Manuel Gijón Agudo

September 2017 - January 2018

# ${\bf \acute{I}ndice}$

1.	Mer	moryless resources	3
	1.1.	Sources and average word length	3
	1.2.	Uniquely decodeable codes	3
	1.3.	Optimal codes	4
	1.4.	Extension of sources	5
2.	Info	ormation and entropy	6
	2.1.	Definitions	6
	2.2.	Properties of the entropy funcion	6
	2.3.	Shannon-Fano Code	6
	2.4.	Product of sources	7
	2.5.	Markov Chains	7
	2.6.	Sources with memory	8
3. Information channels			9
	3.1.	Channel matrix	9
	3.2.	System Entropies and mutual information	9
	3.3.	Extension of noiseless coding theorem to information channels	10
	3.4.	Decision rules	11
	3.5.	Improving reliability	11
	3.6.	Rates of transmision and Hamming distance	12
4.	Fini	ite fields	14
	4.1.	Basic definitions	14
	4.2.	Propierties of finite fields	14
	4.3.	Factorization of polynomials	15
<b>5</b> .	Block codes 1		
	5.1.	Minimun distance	17
	5.2.	Bounds on block codes	17
	5.3.	Asymptotically good codes	18

Co	Code Theory			
6.	Linear codes			
	6.1. Basics	20		
	6.2. Syndrom decoding	21		
	6.3. Dual code and Mc Williams identities	21		
	6.4. The Griesmer bound	22		
7.	Cyclic codes			
	7.1. Introduction	23		
	7.2. Quadratic residue codes	23		
	7.3. BCH Codes	23		
	7.4. Decision problem, yes/no problem	24		
8.	Maximun distance separable codes			
	8.1. Syngleton bound	25		
	8.2. Linear MDS codes	25		
9.	Alternant codes	<b>2</b> 6		
10.Low density parity check codes				
	10.1. Bipartite graphs with the expander property	27		
	10.2. Low density parity check (LDPC) codes	27		
	10.3. Belief propagation	27		
11	1.P-adic codes	28		
	11.1. P-adic numbers	28		

28

# 1. Memoryless resources

### 1.1. Sources and average word length

**Definition 1:** a **source** is a finite set S together with a set of random variables  $(X_1, X_2, ...)$  whose range is S.

If  $P(X_n = S_i)$  only depends on i and not on n then we say the source is **stationary** and if the  $X_n$  are independent then it's **memoryless**.

Insert example here

**Definition 2:** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a finite set called **alphabet**. A map  $\mathfrak{C}: \mathbb{S} \longrightarrow \bigcup_{n \geq 1} T^n$  is called a **code**.

If |T| = r then  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a r-ary code.

A code extends from  $\mathbb{S}$  to  $T \cup T^2 \cup ...$  to  $\mathbb{S} \cup \mathbb{S}^2 \cup ...$  to  $T \cup T^2 \cup ...$  in obvious way.

insert example here

**Definition 3:** The average word-length of a code  $\mathfrak{C}$  is  $L(\mathfrak{C}) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i l_i$  where  $l_i$  is the length of the image of the symbol of  $\mathbb{S}$ , which is emitted with probability  $p_i$ .

For now, we write  $\mathfrak{C}$  to be the image of  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

# 1.2. Uniquely decodeable codes

**Definition 4:** If for any sequencies  $u_1...u_n = v_1...v_m$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$  implies m = n and  $u_i = v_i$  for i = 1, ..., n then we say that  $\mathfrak{C}$  is uniquely decodeable.

insert example here

insert example here

insert example here

Let  $\mathfrak{C}_0 = \mathfrak{C}$ :

- $\mathfrak{C}_n := \{ \omega \in T \cup T^2 \cup ... | u\omega = v \text{ for some } u \in \mathfrak{C}_{n-1}, v \in \mathfrak{C} \text{ or } u\omega = v \text{ for some } u \in \mathfrak{C}, v \in \mathfrak{C}_{n-1} \}$
- $\mathfrak{C}_{\infty} := \bigcup_{k > 1} \mathfrak{C}_k$

Since everythig is finite either  $\mathfrak{C}_m = \emptyset$  for some m and then  $\mathfrak{C}_n = \emptyset$  for  $n \geq m$  or it will be periodic and start repeating.

**Theorem 1:**  $\mathfrak{C}$  is uniquely decodeable  $\iff \mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{C}_{\infty} = \emptyset$ .

proof: Insert proof here

insert example here

insert example here

insert example here

**Definition 5:** A code is a **prefix-code** if no codeword is prefix of another (ie.  $\mathfrak{C}_1 = \emptyset$ ).

A prefix code is uniquely decodeable.

