
REPORT NO. 3

October 6, 2024

Mohammadhadi Hadavi
Prof. Hoi-To Wai - Chinese University of Hong Kong
Prof. Wenlong Mou - University of Toronto

1 Preliminaries

Notations The Euclidean norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. The lowercase letter c and its derivatives c', c_0 , etc. denote universal numerical constants, whose value may change from line to line. As we are primarily interested in dependence of α and k , we adopt the following big- O notation: $\|f\| = \mathcal{O}(h(\alpha, k))$ if it holds that $\|f\| \leq s \cdot \|h(\alpha, k)\|$ for some constant $s > 0$.

We use of the following iteration scheme:

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \alpha (g(\theta_t, X_{t+1}) + \xi_{t+1}(\theta_t)) \quad (1)$$

1.1 Assumptions

Assumption 1 For each $X \in \mathcal{X}$, the function $g(\theta, X)$ is three times continuously differentiable in θ with uniformly bounded first to third derivatives, i.e., $\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|g^{(i)}(\theta, X)\| < \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, X \in \mathcal{X}$. Moreover, there exists a constant $L_1 > 0$ such that (1) $\|g^{(i)}(\theta, X) - g^{(i)}(\theta', X)\| \leq L_1$, for all $\theta, \theta' \in \mathbb{R}^d, i = 0, 1, 2$ and $X \in \mathcal{X}$, and (2) $\|g(0, X)\| \leq L_1$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$.

Assumption 1 implies that $g(\theta, X)$ is L_1 -Lipschitz w.r.t θ uniformly in X . The above assumption immediately implies that the growth of $\|g\|$ and $\|\bar{g}\|$ will be at most linear in θ , i.e., $\|g(\theta, X)\| \leq L_1(\|\theta - \theta^*\| + 1)$ and $\|\bar{g}(\theta)\| \leq L_1(\|\theta - \theta^*\| + 1)$.

Assumption 2 There exists $\mu > 0$ such that $\langle \theta - \theta', \bar{g}(\theta) - \bar{g}(\theta') \rangle \leq -\mu \|\theta - \theta'\|^2, \forall \theta, \theta' \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Consequently, the target equation $\bar{g}(\theta) = 0$ has a unique solution θ^* .

Denote by \mathcal{F}_k the filtration generated by $\{X_{t+1}, \theta_t, \xi_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{k-1} \cup \{X_{k+1}, \theta_k\}$.

Assumption 3 Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be given. The noise sequence $(\xi_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is a collection of i.i.d random fields satisfying the following conditions with $L_{2,p} > 0$:

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_{k+1}(\theta) | \mathcal{F}_k] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}^{1/(2p)}[\|\xi_1(\theta)^{2p}\|] \leq L_{2,p}(\|\theta - \theta^*\| + 1), \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Define $C(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[\xi_1(\theta)^{\otimes 2}]$ and assume that $C(\theta)$ is at least twice differentiable. There also exists $M_\epsilon, k_\epsilon \geq 0$ such that for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have $\max_{i=1,2} \|C^{(i)}(\theta)\| \leq M_\epsilon[1 + \|\theta - \theta^*\|^{k_\epsilon}]$. In the sequel, we set $L := L_1 + L_2$, and without loss of generality, we assume $L \geq 1$.

Assumption 4 There exists a Borel measurable function $\hat{g}: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ where for each $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d, X \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\hat{g}(\theta, X) - P_\theta \hat{g}(\theta, X) = g(\theta, X) - \bar{g}(\theta). \quad (2)$$

Assumption 5 There exists $L_{PH}^{(0)} < \infty$ and $L_{PH}^{(1)} < \infty$ such that, for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $X \in \mathcal{X}$, one has $\|\hat{g}(\theta, X)\| \leq L_{PH}^{(0)}, \|P_\theta \hat{g}(\theta, X)\| \leq L_{PH}^{(0)}$. Moreover, for $(\theta, \theta') \in \mathcal{H}^2$,

$$\sup_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \|P_\theta \hat{g}(\theta, X) - P_{\theta'} \hat{g}(\theta', X)\| \leq L_{PH}^{(1)} \|\theta - \theta'\|. \quad (3)$$

Assumption 6 For any $\theta, \theta' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have $\sup_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \|P_\theta(X, \cdot) - P_{\theta'}(X, \cdot)\|_{TV} \leq L_P \|\theta - \theta'\|$.

