starting on next line): yes

(end of target on previous line)

2025-05-09:01:55:39,197 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request: Instance(request type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes', 'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients

with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic

technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation

movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' yes'), idx=0, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered resps={}, task name='pubmedqa', doc_id=0, repeats=1)

2025-05-09:01:55:39,197 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):

Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer:

(end of prompt on previous line)
target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):
yes

(end of target on previous line)
2025-05-09:01:55:39,197 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator

[lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request:

 $Instance (request_type='loglikelihood', doc=\{'QUESTION': 'Is an orectallog of the content of t$

endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes', 'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' no'), idx=1, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered resps={}, task name='pubmedqa', doc_id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:01:55:39,197 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl

eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=500); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):

Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer:

(end of prompt on previous line)

target string or answer choice index (starting on next line): yes

(end of target on previous line)

2025-05-09:01:55:39,197 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request: Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male',

```
'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002',
'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes',
'final decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography
demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the
m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients
with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic
technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'},
arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation
movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of
the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with
dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a
medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects
underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625
RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m.
puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and
straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two
groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of
control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly
different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The
m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in
80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' maybe'), idx=2,
metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered resps={}, task name='pubmedqa',
doc_id=0, repeats=1)
2025-05-09:01:55:39,197 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator:517] Running loglikelihood
requests
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  0%1
                                               | 0/1500 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  0%1
                                               | 1/1500 [00:00<19:50, 1.26it/s]
                                               | 25/1500 [00:01<00:49,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  2%|
29.97it/s]
                                  3%|
                                               | 49/1500 [00:01<00:29,
Running loglikelihood requests:
49.55it/s]
                                               | 73/1500 [00:01<00:22,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  5%|
64.59it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  6%1
                                               | 97/1500 [00:01<00:18,
75.51it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  8%1
                                               | 121/1500 [00:02<00:16,
84.24it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 10%|
                                               | 145/1500 [00:02<00:14,
90.87it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                              | 169/1500 [00:02<00:13,
                                 11%|
96.04it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 13%|
                                              | 193/1500 [00:02<00:13,
99.83it/s]
```

Running loglikelihood requests: 103.60it/s]	14%	217/1500 [00:02<00:12,
Running loglikelihood requests:	16%	241/1500 [00:03<00:11,
106.47it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	18%	265/1500 [00:03<00:11,
109.66it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	19%	289/1500 [00:03<00:10,
112.12it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests: 114.58it/s]	21%	313/1500 [00:03<00:10,
Running loglikelihood requests: 116.69it/s]	22%	337/1500 [00:03<00:09,
Running loglikelihood requests: 118.56it/s]	24%	361/1500 [00:04<00:09,
Running loglikelihood requests:	26%	385/1500 [00:04<00:09,
119.93it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	27%	409/1500 [00:04<00:08,
121.87it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	29%	433/1500 [00:04<00:08,
123.52it/s]	23/01	1 400/1000 [00.04\00.00,
Running loglikelihood requests:	30%	457/1500 [00:04<00:08,
125.16it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests: 126.74it/s]	32%	481/1500 [00:05<00:08,
Running loglikelihood requests: 127.74it/s]	34%	505/1500 [00:05<00:07,
Running loglikelihood requests:	35%	529/1500 [00:05<00:07,
128.36it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests: 129.50it/s]	37%	553/1500 [00:05<00:07,
Running loglikelihood requests: 130.69it/s]	38%	577/1500 [00:05<00:07,
Running loglikelihood requests:	40%	601/1500 [00:05<00:06,
131.43it/s]	400/ 1	L 005 /4500 500 00 00 00
Running loglikelihood requests: 132.18it/s]	42%	625/1500 [00:06<00:06,
Running loglikelihood requests: 132.75it/s]	43%	649/1500 [00:06<00:06,
Running loglikelihood requests: 133.24it/s]	45%	673/1500 [00:06<00:06,
Running loglikelihood requests:	46%	697/1500 [00:06<00:05,
135.03it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests: 136.73it/s]	48%	721/1500 [00:06<00:05,
Running loglikelihood requests:	50%	745/1500 [00:07<00:05,
138.02it/s]	E40/ I	1 700 /4500 500 07:00 05
Running loglikelihood requests: 141.57it/s]	51%	769/1500 [00:07<00:05,

Running loglikelihood 144.18it/s]	requests:	53%	793/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 146.10it/s]	requests:	54%	817/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 147.68it/s]	requests:	56%	841/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 150.15it/s]	requests:	58%	865/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 152.45it/s]	requests:	59%	889/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 153.72it/s]	requests:	61%	913/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood 155.38it/s]	requests:	62%	937/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood 158.05it/s]	requests:	64%	961/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood 160.52it/s]	requests:	66%	985/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood 162.43it/s]	requests:	67%	1009/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood 164.07it/s]	requests:	69%	1033/1500 [00:08<00:02,
Running loglikelihood 165.52it/s]	requests:	70%	1057/1500 [00:08<00:02,
Running loglikelihood 166.85it/s]	requests:	72%	1081/1500 [00:09<00:02,
Running loglikelihood 168.26it/s]	requests:	74%	1105/1500 [00:09<00:02,
Running loglikelihood 171.60it/s]	requests:	75%	1129/1500 [00:09<00:02,
Running loglikelihood 174.24it/s]	requests:	77%	1153/1500 [00:09<00:01,
Running loglikelihood 176.37it/s]	requests:	78%	1177/1500 [00:09<00:01,
Running loglikelihood 178.51it/s]	requests:	80%	1201/1500 [00:09<00:01,
Running loglikelihood 182.33it/s]	-	82%	1225/1500 [00:09<00:01,
Running loglikelihood 185.78it/s]	requests:	83%	1249/1500 [00:10<00:01,
Running loglikelihood 188.68it/s]	requests:	85%	1273/1500 [00:10<00:01,
Running loglikelihood 191.44it/s]	_	86%	1297/1500 [00:10<00:01,
Running loglikelihood 194.12it/s]	-	88%	1321/1500 [00:10<00:00,
Running loglikelihood 196.39it/s]	requests:	90%	1345/1500 [00:10<00:00,

```
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 91%|
                                          | 1369/1500 [00:10<00:00,
201.21it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 93%|
                                          | 1393/1500 [00:10<00:00,
205.24it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                          | 1417/1500 [00:10<00:00,
                                 94%1
213.66it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 96%|
                                          | 1447/1500 [00:10<00:00,
237.36it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                          | 1489/1500 [00:11<00:00,
                                 99%|
249.55it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests: 100%
                                          | 1500/1500 [00:11<00:00,
135.23it/s]
fatal: not a git repository (or any of the parent directories): .git
2025-05-09:01:55:58,785 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.loggers.evaluation_tracker:209] Saving
results aggregated
 No eval_results_*.json found in ./results/run_2_2025-05-09T01-55-14
 Run 3/5
 Run 3 completed in 42.78 seconds
STDOUT:
hf (pretrained=Qwen/Qwen3-4B, parallelize=True, trust remote code=True),
gen_kwargs: (None), limit: None, num_fewshot: None, batch_size: 8
| Tasks | Version|Filter|n-shot|Metric|
                                         |Value|
|-----:|----:|----:|----:|
               1|none |
|pubmedqa|
                             0|acc | 1 | 0.768 | ± | 0.0189 |
STDERR:
 2025-05-09 01:56:04.757336: E
external/local_xla/xtream_executor/cuda/cuda_fft.cc:477] Unable to register
cuFFT factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuFFT when one has
already been registered
WARNING: All log messages before absl::InitializeLog() is called are written to
STDERR
E0000 00:00:1746755764.778788
                                14412 cuda_dnn.cc:8310] Unable to register cuDNN
factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuDNN when one has already
been registered
E0000 00:00:1746755764.785360
                                14412 cuda_blas.cc:1418] Unable to register
cuBLAS factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuBLAS when one has
already been registered
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:368] Passed
2025-05-09:01:56:21,443 INFO
`--trust_remote_code`, setting environment variable
`HF_DATASETS_TRUST_REMOTE_CODE=true`
2025-05-09:01:56:21,443 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:379] Selected Tasks:
['pubmedqa']
2025-05-09:01:56:21,444 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator:169] Setting random seed to
0 | Setting numpy seed to 1234 | Setting torch manual seed to 1234 | Setting
```

fewshot manual seed to 1234

2025-05-09:01:56:21,444 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator:206] Initializing hf model,
with arguments: {'pretrained': 'Qwen/Qwen3-4B', 'parallelize': True,
'trust_remote_code': True}

2025-05-09:01:56:21,484 INFO [lm_eval.models.huggingface:153] Using
`accelerate launch` or `parallelize=True`, device 'cuda:0' will be overridden
when placing model.

2025-05-09:01:56:22,297 INFO [lm_eval.models.huggingface:359] Model parallel
was set to True, setting max memory per GPU to {0: 42027843584} and device map
to auto

Loading checkpoint shards: 0%| | 0/3 [00:00<?, ?it/s]

Loading checkpoint shards: 33%| | 1/3 [00:01<00:02, 1.15s/it]

Loading checkpoint shards: 67%| | 2/3 [00:02<00:01, 1.17s/it]

Loading checkpoint shards: 100%| | 3/3 [00:02<00:00, 1.27it/s]

/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/datasets/load.py:1231: FutureWarning: The repository for bigbio/pubmed_qa contains custom code which must be executed to correctly load the dataset. You can inspect the repository content at https://hf.co/datasets/bigbio/pubmed_qa

You can avoid this message in future by passing the argument `trust remote code=True`.

Passing `trust_remote_code=True` will be mandatory to load this dataset from the next major release of `datasets`.

warnings.warn(

2025-05-09:01:56:25,568 INFO [lm_eval.api.task:420] Building contexts for pubmedga on rank 0...