**Theorem 2:** (Kraft's inequality)  $\exists r$ -ary prefix code with word lengths  $l_1, l_2, ..., l_q \iff$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} r^{-l_i} \le 1$$

proof: Insert proof here

insert example here

**Theorem 3:** (McMillan's inequality)  $\exists$  r-ary uniquely decodeable code with word lengths  $l_1, l_2, ..., l_q \iff$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} r^{-l_i} \le 1$$

proof: Insert proof here

# 1.3. Optimal codes

Let be S a source with symbols  $s_1, ..., s_q$  emitted with probabilities  $p_1, ..., p_q$  and  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a code which encodes  $s_i$  with a codeword length  $l_i$ . Recall  $L(\mathfrak{C}) = \sum_{i=1}^q p_i l_i$ .

**Definition 6:** An **optimal code** for S is an uniquely decodeable code  $\mathfrak{D}$  such that  $L(\mathfrak{C}) \geq L(\mathfrak{D})$  for all uniquel decodeable code  $\mathfrak{C}$ .

inset example here

insert example here

**Definition 7:** A code constructed in this way is called a **Hoffman code**.

insert example here

Construct the r-arg Huffman code we sum together (at each step) the r smallest probabilities.

For this to work we need  $q \equiv 1(r-1)$ . Recall q is the number of symbols in the source. If not, then we add symbols with probabilities zero so that it is.

insert example here

**Lemma 1:** Every source S has an optimal binary code  $\mathfrak{D}$  in which two of the longest codewords are **siblings**, ie.  $\exists x$  (a string) such that  $x_0, x_1 \in \mathfrak{D}$ .

proof: Insert proof here

**Theorem 4:** The Huffman code is an optimal code.

# 1.4. Extension of sources

Given a source S we define  $S^n$  the source with  $|S|^n$  symbols, typically  $s_1, ..., s_n$ , emitted with  $p_1, ..., p_n$  probabilities.

insert example here

# 2. Information and entropy

#### 2.1. Definitions

**Definition 1:** the **information** coveyed by a source is a function  $I: S \to [0, \infty)$  where S is a **source** <sup>1</sup> with the properties:

- $I(s_i)$  is a decreasing function of the propability  $p_i$ , with  $I(s_i) = 0$  if  $p_i = 1$ .
- $I(s_i s_j) = I(s_i) + I(s_j)$ , ie.the information geined by two symbols is the sum of the information obtained from each where the source has symbols  $s_1, ..., s_q$  emitted with probabilities  $p_1, ..., p_q$ .

**Lemma 1:**  $I(s_i) = -\log_r p_i$  for some r.

proof: Insert proof here

**Definition 2:** The r-ary entropy  $H_r(S)$  of a source S is the average information coveyed by S.

$$H_r(S) := -\sum_{i=1}^q p_i \log_r p_i$$

, by convenction  $x \log_r x$  evaluated at 0 is 0.

Insert five examples

#### 2.2. Properties of the entropy function

**Theorem 1:**  $H_r(S) \leq \log_r q$  with equality if and only iff S is the source where each symbol is emitted with probability 1/q.

proof: Insert proof here

**Theorem 2:**  $H_r(S) \leq L(C)$  for unique decodeable code C.

proof: Insert proof here

### 2.3. Shannon-Fano Code

Let S be the source with symbols  $s_i$  and probabilities  $p_i$ . Let  $l_i := \lceil \log_r 1/p_i \rceil$ .

Then: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} r^{-l_i} \le \sum r^{-\log_r 1/p_i} = \sum p_i = 1$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A **source** is a finite set S together with a sequence of random variables  $X_i$  whose range is S

**Definition 3:** by Kraft exists a prefix code with woed length  $l_1, l_2, ..., l_1$ . This code is called **Shannon-Fano code**.

Inert example here

**Lemma 2:** For the Shannon-Fano code  $C: H_r(S) \leq L(C) < H_r(S) + 1$ .

proof: Insert proof here

#### 2.4. Product of sources

Let S and T be two memoryless sources, S with symbols  $s_i$  and probabilities  $p_i$  and T with symbols  $t_j$  and probabilities  $q_j$ .

**Definition 4:** The **product source**  $S \times T$  is a source with symbols  $s_i t_j$  and probabilities  $p_i q_j$ .

Theorem 3:  $H_r(S \times T) = H_r(S) + H_r(T)$ .

proof: Insert proof here

Corollary 1:  $H_r(S^n) = nH_r(S)$ .

**Theorem 4: Noiseless Coding** The average word length  $L_n$  of an optiml code of  $S^n$  satisfies:

$$\frac{L_n}{n} \longrightarrow H_r(S), n \to \infty$$

proof: Insert proof here

some examples

#### 2.5. Markov Chains

**Definition 4:** A Markov Chain is a sequency of random variables where  $X_{n+1}$  depends only for  $X_n$ .

$$P(X_{n+1} = s_j | X_n = s_j) = p_{i,j}$$

This can be represented in a direct graph and also by a matrix  $P := (p)_{i,j}$ .

Suppose  $u_0$  is the vector which describes the initial distribution, ie. the *i*-th coordinate of  $u_0$  is probability we start at  $s_i$ . Probability of beeing in the *i*-th state after r steps is the *i*-th coordinate of  $u_0P^r$ .