Assumption 7 For any $\theta, \theta' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have $\sup_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \|g(\theta, X) - g(\theta', X)\| \leq L_H \|\theta - \theta'\|$.

Assumption 8 *There exists $\rho < 1$, $K_P < \infty$ such that*

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d, X \in \mathcal{X}} \|P_\theta^n(X, \cdot) - \pi_\theta(\cdot)\|_{TV} \leq \rho^n K_P, \quad (4)$$

Lemma 1 *Assume that assumptions 6-8 hold. Then, for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $X \in \mathcal{X}$,*

$$\|\hat{g}(\theta, X)\| \leq \frac{\sigma K_P}{1 - \rho}, \quad (5)$$

$$\|P_\theta \hat{g}(\theta, X)\| \leq \frac{\sigma \rho K_P}{1 - \rho}. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, for any $\theta, \theta' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $X \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\|P_\theta \hat{g}(\theta, X) - P_{\theta'} \hat{g}(\theta', X)\| \leq L_{PH}^{(1)} \|\theta - \theta'\|, \quad (7)$$

where

$$L_{PH}^{(1)} = \frac{K_P^2 \sigma L_P}{(1 - \rho)^2} (2 + K_P) + \frac{K_P}{1 - \rho} L_H. \quad (8)$$

Proof of this lemma can be found in [1], Lemma 7.

2 Error Bound

2.1 Base Case

For the base case analysis, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_{k+1} - \theta^*\|^2] - \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_k - \theta^*\|^2] = \\ & 2\alpha \mathbb{E}[\langle \theta_k - \theta^*, g(\theta_k, X_{k+1}) \rangle] + \alpha^2 \mathbb{E}[\|g(\theta_k, X_{k+1})\|^2] + \alpha^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\xi_{k+1}(\theta_k)\|^2] = \\ & 2\alpha \mathbb{E}[\langle \theta_k - \theta^*, g(\theta_k, X_{k+1}) - \bar{g}(\theta_k) \rangle] + 2\alpha \mathbb{E}[\langle \theta_k - \theta^*, \bar{g}(\theta_k) \rangle] + \alpha^2 \mathbb{E}[\|g(\theta_k, X_{k+1})\|^2] + \alpha^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\xi_{k+1}(\theta_k)\|^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

It is easy to see that under Strong Monotonicity assumption, we have

$$\langle \theta_k - \theta^*, \bar{g}(\theta_k) \rangle = \langle \theta_k - \theta^*, \bar{g}(\theta_k) + \bar{g}(\theta^*) \rangle \leq -\mu \|\theta_k - \theta^*\|^2. \quad (10)$$

Additionally, under Assumption 1 and 3, we have the following upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha^2 (\mathbb{E}[\|g(\theta_k, X_{k+1})\|^2] + \mathbb{E}[\|\xi_{k+1}(\theta_k)\|^2]) \\ & \leq \alpha^2 (L_1^2 \mathbb{E}[(\|\theta_k - \theta^*\| + 1)^2] + L_2^2 \mathbb{E}[(\|\theta_k - \theta^*\| + 1)^2]) \\ & \leq 2\alpha^2 L^2 (\mathbb{E}[\|\theta_k - \theta^*\|^2] + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\theta_{k+1} - \theta^*\|^2] \leq (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu)) \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_k - \theta^*\|^2] + 2\alpha^2 L^2 + 2\alpha \mathbb{E}[\langle \theta_k - \theta^*, g(\theta_k, X_{k+1}) - \bar{g}(\theta_k) \rangle] \quad (12)$$

Solving this recursion gives us the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\|\theta_{k+1} - \theta^*\|^2] &\leq (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))^{k+1} \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|^2] \\ &\quad + \sum_{t=0}^k (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))^t 2\alpha^2 L^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{t=0}^k 2\alpha (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))^{k-t} \mathbb{E}[\langle \theta_t - \theta^*, g(\theta_t, X_{t+1}) - \bar{g}(\theta_t) \rangle].\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

For notational simplicity we define $\gamma_t := 2\alpha(1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))^{k-t}$ for $0 \leq t \leq k$.

The second term above is just a geometric series which is equal to $2\alpha^2 L^2 (\alpha L^2 + \mu)^k$.