0%| | 0/500 [00:00<?, ?it/s]

100% | 500/500 [00:00<00:00, 77522.99it/s]

2025-05-09:01:56:25,627 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):

Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and

```
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
test) in patients versus control subjects.
Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?
Answer:
(end of prompt on previous line)
target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):
(end of target on previous line)
2025-05-09:01:56:25,627 INFO
                                 [lm eval.evaluator utils:210] Request:
Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked
by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to
demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.)
puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.',
'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control
group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba
models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal
sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary
squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between
the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or
thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in
only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically
significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control
subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in
length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different
(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS',
'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and
over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution',
'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male',
'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002',
'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes',
'final decision': 'yes', 'LONG ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography
demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the
m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients
with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic
technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'},
arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation
movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of
the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with
dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a
medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects
underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625
RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m.
puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and
straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two
groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of
```

control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', 'yes'), idx=0, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:01:56:25,627 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line): Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography. Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups. The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer: (end of prompt on previous line) target string or answer choice index (starting on next line): (end of target on previous line) 2025-05-09:01:56:25,627 INFO [lm eval.evaluator utils:210] Request: Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal

endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or

thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes', 'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' no'), idx=1, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:01:56:25,627 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line): Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography. Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal

sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary

squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer:

(end of prompt on previous line)
target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):

(end of target on previous line)

2025-05-09:01:56:25,627 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request: Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes', 'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the

m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic

technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with

dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' maybe'), idx=2, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc_id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:01:56:25,627 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator:517] Running loglikelihood requests 0% I | 0/1500 [00:00<? ?i+/s] Dunning loglikelihood requests:

Running loglikelihood requests:	0%	0/1500 [00:00 , ?it/s]</th
Running loglikelihood requests:	0%	1/1500 [00:00<19:57, 1.25it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:	2%	25/1500 [00:01<00:49,
29.85it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	3%	49/1500 [00:01<00:29,
49.38it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	5% l	73/1500 [00:01<00:22,
64.54it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	6%	97/1500 [00:01<00:18,
75.49it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	8%	121/1500 [00:02<00:16,
84.15it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	10%	145/1500 [00:02<00:14,
90.67it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	11%	169/1500 [00:02<00:13,
95.91it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	13%	193/1500 [00:02<00:13,
99.73it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	14%	217/1500 [00:02<00:12,
103.34it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	16%	241/1500 [00:03<00:11,
106.32it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	18%	265/1500 [00:03<00:11,
109.59it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	19%	289/1500 [00:03<00:10,
112.14it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	21%	313/1500 [00:03<00:10,
114.66it/s]		

Running loglikelihood requests: 116.52it/s]	22%	337/1500 [00:03<00:09,
Running loglikelihood requests:	24%	361/1500 [00:04<00:09,
118.30it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	26%	385/1500 [00:04<00:09,
119.94it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	27%	409/1500 [00:04<00:08,
121.95it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests: 123.77it/s]	29%	433/1500 [00:04<00:08,
Running loglikelihood requests: 125.17it/s]	30%	457/1500 [00:04<00:08,
Running loglikelihood requests:	32%	481/1500 [00:05<00:08,
126.62it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	34%	505/1500 [00:05<00:07,
127.81it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests: 128.85it/s]	35%	529/1500 [00:05<00:07,
Running loglikelihood requests: 129.70it/s]	37%	553/1500 [00:05<00:07,
Running loglikelihood requests:	38%	577/1500 [00:05<00:07,
130.80it/s]	04 1	
Running loglikelihood requests: 131.58it/s]	40%	601/1500 [00:05<00:06,
Running loglikelihood requests: 132.23it/s]	42%	625/1500 [00:06<00:06,
Running loglikelihood requests:	43%	649/1500 [00:06<00:06,
132.74it/s]	45%	673/1500 [00:06<00:06,
Running loglikelihood requests: 133.44it/s]		•
Running loglikelihood requests: 135.34it/s]	46%	697/1500 [00:06<00:05,
Running loglikelihood requests:	48%	721/1500 [00:06<00:05,
136.81it/s]	E0% I	1 74F/4F00 F00:07<00:0F
Running loglikelihood requests: 138.26it/s]	50%	745/1500 [00:07<00:05,
Running loglikelihood requests: 141.39it/s]	51%	769/1500 [00:07<00:05,
Running loglikelihood requests: 143.83it/s]	53%	793/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood requests:	54%	817/1500 [00:07<00:04,
146.02it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests: 148.08it/s]	56%	841/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood requests: 150.73it/s]	58%	865/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood requests: 152.49it/s]	59%	889/1500 [00:07<00:04,

Running loglikelihood requests: 154.13it/s]	61%	913/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requests: 155.68it/s]	62%	937/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requests: 158.67it/s]	64%	961/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requests: 160.64it/s]	66%	985/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requests: 162.24it/s]	67%	1009/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requests: 163.83it/s]	69%	1033/1500 [00:08<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requests: 165.31it/s]	70%	1057/1500 [00:08<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requests: 167.00it/s]	72%	1081/1500 [00:09<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requests: 168.64it/s]	74%	1105/1500 [00:09<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requests: 171.87it/s]	75%	1129/1500 [00:09<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requests: 174.16it/s]	77%	1153/1500 [00:09<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests: 176.68it/s]	78%	1177/1500 [00:09<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests: 178.47it/s]	80%	1201/1500 [00:09<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests: 182.45it/s]	82%	1225/1500 [00:09<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests: 185.42it/s]	83%	1249/1500 [00:10<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests: 188.16it/s]	85%	1273/1500 [00:10<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests: 190.89it/s]	86%	1297/1500 [00:10<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests: 193.90it/s]	88%	1321/1500 [00:10<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests: 196.06it/s]	90%	1345/1500 [00:10<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests: 200.67it/s]	91%	1369/1500 [00:10<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests: 205.24it/s]	93%	1393/1500 [00:10<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests: 213.25it/s]	94%	1417/1500 [00:10<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests: 237.01it/s]	96%	1447/1500 [00:10<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests: 251.09it/s]	99%	1489/1500 [00:11<00:00,

```
Running loglikelihood requests: 100%
                                      | 1500/1500 [00:11<00:00,
135.22it/s]
fatal: not a git repository (or any of the parent directories): .git
2025-05-09:01:56:41,552 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.loggers.evaluation_tracker:209] Saving
results aggregated
 No eval results *.json found in ./results/run 3 2025-05-09T01-56-00
 Run 4/5
 Run 4 completed in 42.54 seconds
STDOUT:
hf (pretrained=Qwen/Qwen3-4B,parallelize=True,trust_remote_code=True),
gen_kwargs: (None), limit: None, num_fewshot: None, batch_size: 8
| Tasks | Version|Filter|n-shot|Metric|
                                          |Value|
|-----:|----:|----:|----:|----:|
                             0 | acc | \uparrow | 0.768 | \pm | 0.0189 |
pubmedgal
                1|none |
STDERR:
 2025-05-09 01:56:47.533722: E
external/local_xla/xla/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_fft.cc:477] Unable to register
cuFFT factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuFFT when one has
already been registered
WARNING: All log messages before absl::InitializeLog() is called are written to
STDERR.
E0000 00:00:1746755807.554747
                                14658 cuda_dnn.cc:8310] Unable to register cuDNN
factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuDNN when one has already
been registered
E0000 00:00:1746755807.561046
                                14658 cuda_blas.cc:1418] Unable to register
cuBLAS factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuBLAS when one has
already been registered
2025-05-09:01:57:04,191 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:368] Passed
`--trust_remote_code`, setting environment variable
`HF_DATASETS_TRUST_REMOTE_CODE=true`
2025-05-09:01:57:04,191 INFO
                                 [lm eval. main :379] Selected Tasks:
['pubmedga']
2025-05-09:01:57:04,192 INFO
                                 [lm eval.evaluator:169] Setting random seed to
0 | Setting numpy seed to 1234 | Setting torch manual seed to 1234 | Setting
fewshot manual seed to 1234
2025-05-09:01:57:04,192 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator:206] Initializing hf model,
with arguments: {'pretrained': 'Qwen/Qwen3-4B', 'parallelize': True,
'trust_remote_code': True}
2025-05-09:01:57:04,232 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.models.huggingface:153] Using
`accelerate launch` or `parallelize=True`, device 'cuda:0' will be overridden
when placing model.
2025-05-09:01:57:05,034 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.models.huggingface:359] Model parallel
was set to True, setting max memory per GPU to {0: 42027843584} and device map
to auto
```

```
0%1
                                          | 0/3 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
Loading checkpoint shards:
                                        | 1/3 [00:01<00:02, 1.15s/it]
Loading checkpoint shards:
                            33%|
Loading checkpoint shards: 67%|
                                       | 2/3 [00:02<00:01, 1.17s/it]
Loading checkpoint shards: 100%
                                     | 3/3 [00:02<00:00, 1.27it/s]
/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/datasets/load.py:1231: FutureWarning:
The repository for bigbio/pubmed qa contains custom code which must be executed
to correctly load the dataset. You can inspect the repository content at
https://hf.co/datasets/bigbio/pubmed_qa
You can avoid this message in future by passing the argument
`trust_remote_code=True`.
Passing `trust remote code=True` will be mandatory to load this dataset from the
next major release of `datasets`.
  warnings.warn(
2025-05-09:01:57:08,309 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.api.task:420] Building contexts for
pubmedqa on rank 0...
               | 0/500 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
  0%1
100%|
          | 500/500 [00:00<00:00, 81043.09it/s]
2025-05-09:01:57:08,367 INFO
                                 [lm eval.evaluator utils:206] Task: Configurabl
eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5
00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):
Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The
aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal
sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using
anorectal endosonography.
Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control
group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba
models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal
sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary
squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between
the two groups.
The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining
(versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control
subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different
(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m.
puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80%
of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
test) in patients versus control subjects.
Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?
Answer:
(end of prompt on previous line)
target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):
yes
(end of target on previous line)
2025-05-09:01:57:08,367 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request:
```

Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal

endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes', 'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' yes'), idx=0, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered resps={}, task name='pubmedqa', doc_id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:01:57:08,367 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl

eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=500); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):

Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer:

(end of prompt on previous line)

target string or answer choice index (starting on next line): yes

(end of target on previous line)

2025-05-09:01:57:08,367 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request: Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male',

'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes', 'final decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' no'), idx=1, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered resps={}, task name='pubmedqa', doc_id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:01:57:08,367 INFO

[lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):

Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

```
Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?
Answer:
(end of prompt on previous line)
target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):
yes
(end of target on previous line)
2025-05-09:01:57:08,367 INFO
                                 [lm eval.evaluator utils:210] Request:
Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked
by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to
demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.)
puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.',
'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control
group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba
models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal
sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary
squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between
the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or
thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in
only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically
significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control
subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in
length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different
(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS',
'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and
over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution',
'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male',
'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002',
'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes',
'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography
demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the
m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients
with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic
technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'},
arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation
movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of
the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with
dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a
medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects
underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625
RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m.
puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and
straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two
groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of
control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly
different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The
```

```
m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in
80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', 'maybe'), idx=2,
metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa',
doc id=0, repeats=1)
2025-05-09:01:57:08,368 INFO
                                  [lm_eval.evaluator:517] Running loglikelihood
requests
                                   0%1
                                                | 0/1500 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                   0%1
                                                | 1/1500 [00:00<19:27, 1.28it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                   2%|
                                               | 25/1500 [00:01<00:48,
30.35it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  3%1
                                               | 49/1500 [00:01<00:29,
49.91it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  5%|
                                               | 73/1500 [00:01<00:21,
64.95it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  6%|
                                               | 97/1500 [00:01<00:18,
75.93it/sl
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  8%1
                                               | 121/1500 [00:02<00:16,
84.60it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  10%|
                                               | 145/1500 [00:02<00:14,
91.18it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 11%|
                                               | 169/1500 [00:02<00:13,
96.39it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 13%|
                                               | 193/1500 [00:02<00:13,
100.24it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 14%|
                                               | 217/1500 [00:02<00:12,
103.64it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  16%|
                                               | 241/1500 [00:03<00:11,
106.36it/s]
                                               | 265/1500 [00:03<00:11,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 18%|
109.80it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                               | 289/1500 [00:03<00:10,
                                 19%|
112.50it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                               | 313/1500 [00:03<00:10,
                                 21%|
115.10it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 22%1
                                               | 337/1500 [00:03<00:09,
116.96it/s]
                                               | 361/1500 [00:04<00:09,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 24%|
118.71it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 26%|
                                               | 385/1500 [00:04<00:09,
119.94it/s]
                                               | 409/1500 [00:04<00:08,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 27%|
122.08it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 29%1
                                               | 433/1500 [00:04<00:08,
123.58it/s]
```

