Universidad Politécnica de Cataluña Facultad de Matemáticas y Estadística MAMMEE Hamiltonian Systems

Twist Maps and Aubry-Mather Sets

Manuel Gijón Agudo

Index

- Working with maps
- 2 Results
 - Good news
 - Upper and lower bounds
 - K₁₅
 - Bipartite graphs
 - To sum up

Thickness of a graph \mathcal{G}

Theorical Thickness $\theta(\mathcal{G})$

Minimum number of planar graphs into a which a graph can be descomposed.

Thickness of a graph ${\cal G}$

Theorical Thickness $\theta(\mathcal{G})$

Minimum number of planar graphs into a which a graph can be descomposed.

Geometric Thickness $\bar{\theta}(\mathcal{G})$

Smallest value of k such that we can assign a planar point locations to the vertices of \mathcal{G} , represent each edge of \mathcal{G} as a line segment, and assign each edge to one of k layers so that no two edges on the same layer cross.

Thickness of a graph

Key difference

Geometric thickness requires that the vertex placements be consistent at all layers and that straight-line adges be used, whereas graph-theorical thickness imposes no consistency requirement between layers.

Graph-theorical thickness for all complete graphs

Graph-theorical thickness for all complete graphs

Upper Bounds

Theorem 1

$$\bar{\theta}(k_n) \leq \lceil n/4 \rceil$$

Upper Bounds

Theorem 1

$$\bar{\theta}(k_n) \leq \lceil n/4 \rceil$$

Roadmap to the proof:

• Assume that n is multiple of four, n = 2k with (k even), show that n vertices can be arranged in two "rings" of k vertices, so K_n can be embedded using k/2 layers and with no edges on the same layer crossing.

Upper Bounds

Roadmap to the proof:

- Use the vertices of the inner ring to form a regular *k*-gon and considerer the opposite vertices con create a zigzag path. This path has exactly one diagonal connecting diametrically opposite points.
- By continuity, we can replace by a suitably chosen common end points the infinite end points of a collection of parellel rays.
 Thus forming an outer ring of k vertices.
- The figure can be perturbed by moving slightly the inner ring.
 None of the diagonals of the polygon comprising the outher ring intersect the polygon comprising the inner ring.
- It's straighforward to verify that this is indeed a descomposition of the edges of k_n into k/2 = n/4 layers.



Lower Bounds

Theorem 2

For all $n \geq 1$

$$\bar{\theta}(k_n) \ge \max_{1 \le x \le n/2} \frac{\binom{n}{2} - 2\binom{x}{2} - 3}{3n - 2x - 7}$$

Lower Bounds

Theorem 2

For all $n \ge 1$

$$\bar{\theta}(k_n) \ge \max_{1 \le x \le n/2} \frac{\binom{n}{2} - 2\binom{x}{2} - 3}{3n - 2x - 7}$$

In particular, for $n \ge 12$

$$\bar{\theta}(k_n) \ge \left\lceil \frac{3 - \sqrt{7}}{2}n + 0.342 \right\rceil \ge \left\lceil \frac{n}{5.646} + 0.342 \right\rceil$$



Theorem 3

$$\bar{\theta}(k_{15})=4$$

Theorem 3

$$\bar{\theta}(k_{15})=4$$

Proof: We divide the proof in 3 cases.

- Case I: 3 points in the convex hull. Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} convex hull points and let $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_1$ and \mathcal{C}_1 be the point furtherst from edge \mathcal{BC} (respectively $\mathcal{AC}, \mathcal{AB}$ within triangle \mathcal{ABC}).
 - **Lemma 1:** The edge $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}_1$ will appear in every triangulation of \mathcal{S} .

Let A_2, B_2 and C_2 be the point next furtherst from edge \mathcal{BC} (respectively $\mathcal{AC}, \mathcal{AB}$ within triangle \mathcal{ABC} .

• **Lemma 2:** At least one of the edges A_1A_2 or AA_2 will appear in every triangulation of S.



Theorem 3

$$\bar{\theta}(k_{15})=4$$

Proof: We divide the problem in 3 cases.

- Case II: 4 points in the convex hull.
 - Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ the convex hull vertices.
 - Assume triangle \mathcal{DAB} has at least one point of \mathcal{S} in his interior (if not switch \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} and let \mathcal{A}_1 be the point inside furthest from line \mathcal{DB}).
 - By Lemma 2, the edge $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}_1$ must appear in every triangulation of \mathcal{S} .
 - Since every triangulation has 38 edges three triangulations can account at most 104 edges.



Theorem 3

$$\bar{\theta}(k_{15})=4$$

Proof: We divide the problem in 3 cases.

- Case III: 5 or more points in the convex hull.
 - Let h be the number of points in the convex hull.
 - A triangulation of S will have 42 h edges, and all hull edges must be in each triangulation.
 - The total number of edges in three triangulatinos is at most 3(42-2h)+h=126-5h, that is at most 101 for $h \ge 5$.



Theorem 4

For the complete bipartite graph $K_{a,b}$

$$\left\lceil \frac{ab}{2a+2b-4} \right\rceil \leq \theta(K_{a,b}) \leq \overline{\theta}(k_{a,b}) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\min(a,b)}{2} \right\rceil$$

Theorem 4

For the complete bipartite graph $K_{a,b}$

$$\left\lceil \frac{ab}{2a+2b-4} \right\rceil \leq \theta(K_{a,b}) \leq \overline{\theta}(k_{a,b}) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\min(a,b)}{2} \right\rceil$$

Proof:

- First inequality: from Euler's formula since a bipartite graph which is planar with a+b vertices can have at most 2a+2b-4 edges
- Second inequality: assume that $a \le b$ and a is even. Draw b vertices in a horizontal line, with a/2 red vertives above the line and a/2 vertices below. Each layer consists of all edges connecting the blue vertices with one red vertex from above the line and one red vertex from below.

Corollary 1:

For any integer b, $\bar{\theta}(k_{a,b}) = \theta(k_{a,b})$ provided:

$$a > egin{cases} rac{(b-2)^2}{2} & ext{if b is even} \\ (b-1)(b-2) & ext{if b is odd} \end{cases}$$

Corollary 1:

For any integer b, $\bar{\theta}(k_{a,b}) = \theta(k_{a,b})$ provided:

$$a> egin{cases} rac{(b-2)^2}{2} & ext{if b is even} \ (b-1)(b-2) & ext{if b is odd} \end{cases}$$

Proof: If a > b, the leftmost and rightmost quantities in the expresion of the last theorem will be equal provided ab/(2a+2b-4) > (b-2)/2 if b is even, or provided ab/(2a+2b-4) > (b-1)/2 f b is odd. By simplifying this inequality holds.



The first 100 values of $\bar{\theta}$

Bibliography

- D.Dillencourt, D. Eppstein and D.S. Hirschberg, *Geometric thickness of complete graphs*, J. Graph Algorithmss and Applications 4 (3), 5-17, 2000.
- P. Mutzel, T. Odenthal, M. Scharbrodt, *The thickness og graphs:* a survey, Graphs and Combinatorics, March 1998, Volume 14, Issue 1, pp 59–73.