

# CARPS Reproducibility Report

## Contents

Step 1: Load packages . . . . .	1
Step 2: Load data . . . . .	2
Step 3: Tidy data . . . . .	2
Step 4: Run analysis . . . . .	2
Step 5: Conclusion . . . . .	8

**Article ID:** CARPS\_3-4-2015\_PS

**Pilot 1:** Erik Santoro

**Co-pilot:** Tysen Dauer & Jaclyn Schwartz

**Start date:** 10/31/2017

**End date:** [Insert end date - use US format]

---

### Methods summary:

[Write a brief summary of the methods underlying the target outcomes written in your own words]

---

### Target outcomes:

[Insert the target outcomes identified in targetOutcomes.md]

---

[The chunk below sets up some formatting options for the R Markdown document]

## Step 1: Load packages

[Some useful packages are being loaded below. You can add any additional ones you might need too.]

```
library(tidyverse) # for data munging
library(knitr)     # for kable table formatting
library(haven)     # import and export 'SPSS', 'Stata' and 'SAS' Files
library(readxl)    # import excel files
library(CARPSreports) # custom report functions
```

```
library(ez) # for repeated ANOVAs
library(effsize) #for effect size
library(compute.es) #for effect size
library(lsr) #for partial eta squared
```

## Step 2: Load data

```
d <- read.table("data/Bogus visual feedback alters movement_Data.tab", header=TRUE)
```

## Step 3: Tidy data

I tidied the data in two ways. First, I gathered by condition. However, when I ran the repeated measures of variance, the F stat and degrees of freedom were different (46 vs. 94). And so I tested another method – I made each direction of rotation per participant per condition a separate trial. This gave a slightly higher F stat, but still wrong. I have commented out this second version.

```
d.tidy.1 <- d %>%  
  gather(condition, rangeofmotion, starts_with("condition")) #the value various condition columns contain  
  
d.tidy.1$Participant <- as.factor(d.tidy.1$Participant)  
d.tidy.1$condition <- as.factor(d.tidy.1$condition)  
  
#[For reference] Tidy 2 -- testing hypothesis of looking at participant per direction as a separate tri  
  
# d.tidy.2 <- d %>%  
#   mutate(trial = Participant * DirectionofRotation) %>% # creates a separate row at a participation *  
#   select(-Participant, -DirectionofRotation) %>%  
#   gather(condition, rangeofmotion, starts_with("condition"))  
#  
# d.tidy.2$trial <- as.factor(d.tidy.2$trial)  
# d.tidy.2$condition <- as.factor(d.tidy.2$condition)
```

## Step 4: Run analysis

### Pre-processing

- 1) I want to create a tidy table that groups by participant and averages across direction of rotation (e.g. left or right).

```
d.comparison <- d %>%  
  group_by(Participant) %>%  
  summarise(meancondition1_gain0.8 = mean(Condition1_Gain0.8),  
            meancondition2_gain1 = mean(Condition2_Gain1),  
            meancondition3_gain1.2 = mean(Condition3_Gain1.2)  
            )
```

### Descriptive statistics

- 1) I want to find the means per condition.

```
mean0.8 <- mean(d.comparison$meancondition1_gain0.8)  
mean1 <- mean(d.comparison$meancondition2_gain1)  
mean1.2 <- mean(d.comparison$meancondition3_gain1.2)
```

- 2) I want to find the standard deviations

```
sd0.8 <- sd(d.comparison$meancondition1_gain0.8)
sd1 <- sd(d.comparison$meancondition2_gain1)
sd1.2 <- sd(d.comparison$meancondition3_gain1.2)

sdpool0.8v1 <- sqrt((sd0.8**2 + sd1**2)/2)

sdpool1v1.2 <- sqrt((sd1.2**2 + sd1**2)/2)
```

## Inferential statistics

First, I attempt to re-create the repeated measures anova. We find that the F statistic had a major numerical error, but the p values matched (note both were  $p < 0.001$ , and noted as  $p = 0.001$ ). In addition, please note that there were 94 degrees of freedom listed in the original paper, but only 46 here. Finally, I could not figure out how to calculate partial eta squared after ~30 minutes of searching.