Running loglikelihood 124.87it/s]	requests:	30%	457/1500 [00:04<00:08,
Running loglikelihood 126.70it/s]	requests:	32%	481/1500 [00:05<00:08,
Running loglikelihood 127.96it/s]	requests:	34%	505/1500 [00:05<00:07,
Running loglikelihood 128.81it/s]	requests:	35%	529/1500 [00:05<00:07,
Running loglikelihood 129.58it/s]	requests:	37%	553/1500 [00:05<00:07,
Running loglikelihood 130.47it/s]	requests:	38%	577/1500 [00:05<00:07,
Running loglikelihood 131.16it/s]	requests:	40%	601/1500 [00:05<00:06,
Running loglikelihood 131.84it/s]	requests:	42%	625/1500 [00:06<00:06,
Running loglikelihood 132.51it/s]	requests:	43%	649/1500 [00:06<00:06,
Running loglikelihood 133.43it/s]	requests:	45%	673/1500 [00:06<00:06,
Running loglikelihood 135.35it/s]	requests:	46%	697/1500 [00:06<00:05,
Running loglikelihood 136.52it/s]	requests:	48%	721/1500 [00:06<00:05,
Running loglikelihood 138.07it/s]	requests:	50%	745/1500 [00:06<00:05,
Running loglikelihood 141.32it/s]	requests:	51%	769/1500 [00:07<00:05,
Running loglikelihood 143.77it/s]	requests:	53%	793/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 145.88it/s]	requests:	54%	817/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 147.95it/s]	requests:	56%	841/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 150.60it/s]	requests:	58%	865/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 152.59it/s]	requests:	59%	889/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 154.27it/s]	requests:	61%	913/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood 155.78it/s]	requests:	62%	937/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood 158.61it/s]	-	64%	961/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood 160.50it/s]	-	66%	985/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood 162.33it/s]	requests:	67%	1009/1500 [00:08<00:03,

```
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  69%|
                                             | 1033/1500 [00:08<00:02,
163.85it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  70%|
                                             | 1057/1500 [00:08<00:02,
165.41it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                             | 1081/1500 [00:09<00:02,
                                  72%1
167.16it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  74%|
                                            | 1105/1500 [00:09<00:02,
168.76it/s]
                                            | 1129/1500 [00:09<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  75%|
171.98it/s]
                                            | 1153/1500 [00:09<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  77%|
174.24it/s]
                                            | 1177/1500 [00:09<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  78%|
176.73it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  80%1
                                             | 1201/1500 [00:09<00:01,
178.58it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  82%1
                                            | 1225/1500 [00:09<00:01,
182.72it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                            | 1249/1500 [00:10<00:01,
                                  83%|
186.09it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  85%|
                                            | 1273/1500 [00:10<00:01,
188.67it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  86%|
                                            | 1297/1500 [00:10<00:01,
191.60it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  88%1
                                            | 1321/1500 [00:10<00:00,
194.16it/s]
                                  90%1
                                            | 1345/1500 [00:10<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests:
196.45it/s]
                                            | 1369/1500 [00:10<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  91%|
201.25it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  93%1
                                            | 1393/1500 [00:10<00:00,
205.92it/s]
                                            | 1417/1500 [00:10<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  94%1
213.72it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                            | 1442/1500 [00:10<00:00,
                                  96%|
223.66it/s]
                                            | 1489/1500 [00:11<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  99%|
253.18it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests: 100%|
                                            | 1500/1500 [00:11<00:00,
135.50it/sl
fatal: not a git repository (or any of the parent directories): .git
2025-05-09:01:57:24,092 INFO
                                  [lm_eval.loggers.evaluation_tracker:209] Saving
results aggregated
```

No eval_results_*.json found in ./results/run_4_2025-05-09T01-56-43

Run 5/5

Run 5 completed in 43.07 seconds

```
STDOUT:
hf (pretrained=Qwen/Qwen3-4B,parallelize=True,trust_remote_code=True),
gen_kwargs: (None), limit: None, num_fewshot: None, batch_size: 8
| Tasks | Version|Filter|n-shot|Metric|
                                         |Value|
                                                    |Stderr|
|-----:|----:|----:|----:|----:|----:|
                1|none |
                             0|acc | 1 | 0.768 | ± | 0.0189 |
|pubmedga|
STDERR:
 2025-05-09 01:57:30.130966: E
external/local_xla/xtream_executor/cuda/cuda_fft.cc:477] Unable to register
cuFFT factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuFFT when one has
already been registered
WARNING: All log messages before absl::InitializeLog() is called are written to
STDERR
E0000 00:00:1746755850.152146
                                14902 cuda_dnn.cc:8310] Unable to register cuDNN
factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuDNN when one has already
been registered
E0000 00:00:1746755850.158564
                                14902 cuda_blas.cc:1418] Unable to register
cuBLAS factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuBLAS when one has
already been registered
2025-05-09:01:57:46,860 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:368] Passed
`--trust_remote_code`, setting environment variable
`HF DATASETS TRUST REMOTE CODE=true`
2025-05-09:01:57:46,860 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:379] Selected Tasks:
['pubmedqa']
2025-05-09:01:57:46,861 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator:169] Setting random seed to
0 | Setting numpy seed to 1234 | Setting torch manual seed to 1234 | Setting
fewshot manual seed to 1234
2025-05-09:01:57:46,862 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator:206] Initializing hf model,
with arguments: {'pretrained': 'Qwen/Qwen3-4B', 'parallelize': True,
'trust_remote_code': True}
2025-05-09:01:57:46,902 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.models.huggingface:153] Using
`accelerate launch` or `parallelize=True`, device 'cuda:0' will be overridden
when placing model.
2025-05-09:01:57:47,890 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.models.huggingface:359] Model parallel
was set to True, setting max memory per GPU to {0: 42027843584} and device map
to auto
                                          | 0/3 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
Loading checkpoint shards:
                            0%|
Loading checkpoint shards:
                           33%|
                                       | 1/3 [00:01<00:02, 1.15s/it]
Loading checkpoint shards:
                           67%|
                                      | 2/3 [00:02<00:01, 1.16s/it]
Loading checkpoint shards: 100%|
                                     | 3/3 [00:02<00:00, 1.27it/s]
/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/datasets/load.py:1231: FutureWarning:
The repository for bigbio/pubmed_qa contains custom code which must be executed
```

to correctly load the dataset. You can inspect the repository content at

You can avoid this message in future by passing the argument

https://hf.co/datasets/bigbio/pubmed_qa

`trust_remote_code=True`.

Passing `trust_remote_code=True` will be mandatory to load this dataset from the next major release of `datasets`.

warnings.warn(

2025-05-09:01:57:51,210 INFO [lm_eval.api.task:420] Building contexts for pubmedqa on rank 0...

0%| | 0/500 [00:00<?, ?it/s]

100% | 500/500 [00:00<00:00, 100313.40it/s]

2025-05-09:01:57:51,270 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):

Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?

(end of prompt on previous line)

target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):

(end of target on previous line)

2025-05-09:01:57:51,270 INFO [lm eval.evaluator utils:210] Request:

 $Instance (request_type='loglikelihood', doc=\{'QUESTION': 'Is an orectal'\} and the property of the property o$

endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.)

puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.',

'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or

thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes', 'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', 'yes'), idx=0, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:01:57:51,270 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line): Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography. Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal

sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary

squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer:

(end of prompt on previous line)
target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):

(end of target on previous line)

2025-05-09:01:57:51,270 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request: Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes', 'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients

with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic

technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with

dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' no'), idx=1, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc_id=0, repeats=1)

2025-05-09:01:57:51,270 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):

Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?
Answer:

(end of prompt on previous line)

target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):

(end of target on previous line)

2025-05-09:01:57:51,270 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request:

Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked
by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to

demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning required pred': 'yes', 'reasoning free pred': 'yes', 'final decision': 'yes', 'LONG ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' maybe'), idx=2, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered resps={}, task name='pubmedqa', doc_id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:01:57:51,271 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator:517] Running loglikelihood requests

Running loglikelihood requests: Running loglikelihood requests:	0% 0%	0/1500 [00:00 , ?it/s]<br 1/1500 [00:00<19:52, 1.26it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests: 29.93it/s]	2%	25/1500 [00:01<00:49,
Running loglikelihood requests: 49.48it/s]	3%	49/1500 [00:01<00:29,
Running loglikelihood requests: 64.53it/s]	5%	73/1500 [00:01<00:22,
Running loglikelihood requests: 75.62it/s]	6%	97/1500 [00:01<00:18,
Running loglikelihood requests: 84.22it/s]	8%	121/1500 [00:02<00:16,
Running loglikelihood requests: 90.84it/s]	10%	145/1500 [00:02<00:14,
Running loglikelihood requests: 96.14it/s]	11%	169/1500 [00:02<00:13,
Running loglikelihood requests: 100.07it/s]	13%	193/1500 [00:02<00:13,
Running loglikelihood requests: 103.61it/s]	14%	217/1500 [00:02<00:12,
Running loglikelihood requests: 106.35it/s]	16%	241/1500 [00:03<00:11,
Running loglikelihood requests: 109.74it/s]	18%	265/1500 [00:03<00:11,
Running loglikelihood requests: 112.46it/s]	19%	289/1500 [00:03<00:10,
Running loglikelihood requests: 115.05it/s]	21%	313/1500 [00:03<00:10,
Running loglikelihood requests: 116.90it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	22% l 24% l	337/1500 [00:03<00:09, 361/1500 [00:04<00:09,
118.53it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	26%	385/1500 [00:04<00:09,
119.90it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	27%	409/1500 [00:04<00:08,
122.16it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	29%	433/1500 [00:04<00:08,
123.70it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	30%	457/1500 [00:04<00:08,
125.13it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	32%	481/1500 [00:05<00:08,
126.88it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	34%	505/1500 [00:05<00:07,
128.14it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	35%	529/1500 [00:05<00:07,
129.10it/s] Running loglikelihood requests:	37%	553/1500 [00:05<00:07,
130.05it/s]		