Now, we can upper bound the third summand using below decomposition:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^k \gamma_t \langle \theta_t - \theta^*, g(\theta_t, X_{t+1}) - \bar{g}(\theta_t) \rangle \right] = \mathbb{E}[A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4 + A_5]\tag{14}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}A_1 &:= \sum_{t=1}^k \gamma_t \langle \theta_t - \theta^*, \hat{g}(\theta_t, X_{t+1}) - P_{\theta_t} \hat{g}(\theta_t, X_t) \rangle, \\ A_2 &:= \sum_{t=1}^k \gamma_t \langle \theta_t - \theta^*, P_{\theta_t} \hat{g}(\theta_t, X_t) - P_{\theta_{t-1}} \hat{g}(\theta_{t-1}, X_t) \rangle, \\ A_3 &:= \sum_{t=1}^k \gamma_t \langle \theta_t - \theta_{t-1}, P_{\theta_{t-1}} \hat{g}(\theta_{t-1}, X_t) \rangle, \\ A_4 &:= \sum_{t=1}^k (\gamma_t - \gamma_{t-1}) \langle \theta_{t-1} - \theta^*, P_{\theta_{t-1}} \hat{g}(\theta_{t-1} - \theta^*, X_t) \rangle, \\ A_5 &:= \gamma_0 \langle \theta_0 - \theta^*, \hat{g}(\theta_0, X_0) \rangle + \gamma_k \langle \theta_k - \theta^*, P_{\theta_k} \hat{g}(\theta_k, X_{k+1}) \rangle\end{aligned}$$

For A_1 , we note that $\hat{g}(\theta_t, X_{t+1}) - P_{\theta_t} \hat{g}(\theta_t, X_t)$ is a martingale difference sequence [cf. ?] and therefore we have $\mathbb{E}[A_1] = 0$ by taking the total expectation.

For A_2 , applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and ??, we have

$$\begin{aligned}A_2 &\leq \sum_{t=1}^k L_{PH}^{(1)} \gamma_t \|\theta_t - \theta^*\| \|\theta_t - \theta_{t-1}\| \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^k \alpha L_{PH}^{(1)} \gamma_t \|\theta_t - \theta^*\| \|g(\theta_t, X_{t+1}) + \xi_{t+1}(\theta_t)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{t=1}^k L_{PH}^{(1)} \gamma_t \|\theta_t - \theta^*\| (\alpha L_1 (\|\theta_t - \theta^*\| + 1) + \alpha L_2 (\|\theta_t - \theta^*\| + 1)) \\ &\leq \sum_{t=1}^k \frac{L_{PH}^{(1)} \gamma_t}{2} (1 + \alpha L) (1 + 3\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2)\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

where the third line follows from the Lipschitzness condition and the assumption of

$$\mathbb{E}^{1/2} [\|\xi_{t+1}(\theta_t)\|^2 | \mathcal{F}_t] \leq L_2 (\|\theta_t\| + 1)$$

also, last line follows from the identity $u \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + u^2)$.

For A_3 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3 &\leq \sum_{t=1}^k \gamma_t \|\theta_t - \theta_{t-1}\| \|P_{\theta_{t-1}} \hat{g}(\theta_{t-1}, X_t)\| \\
&\leq \sum_{t=1}^k \alpha L_{PH}^{(0)} \gamma_t \|g(\theta_t, X_{t+1}) + \xi_{t+1}(\theta_t)\| \\
&\leq \sum_{t=1}^k L_{PH}^{(0)} \gamma_t (\alpha L_1 (\|\theta_t - \theta^*\| + 1) + \alpha L_2 (\|\theta_t - \theta^*\| + 1)) \\
&\leq \sum_{t=1}^k \alpha L L_{PH}^{(0)} \gamma_t (\|\theta_t - \theta^*\| + 1)
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where second line follows from ?? and third line is similarly done to the previous part, using Lipschitzness condition and noise assumption.

For A_4 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_4 &\leq \sum_{t=1}^k |\gamma_t - \gamma_{t-1}| \|\theta_{t-1} - \theta^*\| \|P_{\theta_{t-1}} \hat{g}(\theta_{t-1}, X_t)\| \\
&\leq \sum_{t=1}^k L_{PH}^{(0)} |\gamma_t - \gamma_{t-1}| \|\theta_{t-1} - \theta^*\|
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Finally, for A_5 , we obtain

$$A_5 \leq L_{PH}^{(0)} (\gamma_0 \|\theta_0 - \theta^*\| + \gamma_k \|\theta_k - \theta^*\|) \tag{18}$$

which follows from Cacuchy-Swarz inequality and ??.