Original Text: “The repeated measures ANOVA revealed a large overall effect of visual-proprioceptive feedback (condition) on pain-free range of motion  $F(2, 94) = 18.9$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $\eta^2 = 0.29$ .”

```
modANOVA.1 <- ezANOVA(data = d.tidy.1,
  dv = rangeofmotion,
  wid = Participant,
  within = .(condition),
  within_full = DirectionofRotation,
  detailed = TRUE,
  return_aov = TRUE) #returns aov object, which is useful for calculating partial eta s

print(modANOVA.1)
```

```
## $ANOVA
##      Effect DFn DFd      SSn      SSd      F      p p<.05
## 1 (Intercept)  1  23 71.8400889 0.1033778 15983.33878 3.366327e-34 *
## 2 condition    2  46  0.2160528 0.3567806   13.92793 1.864561e-05 *
##      ges
## 1 0.9936355
## 2 0.3195049
##
## $`Mauchly's Test for Sphericity`
##      Effect      W      p p<.05
## 2 condition 0.4933248 0.0004211748 *
##
## $`Sphericity Corrections`
##      Effect      GGe      p[GG] p[GG]<.05      HFe      p[HF]
## 2 condition 0.6637131 0.0002853589 * 0.6888482 0.0002324356
##      p[HF]<.05
## 2 *
##
## $aov
##
## Call:
## aov(formula = formula(aov_formula), data = data)
##
## Grand Mean: 0.9988889
##
## Stratum 1: Participant
```

```
##
## Terms:
##              Residuals
## Sum of Squares 0.1033778
## Deg. of Freedom      23
##
## Residual standard error: 0.06704242
##
## Stratum 2: Participant:condition
##
## Terms:
##              condition Residuals
## Sum of Squares 0.2160528 0.3567806
## Deg. of Freedom      2      46
##
## Residual standard error: 0.08806872
## Estimated effects may be unbalanced

#Note on within_full: this so the condition data is collapsed to mean of DirectionofRotation; becuae o

# #Version 2
#
# modANOVA.2 <- ezANOVA(data = d.tidy.2,
#                       dv = rangeofmotion,
#                       wid = trial,
#                       within = .(condition),
#                       detailed = TRUE)
#
# print(modANOVA.2)

#demoAnova <- ezANOVA(myData, # specify data frame
#                      dv = RT, # specify dependent variable
#                      wid = subject, # specify the subject variable
#                      within = .(block, check), # specify within-subject variables
#                      detailed = TRUE # get a detailed table that includes SS
#                      )

#Repeated ANOVA in R: http://sherifsoliman.com/2014/12/10/ANOVA\_in\_R/ ; https://www.r-statistics.com/2014/12/10/ANOVA-in-R/

repanova.fstat.comp <- compareValues(reportedValue = 18.9, obtainedValue = 13.92793)

repanova.pval.comp <- compareValues(reportedValue = .001, obtainedValue = .001, isP=T)

repanova.fstat.comp

## [1] "MAJOR NUMERICAL ERROR. The reported value (18.9) and the obtained value (13.9) differed by 26.4%

repanova.pval.comp

## [1] "MATCH. The reported value (0.001) and the obtained value (0.001) differed by 0%. NB obtained va
```

- 2) Second, I look at all pairwise compairsons. Since the methodology was not mentioned (e.g. either F-statistic comparisons or t tests), I used t-tests. All were the same, e.g. ; < 0.01.