**Theorem 5:** if  $\exists r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P^r$  has no zero entries, then  $u_0P^r \longrightarrow u$ , as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Definition 5:** This vector u is called the **stationary distribution**. It is normalised eigenvector of  $P^t$  with eigenvalue 1, ie.  $u_j = \sum_i p_{i,j} u_i$  and  $\sum_j u_j = 1$ .

**Definition 6:** If P is the matrix of a Markov Chain and  $\exists r$  such that  $P^r$  has non zero entries then we say that the Markov Chain is **regular**.

# 2.6. Sources with memory

Suppose S is a Markov Chain source with random variables  $X_1, X_2, ...$  such that

$$P(X_{n+1} = s_j | X_n = s_j) = p_{i,j}$$

**Definition 7:** *S* is **not memoryless**, but it is stationary.

**Theorem 6:** suppose S is a regular Markov Chain source with stationary distribution  $u = (u_1, ..., u_j)$ . Let S' be the stationary memoryless source with the same source elements as S (where  $s_i$  is emmitted with probability  $w_i$ ). Then:

$$H_r(S) \leq H_r(S')$$

# 3. Information channels

#### 3.1. Channel matrix

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a stationary memoryless source with random variables  $X_1, X_2, ...$  where  $P(X_n = a_i) = p_i$  for  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Suppose we transmit A through a channel  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a source with random variables  $Y_1, Y_2, ...$  where  $P(Y_n = b_j) = q_j$ 

For  $b_j$  emerging from the channel:

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{B}$$

**Definition 1:** The **channel** is defined by a matrix  $(p_{ij})$  where  $p_{ij} = P(X_n = b_j | X_n = a_i)$  the probability we recieve  $b_j$  given that  $a_i$  was sent,  $p_{ij}$ -forward probabilities. The **backwards** probabilities are  $q_{ij} = P(X_n = a_i | Y_n = b_j)$  and **joint prababilities**  $r_{ij} = P(X_n = a_i, Y_n = b_j)$ 

insert example here

inser example here (binary eraure channel)

### 3.2. System Entropies and mutual information

**Definition 2:** We define the **input entropy** as:

$$H(\mathcal{A}) := -\sum_{i} p_{i} \log(p_{i})$$

**Definition 3:** We define the **output entropy** as:

$$H(\mathcal{B}) := -\sum_{j} q_{j} \log(q_{j})$$

We suppress the r (base) in the  $\log_r$  but it's always the same for every one.

Given that we have received  $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $H(A|Y_n = b_j) = -\sum_i q_{ij} \log(q_{ij})$ .

This is relling us the average information of A knowing that  $Y_n = b_j$ .

If  $H(A|Y_n = b_j) = 0$  then  $\exists m$  such that  $q_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i \neq m$  and  $q_{ij} = 1$  if i = m, ie.  $P(X_n = a_m | Y_n = b_j) = 1$ , ie. if we receive  $b_j$  then we know that  $a_m$  was sent.

If  $H(A|Y_n = b_j) = H(A)$  then we learn nothing about A when we recieve  $b_j$  and this occurs when  $q_{ij} = P(X_n = a_i|Y_n = b_j) = P(X_n = a_i) = p_i$ .

**Definition 4:** Averaging over  $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$  we get the **conditional entropy**:

$$H(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}) := -\sum_{j} P(Y_n = b_j) H(\mathcal{A}|Y_n = b_j) = -\sum_{i,j} q_j q_{ij} \log q_{ij}$$

Similary:

$$H(\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{A}) := -\sum_{i,j} p_i p_{ij} \log p_{ij}$$

**Definition 5:** The joint entropy:

$$H(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) := -\sum_{i,j} r_{ij} \log r_{ij}$$

insert example here

**Theorem 1:** For sources  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$H(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = H(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B}) + H(\mathcal{B}) = H(\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{A}) + H(\mathcal{A})$$

proof: Insert proof here

**Definition 6:** We define the **mutual information** as the amount of information about  $\mathcal{A}$  we have learnt from  $\mathcal{B}$  and vice-versa:

$$I(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) := H(\mathcal{B}) - H(\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{A}) = H(\mathcal{A}) - H(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B})$$

If H(A) = H(A|B) then B tells us nothing about A, so I(A,B) = 0. This is an unrialiable channel and useless as a mean of communication.

If H(A|B) = 0 then knowing B we know everythin about A, so I(A, B) = H(A). This is the perfect situation because when we receive something, we know exactly what was sent.

insert example here

# 3.3. Extension of noiseless coding theorem to information channels

We have proved that given a source  $\mathcal{A}$  we can find an encoding of  $\mathcal{A}^n$  such that the average word length  $L_n$  satisfies  $\frac{L_n}{n} \longrightarrow H(\mathcal{A})$ .

 $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , imagine we know  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Lemma 1:  $H(A^n|\mathcal{B}^n) = nH(A|\mathcal{B})$ 

proof: EXERCISE

**Theorem 2:** if  $\mathcal{B}$  is know then we can find encodings of  $\mathcal{A}^n$  such that the average word length  $L_n$  satisfies  $\frac{L_n}{n} \longrightarrow H(\mathcal{A}|\mathcal{B})$ .

