GAME Documentation

GAME Development Team

Overview of schemes

- Time stepping: Runge-Kutta third order scheme (RK3). In the vertical, at every substep, implicit methods are used.
- Corolis: [1] and [8] modified by [4]
- kinetic energy: [3]

Code structure

The code of the model resides in the directory core/src. Every subdirectory in there contains a file named info containing information on the purpose and contents of this specific directory.

Performance

The speed s of a model is defined by

$$s \coloneqq \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta \tilde{t}},\tag{3.1}$$

where Δt is the time step of the model and $\Delta \tilde{t}$ is the duration it takes to integrate from one time step to the next.

NWP mode

In NWP mode, one wants to be able to integrate one day within 8.5 minutes, which corresponds to $s = \frac{24 \cdot 60}{8.5} = 169$. As a general rule, one can say that the computation time is in equal parts needed for data assimilation, the dynamical core and the processes involving moisture and radiation. Thus, the dynamical core has a minimum speed of

$$s \ge 509. \tag{4.1}$$

Grid generation

5.1 Determining the horizontal positions of grid points

5.2 Vertical grid structure

5.3 Derived quantities

Only the following 6 arrays discussed until now need to be determined explicitly:

- latitude_scalar, longitude_scalar
- from_index, to_index
- from_index_dual, to_index_dual

Everything else is determined implicitly by the grid generator. This minimizes errors.

5.3.1 Scalability

The computation time of the most expensive for loops scale with N^2 , where N is the number of horizontal grid points. This means that doubling the horizontal resolution (four times as much horizontal grid points) leads to a 16 times longer computation time of the grid generator. This is similar to the model itself, where a doubling of the horizontal and vertical resolution and a halfening of the time step leads to 16 times longer integration times. Therefore, the largely implicit formulation of the grid generator posos no problem to its performance at higher resoultions.

5.4 Grid optimization

Hexagonal spherical grids need to be optimized for numerical modeling. Therefore, the Lloyd algorithm is used, which yields a *spherical centroidal Voronoi tesselation (SCVT)* after convergence [2]. [6] gives an overview of optimization alternatives and it seems to be that the SCVT is the most suitable for modeling. The procedure employed for executing the Lloyd algorithm is the one described in [5].

5.5 Permutations of the grid points

Radiation scheme

GAME employs the so-called RTE+RRTMGP (Radiative Transfer for Energetics + Rapid and Accurate Radiative Transfer Model for General Circulation Model Applications-Parallel) [7], [9] scheme. It is bound to the C code through the API RTE-RRTMGP-C [10].

GAME documentation GAME DEVELOPMENT TEAM

Bibliography

- [1] J. Thuburn et al. Numerical representation of geostrophic modes on arbitrarily structured C-grids. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 228 (22 2009), pp. 8321–8335.
- [2] Qiang Du, Max D. Gunzburger, and Lili Ju. Constrained Centroidal Voronoi Tesselations for Surfaces. In: *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* 24.5 (Apr. 2003), pp. 1488–1506.
- [3] Almut Gassmann. A global hexagonal C-grid non-hydrostatic dynamical core (ICON-IAP) designed for energetic consistency. In: Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 139.670 (2013), pp. 152-175. DOI: 10.1002/qj.1960. eprint: https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/qj.1960. URL: https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/qj.1960.
- [4] Almut Gassmann. Discretization of generalized Coriolis and friction terms on the deformed hexagonal C-grid. In: Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 144.716 (2018), pp. 2038-2053. DOI: 10.1002/qj.3294. eprint: https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/qj.3294. URL: https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/qj.3294.
- [5] Hiroaki Miura and Masahide Kimoto. A Comparison of Grid Quality of Optimized Spherical Hexagonal-Pentagonal Geodesic Grids. In: *Monthly Weather Review* 133.10 (Oct. 2005), pp. 2817-2833. ISSN: 0027-0644. DOI: 10.1175/MWR2991.1. eprint: https://journals.ametsoc.org/mwr/article-pdf/133/10/2817/4213997/mwr2991_1.pdf. URL: https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR2991.1.
- [6] Pedro S. Peixoto and Saulo R.M. Barros. Analysis of grid imprinting on geodesic spherical icosahedral grids. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 237 (2013), pp. 61–78. ISSN: 0021-9991. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2012.11.041. URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021999112007218.
- [7] Robert Pincus, Eli J. Mlawer, and Jennifer S. Delamere. Balancing Accuracy, Efficiency, and Flexibility in Radiation Calculations for Dynamical Models. In: Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems 11.10 (2019), pp. 3074—3089. DOI: 10.1029/2019MS001621. eprint: https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/2019MS001621. URL: https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2019MS001621.
- [8] Todd Ringler et al. A unified approach to energy conservation and potential vorticity dynamics on arbitrarily structured C-grids. In: *J. Comput. Physics* 229 (May 2010), pp. 3065–3090. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcp.2009.12.007.
- [9] RTE-RRTMGP github repository. June 22, 2020. URL: https://github.com/earth-system-radiation/rte-rrtmgp.
- $[10] \quad \textit{RTE-RRTMGP-C github repository}. \ \ \text{June 22, 2020. URL: https://github.com/MHBalsmeier/rte-rrtmgp-c.}$