Original text: "All pairwise comparisons were significant ( $p < .01$ )."

```
#T-test by condition
```

```
ttest_0.8v1 <- t.test(d.comparison$meancondition1_gain0.8, d.comparison$meancondition2_gain1, paired = TRUE)
ttest_0.8v1.2 <- t.test(d.comparison$meancondition1_gain0.8, d.comparison$meancondition3_gain1.2, paired = TRUE)
ttest_1.2v1 <- t.test(d.comparison$meancondition2_gain1, d.comparison$meancondition3_gain1.2, paired = TRUE)
ttest_0.8v1
```

```
##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: d.comparison$meancondition1_gain0.8 and d.comparison$meancondition2_gain1
## t = 3.1073, df = 23, p-value = 0.004961
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.02186668 0.10896665
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
## 0.06541667
```

```
ttest_0.8v1.2
```

```
##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: d.comparison$meancondition1_gain0.8 and d.comparison$meancondition3_gain1.2
## t = 4.0354, df = 23, p-value = 0.0005152
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.06538871 0.20294462
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
## 0.1341667
```

```
ttest_1.2v1
```

```
##
## Paired t-test
##
## data: d.comparison$meancondition2_gain1 and d.comparison$meancondition3_gain1.2
## t = 3.4794, df = 23, p-value = 0.002027
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.02787523 0.10962477
## sample estimates:
## mean of the differences
## 0.06875
```

```
pairwise.1 <- compareValues(reportedValue = .01, obtainedValue = .01, isP=T)
```

```
pairwise.2 <- compareValues(reportedValue = .01, obtainedValue = .01, isP=T)
```

```
pairwise.3 <- compareValues(reportedValue = .01, obtainedValue = .01, isP=T)
```

```
pairwise.1
```

```
## [1] "MATCH. The reported value (0.01) and the obtained value (0.01) differed by 0%. NB obtained value  
pairwise.2
```

```
## [1] "MATCH. The reported value (0.01) and the obtained value (0.01) differed by 0%. NB obtained value  
pairwise.3
```

```
## [1] "MATCH. The reported value (0.01) and the obtained value (0.01) differed by 0%. NB obtained value
```

3) Third, I compare effect sizes and related p values. The effect sizes and associated p values were all wrong.

Original Effect: “As shown in Figure 3, when vision understated true rotation, pain-free range of motion was increased, and this was a medium-sized effect,  $p = .006$ ,  $d = 0.67$ ; when vision overstated true rotation, pain-free range of motion was decreased, and this was a large effect,  $p = .001$ ,  $d = 0.80$ .”

```
d0.8v1 <- (mean0.8 - mean1) / sdpool0.8v1
```

```
d1v1.2 <- (mean1.2 - mean1) / sdpool1v1.2
```

```
print(d0.8v1) #when vision understated motion, hence range of motion increased
```

```
## [1] 0.8970123
```

```
ttest_0.8v1
```

```
##
```

```
## Paired t-test
```

```
##
```

```
## data: d.comparison$meancondition1_gain0.8 and d.comparison$meancondition2_gain1
```

```
## t = 3.1073, df = 23, p-value = 0.004961
```

```
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
```

```
## 95 percent confidence interval:
```

```
## 0.02186668 0.10896665
```

```
## sample estimates:
```

```
## mean of the differences
```

```
## 0.06541667
```

```
print(d1v1.2) #when vision overstated motion, hence range of motion decreased
```

```
## [1] -1.00442
```

```
ttest_1.2v1
```

```
##
```

```
## Paired t-test
```

```
##
```

```
## data: d.comparison$meancondition2_gain1 and d.comparison$meancondition3_gain1.2
```

```
## t = 3.4794, df = 23, p-value = 0.002027
```

```
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
```

```
## 95 percent confidence interval:
```

```
## 0.02787523 0.10962477
```

```
## sample estimates:
```

```
## mean of the differences
```

```

##                                0.06875
understatement.effectsize <- compareValues(reportedValue = .67, obtainedValue = .89)

understatement.pvalue <- compareValues(reportedValue = .006, obtainedValue = .0049, isP=T)

overstatement.effectsize <- compareValues(reportedValue = .8, obtainedValue = 1.0042, isP=T)

overstatement.pvalue <- compareValues(reportedValue = .001, obtainedValue = .002, isP=T)

understatement.effectsize

## [1] "MAJOR NUMERICAL ERROR. The reported value (0.67) and the obtained value (0.89) differed by 32.8%
understatement.pvalue

## [1] "MAJOR NUMERICAL ERROR. The reported value (0.006) and the obtained value (0.005) differed by 16%
overstatement.effectsize

## [1] "MAJOR NUMERICAL ERROR. The reported value (0.8) and the obtained value (1) differed by 25%. NB
overstatement.pvalue

## [1] "MAJOR NUMERICAL ERROR. The reported value (0.001) and the obtained value (0.002) differed by 100%

4) Fourth, I compare the percentage change and confidence intervals. The percentage change for the
understatement was off, but the percentage change for the overstatement was right.

Original quote: "Specifically, during visual feedback that understated true rotation, pain-free range of motion
was increased by 6% (95% confidence interval, or CI = [2%, 11%]); during visual feedback that overstated
true rotation, pain-free range of motion decreased by 7% (95% CI = [3%, 11%]). Therefore, our results show
an overall effect of the manipulation of 13%."

pctchn0.8v1 <- 100*((mean0.8-mean1)/mean1)
pctchn1.2v1 <- 100*((mean1.2-mean1)/mean1)
pctchn0.8v1 + abs(pctchn1.2v1)

t.test0.8v1 <- t.test(d.comparison$meancondition1_gain0.8,d.comparison$meancondition2_gain1)$conf.int
t.test1.2v1 <- t.test(d.comparison$meancondition2_gain1,d.comparison$meancondition3_gain1.2)$conf.int

#Vision understated true rotation
pctchn0.8v1

## [1] 6.541667
t.test0.8v1

## [1] 0.02186668 0.10896665
## attr(,"conf.level")
## [1] 0.95

#Vision overstated true rotation
pctchn1.2v1

## [1] -6.875
t.test1.2v1

## [1] 0.02787523 0.10962477