Combining the above terms and taking expectations, gives us:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^k \gamma_t \langle \theta_t - \theta^*, g(\theta_t, X_{t+1} - \bar{g}(\theta_t)) \rangle \right] &\leq \sum_{t=1}^k \frac{L_{PH}^{(1)} \gamma_t}{2} (1 + \alpha L) (1 + 3\mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2]) + \sum_{t=1}^k \alpha L L_{PH}^{(0)} \gamma_t (\mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|] + 1) + \\
&\quad \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} L_{PH}^{(0)} |\gamma_t - \gamma_{t+1}| \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|] + L_{PH}^{(0)} (\gamma_0 \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|] + \gamma_k \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_k - \theta^*\|])
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

now it should be noticed that as long as we have $\alpha \leq \frac{\sqrt{2\mu^2 + 4L^2} - \mu}{2L^2}$, we have $\gamma_{t+1} \leq \gamma_t$. Thus, we can simplify the above upper bound and write it this way:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^k \gamma_t \langle \theta_t - \theta^*, g(\theta_t, X_{t+1} - \bar{g}(\theta_t)) \rangle \right] &\leq \sum_{t=1}^k \frac{L_{PH}^{(1)} \gamma_t}{2} (1 + \alpha L) (1 + 3\mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2]) + \\
&\quad \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} L_{PH}^{(0)} ((\alpha L + 1) \gamma_t - \gamma_{t+1}) \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|] + \\
&\quad \sum_{t=1}^k \alpha L L_{PH}^{(0)} \gamma_t + L_{PH}^{(0)} ((2\gamma_0 - \gamma_1) \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|] + (\alpha L + 1) \gamma_k \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_k - \theta^*\|])
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Hence, using the derived upper bounds from the above terms, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_{k+1} - \theta^*\|^2] &\leq \sum_{t=1}^k \frac{L_{PH}^{(1)}\gamma_t}{2} (1 + \alpha L) (1 + 3\mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2]) + \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} L_{PH}^{(0)} ((\alpha L + 1)\gamma_t - \gamma_{t+1}) \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|] + \\ &\quad (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))\gamma_0 \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|^2] + L_{PH}^{(0)}(2\gamma_0 - \gamma_1) \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|] + (\alpha L + 1)L_{PH}^{(0)}\gamma_k \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_k - \theta^*\|] + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{L_{PH}^{(0)}}{L} + 1\right) \frac{\alpha L^2 (1 - (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))^k)}{(\alpha L^2 + \mu)} + (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))^k 2\alpha^2 L^2 \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

to write down this upper bound in a way in which it only depends on $\|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|$ related terms and constants, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_{k+1} - \theta^*\|^2] &\leq \sum_{t=1}^k \left[\frac{3L_{PH}^{(1)}\gamma_t}{2} (1 + \alpha L) \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2] + \frac{L_{PH}^{(1)}\gamma_t}{2} (1 + \alpha L) \right] + \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} L_{PH}^{(0)} ((\alpha L + 1)\gamma_t - \gamma_{t+1}) \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|] + \\ &\quad (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))\gamma_0 \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|^2] + L_{PH}^{(0)}(2\gamma_0 - \gamma_1) \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|] + (\alpha L + 1)L_{PH}^{(0)}\gamma_k \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_k - \theta^*\|] + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{L_{PH}^{(0)}}{L} + 1\right) \frac{\alpha L^2 (1 - (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))^k)}{(\alpha L^2 + \mu)} + (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))^k 2\alpha^2 L^2 \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^k \frac{3L_{PH}^{(1)}\gamma_t}{2} (1 + \alpha L) \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2] + \frac{L_{PH}^{(1)}}{2} (1 + \alpha L) \sum_{t=1}^k \gamma_t + \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} L_{PH}^{(0)} ((\alpha L + 1)\gamma_t - \gamma_{t+1}) \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|] + \\ &\quad (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))\gamma_0 \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|^2] + L_{PH}^{(0)}(2\gamma_0 - \gamma_1) \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|] + (\alpha L + 1)L_{PH}^{(0)}\gamma_k \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_k - \theta^*\|] + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{L_{PH}^{(0)}}{L} + 1\right) \frac{\alpha L^2 (1 - (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))^k)}{(\alpha L^2 + \mu)} + (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))^k 2\alpha^2 L^2 \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^k \frac{3L_{PH}^{(1)}\gamma_t}{2} (1 + \alpha L) \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|^2] + \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} L_{PH}^{(0)} ((\alpha L + 1)\gamma_t - \gamma_{t+1}) \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta^*\|] + \\ &\quad (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))\gamma_0 \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|^2] + L_{PH}^{(0)}(2\gamma_0 - \gamma_1) \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_0 - \theta^*\|] + (\alpha L + 1)L_{PH}^{(0)}\gamma_k \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_k - \theta^*\|] + \\ &\quad \left(\frac{L_{PH}^{(0)}}{L} + 1\right) \frac{\alpha L^2 (1 - (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))^k)}{(\alpha L^2 + \mu)} + (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))^k 2\alpha^2 L^2 + \\ &\quad \frac{L_{PH}^{(1)}(1 + \alpha L)(1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu)) \left[1 - (1 - 2\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu))^k\right]}{4\alpha(\alpha L^2 + \mu)} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where the last equality follows from the definition of γ_t 's.