Running loglikelihood requests: 131.11it/s]	38%	577/1500 [00:05<00:07,
Running loglikelihood requests: 131.96it/s]	40%	601/1500 [00:05<00:06,
Running loglikelihood requests: 132.70it/s]	42%	625/1500 [00:06<00:06,
Running loglikelihood requests: 133.43it/s]	43%	649/1500 [00:06<00:06,
Running loglikelihood requests: 134.08it/s]	45%	673/1500 [00:06<00:06,
Running loglikelihood requests: 135.51it/s]	46%	697/1500 [00:06<00:05,
Running loglikelihood requests: 137.05it/s]	48%	721/1500 [00:06<00:05,
Running loglikelihood requests: 138.33it/s]	50%	745/1500 [00:06<00:05,
Running loglikelihood requests: 141.82it/s]	51%	769/1500 [00:07<00:05,
Running loglikelihood requests: 144.55it/s]	53%	793/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood requests: 146.62it/s]	54%	817/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood requests: 147.79it/s]	56%	841/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood requests: 149.68it/s]	58%	865/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood requests: 151.93it/s]	59%	889/1500 [00:07<00:04,
Running loglikelihood requests: 153.73it/s]	61%	913/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requests: 154.84it/s]	62%	937/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requests: 157.59it/s]	64%	961/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requests: 159.91it/s]	66%	985/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requests: 161.67it/s]	67%	1009/1500 [00:08<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requests: 163.28it/s]	69%	1033/1500 [00:08<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requests: 164.98it/s]	70%	1057/1500 [00:08<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requests: 166.87it/s]	72%	1081/1500 [00:09<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requests: 168.71it/s]	74%	1105/1500 [00:09<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requests: 172.09it/s]	75%	1129/1500 [00:09<00:02,

```
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                      77%|
                                                 | 1153/1500 [00:09<00:01,
    174.48it/s]
                                                 | 1177/1500 [00:09<00:01,
    Running loglikelihood requests:
                                      78%|
    177.03it/s]
    Running loglikelihood requests:
                                                 | 1201/1500 [00:09<00:01,
                                      80%1
    178.58it/s]
    Running loglikelihood requests:
                                      82%|
                                                | 1225/1500 [00:09<00:01,
    182.58it/s]
    Running loglikelihood requests:
                                      83%|
                                                | 1249/1500 [00:10<00:01,
    185.95it/s]
                                      85%|
                                                | 1273/1500 [00:10<00:01,
    Running loglikelihood requests:
    188.81it/s]
                                      86%|
                                                | 1297/1500 [00:10<00:01,
    Running loglikelihood requests:
    191.25it/s]
                                                | 1321/1500 [00:10<00:00,
    Running loglikelihood requests:
                                      88%1
    193.68it/s]
    Running loglikelihood requests:
                                      90%1
                                                | 1345/1500 [00:10<00:00,
    195.82it/s]
    Running loglikelihood requests:
                                      91%|
                                                | 1369/1500 [00:10<00:00,
    201.14it/sl
    Running loglikelihood requests:
                                      93%|
                                                | 1393/1500 [00:10<00:00,
    205.78it/s]
    Running loglikelihood requests:
                                      94%|
                                                | 1417/1500 [00:10<00:00,
    212.96it/s]
    Running loglikelihood requests:
                                      96%1
                                                | 1443/1500 [00:10<00:00,
    225.84it/s]
    Running loglikelihood requests:
                                      99%|
                                                | 1489/1500 [00:11<00:00,
    252.27it/s]
    Running loglikelihood requests: 100%
                                                | 1500/1500 [00:11<00:00,
    135.33it/s]
    fatal: not a git repository (or any of the parent directories): .git
                                      [lm_eval.loggers.evaluation_tracker:209] Saving
    2025-05-09:01:58:07,151 INFO
    results aggregated
     No eval results *.json found in ./results/run 5 2025-05-09T01-57-25
    <IPython.core.display.HTML object>
    <IPython.core.display.HTML object>
    <IPython.core.display.HTML object>
[9]: import random
     import json
```

```
import json
import wandb
import subprocess
import time
import os
from datetime import datetime
```

```
# Model and Task Config
# -----
model_name = "meta-llama/Llama-3.2-3B"
task_name = "pubmedqa"
output_base = "./results"
 Start W&B run
run_name = f"{model_name.replace('/', '_')}_{task_name}_5x"
wandb_run = wandb.init(
   project="med-moe-baseline-evals",
   name=run_name,
   config={
       "model": model_name,
       "task": task_name,
       "batch_size": 8,
       "precision": "fp32",
       "eval_method": "lm_eval",
       "repeats": 5
   }
)
 Run 5x Evaluation Loop
# -----
for i in range(5):
   print(f'' n Run {i + 1}/5")
   # Create timestamped output folder
   timestamp = datetime.now().strftime("%Y-%m-%dT%H-%M-%S")
   run_output_dir = os.path.join(output_base, f"run_{i+1}_{timestamp}")
   os.makedirs(run_output_dir, exist_ok=True)
   # Define lm_eval command
   command = [
       "lm_eval",
       "--model", "hf",
       "--tasks", task_name,
       "--model_args", f"pretrained={model_name},parallelize=True",
        "--device", "cuda:0",
       "--batch_size", "8",
       "--write_out",
       "--output_path", run_output_dir,
        "--trust_remote_code", "--confirm_run_unsafe_code"
```

```
]
# Start timing
start_time = time.monotonic()
result = subprocess.run(command, capture_output=True, text=True)
elapsed = time.monotonic() - start_time
print(f" Run {i + 1} completed in {elapsed:.2f} seconds")
print("STDOUT:\n", result.stdout)
print("STDERR:\n", result.stderr)
# Find and parse result file
# -----
result_file = None
for fname in os.listdir(run_output_dir):
    if fname.startswith("eval_results") and fname.endswith(".json"):
        result_file = os.path.join(run_output_dir, fname)
        break
if result_file is None:
    print(f" No eval_results_*.json found in {run_output_dir}")
    continue
try:
    with open(result_file) as f:
        data = json.load(f)
    task_data = data["results"][task_name]
    acc = task_data.get("acc,none")
    stderr = task_data.get("acc_stderr,none")
    if acc is not None and stderr is not None:
        wandb_run.log({
           f"{task_name}/accuracy": acc,
           f"{task_name}/stddev": stderr,
           f"{task_name}/eval_time_sec": elapsed,
            "run_index": i + 1
       })
       print(f" Logged to W&B: acc={acc:.3f}, stderr={stderr:.4f}")
    else:
       print(f" Missing keys in result: {task_data.keys()}")
except Exception as e:
    print(f" Failed to parse results from {result_file}: {e}")
```

```
# Finish W&B run
wandb_run.finish()
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
 Run 1/5
 Run 1 completed in 60.62 seconds
STDOUT:
hf (pretrained=meta-
llama/Llama-3.2-3B,parallelize=True,trust_remote_code=True), gen_kwargs: (None),
limit: None, num_fewshot: None, batch_size: 8
| Tasks | Version|Filter|n-shot|Metric|
                                        |Value|
|----:|---:|----:|
               1|none |
                          0|acc | 1 | 0.732 | ± | 0.0198 |
|pubmedga|
STDERR:
2025-05-09 02:00:51.229049: E
external/local_xla/xla/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_fft.cc:477] Unable to register
cuFFT factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuFFT when one has
already been registered
WARNING: All log messages before absl::InitializeLog() is called are written to
STDERR
E0000 00:00:1746756051.250535
                               15878 cuda_dnn.cc:8310] Unable to register cuDNN
factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuDNN when one has already
been registered
E0000 00:00:1746756051.257001
                               15878 cuda_blas.cc:1418] Unable to register
cuBLAS factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuBLAS when one has
already been registered
2025-05-09:02:01:08,057 INFO
                                [lm_eval.__main__:368] Passed
`--trust_remote_code`, setting environment variable
`HF_DATASETS_TRUST_REMOTE_CODE=true`
2025-05-09:02:01:08,057 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:379] Selected Tasks:
['pubmedga']
2025-05-09:02:01:08,059 INFO
                                [lm eval.evaluator:169] Setting random seed to
0 | Setting numpy seed to 1234 | Setting torch manual seed to 1234 | Setting
fewshot manual seed to 1234
2025-05-09:02:01:08,059 INFO
                                [lm_eval.evaluator:206] Initializing hf model,
with arguments: {'pretrained': 'meta-llama/Llama-3.2-3B', 'parallelize': True,
'trust remote code': True}
```

2025-05-09:02:01:08,099 INFO [lm_eval.models.huggingface:153] Using `accelerate launch` or `parallelize=True`, device 'cuda:0' will be overridden when placing model.

2025-05-09:02:01:10,214 INFO [lm_eval.models.huggingface:359] Model parallel was set to True, setting max memory per GPU to {0: 42027843584} and device map to auto

Fetching 2 files: 0% | | 0/2 [00:00<?, ?it/s] | Fetching 2 files: 50% | | 1/2 [00:20<00:20, 20.37s/it] | Fetching 2 files: 100% | | 2/2 [00:20<00:00, 10.18s/it]

Loading checkpoint shards: 0% | | 0/2 [00:00<?, ?it/s] | Loading checkpoint shards: 50% | | 1/2 [00:01<00:01, 1.45s/it] | Loading checkpoint shards: 100% | | 2/2 [00:01<00:00, 1.18it/s] | Loading checkpoint shards: 100% | | 2/2 [00:01<00:00, 1.07it/s]

/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/datasets/load.py:1231: FutureWarning: The repository for bigbio/pubmed_qa contains custom code which must be executed to correctly load the dataset. You can inspect the repository content at https://hf.co/datasets/bigbio/pubmed_qa

You can avoid this message in future by passing the argument `trust remote code=True`.

Passing `trust_remote_code=True` will be mandatory to load this dataset from the next major release of `datasets`.

warnings.warn(

2025-05-09:02:01:33,957 INFO [lm_eval.api.task:420] Building contexts for pubmedga on rank 0...

0%| | 0/500 [00:00<?, ?it/s]

100% | 500/500 [00:00<00:00, 79715.37it/s]

2025-05-09:02:01:34,016 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):

Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and

```
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
test) in patients versus control subjects.
Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?
Answer:
(end of prompt on previous line)
target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):
(end of target on previous line)
2025-05-09:02:01:34,016 INFO
                                 [lm eval.evaluator utils:210] Request:
Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked
by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to
demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.)
puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.',
'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control
group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba
models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal
sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary
squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between
the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or
thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in
only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically
significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control
subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in
length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different
(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS',
'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and
over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution',
'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male',
'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002',
'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes',
'final decision': 'yes', 'LONG ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography
demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the
m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients
with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic
technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'},
arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation
movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of
the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with
dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a
medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects
underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625
RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m.
puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and
straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two
groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of
```

control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' yes'), idx=0, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:02:01:34,016 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl

eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):

Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer:

(end of prompt on previous line)

target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):

(end of target on previous line)

2025-05-09:02:01:34,016 INFO [lm eval.evaluator utils:210] Request:

Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal

endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.)

puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.',

'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or

thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes', 'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' no'), idx=1, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:02:01:34,016 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line): Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography. Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal

sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary

squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer:

(end of prompt on previous line) target string or answer choice index (starting on next line): (end of target on previous line) 2025-05-09:02:01:34,016 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request: Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes', 'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic

technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with

dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' maybe'), idx=2, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc_id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:02:01:34,016 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator:517] Running loglikelihood requests Running loglikelihood requests: ^0/ I | 0/1500 [00:00<? ?i+/s]

Running loglikelihood requests:	0%1	0/1500 [00:00 , ?it/s]</th
Running loglikelihood requests:	0%1	1/1500 [00:00<17:23, 1.44it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:	2%	25/1500 [00:00<00:39,
37.12it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	3%	49/1500 [00:01<00:22,
65.20it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	5%	73/1500 [00:01<00:16,
86.73it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	6%	97/1500 [00:01<00:13,
104.06it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	8%	121/1500 [00:01<00:11,
117.11it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	10%	145/1500 [00:01<00:10,
126.84it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	11%	169/1500 [00:01<00:09,
134.80it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	13%	193/1500 [00:01<00:08,
145.68it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	14%	217/1500 [00:02<00:08,
153.97it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	16%	241/1500 [00:02<00:07,
160.41it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	18%	265/1500 [00:02<00:07,
165.70it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	19%	289/1500 [00:02<00:07,
169.64it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	21%	313/1500 [00:02<00:06,
172.68it/s]		

