

96

Performance Accessibility

Best Practices SEO



Performance

Values are estimated and may vary. The performance score is calculated directly from these metrics. See calculator.

▲ 0-49

50-89

90-100



Expand view

METRICS

First Contentful Paint

1.0 s

Total Blocking Time

0 ms

Largest Contentful Paint

1.0 s

Cumulative Layout Shift

0.017

1.0 s





Show audits relevant to: All <u>FCP</u> <u>LCP</u> <u>TBT</u> <u>CLS</u>

DIAGNOSTICS

A	Eliminate render-blocking resources — Potential savings of 570 ms	~
A	Enable text compression — Potential savings of 17 KiB	~
A	Preconnect to required origins — Potential savings of 80 ms	~
A	Reduce unused CSS — Potential savings of 36 KiB	~
	Image elements do not have explicit width and height	~
	Minify CSS — Potential savings of 7 KiB	~
	Serve images in next-gen formats — Potential savings of 89 KiB	~
	Serve static assets with an efficient cache policy — 3 resources found	~
	Ensure text remains visible during webfont load	~
	Properly size images — Potential savings of 189 KiB	~

	Avoid serving legacy JavaScript to modern browsers — Potential savings of 0 KiB	~	
	Reduce unused JavaScript — Potential savings of 83 KiB	~	
	Avoid enormous network payloads — Total size was 3,335 KiB	~	
0	Avoid large layout shifts — 1 layout shift found	~	
0	Initial server response time was short — Root document took 40 ms	~	
0	Avoids an excessive DOM size — 83 elements	~	
0	Avoid chaining critical requests — 7 chains found	~	
0	JavaScript execution time - 0.0 s	~	
0	Minimizes main-thread work — 0.2 s	~	
0	Minimize third-party usage — Third-party code blocked the main thread for 0 ms	~	
0	Largest Contentful Paint element — 980 ms	~	
More information about the performance of your application. These numbers don't directly affect the Performance score.			
PASSED AUDITS (17)			



Accessibility

These checks highlight opportunities to improve the accessibility of your web app. Automatic detection can only detect a subset of issues and does not guarantee the accessibility of your web app, so manual testing is also encouraged.

CONTRAST Background and foreground colors do not have a sufficient contrast ratio. These are opportunities to improve the legibility of your content. NAMES AND LABELS Links do not have a discernible name These are opportunities to improve the semantics of the controls in your application. This may enhance the experience for users of assistive technology, like a screen reader. **NAVIGATION** Heading elements are not in a sequentially-descending order These are opportunities to improve keyboard navigation in your application. **AUDIO AND VIDEO** <video> elements contain a <track> element with [kind="captions"]

These are opportunities to provide alternative content for audio and video. This may improve the experience for users with hearing or vision impairments.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO MANUALLY CHECK (10)

Show

These items address areas which an automated testing tool cannot cover. Learn more in our guide on <u>conducting an accessibility</u> <u>review</u>.

PASSED AUDITS (9)	Show
NOT APPLICABLE (44)	Show



Best Practices

▲ Browser errors were logged to the console

~

TRUST AND SAFETY

GENERAL


Ensure CSP is effective against XSS attacks

PASSED AUDITS (13) Show

NOT APPLICABLE (3) Show

These checks ensure that your page is following basic search engine optimization advice. There are many additional factors Lighthouse does not score here that may affect your search ranking, including performance on Core Web Vitals. Learn more about Google Search Essentials.

CONTENT BEST PRACTICES



Generated by Lighthouse 12.1.0 | File an issue