2.2 General Case

In this case, we assume that the moment bound in [??] has been proven for $k \leq n-1$, we now proceed to show that the desired moment convergence holds for n with $2 \leq n \leq p$.

We start with the following decomposition of $\|\theta_{k+1} - \theta^*\|^{2n}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta_{k+1} - \theta^*\|^{2n} &= (\|\theta_k - \theta^*\|^2 + 2\alpha \langle \theta_k - \theta^*, g(\theta_k, X_{k+1}) + \xi_{k+1}(\theta_k) \rangle + \alpha^2 \|g(\theta_k, X_{k+1}) + \xi_{k+1}(\theta_k)\|^2)^n \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i,j,l \\ i+j+l=n}} \binom{n}{i,j,l} \|\theta_k - \theta^*\|^{2i} (2\alpha \langle \theta_k - \theta^*, g(\theta_k, X_{k+1}) + \xi_{k+1}(\theta_k) \rangle)^j (\alpha \|g(\theta_k, X_{k+1}) + \xi_{k+1}(\theta_k)\|)^{2l} \end{aligned}$$

We note the following cases.

1. $i = n, j = l = 0$. In this case, the summand is simply $\|\theta_k - \theta^*\|^{2i}$.
2. When $i = n-1, j = 1$ and $l = 0$. In this case, the summand is of order α , i.e., $\alpha 2n \langle \theta_k - \theta^*, g(\theta_k, X_{k+1}) + \xi_{k+1}(\theta_k) \rangle^j \|\theta_k - \theta^*\|^{2(n-1)}$. We can further decompose it as

$$\begin{aligned} & 2n\alpha \langle \theta_k - \theta^*, g(\theta_k, X_{k+1}) + \xi_{k+1}(\theta_k) \rangle \|\theta_k - \theta^*\|^{2(n-1)} \\ &= \underbrace{2n\alpha \langle \theta_k - \theta^*, g(\theta_k, X_{k+1}) - \bar{g}(\theta_k) + \xi_{k+1}(\theta_k) \rangle \|\theta_k - \theta^*\|^{2(n-1)}}_{T_1} + \underbrace{2n\alpha \langle \theta_k - \theta^*, \bar{g}(\theta_k) \rangle \|\theta_k - \theta^*\|^{2(n-1)}}_{T_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, when (X_k) is i.i.d or from a martingale noise, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[T_1 | \theta_k] = 0$$

However, when (X_k) is Markovian, the above inequality does not hold and T_1 requires careful analysis.

Nonetheless, under the strong monotonicity assumption, we have

$$T_2 \leq -2n\alpha\mu\|\theta_k - \theta^*\|^{2n}.$$

3. For the remaining terms, we see that they are of higher orders of α . Therefore, when α is selected sufficiently small, these terms do not raise concern.

Therefore, to prove the desired moment bound, we spend the remaining section analyzing T_1 . Immediately, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[T_1] &= \mathbb{E}\left[2n\alpha \langle \theta_k - \theta^*, g(\theta_k, X_{k+1}) - \bar{g}(\theta_k) + \mathbb{E}[\xi_{k+1}(\theta_k) | \theta_k] \rangle \|\theta_k - \theta^*\|^{2(n-1)}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\underbrace{2n\alpha \langle \theta_k - \theta^*, g(\theta_k, X_{k+1}) - \bar{g}(\theta_k) \rangle \|\theta_k - \theta^*\|^{2(n-1)}}_{T'_1}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Subsequently, we focus on analyzing T'_1 .

References

- [1] B. Karimi, B. Miasojedow, E. Moulines, and H.-T. Wai. Non-asymptotic analysis of biased stochastic approximation scheme. In *Conference on Learning Theory*, pages 1944–1974. PMLR, 2019.