Running loglikelihood request 175.43it/s]	s: 22%	337/1500 [00:02<00:06,
Running loglikelihood request 177.34it/s]	s: 24%	361/1500 [00:02<00:06,
Running loglikelihood request 180.06it/s]	s: 26%	385/1500 [00:03<00:06,
Running loglikelihood request 182.06it/s]	s: 27%	409/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood request 183.73it/s]		433/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood request 185.98it/s]		457/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood request 187.75it/s]		481/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood request 189.69it/s]		505/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood request 191.19it/s]		529/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood request 193.07it/s]		553/1500 [00:03<00:04,
Running loglikelihood request 194.74it/s] Running loglikelihood request		577/1500 [00:04<00:04, 601/1500 [00:04<00:04,
196.66it/s] Running loglikelihood request		625/1500 [00:04<00:04,
198.46it/s] Running loglikelihood request		649/1500 [00:04<00:04,
199.73it/s] Running loglikelihood request		673/1500 [00:04<00:04,
200.37it/s] Running loglikelihood request		697/1500 [00:04<00:03,
201.79it/s] Running loglikelihood request		721/1500 [00:04<00:03,
203.48it/s] Running loglikelihood request		745/1500 [00:04<00:03,
204.91it/s] Running loglikelihood request		769/1500 [00:04<00:03,
205.79it/s] Running loglikelihood request	s: 53%	793/1500 [00:05<00:03,
208.96it/s] Running loglikelihood request	s: 54%	817/1500 [00:05<00:03,
212.14it/s] Running loglikelihood request	s: 56%	841/1500 [00:05<00:03,
214.31it/s] Running loglikelihood request	s: 58%	865/1500 [00:05<00:02,
216.76it/s] Running loglikelihood request 218.89it/s]	s: 59%	889/1500 [00:05<00:02,

```
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 61%|
                                             | 913/1500 [00:05<00:02,
219.63it/s]
                                            | 937/1500 [00:05<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 62%|
221.31it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                            | 961/1500 [00:05<00:02,
                                 64% I
222.65it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 66%|
                                            994/1500 [00:05<00:01,
253.12it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                            | 1033/1500 [00:06<00:02,
                                 69% l
231.22it/s]
                                 72%|
                                            | 1076/1500 [00:06<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests:
280.09it/s]
                                 74%|
                                            | 1106/1500 [00:06<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests:
231.60it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 77%|
                                            | 1153/1500 [00:06<00:01,
238.15it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 80%|
                                            | 1201/1500 [00:06<00:01,
250.20it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                           | 1249/1500 [00:06<00:00,
                                 83%|
259.37it/s]
                                           | 1297/1500 [00:07<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 86%1
267.47it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 90%|
                                           | 1345/1500 [00:07<00:00,
274.87it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 93%1
                                           | 1393/1500 [00:07<00:00,
285.53it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 96%1
                                           | 1441/1500 [00:07<00:00,
302.97it/s]
                                           | 1489/1500 [00:07<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 99%1
332.51it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests: 100%
                                           | 1500/1500 [00:07<00:00,
194.96it/s]
fatal: not a git repository (or any of the parent directories): .git
2025-05-09:02:01:45,857 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.loggers.evaluation_tracker:209] Saving
results aggregated
 No eval results *.json found in ./results/run 1 2025-05-09T02-00-47
 Run 2/5
 Run 2 completed in 38.26 seconds
STDOUT:
hf (pretrained=meta-
llama/Llama-3.2-3B,parallelize=True,trust_remote_code=True), gen_kwargs: (None),
limit: None, num_fewshot: None, batch_size: 8
| Tasks | Version|Filter|n-shot|Metric|
                                          |Value|
                                                    |Stderr|
|-----:|----:|----:|----:|----:|----:|
|pubmedga|
                1|none |
                              0|acc | 1 | 0.732| ± | 0.0198|
```

```
2025-05-09 02:01:51.911008: E
external/local_xla/xla/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_fft.cc:477] Unable to register
cuFFT factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuFFT when one has
already been registered
WARNING: All log messages before absl::InitializeLog() is called are written to
STDERR
E0000 00:00:1746756111.932779
                                16207 cuda dnn.cc:8310] Unable to register cuDNN
factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuDNN when one has already
been registered
E0000 00:00:1746756111.939192
                                16207 cuda_blas.cc:1418] Unable to register
cuBLAS factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuBLAS when one has
already been registered
2025-05-09:02:02:08,572 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:368] Passed
`--trust_remote_code`, setting environment variable
`HF_DATASETS_TRUST_REMOTE_CODE=true`
2025-05-09:02:02:08,572 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:379] Selected Tasks:
['pubmedqa']
2025-05-09:02:02:08,573 INFO
                                 [lm eval.evaluator:169] Setting random seed to
0 | Setting numpy seed to 1234 | Setting torch manual seed to 1234 | Setting
fewshot manual seed to 1234
2025-05-09:02:02:08,573 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator:206] Initializing hf model,
with arguments: {'pretrained': 'meta-llama/Llama-3.2-3B', 'parallelize': True,
'trust_remote_code': True}
2025-05-09:02:02:08,614 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.models.huggingface:153] Using
`accelerate launch` or `parallelize=True`, device 'cuda:0' will be overridden
when placing model.
2025-05-09:02:02:09,385 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.models.huggingface:359] Model parallel
was set to True, setting max memory per GPU to {0: 42027843584} and device map
to auto
                                          | 0/2 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
Loading checkpoint shards:
                             0%1
Loading checkpoint shards: 50%|
                                        | 1/2 [00:01<00:01, 1.58s/it]
Loading checkpoint shards: 100%
                                     | 2/2 [00:02<00:00, 1.08it/s]
                                     | 2/2 [00:02<00:00, 1.02s/it]
Loading checkpoint shards: 100%
/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/datasets/load.py:1231: FutureWarning:
The repository for bigbio/pubmed_qa contains custom code which must be executed
to correctly load the dataset. You can inspect the repository content at
https://hf.co/datasets/bigbio/pubmed_qa
You can avoid this message in future by passing the argument
`trust_remote_code=True`.
Passing `trust_remote_code=True` will be mandatory to load this dataset from the
next major release of `datasets`.
  warnings.warn(
2025-05-09:02:02:12,165 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.api.task:420] Building contexts for
```

STDERR:

pubmedqa on rank 0...

```
| 0/500 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
  0%1
100%|
          | 500/500 [00:00<00:00, 80163.30it/s]
2025-05-09:02:02:12,225 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl
eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5
00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):
Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The
aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal
sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using
anorectal endosonography.
Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control
group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba
models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal
sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary
squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between
the two groups.
The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining
(versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control
subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different
(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m.
puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80%
of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
test) in patients versus control subjects.
Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?
Answer:
(end of prompt on previous line)
target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):
yes
(end of target on previous line)
2025-05-09:02:02:12,225 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request:
Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked
by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to
demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.)
puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.',
'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control
group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba
models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal
sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary
squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between
the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or
thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in
only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically
significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control
subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in
```

(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS',

length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different

```
'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and
over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution',
'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male',
'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002',
'reasoning required pred': 'yes', 'reasoning free pred': 'yes',
'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography
demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the
m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients
with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic
technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'},
arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation
movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of
the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with
dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a
medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects
underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625
RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m.
puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and
straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two
groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of
control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly
different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The
m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in
80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' yes'), idx=0,
metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa',
doc_id=0, repeats=1)
2025-05-09:02:02:12,225 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl
eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5
00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):
Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The
aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal
sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using
anorectal endosonography.
Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control
group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba
models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal
sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary
squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between
the two groups.
The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining
(versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control
```

subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different

puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80%

(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m.

of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer: (end of prompt on previous line) target string or answer choice index (starting on next line): yes (end of target on previous line) 2025-05-09:02:02:12,225 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request: Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes', 'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during

straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.\nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', 'no'), idx=1, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc_id=0, repeats=1)

2025-05-09:02:02:12,225 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):

Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer:

(end of prompt on previous line)

target string or answer choice index (starting on next line): yes

(end of target on previous line)

2025-05-09:02:02:12,225 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request: Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba

'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between

```
the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or
thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in
only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically
significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control
subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in
length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different
(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS',
'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and
over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution',
'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male',
'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002',
'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes',
'final decision': 'yes', 'LONG ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography
demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the
m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients
with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic
technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'},
arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation
movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of
the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with
dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a
medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects
underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625
RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m.
puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and
straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two
groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of
control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly
different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The
m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in
80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' maybe'), idx=2,
metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered resps={}, task name='pubmedqa',
doc_id=0, repeats=1)
2025-05-09:02:02:12,225 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator:517] Running loglikelihood
requests
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  0%1
                                               | 0/1500 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  0%1
                                               | 1/1500 [00:00<17:11, 1.45it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  2%|
                                               | 25/1500 [00:00<00:39,
37.47it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  3% I
                                              | 49/1500 [00:01<00:22,
65.70it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  5% l
                                              | 73/1500 [00:01<00:16,
```

87.17it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	6%	97/1500 [00:01<00:13,
104.40it/s]		,
Running loglikelihood requests:	8%	121/1500 [00:01<00:11,
117.45it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	10%	145/1500 [00:01<00:10,
127.22it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	11%	169/1500 [00:01<00:09,
135.04it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	13%	193/1500 [00:01<00:09,
145.07it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	14%	217/1500 [00:02<00:08,
153.61it/s]	4.09/ 1	1 044 /4500 500 00 000 07
Running loglikelihood requests: 160.21it/s]	16%	241/1500 [00:02<00:07,
Running loglikelihood requests:	18%	265/1500 [00:02<00:07,
165.33it/s]	10%1	7 203/1300 [00:02\00:07,
Running loglikelihood requests:	19%	289/1500 [00:02<00:07,
169.35it/s]	10701	1 2007 1000 [00:02 (00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests:	21%	313/1500 [00:02<00:06,
172.50it/s]		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Running loglikelihood requests:	22%	337/1500 [00:02<00:06,
175.35it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	24%	361/1500 [00:02<00:06,
177.41it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	26%	385/1500 [00:03<00:06,
179.75it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	27%	409/1500 [00:03<00:06,
181.77it/s]	00%	L 400/4500 F00 00 400 05
Running loglikelihood requests:	29%	433/1500 [00:03<00:05,
183.54it/s]	30%	457/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood requests: 186.72it/s]	30%1	1 457/1500 [00.05/00.05,
Running loglikelihood requests:	32%	481/1500 [00:03<00:05,
188.69it/s]	02/01	101/1000 [00:00 (00:00)
Running loglikelihood requests:	34%	505/1500 [00:03<00:05,
190.51it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	35%	529/1500 [00:03<00:05,
192.02it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	37%	553/1500 [00:03<00:04,
193.04it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	38%	577/1500 [00:04<00:04,
194.45it/s]		• · · · · • • • · · · • • · · · · · · ·
Running loglikelihood requests:	40%	601/1500 [00:04<00:04,
196.71it/s]	400/1	L 605 /4500 -500 -04 -00 - 04
Running loglikelihood requests: 198.34it/s]	42%	625/1500 [00:04<00:04,
Running loglikelihood requests:	43%	649/1500 [00:04<00:04,
.guming rogitaetimood reduests:	±0/₀	1 049/1000 [00.04\00.04,