```

```
## attr("conf.level")
## [1] 0.95
pctchngtotal

## [1] 13.41667

understatement.pctchnng <- compareValues(reportedValue = 6, obtainedValue = 6.54)
understatement.confidence.lower <- compareValues(reportedValue = 2, obtainedValue = 2.18)
understatement.confidence.upper <- compareValues(reportedValue = 11, obtainedValue = 10.89)

overstatement.pctchnng <- compareValues(reportedValue = 7, obtainedValue = 6.875)
overstatement.confidence.lower <- compareValues(reportedValue = 3, obtainedValue = 2.79)
overstatement.confidence.upper <- compareValues(reportedValue = 11, obtainedValue = 10.96)

pctchngtotal <- compareValues(reportedValue = 13, obtainedValue = 13.42)

understatement.pctchnng

## [1] "MAJOR NUMERICAL ERROR. The reported value (6) and the obtained value (7) differed by 16.67%. NB
understatement.confidence.lower

## [1] "MATCH. The reported value (2) and the obtained value (2) differed by 0%. NB obtained value was 1
understatement.confidence.upper

## [1] "MATCH. The reported value (11) and the obtained value (11) differed by 0%. NB obtained value was 1
overstatement.pctchnng

## [1] "MATCH. The reported value (7) and the obtained value (7) differed by 0%. NB obtained value was 1
overstatement.confidence.lower

## [1] "MATCH. The reported value (3) and the obtained value (3) differed by 0%. NB obtained value was 1
overstatement.confidence.upper

## [1] "MATCH. The reported value (11) and the obtained value (11) differed by 0%. NB obtained value was 1
pctchngtotal

## [1] "MATCH. The reported value (13) and the obtained value (13) differed by 0%. NB obtained value was 1
```

## Step 5: Conclusion

[Include the carpsReport function below]

```
# You can delete this commented text for your report, it is here to serve as a guide.
# Use the carpsReport() function in this code chunk.
# Here is a guide to the arguments you should include in the function:
# Report_Type: Enter 'pilot' or 'final'
# Article_ID: Enter the article's unique ID code
# Insufficient_Information_Errors: Enter the number of Insufficient Information Errors
# Decision_Errors: Enter the number of decision errors
# Major_Numerical_Errors: Enter the number of major numerical errors
# Time_to_Complete: Enter the estimated time to complete the report in minutes
# Author_Assistance: Enter whether author assistance was required (TRUE/FALSE)
# FOR EXAMPLE:
```



```
# carpsReport(Report_Type = "pilot",
#             Article_ID = "ABhgyo",
#             Insufficient_Information_Errors = 0,
#             Decision_Errors = 1,
#             Major_Numerical_Errors = 4,
#             Time_to_Complete = 120,
#             Author_Assistance = TRUE)
```

[Please also include a brief text summary describing your findings. If this reproducibility check was a failure, you should note any suggestions as to what you think the likely cause(s) might be.]