### 3.4. Decision rules

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{B}$$

Where A is the **input**, B is the **output** and  $\Gamma$  is the **channel**.

The channel is given by a matrix  $(p_{ij})$ ,  $p_{ij} = P(Y_n = b_j | X_n = a_i)$ . We defined  $r_{ij} = P(X_n = a_i | X_n = b_j)$ .

So if we recive  $b_J$  we should "decode"  $b_j$  as  $a_{j*}$  where  $r_{j*j} \geq r_{ij}$  for all i.

**Definition 7:** We would define our decision  $\Delta : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  as  $\Delta(b_j) := a_{j*}$ , this is called the **ideal** observer rule.

However, most likely we only know  $p_{ij}$ 's.

**Definition 8:** In maximum likelihood decoding we use the decision rule  $\Delta(b_j) := a_{j*}$ , where  $p_{j*j} \geq p_{ij}$  for all i.

Definition 9: The average probability of a correct decoding is:

$$P_{cor} := \sum_{j} q_j q_{j*j} - \sum_{j} r_{j*j}$$

Remind  $q_{ij} = P(X_n = a_i | Y_n = b_j)$ . Given that we received  $b_j$  if we dcode it as  $a_{j*}$  then the probability we have decoded correctly is  $P(X_n = a_{j*} | Y_n = b_j) = q_{j*j}$ 

#### 3.5. Improving reliability

Suposse  $\Gamma$  is the binary symmetrical channel  $\begin{pmatrix} \phi & 1-\phi \\ 1-\phi & \phi \end{pmatrix}$  (and assume  $\phi>\frac{1}{2}$ ).

If we extends the source  $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$  to  $\{000, 001\}$  then the outpout source if  $\{000, 001, 010, 100, 110, 101, 011, 111\}$ . Now we have the channel matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi^3 & \phi^2(1-\phi) & \phi^2(1-\phi) & \phi^2(1-\phi) & \phi^2(1-\phi) & \phi^2(1-\phi) & \phi^2(1-\phi) & (1-\phi)^3 \\ (1-\phi)^3 & \phi^2(1-\phi) & \phi^2(1-\phi) & \phi^2(1-\phi) & \phi^2(1-\phi) & \phi^2(1-\phi) & \phi^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

if we decode  $\Delta(000) = \Delta(001) = \Delta(010) = \Delta(100) = 0$  and  $\Delta(111) = \Delta(110) = \Delta(101) = \Delta(011) = 1$ .

effectively we have the channel:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi^3 + 3\phi^2(1-\phi) & 3\phi^2(1-\phi) + (1-\phi)^3 \\ 3\phi^2(1-\phi) + (1-\phi)^3 & \phi^3 + 3\phi^2(1-\phi) \end{pmatrix}$$

since  $\phi > 1 - \phi$  we have  $\phi^3 + 3\phi^2(1 - \phi) > \phi$ .

So we have proved the reliability of the channel, because  $P_{cor} = \sum_j r_{j*j} = p(\phi^3 + 3\phi^2(1-\phi)) + (1-p)(\phi^3 + 3\phi^2(1-\phi)) = \phi^3 + 3\phi^2(1-\phi)$ .

Observe if we do not extend the sorce  $P_{cor} = \phi$ .

### 3.6. Rates of transmision and Hamming distance

noindent Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a source with r symbols. By extending the source, consider  $\mathcal{C}$  to be a subset of  $\mathcal{A}^n$ .

Definition 10: The (transmision) rate of C is:

$$R := \frac{\log_r |\mathcal{C}|}{n}$$

By increasing n in the previous exercise we can make  $P_{cor} \longrightarrow 1$ . However  $R \longrightarrow 0$  since  $|\mathcal{C}| = \frac{\log_2 2}{n} \longrightarrow 0$ .

**Definition 11:** The capacity of a channel  $\Gamma$  is:

$$\Lambda = \max_{\mathcal{A}.\mathcal{B}} I(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$$

Maximising over  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  means we can vary  $p_i$ 's and  $q_j$ 's.

Since C is a subset of  $A^n$  the rate tell us how many bits od information we can send in n bits (it is Rn).

**Lemma 2:** The capacity of a binary symetric channel  $\begin{pmatrix} \phi & 1-\phi \\ 1-\phi & \phi \end{pmatrix}$  is  $\Lambda=1+\phi\log_2\phi+(1-\phi)\log_2(1-\phi)$ .

proof: Insert proof here

**Definition 12:** For any  $u, v \in \mathcal{A}^n$ , the **Hamming distance** is d(u, v) := number of coordinates where u and v differ.