400 0044 / 3		
198.90it/s]	4 E 9/ I	L 672/4500 500:04×00:04
Running loglikelihood requests: 200.23it/s]	45%	673/1500 [00:04<00:04,
	469/1	1 607/1500 500.04/00.03
Running loglikelihood requests:	46%	697/1500 [00:04<00:03,
201.69it/s]	48%	L 701 /1500 500.04<00.03
Running loglikelihood requests: 203.38it/s]	40%1	721/1500 [00:04<00:03,
· -	50%	745/1500 [00:04<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requests: 203.92it/s]	50%1	1 745/1500 [00.04\00.05,
Running loglikelihood requests:	51%	769/1500 [00:04<00:03,
205.10it/s]	01/01	7 7037 1000 [00:04.00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests:	53%	793/1500 [00:05<00:03,
208.30it/s]	00/1	7 7337 1000 [00:00:00:00;
Running loglikelihood requests:	54%	817/1500 [00:05<00:03,
211.60it/s]	0 1/01	1 011/1000 [00.00 100.00]
Running loglikelihood requests:	56%	841/1500 [00:05<00:03,
213.92it/s]	00701	, 512, 2555 255555
Running loglikelihood requests:	58%	865/1500 [00:05<00:02,
216.27it/s]	/ ()	,, ,
Running loglikelihood requests:	59%	889/1500 [00:05<00:02,
218.42it/s]		,
Running loglikelihood requests:	61%	913/1500 [00:05<00:02,
219.32it/s]		,
Running loglikelihood requests:	62%	937/1500 [00:05<00:02,
220.67it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	64%	961/1500 [00:05<00:02,
221.93it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	66%	988/1500 [00:05<00:02,
235.49it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	68%	1023/1500 [00:06<00:01,
268.45it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	70%	1057/1500 [00:06<00:01,
228.68it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	73%	1096/1500 [00:06<00:01,
268.55it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	75%	1129/1500 [00:06<00:01,
230.97it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	78%	1177/1500 [00:06<00:01,
242.76it/s]		
Running loglikelihood requests:	82%	1225/1500 [00:06<00:01,
253.89it/s]	051/1	
Running loglikelihood requests:	85%	1273/1500 [00:07<00:00,
262.09it/s]	001/1	L 4004 /4500 500 05 400 00
Running loglikelihood requests:	88%	1321/1500 [00:07<00:00,
269.62it/s]	01%	L 1260/1500 [00:07×00:00
Running loglikelihood requests: 276.46it/s]	91%	1369/1500 [00:07<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests:	94%	1417/1500 [00:07<00:00,
manning rogitherinood reduests:	J461	1 1417/1000 [00:07/00:00,

```
293.16it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests: 98%|
                                         | 1465/1500 [00:07<00:00,
310.26it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests: 100%|
                                      | 1500/1500 [00:07<00:00,
194.80it/sl
fatal: not a git repository (or any of the parent directories): .git
2025-05-09:02:02:24,082 INFO
                                 [lm eval.loggers.evaluation tracker:209] Saving
results aggregated
 No eval_results_*.json found in ./results/run_2_2025-05-09T02-01-47
 Run 3/5
 Run 3 completed in 38.23 seconds
STDOUT:
hf (pretrained=meta-
llama/Llama-3.2-3B, parallelize=True, trust_remote_code=True), gen_kwargs: (None),
limit: None, num_fewshot: None, batch_size: 8
| Tasks | Version|Filter|n-shot|Metric|
                                        |Value|
|-----:|----:|----:|----:|
|pubmedga|
               1|none |
                             0|acc | 1 | 0.732 | ± | 0.0198 |
STDERR:
 2025-05-09 02:02:30.192398: E
external/local_xla/xla/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_fft.cc:477] Unable to register
cuFFT factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuFFT when one has
already been registered
WARNING: All log messages before absl::InitializeLog() is called are written to
E0000 00:00:1746756150.213914
                               16433 cuda_dnn.cc:8310] Unable to register cuDNN
factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuDNN when one has already
been registered
E0000 00:00:1746756150.220423
                               16433 cuda_blas.cc:1418] Unable to register
cuBLAS factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuBLAS when one has
already been registered
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:368] Passed
2025-05-09:02:02:46,800 INFO
`--trust remote code`, setting environment variable
`HF_DATASETS_TRUST_REMOTE_CODE=true`
2025-05-09:02:02:46,800 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:379] Selected Tasks:
['pubmedqa']
2025-05-09:02:02:46,801 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator:169] Setting random seed to
0 | Setting numpy seed to 1234 | Setting torch manual seed to 1234 | Setting
fewshot manual seed to 1234
2025-05-09:02:02:46,802 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator:206] Initializing hf model,
with arguments: {'pretrained': 'meta-llama/Llama-3.2-3B', 'parallelize': True,
'trust_remote_code': True}
2025-05-09:02:02:46,842 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.models.huggingface:153] Using
`accelerate launch` or `parallelize=True`, device 'cuda:0' will be overridden
```

when placing model.

2025-05-09:02:02:47,687 INFO [lm_eval.models.huggingface:359] Model parallel was set to True, setting max memory per GPU to {0: 42027843584} and device map to auto

Loading checkpoint shards: 0% | | 0/2 [00:00<?, ?it/s] | Loading checkpoint shards: 50% | | 1/2 [00:01<00:01, 1.43s/it] | Loading checkpoint shards: 100% | | 2/2 [00:01<00:00, 1.20it/s] | Loading checkpoint shards: 100% | | 2/2 [00:01<00:00, 1.08it/s]

/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/datasets/load.py:1231: FutureWarning: The repository for bigbio/pubmed_qa contains custom code which must be executed to correctly load the dataset. You can inspect the repository content at https://hf.co/datasets/bigbio/pubmed_qa

You can avoid this message in future by passing the argument `trust_remote_code=True`.

Passing `trust_remote_code=True` will be mandatory to load this dataset from the next major release of `datasets`.

warnings.warn(

2025-05-09:02:02:50,427 INFO [lm_eval.api.task:420] Building contexts for pubmedqa on rank 0...

0%| | 0/500 [00:00<?, ?it/s] 100%| | 500/500 [00:00<00:00, 77726.99it/s]

2025-05-09:02:02:50,488 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):

Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer:

(end of prompt on previous line)

target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):

```
yes
(end of target on previous line)
2025-05-09:02:02:50,489 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request:
Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked
by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to
demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.)
puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.',
'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control
group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba
models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal
sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary
squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between
the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or
thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in
only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically
significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control
subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in
length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different
(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS',
'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and
over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution',
'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male',
'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002',
'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes',
'final decision': 'yes', 'LONG ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography
demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the
m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients
with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic
technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'},
arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation
movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of
the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with
dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a
medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects
underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625
RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m.
puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and
straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two
groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of
control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly
different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The
m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in
80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal
```

endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' yes'), idx=0, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc_id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:02:02:50,489 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task name=pubmedqa,output type=multiple choice,num fewshot=0,num samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line): Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography. Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups. The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer: (end of prompt on previous line) target string or answer choice index (starting on next line): (end of target on previous line) 2025-05-09:02:02:50,489 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request: Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during

straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different

(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes', 'final decision': 'yes', 'LONG ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' no'), idx=1, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc_id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:02:02:50,489 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line): Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography. Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups. The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control

subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different

(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m.

puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer: (end of prompt on previous line) target string or answer choice index (starting on next line): (end of target on previous line) 2025-05-09:02:02:50,489 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request: Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes', 'final decision': 'yes', 'LONG ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two

groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' maybe'), idx=2, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc_id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:02:02:50,489 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator:517] Running loglikelihood requests 0%1 | 0/1500 [00:00<?, ?it/s] Running loglikelihood requests: | 1/1500 [00:00<17:11, 1.45it/s] Running loglikelihood requests: 0%1 Running loglikelihood requests: 2%1 | 25/1500 [00:00<00:39, 37.37it/s] Running loglikelihood requests: 3%| | 49/1500 [00:01<00:22, 65.42it/sl Running loglikelihood requests: 5% l | 73/1500 [00:01<00:16, 86.98it/s] Running loglikelihood requests: 6% l | 97/1500 [00:01<00:13, 104.34it/s] Running loglikelihood requests: 8%1 | 121/1500 [00:01<00:11, 117.66it/s] Running loglikelihood requests: 10%| | 145/1500 [00:01<00:10, 127.60it/s] Running loglikelihood requests: | 169/1500 [00:01<00:09, 11%| 135.01it/s] Running loglikelihood requests: 13%| | 193/1500 [00:01<00:08, 145.80it/s] | 217/1500 [00:02<00:08, Running loglikelihood requests: 14%| 154.14it/s] Running loglikelihood requests: | 241/1500 [00:02<00:07, 16%| 160.72it/s] Running loglikelihood requests: | 265/1500 [00:02<00:07, 18%| 165.74it/s] Running loglikelihood requests: | 289/1500 [00:02<00:07, 19%| 169.49it/s] Running loglikelihood requests: 21%| | 313/1500 [00:02<00:06, 172.68it/s] Running loglikelihood requests: 22%| | 337/1500 [00:02<00:06, 175.09it/s] | 361/1500 [00:02<00:06, Running loglikelihood requests: 24%| 177.16it/s] Running loglikelihood requests: 26%1 | 385/1500 [00:03<00:06, 179.05it/s]