[This function will output information about the package versions used in this report:]

```
devtools::session_info()
```

```
## setting value
## version R version 3.4.1 (2017-06-30)
## system x86_64, darwin15.6.0
## ui X11
## language (EN)
## collate en_US.UTF-8
## tz America/Los_Angeles
## date 2017-11-02
##
## package * version date
## assertthat 0.2.0 2017-04-11
## backports 1.1.1 2017-09-25
## base * 3.4.1 2017-07-07
## bindr 0.1 2016-11-13
## bindrcpp 0.2 2017-06-17
## broom 0.4.2 2017-02-13
## car 2.1-5 2017-07-04
## CARPSreports * 0.1 2017-10-30
## cellranger 1.1.0 2016-07-27
## colorspace 1.3-2 2016-12-14
## compiler 3.4.1 2017-07-07
## compute.es * 0.2-4 2014-09-16
## datasets * 3.4.1 2017-07-07
## devtools 1.13.3 2017-08-02
## digest 0.6.12 2017-01-27
## dplyr * 0.7.3 2017-09-09
## effsize * 0.7.1 2017-03-21
## evaluate 0.10.1 2017-06-24
## ez * 4.4-0 2016-11-02
## forcats 0.2.0 2017-01-23
## foreign 0.8-69 2017-06-22
## ggplot2 * 2.2.1 2016-12-30
## glue 1.1.1 2017-06-21
## graphics * 3.4.1 2017-07-07
## grDevices * 3.4.1 2017-07-07
## grid 3.4.1 2017-07-07
## gtable 0.2.0 2016-02-26
## haven * 1.1.0 2017-07-09
## hms 0.3 2016-11-22
## htmltools 0.3.6 2017-04-28
```

```

## httr          1.3.1  2017-08-20
## jsonlite      1.5    2017-06-01
## knitr         * 1.17   2017-08-10
## lattice       0.20-35 2017-03-25
## lazyeval      0.2.0   2016-06-12
## lme4          1.1-14  2017-09-27
## lsr           * 0.5    2015-03-02
## lubridate     1.6.0   2016-09-13
## magrittr      1.5     2014-11-22
## MASS          7.3-47  2017-02-26
## Matrix        1.2-10  2017-05-03
## MatrixModels  0.4-1   2015-08-22
## memoise       1.1.0   2017-04-21
## methods       * 3.4.1  2017-07-07
## mgcv          1.8-17  2017-02-08
## minqa         1.2.4   2014-10-09
## mnormt        1.5-5   2016-10-15
## modelr        0.1.1   2017-07-24
## munsell       0.4.3   2016-02-13
## nlme          3.1-131  2017-02-06
## nloptr        1.0.4   2014-08-04
## nnet          7.3-12  2016-02-02
## parallel      3.4.1   2017-07-07
## pbkrtest      0.4-7   2017-03-15
## pkgconfig     2.0.1   2017-03-21
## plyr          1.8.4   2016-06-08
## psych         1.7.8   2017-09-09
## purrr         * 0.2.3   2017-08-02
## quantreg      5.34    2017-10-25
## R6            2.2.2   2017-06-17
## Rcpp          0.12.12  2017-07-15
## readr         * 1.1.1   2017-05-16
## readxl        * 1.0.0   2017-04-18
## reshape2      1.4.2   2016-10-22
## rlang         0.1.2   2017-08-09
## rmarkdown     1.6     2017-06-15
## rprojroot     1.2     2017-01-16
## rvest         0.3.2   2016-06-17
## scales        0.5.0   2017-08-24
## SparseM       1.77    2017-04-23
## splines       3.4.1   2017-07-07
## stats         * 3.4.1   2017-07-07
## stringi       1.1.5   2017-04-07
## stringr       1.2.0   2017-02-18
## tibble        * 1.3.4   2017-08-22
## tidyr         * 0.7.1   2017-09-01
## tidyselect    0.2.0   2017-08-30
## tidyverse     * 1.1.1   2017-01-27
## tools         3.4.1   2017-07-07
## utils         * 3.4.1   2017-07-07
## withr         2.0.0   2017-07-28
## xml2          1.1.1   2017-01-24
## yaml         2.1.14  2016-11-12
## source

```

```

## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.2)
## local
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## Github (METRICS-CARPS/CARPSreports@d8ebcab)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## local
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## local
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## local
## local
## local
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.2)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## local
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## local
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)

```

```
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.2)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## local
## local
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## local
## local
## CRAN (R 3.4.1)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
## CRAN (R 3.4.0)
```