**Lemma 3:** The Hamming distance satisfies the triangle inequality  $d(u,v) \le d(u,w) + d(w,v)$ 

*proof:* Insert proof here

**Lemma 4:** Fot the binary symmetric channerl, maximun likelihood decoding is  $\Delta(v) = u$ , where u is the closest element of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect the Hamming distance.

proof: Insert proof here

**Definition 13:** in general this decoding is called **nearest neighbour decoding**.

**Lemma 5:** For  $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ :

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lambda n} \binom{n}{i} \le 2^{n(-\lambda \log(\lambda) - (1-\lambda)\log(1-\lambda))}$$

proof: Insert proof here

**Theorem 2:** (Shannon) Let  $\delta, \varepsilon > 0$ . For all sufficiently large n there is a code of length n and rate R satisfying  $\Lambda - \varepsilon < R < \Lambda$  together with a decision rule  $\Delta$  such that  $P_{cor} \longrightarrow 1 - \delta$ .

proof: Insert proof here (ONLY FOR BINARY SYMETRIC CHANNEL)

**Lemma 6:** For an input source  $\mathcal{A}$  and output source  $\mathcal{B}$  and decision rule  $\Delta(b_j) = a_{j*}$ .

$$H(A|B) \le -P_{cor} \log (P_{cor}) - (1 - P_{cor}) \log (1 - P_{cor}) + (1 - P_{cor}) (\log |C| - 1)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of input source elements emitted with non zero probability.

**Theorem 3:** If  $\Lambda' > \Lambda$  and we fix the input probability distribution is uniform then ther is no sequence of codes  $C_n$  of rate R satisfying  $\Lambda' - \varepsilon < R < \Lambda'$  such that  $P_{cor} \longrightarrow 1$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

# 4. Finite fields

#### 4.1. Basic definitions

**Definition 1:** A field is a commutable ring in which every non-zero element has a multiplicative inverse.

insert example here

inse example here

Notation 1: We denote as (f) with  $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ , the ideal consisiting of all multples of f.

**Theorem 1:** if f is an irreducible polynomial of degree h then  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]/(f)$  is a finite field with  $p^h$  elements.

proof: Insert proof here

insert examples here

Exercise: construct a field wih 9 elements.

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field. Let n minimal such that adding 1 n times gives 0.

Since 
$$(1+\ldots+1)=(1+\ldots+1)(1+\ldots+1)=0$$
 minimally implies that  $n=p$  is prime.

**Definition 2:** In this situation, we say that  $\mathbb{F}$  has **characteristic** p. If no such p exits then wa sat that  $\mathbb{F}$  has **characteristic zero**, in which case  $\mathbb{F} \supset \mathbb{Z}$  and so  $\mathbb{F} \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ .

insert exercise here

# 4.2. Propierties of finite fields

**Theorem 2:** Ler  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field with q elements. For all  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ .  $x^q = x$ .

proof: Insert proof here

The finite field with q elements is unique since it is the splitting field of the polynomial  $x^t - x \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ .

Considerer the map  $x \mapsto x^p$  in  $\mathbb{F}(q = p^h)$ .

$$(x+y)^p = \sum_{j=0}^p \binom{p}{j} x^j y^{p-j} = x^p + y^p$$

Observe that  $\binom{p}{j} = 0$  (modulo p) for j = 1, ..., p - 1.

$$(x*y)^p = x^p y^p$$

So this map os catiallu an automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  since of preserve addiction and multiplication.

**Definition 3:** This is called the **Frobenious automorphism**.

$$x \longmapsto x^p \longmapsto x^{p^2} \longmapsto x^{p^3} \longmapsto \dots \longmapsto x^{p^{h-1}} \longmapsto x$$

### 4.3. Factorization of polynomials

Let  $\mathbb{F}_p$  denote the unique finite field with q elements  $(q = p^h)$ .

**Lemma 1:** The polynomial  $x^{q-1} - 1$  factories into distinct linear factors in  $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ .

proof: Insert proof here

**Lemma 2:** The polynomial  $x^q - 1$  factories into distinct irreducible factors whose degre divides h.

proof: Insert proof here

insert example here

insert example here

**Observation 1:** if q is odd  $x^{q-1}-1=(x^{\frac{q-1}{2}}-1)(x^{\frac{q-1}{2}}+1)$  the zeros of the first dactor and on the non-zeros squares in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and vice-versa  $(x=y^2$  then  $x^{\frac{q-1}{2}}=y^{q-1}=1)$ .

**Observation 2:** if  $q^1 = q^r$  then  $x^n - 1 = (x^{n/q'} - 1)^{q'}$  so if we want to factorise  $x^n - 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  we can assume (n, p) = 1.

To factorise  $x^n-1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ , we find and extension field in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  which contains n-th roots of 1, ie. find h such that n divides  $q^h-1$  since then  $x^{q^n-1}-1$  is divisible by  $x^n-1$ , ie.  $q^n=1 \pmod 1$ , ie. h is the multiplicative order of q in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

If we let  $\varepsilon$  be a primitive *n*-th root of 1 in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  then  $(x - \varepsilon)(x - \varepsilon^q)(x - \varepsilon^{q^2})...(x - \varepsilon^{q^{h-1}})$  is a polynomial whose coefficients are in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  since  $(x - \varepsilon)(x - \varepsilon^q)(x - \varepsilon^{q^2})...(x - \varepsilon^{q^h})$ .

insert example here

insert exercise here

insert example here

# 5. Block codes

#### 5.1. Minimum distance

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite set (an alphabet).