Running loglikelihood 181.47it/s]	requests:	27%	409/1500 [00:03<00:06,
Running loglikelihood 182.84it/s]	requests:	29%	433/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood 186.22it/s]	requests:	30%	457/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood 189.04it/s]	requests:	32%	481/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood 191.38it/s]	requests:	34%	505/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood 193.07it/s]	requests:	35%	529/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood 194.53it/s]	_	37%	553/1500 [00:03<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 195.96it/s]	-	38%	577/1500 [00:04<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 198.00it/s]	-	40%	601/1500 [00:04<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 199.68it/s]	_	42%	625/1500 [00:04<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 200.87it/s]	_	43%	649/1500 [00:04<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 201.95it/s]	_	45%	673/1500 [00:04<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 202.58it/s]	_	46%	697/1500 [00:04<00:03,
Running loglikelihood 204.05it/s]	_	48% 50%	721/1500 [00:04<00:03, 745/1500 [00:04<00:03,
Running loglikelihood 205.34it/s] Running loglikelihood	_	51%	745/1500 [00:04<00:03,
206.12it/s] Running loglikelihood	_	53%	793/1500 [00:04<00:03,
209.22it/s] Running loglikelihood	_	54%	817/1500 [00:05<00:03,
212.16it/s] Running loglikelihood	_	56%	841/1500 [00:05<00:03,
214.01it/s] Running loglikelihood	_	58%	865/1500 [00:05<00:02,
216.46it/s] Running loglikelihood	_	59%	889/1500 [00:05<00:02,
218.52it/s] Running loglikelihood	-	61%	913/1500 [00:05<00:02,
219.44it/s] Running loglikelihood	_	62%	937/1500 [00:05<00:02,
220.80it/s] Running loglikelihood	_	64%	961/1500 [00:05<00:02,
222.02it/s]		/ 0 1	, 552, 2555 [55.00.00.02,

```
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 66%|
                                            | 993/1500 [00:05<00:02,
249.75it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 68%|
                                            | 1025/1500 [00:06<00:01,
270.00it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                            | 1057/1500 [00:06<00:01,
                                 70%1
225.08it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 74%|
                                           | 1103/1500 [00:06<00:01,
283.32it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                           | 1134/1500 [00:06<00:01,
                                 76%|
236.30it/s]
                                 78%|
                                           | 1177/1500 [00:06<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests:
237.16it/s]
                                           | 1225/1500 [00:06<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 82%|
250.03it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 85%|
                                           | 1273/1500 [00:07<00:00,
260.53it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 88%|
                                           | 1321/1500 [00:07<00:00,
269.26it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                          | 1369/1500 [00:07<00:00,
                                 91%|
276.02it/s]
                                          | 1417/1500 [00:07<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 94%|
292.95it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 98%|
                                          | 1465/1500 [00:07<00:00,
309.36it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests: 100%
                                          | 1500/1500 [00:07<00:00,
195.15it/s]
fatal: not a git repository (or any of the parent directories): .git
2025-05-09:02:03:02,353 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.loggers.evaluation_tracker:209] Saving
results aggregated
 No eval results *.json found in ./results/run_3_2025-05-09T02-02-25
 Run 4/5
 Run 4 completed in 38.42 seconds
STDOUT:
hf (pretrained=meta-
llama/Llama-3.2-3B,parallelize=True,trust_remote_code=True), gen_kwargs: (None),
limit: None, num_fewshot: None, batch_size: 8
| Tasks | Version|Filter|n-shot|Metric|
                                        |Value|
|----:|---:|----:|----:|----:|----:|
                1|none |
                              0|acc | 1 | 0.732| ± | 0.0198|
|pubmedqa|
STDERR:
 2025-05-09 02:03:08.365706: E
external/local_xla/xla/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_fft.cc:477] Unable to register
cuFFT factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuFFT when one has
already been registered
```

```
WARNING: All log messages before absl::InitializeLog() is called are written to
STDERR
E0000 00:00:1746756188.386815
                                16661 cuda_dnn.cc:8310] Unable to register cuDNN
factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuDNN when one has already
been registered
E0000 00:00:1746756188.393108
                                16661 cuda blas.cc:1418] Unable to register
cuBLAS factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuBLAS when one has
already been registered
2025-05-09:02:03:25,131 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:368] Passed
`--trust_remote_code`, setting environment variable
`HF_DATASETS_TRUST_REMOTE_CODE=true`
2025-05-09:02:03:25,132 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:379] Selected Tasks:
['pubmedqa']
                                 [lm eval.evaluator:169] Setting random seed to
2025-05-09:02:03:25,133 INFO
0 | Setting numpy seed to 1234 | Setting torch manual seed to 1234 | Setting
fewshot manual seed to 1234
2025-05-09:02:03:25,133 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator:206] Initializing hf model,
with arguments: {'pretrained': 'meta-llama/Llama-3.2-3B', 'parallelize': True,
'trust_remote_code': True}
2025-05-09:02:03:25,173 INFO
                                 [lm eval.models.huggingface:153] Using
`accelerate launch` or `parallelize=True`, device 'cuda:0' will be overridden
when placing model.
2025-05-09:02:03:26,005 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.models.huggingface:359] Model parallel
was set to True, setting max memory per GPU to {0: 42027843584} and device map
to auto
                                          | 0/2 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
Loading checkpoint shards:
                             0%1
                                        | 1/2 [00:01<00:01, 1.43s/it]
Loading checkpoint shards: 50%|
                                     | 2/2 [00:01<00:00, 1.20it/s]
Loading checkpoint shards: 100%|
Loading checkpoint shards: 100%|
                                     | 2/2 [00:01<00:00, 1.08it/s]
/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/datasets/load.py:1231: FutureWarning:
The repository for bigbio/pubmed_qa contains custom code which must be executed
to correctly load the dataset. You can inspect the repository content at
https://hf.co/datasets/bigbio/pubmed_qa
You can avoid this message in future by passing the argument
`trust_remote_code=True`.
Passing `trust remote code=True` will be mandatory to load this dataset from the
next major release of `datasets`.
 warnings.warn(
                                 [lm_eval.api.task:420] Building contexts for
2025-05-09:02:03:28,707 INFO
pubmedqa on rank 0...
  0%1
               | 0/500 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
          | 500/500 [00:00<00:00, 80830.68it/s]
100%
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl
2025-05-09:02:03:28,768 INFO
eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5
00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):
Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The
```

aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer:

(end of prompt on previous line)

target string or answer choice index (starting on next line): yes

(end of target on previous line)

2025-05-09:02:03:28,768 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request:

Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.',

'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution',

'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male',

'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002',

'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes',

'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography

demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' yes'), idx=0, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc id=0, repeats=1)

2025-05-09:02:03:28,768 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):

Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer:

(end of prompt on previous line)

```
target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):
yes
(end of target on previous line)
2025-05-09:02:03:28,768 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request:
Instance(request type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked
by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to
demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.)
puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.',
'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control
group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba
models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal
sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary
squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between
the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or
thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in
only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically
significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control
subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in
length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different
(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS',
'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and
over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution',
'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male',
'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002',
'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes',
'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography
demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the
m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients
with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic
technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'},
arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation
movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of
the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with
dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a
medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects
underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625
RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m.
puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and
straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two
groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of
control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly
different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The
m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in
80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
```

```
test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' no'), idx=1,
metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered resps={}, task name='pubmedqa',
doc id=0, repeats=1)
2025-05-09:02:03:28,768 INFO
                                 [lm eval.evaluator utils:206] Task: Configurabl
eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5
00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):
Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The
aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal
sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using
anorectal endosonography.
Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control
group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba
models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal
sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary
squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between
the two groups.
The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining
(versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control
subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different
(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m.
puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80%
of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
test) in patients versus control subjects.
Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?
Answer:
(end of prompt on previous line)
target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):
yes
(end of target on previous line)
2025-05-09:02:03:28,768 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request:
Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked
by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to
demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.)
puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.',
'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control
group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba
models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal
sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary
squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between
the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or
thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in
only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically
significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control
subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
```

straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in

```
length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different
(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS',
'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and
over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution',
'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male',
'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002',
'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes',
'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography
demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the
m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients
with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic
technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'},
arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation
movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of
the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with
dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a
medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects
underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625
RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m.
puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and
straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two
groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of
control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly
different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The
m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in
80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', 'maybe'), idx=2,
metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa',
doc_id=0, repeats=1)
2025-05-09:02:03:28,768 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator:517] Running loglikelihood
requests
                                               | 0/1500 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  0%1
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  0%1
                                               | 1/1500 [00:00<17:45, 1.41it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  2%1
                                               | 25/1500 [00:00<00:40,
36.54it/s]
                                               | 49/1500 [00:01<00:22,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  3%1
64.46it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  5%|
                                               | 73/1500 [00:01<00:16,
85.89it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  6%1
                                               | 97/1500 [00:01<00:13,
103.22it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  8%1
                                               | 121/1500 [00:01<00:11,
116.45it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 10%|
                                               | 145/1500 [00:01<00:10,
```

106 20:+/al			
126.39it/s] Running loglikelihood n	requests:	11%	169/1500 [00:01<00:09,
134.05it/s] Running loglikelihood	requests:	13%	193/1500 [00:01<00:09,
145.00it/s]	1	//1	,,,
Running loglikelihood 1 153.51it/s]	requests:	14%	217/1500 [00:02<00:08,
Running loglikelihood 1 160.14it/s]	requests:	16%	241/1500 [00:02<00:07,
Running loglikelihood 1 165.35it/s]	requests:	18%	265/1500 [00:02<00:07,
Running loglikelihood 1 169.33it/s]	requests:	19%	289/1500 [00:02<00:07,
Running loglikelihood 172.39it/s]	requests:	21%	313/1500 [00:02<00:06,
Running loglikelihood 1 175.45it/s]	requests:	22%	337/1500 [00:02<00:06,
Running loglikelihood 1 177.19it/s]	requests:	24%	361/1500 [00:02<00:06,
Running loglikelihood	requests:	26%	385/1500 [00:03<00:06,
179.32it/s] Running loglikelihood 1	radilasts	27%	409/1500 [00:03<00:06,
180.18it/s]	requests.	21/01	1 403/1000 [00.03000.00,
Running loglikelihood 1181.85it/s]	requests:	29%	433/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood	requests:	30%	457/1500 [00:03<00:05,
185.21it/s] Running loglikelihood 1	requests:	32%	481/1500 [00:03<00:05,
187.83it/s] Running loglikelihood 1	requests:	34%	505/1500 [00:03<00:05,
190.46it/s] Running loglikelihood 1	requests:	35%	529/1500 [00:03<00:05,
192.41it/s]	_		·
Running loglikelihood 1 194.06it/s]	requests:	37%	553/1500 [00:03<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 1 195.57it/s]	requests:	38%	577/1500 [00:04<00:04,
Running loglikelihood 1 197.44it/s]	requests:	40%	601/1500 [00:04<00:04,
Running loglikelihood	requests:	42%	625/1500 [00:04<00:04,
199.14it/s] Running loglikelihood 1	requests:	43%	649/1500 [00:04<00:04,
200.35it/s] Running loglikelihood n	requests:	45%	673/1500 [00:04<00:04,
201.61it/s]	_	4691	
Running loglikelihood 1 202.35it/s]	requests:	46%	697/1500 [00:04<00:03,
Running loglikelihood	requests:	48%	721/1500 [00:04<00:03,

```
203.60it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  50%|
                                              | 745/1500 [00:04<00:03,
205.06it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  51%|
                                              | 769/1500 [00:04<00:03,
206.14it/sl
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                              | 793/1500 [00:05<00:03,
                                  53%|
208.80it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  54%1
                                              | 817/1500 [00:05<00:03,
211.01it/s]
                                              | 841/1500 [00:05<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  56%1
212.98it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                              | 865/1500 [00:05<00:02,
                                  58%|
215.37it/s]
                                              | 889/1500 [00:05<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  59%|
216.65it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  61%1
                                              | 913/1500 [00:05<00:02,
218.21it/s]
                                             | 937/1500 [00:05<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  62%1
220.00it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  64% l
                                             | 961/1500 [00:05<00:02,
220.73it/s]
                                             995/1500 [00:05<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  66%|
254.41it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  69%|
                                             | 1028/1500 [00:06<00:01,
276.05it/s]
                                             | 1057/1500 [00:06<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  70%1
220.94it/s]
                                             | 1098/1500 [00:06<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  73%1
267.57it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  75%|
                                             | 1129/1500 [00:06<00:01,
227.37it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  78%|
                                             | 1177/1500 [00:06<00:01,
240.23it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  82%|
                                            | 1225/1500 [00:06<00:01,
251.87it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  85%|
                                            | 1273/1500 [00:07<00:00,
261.08it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  88%1
                                            | 1321/1500 [00:07<00:00,
269.37it/s]
                                            | 1369/1500 [00:07<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  91%|
276.33it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                            | 1417/1500 [00:07<00:00,
                                  94%|
293.13it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                            | 1465/1500 [00:07<00:00,
                                  98%1
310.31it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests: 100%
                                            | 1500/1500 [00:07<00:00,
194.14it/s]
fatal: not a git repository (or any of the parent directories): .git
```

```
[lm_eval.loggers.evaluation_tracker:209] Saving
2025-05-09:02:03:40,642 INFO
results aggregated
 No eval_results_*.json found in ./results/run_4_2025-05-09T02-03-04
 Run 5/5
 Run 5 completed in 38.33 seconds
STDOUT:
hf (pretrained=meta-
llama/Llama-3.2-3B,parallelize=True,trust_remote_code=True), gen_kwargs: (None),
limit: None, num_fewshot: None, batch_size: 8
| Tasks | Version|Filter|n-shot|Metric| | Value|
|-----:|----:|----:|----:|
               1|none |
pubmedgal
                             0|acc | 1 | 0.732| ± | 0.0198|
STDERR:
 2025-05-09 02:03:46.917891: E
external/local_xla/xla/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_fft.cc:477] Unable to register
cuFFT factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuFFT when one has
already been registered
WARNING: All log messages before absl::InitializeLog() is called are written to
E0000 00:00:1746756226.939653
                               16887 cuda_dnn.cc:8310] Unable to register cuDNN
factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuDNN when one has already
been registered
E0000 00:00:1746756226.946178
                               16887 cuda_blas.cc:1418] Unable to register
cuBLAS factory: Attempting to register factory for plugin cuBLAS when one has
already been registered
2025-05-09:02:04:03,786 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:368] Passed
`--trust_remote_code`, setting environment variable
`HF_DATASETS_TRUST_REMOTE_CODE=true`
2025-05-09:02:04:03,786 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.__main__:379] Selected Tasks:
['pubmedqa']
2025-05-09:02:04:03,788 INFO
                                 [lm eval.evaluator:169] Setting random seed to
0 | Setting numpy seed to 1234 | Setting torch manual seed to 1234 | Setting
fewshot manual seed to 1234
2025-05-09:02:04:03,788 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator:206] Initializing hf model,
with arguments: {'pretrained': 'meta-llama/Llama-3.2-3B', 'parallelize': True,
'trust_remote_code': True}
2025-05-09:02:04:03,828 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.models.huggingface:153] Using
`accelerate launch` or `parallelize=True`, device 'cuda:0' will be overridden
when placing model.
2025-05-09:02:04:04,617 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.models.huggingface:359] Model parallel
was set to True, setting max memory per GPU to {0: 42027843584} and device map
to auto
```

| 0/2 [00:00<?, ?it/s]