**Definition 1:** A block code  $\mathfrak{C}$  of length n is a subset of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ .

**Definition 2:** The **minimum distance of \mathfrak{C}** is the minumum Hamming distance between any 2 codewords (elements of  $\mathfrak{C}$ ).

We are goning to use nearest neighbour decoding so we want d as larde as possible. We also cant  $|\mathfrak{C}|$  to be as large as possible.

**Lemma 1:** A block code of minimum distance d can correct up to do  $\lceil \frac{d-1}{2} \rceil$  errors using nearest neighbour decoding.

proof: Insert proof here

insert example here

insert example here

**Definition 3:** Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a binary code of length n. The **extended code**  $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}$  is the code of length n+1 defined by:

$$\overline{\mathfrak{C}} := \{(u_1, ..., u_{n+1}) : u \in \mathfrak{C} \text{ where } u_{n+1} = u_1 + ... + u_n \pmod{2}\}$$

**Theorem 1:** if the minimum distance of  $\mathfrak{C}$  is d+1.

proof: Insert proof here

#### 5.2. Bounds on block codes

Let  $\mathcal{A}_r(n,d)$  denote the maximun  $|\mathfrak{C}|$ , such that exits a block code  $\mathfrak{C}$  of length n, minimun distance d over an alphabeth with r-elements.

Theorem 1: (Gilbert-Varshamov Bound)

$$\mathcal{A}_r(n,d)\Big(1+\binom{n}{1}(r-1)+\ldots+\binom{n}{d}(r-1)^d\Big)\geq r^n$$

proof: Insert proof here

**Recall 1:** we defined the binary entropy function as  $h(p) = -p \log p - (1-p) \log (1-p)$ .

Corollary 1: in the case r = 2:

$$\frac{1}{n}\log_2 A_2(n.d) \ge 1 - h(\delta)$$
, where  $\delta = \frac{d}{n}$ 

Definition 4:  $\delta = \frac{d}{n}$  is called relative minimum distance.

proof: Insert proof here

Theorem 2: (Sphere packing bound)

$$\mathcal{A}_r(n,d)\Big(1+\binom{n}{d}(r-1)+\ldots+\binom{n}{t}(r-1)^t\Big)\leq r^n \text{ where } t=\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor$$

proof: Insert proof here

**Definition 5:** A code meeting the Spheree-packing bound is called **perfect code**.

**Observation 1:** the parameteres (n, t, r) must be such that:

$$1 + \binom{n}{d}(r-1) + \dots + \binom{n}{t}(r-1)^t$$
 is a power of  $r$ 

insert example and exercise here

**Lemma 2:** (Plotking Lemma) An r-ary code  $\mathfrak C$  of length n and minimum distance d satisfies  $|\mathfrak C|$   $(d+\frac{n}{r}-n)\leq d$ .

proof: Insert proof here

insert exercise here

**Theorem 3:** (Plotkin-Bound) if  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a binary code of length n, minimum distance  $d < \frac{n}{2}$ . then:

$$|\mathfrak{C}| \le d2^{n-2d+2}$$

*proof:* Insert proof here

#### 5.3. Asymptotically good codes

We will construct and use short length codes which we can encode and decoode quickly, this is very useful in manyaplications.

insert short examples here

However, in many cases we will have a lot of data and if we chop n bits into  $\frac{n}{n_0}$  chunks which we can send with  $P_{cor} = P$  close to 1.

$$P^{\frac{n}{n_0}} \longrightarrow 0$$

Let's suppose we have a binary code of length n and rate R (so  $|\mathfrak{C}| \approx 2^{nR}$ ).

In the proof of the Shannon's Theorem, we will to the fact that the expected number of errors (using the binary symmetric channel) was  $(1-\phi)n$ , so if we are going to use the nearest neighbour decoding we need that d is also linear in n (as n gets very large), so we want  $\delta = \frac{d}{n} > 0$ .

**Definition 5:** We call the sequency codes of length n, where  $n \to \infty$  and  $\delta > 0$ . R > 0. asumptotically good.

inset exercise here

**Theorem 4:** (Sprieve packing bound) Asymptotically (for n large):

$$R \le 1 - h\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)$$

proof: Insert proof here

**Theorem 5:** (Plotkin) if  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$  then  $R \leq 1 - 2\delta$ .

proof: Insert proof here

**Definition 6:** Let  $A(n, d, \omega)$ , **The maximun size** of a binary code of length n with minimum distance d in which all the codewords have weight  $\omega$ .

(For any tuple  $v \in \mathcal{A}^n$  where  $0 \in \mathcal{A}$ , the **weight**  $wt(v) := \{$  number of non-zero coordinates that it has $\}$ ).

#### Lemma 3:

$$\mathcal{A}(n,d,\omega) \le \frac{nd}{2\omega^2 - 2n\omega + dn}$$

proof: Insert proof here

CONJETURE: there's no perfect constant (apart from the trivial bounds) weight codes.

**Theorem 6:** Let R be the rate of a sequence of asymptotically good binary codes if  $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$  then:

$$R < 1 - h\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 2\delta}\right)\right)$$

where 
$$h(p) = -p \log_2(p) - (1-p) \log_2(1-p)$$

# 6. Linear codes

#### 6.1. Basics

**Definition 1:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}_q$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subspace of  $\mathfrak{F}_q^n$  then we say  $\mathcal{C}$  is a linear code.

Id  $\mathcal{C}$  is a k-dimensional subspace the  $|\mathcal{C}| = q^k$ .

**Definition 2:** For  $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ ,  $wt(v) := \{\text{number of non-zero coordinates that it has}\}.$ 

**Lemma 1:** (Minimun Weight Lemma) the minimun distance of a linear code C is equal to te minimun non-zero weight of the vector in C.

proof: Insert proof here

**Definition 3:** We can describe  $\mathcal{C}$  ny a basis and if  $\mathfrak{G}$  os a kxn matrix whose rows are a basis for  $\mathcal{C}$  then we say that  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a **generator matrix** for  $\mathcal{C}$ .

$$\mathcal{C} := \{ u\mathfrak{G} : u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \}$$

Linear codes encode  $q^k$  multiple mensajes by simply multiplying by a matriz:

$$u \longmapsto u\mathfrak{G}$$

 $message \longrightarrow codeword$ 

insert exercise here

**Observation 1:** The rate od a k-dimensional linnear code is:

$$R = \frac{\log |\mathcal{C}|}{n} = \frac{k}{n}$$

**Definition 4:** a **check matrix** for a linear code is an mxn matrix  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that:

$$\mathcal{C} := \{ u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : u\mathfrak{H}^t = 0 \}$$

insert example here

insert exercise here

**Lemma 2:** if  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a check matrix for  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  its check matrix then  $\mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{H}^t = 0$ .

proof: Insert proof here

insert example here

### 6.2. Syndrom decoding

**Definition 5:** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a linear code with check matrix  $\mathfrak{H}$ . The **syndrome of a vector**  $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  is  $s(v) := v \mathfrak{H}^t$ , observe that  $v \in \mathcal{C} \iff s(v) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $t = \lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor$  and we correctly up to t errors to use syndrome decoding we calculate s(e) for all vectors  $e \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  such that  $wt(e) \leq t$ .

Then if we recieve  $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$  we look for e such that s(v) = s(e) necaise this implies  $s(v - e) = 0 \Rightarrow v - e \in \mathcal{C}$  and we have found the codeword.

insert 5 examples here

insert exercise here

### 6.3. Dual code and Mc Williams identities

**Definition 6:** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a k-dimensional linear code of length n (ie. k-dimensional subspace of  $\mathfrak{F}_2^n$ ). We denote by:

$$\mathcal{C}^{\perp} := \{ v \in \mathbb{F}_q^h : uv = 0 \forall u \in \mathcal{C} \}$$

 $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$  is a (n-k)-dimensional code of length n.

 $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$  is the dual code.

**Lemma 3:** if  $\mathfrak{H}$  is an (nxk)xn check matrix for  $\mathcal{C}$  then  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a generator matrix for  $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$  likewise if  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a (kxn) generator matrix for  $\mathcal{C}$  then it is a check matrix for  $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ .

**Definition 7:** if  $C = C^{\perp}$  then we say C is **self-dual**.

**Observation 2:** in a self-dual binary code the weight of a codeword is evens since  $\overline{u}u = u = wt(u)$  must be zero.

**Definition 8:** Let  $A_i$  denote the number of codewords of eright of weight i. The **weight enumerator polynomial** is:

$$\mathcal{A}(t) := \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{A}_i t^i = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}} t^{wt(u)}$$

**Theorem 1:** Let  $\mathcal{A}^{\perp}(t)$  be the weight enumerator for  $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ :

$$\mathcal{A}^{\perp}(t) = q^{-k} \left( 1 + (q-1)t \right)^n \mathcal{A} \left( \frac{1-t}{1+(q-1)t} \right)$$

insert example here

insert example here

# 6.4. The Griesmer bound

**Lemma 4:** Let S be a set of columns if a kxn generator matrix  $\mathfrak{G}$  for a linear code C. S is a set of n vectors in  $\mathfrak{F}_q^k$  with property that any hyperplane of  $\mathfrak{F}_q^k$  contains at most n-d vectors of S.

proof: Insert proof here

**Observation 3:** Since there is a codeword of weight d threre is a hyperlplane of  $\mathfrak{F}_q^k$  containing exactly n-d vectors of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

proof: Insert proof here

**Theorem 2:** (The Griesmer bound) If there is a k-dimensional linear code over  $\mathfrak{F}_q$  of length n and minimum distance d then:

$$n \ge \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left\lceil \frac{d}{q^j} \right\rceil$$

proof: Insert proof here

insert 4 examples here

# 7. Cyclic codes

#### 7.1. Introduction

**Definition 1:** A linear code C is **cyclic** if  $(c_0, c_1, ..., c_{n-1}) \in C \Rightarrow (c_{n-1}, c_1, c_2, ..., c_{n-2}) \in C$ .