0%1

Loading checkpoint shards:

```
Loading checkpoint shards: 50%|
                                        | 1/2 [00:01<00:01, 1.43s/it]
                                     | 2/2 [00:01<00:00, 1.20it/s]
Loading checkpoint shards: 100%|
Loading checkpoint shards: 100%|
                                     | 2/2 [00:01<00:00, 1.08it/s]
/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/datasets/load.py:1231: FutureWarning:
The repository for bigbio/pubmed qa contains custom code which must be executed
to correctly load the dataset. You can inspect the repository content at
https://hf.co/datasets/bigbio/pubmed qa
You can avoid this message in future by passing the argument
`trust remote code=True`.
Passing `trust_remote_code=True` will be mandatory to load this dataset from the
next major release of `datasets`.
  warnings.warn(
2025-05-09:02:04:07,208 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.api.task:420] Building contexts for
pubmedqa on rank 0...
  0%1
               | 0/500 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
100%|
          | 500/500 [00:00<00:00, 79446.60it/s]
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl
2025-05-09:02:04:07,266 INFO
eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5
00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):
Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The
aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal
sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using
anorectal endosonography.
Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control
group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba
models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal
sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary
squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between
the two groups.
The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining
(versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control
subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different
(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m.
puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80%
of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
test) in patients versus control subjects.
Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?
Answer:
(end of prompt on previous line)
target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):
(end of target on previous line)
2025-05-09:02:04:07,266 INFO
                                 [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request:
Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked
```

by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to

demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002', 'reasoning required pred': 'yes', 'reasoning free pred': 'yes', 'final decision': 'yes', 'LONG ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' yes'), idx=0, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered resps={}, task name='pubmedqa', doc_id=0, repeats=1) 2025-05-09:02:04:07,266 INFO [lm eval.evaluator utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):

Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer:

(end of prompt on previous line)
target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):
yes

(end of target on previous line)

2025-05-09:02:04:07,266 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:210] Request: Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.', 'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS', 'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution', 'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male', 'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002',

'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes',

'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'}, arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', ' no'), idx=1, metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa', doc_id=0, repeats=1)

2025-05-09:02:04:07,266 INFO [lm_eval.evaluator_utils:206] Task: Configurabl eTask(task_name=pubmedqa,output_type=multiple_choice,num_fewshot=0,num_samples=5 00); document 0; context prompt (starting on next line):

Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.

Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two groups.

The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.

Question: Is anorectal endosonography valuable in dyschesia? Answer:

```
(end of prompt on previous line)
target string or answer choice index (starting on next line):
yes
(end of target on previous line)
2025-05-09:02:04:07,266 INFO
                                 [lm eval.evaluator utils:210] Request:
Instance(request_type='loglikelihood', doc={'QUESTION': 'Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?', 'CONTEXTS': ['Dyschesia can be provoked
by inappropriate defecation movements. The aim of this prospective study was to
demonstrate dysfunction of the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.)
puborectalis in patients with dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.',
'Twenty consecutive patients with a medical history of dyschesia and a control
group of 20 healthy subjects underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba
models IUV 5060 and PVL-625 RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal
sphincter and the m. puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary
squeezing and straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between
the two groups.', 'The anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or
thicker during straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in
only 35% of control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically
significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control
subjects. The m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining in 80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in
length and thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different
(p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients versus control subjects.'], 'LABELS': ['AIMS',
'METHODS', 'RESULTS'], 'MESHES': ['Adolescent', 'Adult', 'Aged', 'Aged, 80 and
over', 'Anal Canal', 'Case-Control Studies', 'Chi-Square Distribution',
'Constipation', 'Defecation', 'Endosonography', 'Female', 'Humans', 'Male',
'Middle Aged', 'Pelvic Floor', 'Rectum'], 'YEAR': '2002',
'reasoning_required_pred': 'yes', 'reasoning_free_pred': 'yes',
'final_decision': 'yes', 'LONG_ANSWER': 'Linear anorectal endosonography
demonstrated incomplete or even absent relaxation of the anal sphincter and the
m. puborectalis during a defecation movement in the majority of our patients
with dyschesia. This study highlights the value of this elegant ultrasonographic
technique in the diagnosis of "pelvic floor dyssynergia" or "anismus".'},
arguments=('Abstract: Dyschesia can be provoked by inappropriate defecation
movements. The aim of this prospective study was to demonstrate dysfunction of
the anal sphincter and/or the musculus (m.) puborectalis in patients with
dyschesia using anorectal endosonography.\nTwenty consecutive patients with a
medical history of dyschesia and a control group of 20 healthy subjects
underwent linear anorectal endosonography (Toshiba models IUV 5060 and PVL-625
RT). In both groups, the dimensions of the anal sphincter and the m.
puborectalis were measured at rest, and during voluntary squeezing and
straining. Statistical analysis was performed within and between the two
groups.\nThe anal sphincter became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during
straining (versus the resting state) in 85% of patients but in only 35% of
control subjects. Changes in sphincter length were statistically significantly
different (p<0.01, chi(2) test) in patients compared with control subjects. The
m. puborectalis became paradoxically shorter and/or thicker during straining in
80% of patients but in only 30% of controls. Both the changes in length and
```

```
thickness of the m. puborectalis were significantly different (p<0.01, chi(2)
test) in patients versus control subjects. \nQuestion: Is anorectal
endosonography valuable in dyschesia?\nAnswer:', 'maybe'), idx=2,
metadata=('pubmedqa', 0, 1), resps=[], filtered_resps={}, task_name='pubmedqa',
doc id=0, repeats=1)
2025-05-09:02:04:07,266 INFO
                                  [lm_eval.evaluator:517] Running loglikelihood
requests
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                   0%|
                                                | 0/1500 [00:00<?, ?it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                   0%1
                                                | 1/1500 [00:00<16:59, 1.47it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                   2%|
                                                | 25/1500 [00:00<00:39,
37.80it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                   3%1
                                                | 49/1500 [00:01<00:21,
65.99it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                   5%1
                                                | 73/1500 [00:01<00:16,
87.39it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                   6%1
                                                | 97/1500 [00:01<00:13,
104.49it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                   8%1
                                                | 121/1500 [00:01<00:11,
117.43it/sl
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  10%|
                                                | 145/1500 [00:01<00:10,
126.98it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  11%|
                                               | 169/1500 [00:01<00:09,
134.56it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  13%|
                                               | 193/1500 [00:01<00:08,
145.34it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  14%|
                                               | 217/1500 [00:02<00:08,
153.88it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  16%|
                                               | 241/1500 [00:02<00:07,
160.59it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  18%|
                                               | 265/1500 [00:02<00:07,
165.62it/s]
                                               | 289/1500 [00:02<00:07,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  19%|
169.54it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                               | 313/1500 [00:02<00:06,
                                  21%|
172.59it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                               | 337/1500 [00:02<00:06,
                                  22%|
175.00it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                               | 361/1500 [00:02<00:06,
                                  24%|
177.34it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  26%|
                                               | 385/1500 [00:03<00:06,
179.09it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  27%|
                                               | 409/1500 [00:03<00:06,
181.39it/s]
                                               | 433/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  29%1
183.16it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  30%1
                                               | 457/1500 [00:03<00:05,
185.98it/s]
```

Running loglikelihood requ	ests: 32%	481/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood requ	ests: 34%	505/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood requi	ests: 35%	529/1500 [00:03<00:05,
Running loglikelihood requ	ests: 37%	553/1500 [00:03<00:04,
Running loglikelihood requ	ests: 38%	577/1500 [00:04<00:04,
Running loglikelihood requipments 198.05it/s]	ests: 40%	601/1500 [00:04<00:04,
Running loglikelihood requipments 199.73it/s]	ests: 42%	625/1500 [00:04<00:04,
Running loglikelihood requipments 200.89it/s]	ests: 43%	649/1500 [00:04<00:04,
Running loglikelihood requipments 201.73it/s]	lests: 45%	673/1500 [00:04<00:04,
Running loglikelihood requies 202.53it/s]	ests: 46%	697/1500 [00:04<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requience 203.95it/s]	lests: 48%	721/1500 [00:04<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requies 205.25it/s]	lests: 50%	745/1500 [00:04<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requipment 206.13it/s]	ests: 51%	769/1500 [00:04<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requience 209.04it/s]	lests: 53%	793/1500 [00:05<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requestion 212.12it/s]	lests: 54%	817/1500 [00:05<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requestated 214.20it/s]	lests: 56%	841/1500 [00:05<00:03,
Running loglikelihood requestion 215.75it/s]	lests: 58%	865/1500 [00:05<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requestigned 218.09it/s]		889/1500 [00:05<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requestigned 219.04it/s]		913/1500 [00:05<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requipments 220.82it/s]		937/1500 [00:05<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requience 222.20it/s]		961/1500 [00:05<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requ 244.26it/s]		991/1500 [00:05<00:02,
Running loglikelihood requ 274.76it/s]		1026/1500 [00:06<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requ 225.82it/s]	lests: 70%	1057/1500 [00:06<00:01,

```
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 74%|
                                            | 1104/1500 [00:06<00:01,
286.43it/s]
                                            | 1136/1500 [00:06<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  76%|
240.04it/s]
                                            | 1177/1500 [00:06<00:01,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  78%1
235.92it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                 82%|
                                            | 1225/1500 [00:06<00:01,
248.70it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  85%|
                                            | 1273/1500 [00:07<00:00,
259.46it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  88%|
                                            | 1321/1500 [00:07<00:00,
268.42it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  91%|
                                           | 1369/1500 [00:07<00:00,
275.30it/s]
                                           | 1417/1500 [00:07<00:00,
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  94%|
292.40it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests:
                                  98%|
                                           | 1465/1500 [00:07<00:00,
309.95it/s]
Running loglikelihood requests: 100%|
                                           | 1500/1500 [00:07<00:00,
195.31it/s]
fatal: not a git repository (or any of the parent directories): .git
2025-05-09:02:04:19,067 INFO
                                  [lm eval.loggers.evaluation tracker:209] Saving
results aggregated
```

No eval_results_*.json found in ./results/run_5_2025-05-09T02-03-42 <IPython.core.display.HTML object>

<IPython.core.display.HTML object>

<IPython.core.display.HTML object>