**Observation 1:** There is a 1-1 correspondence between codewords in  $\mathcal{C}$  and polynomials in  $\mathfrak{F}_q/(x^n-1)$ :

$$(c_0, c_1, ..., c_{n-1}) \longleftrightarrow c_0 + c_1 x + ... + c_{n-1} x^{n-1}$$

**Lemma 1:** A cyclic code corresponds an ideal in  $\mathfrak{F}_q[X]/(x^n-1)$ .

proof: Insert proof here

**Lemma 2:** The cyclic code  $C = \langle g \rangle$ , for some polynomial g divuding  $x^n - 1$  and has dimension ar least n = degre(g).

proof: Insert proof here

**Definition 2:** For any polunomial h, h reverse is  $\overleftarrow{h} := x^{\text{degre}(h)} h(x^{-1})$ .

The following theorem implies  $\dim(\mathcal{C}) = n - \deg(g)$ .

**Theorem 1:** The dual code of  $\langle g \rangle$  is h > h where  $g(x)h(x) = x^n - 1$ .

(This implies  $\dim(\mathcal{C}^{\perp}) \geq n - \deg(h) = \deg(g) \Rightarrow \dim(\mathcal{C}) = n - \dim(\mathcal{C}^{\perp}) \leq n - \deg(g)$ ).

proof: Insert proof here

insert example here

# 7.2. Quadratic residue codes

Let n be a prime and q (a prime too) a square in  $\mathbb{F}_n$ .

insert example here.

Let  $\alpha$  be a primitive *n*-root of 1 in some extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $g(x) = \prod_{r \text{ squares in } \mathbb{F}_n} (x - \alpha^r)$ . g divides  $x^n - 1$ , so q > 1 defines a cyclic code of length q > 1 over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Definition 3:** This g is called quadratic residue code.

insert example here

insert exercise here

#### 7.3. BCH Codes

Let  $\alpha$  be a primitive *n*-th root of 1 in some extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and suppose that  $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$  is the minimum gegree polynomial such that  $g(\alpha^j) = 0$  for  $j = 1, ..., d_0$ .

**Definition 4:** Then < g > is a **BCH code**.

**Theorem 2:** The minimum distance of  $\langle g \rangle$  is a least  $d_0$ .

*proof:* Insert proof here

insert exercise here

insert 3 examples here

insert exercise here

**Theorem 3:** There is no infinite sequence of k-dimensional linear BHC codes of length n and minimum distance d with both  $\frac{k}{n}$  and  $\frac{d}{n}$  bounded away from zero.

## 7.4. Decision problem, yes/no problem

 $\mathbf{P}$ 

Decision problems which can be resolve with polynomial time algorithms. Polynomial in the input (which is given as a part of the problem).

**Example:** is *n* prime? Size of input is the number of bits  $\approx \log_2 n$ .

#### NP

Gives a positive answer to the problem we can checj with a polynomial time algorithm.

**Example:** does a graph have a Hamiltonian cycle?

**Observation 2:** Recall in syndrom,e decoding we calculate  $s(v) = v\mathfrak{H}^t$  where v is the receved vector and we look for e whose  $wt(e) \leq t$  s(v) = s(e).

Does such a vector e exits is a decision problem, ie. given  $\mathfrak{H}$  and s, does  $\exists e$  of  $wt(e) \leq t$  and  $e\mathfrak{H}^t = s$ .

**Theorem 4:** The decision problem of whether we can decode a linear code using syndrome decoding is NP.

# 8. Maximun distance separable codes

# 8.1. Syngleton bound

**Theorem 1:** If C is a r-ary code of length n and minimum distance d, then  $|C| \leq r^{n-d+1}$ .

proof: Insert proof here

**Definition 1:** A maximum distance separable (MDS) code is a code reaching the Singleton bound.

#### Example:

**Theorem 2:** If C is a k-dimensional linear code of length n and minimum distance d over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  then  $k \leq n - d + 1$ .

proof: Insert proof here

A k-dimensionl linear MDS code of length n has minumun distance n - k + 1.

Example: (Reed-Solomon code)

**Theorem 3:** There is a (fast) polynomial time algorithm for decoding Reed-Solomon codes.

proof: Insert proof here

### 8.2. Linear MDS codes

#### Theorem 4:

proof: Insert proof here

#### Theorem 5:

# 9. Alternant codes

- 10. Low density parity check codes
- 10.1. Bipartite graphs with the expander property
- 10.2. Low density parity check (LDPC) codes
- 10.3. Belief propagation

# 11. P-adic codes

Breve comentario

# 11.1. P-adic numbers

# 11.2. Polynomials over $\mathbb{Q}_p$