

## CSCE 586 - Design and Analysis of Algorithms

**Date:** Wednesday 7<sup>th</sup> November, 2018

**Name:** Micah Hayden

**Assignment:** Midterm Exam - Take Home Portion, Version A

**Documentation:** I worked with 2Lt Mireles on Problem 1 to understand why utilizing a directed graph requires a second data structure to maintain the list of vertices currently in the stack (and thus not with their DFS). I worked with 2Lt Mireles on Problem 2 to understand how the convolution can help produce the result  $C(x)$  needed to calculate the force. I also consulted Ref. [4], which included in their matching problem statement a hint for the vector  $B(x)$ . I worked with 2Lts Mireles, Hanson, and Magness on Problem 3 to understand why the solution needs to start from the final column and work backwards from  $j = i = n$  because it is the only day which guarantees to process data. I consulted the algorithms at [1] to brainstorm possible solutions to problems 3 and 4.

---

## Problem 1 - Handout

### Problem Statement:

Give an  $O(|V| + |E|)$ -time algorithm to remove all cycles in a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$ . Removing a cycle means removing an edge of the cycle. If there are  $k$  cycles in  $G$ , the algorithm should only remove  $O(k)$  edges. You should try to make your algorithm as efficient as possible.

### Description of Algorithm:

This algorithm is similar to Tarjan's algorithm for finding strongly connected segments [3]. This algorithm utilizes a set  $Visited = V$  and a stack  $S$  initialized as follows:  $V \leftarrow \emptyset$  and  $S \leftarrow \emptyset$ . This algorithm also assumes that graph  $G$  is connected, otherwise there will be no way to get from all possible starting nodes  $v$  to the remaining  $G - v$  nodes.

---

#### Algorithm 1 Delete-Cycles( $S, u$ )

---

```
Push  $u$  into  $S$ 
 $V \leftarrow V \cup u$ 
for Vertices  $v_i$  incident to  $u$  do
  if  $v \notin V$  then
     $Delete - Cycles(S, v)$ 
  else if  $v \in V$  and  $v \in S$ 
    Delete edge  $u \rightarrow v$ 
  end if
end for
Pop  $u$  from  $S$ 
return
```

---

### Asymptotic Analysis:

#### Worst Case:

$Delete - Cycles(S, u)$  is a depth-first search that utilizes a stack and a set to check for cycles. Each vertex is visited at most once. At each vertex, each incident edge and respective terminal vertex ( $v$ ) is checked once: if  $v \in V$  and  $v \in S$ , the edge is deleted; otherwise,  $v$  is only visited if  $v \notin V$ . At each function call of  $Delete - Cycles(S, u)$  all operations occur in constant time. Thus, the total running time is  $O(|V| + |E|)$ , indicating that all edges must be traversed before termination, and visiting each vertex. Because of the stack utilization, deleting a cycle does not add any additional time complexity, it simply deletes the edge creating the cycle. If there are  $k$  cycles, there are  $O(k)$  delete operations.

#### Best Case:

The best case would occur when there are no cycles, and the directed graph  $G$  was a simple path, where each vertex  $v$  has 2 incident edges: one incoming and one outgoing, and the first and last have only a single outgoing and incoming edge, respectively. The total number of vertexes that must be visited is still  $\Omega(|V|)$ , while the number of edges considered for completing a cycle is  $\Omega(|E|)$ . Thus, the total best case running time is  $\Omega(|V| + |E|)$

**Average Case:**

Because both the best and worst case run time analysis produces the same bound, the function is tightly bounded by  $\Theta(|V| + |E|)$ . Thus, the average case will be bounded by the same function.

**Proof:**

Assume that after running the algorithm, there is some edge  $(u \rightarrow v)$  that creates a cycle in  $G$ . In order to create a cycle, vertex  $v$  must have already been visited from some other node  $k$ , with edge  $(k \rightarrow v)$ , this would have invoked  $Delete - Cycles(S, v)$ , which would append  $v$  to  $V$  and into stack  $S$ . This would follow path  $P$  where  $P = k \rightarrow v \rightarrow u$ , the path from  $k \rightarrow v$ , from  $v \rightarrow u$ , and finally from  $u \rightarrow v$ , and  $|P| \geq 3$ .  $Delete - Cycles(S, u)$  would encounter vertex  $v$ . Because  $v \in V$  and  $v \in S$ ,  $Delete - Cycles(S, u)$  would have deleted edge  $u \rightarrow v$ .

Because the algorithm follows a depth-first search, a vertex  $v$  is only removed from  $S$  if and only if the DFS has returned from each recursive call for node  $v'$  incident to  $v$ , and each node incident to  $v'$ , etc. down the depth of the tree. Thus, the algorithm will remove a cycle from  $G$  at the first edge that completes the cycle.

If there are  $k$  cycles, there are at most  $k$  edges that must be deleted from the graph to delete all cycles.

## Chapter 5, Problem #4

### Problem Statement:

Design an algorithm which computes all forces  $F_j$  in  $O(n \cdot \log n)$  time.

### Description of Algorithm:

This algorithm leverages the gains from utilizing a convolution such as the one in [2] for computing the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT).

Create a vector  $A(x)$  where  $A_i = q_i \cdot x^{i-1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$

Create a vector  $B(x)$  where  $B(x) = \frac{-1}{n^2} \cdot x^0, \frac{-1}{(n-1)^2} \cdot x^1, \frac{-1}{(n-2)^2} \cdot x^2, \dots, 0 \cdot x^{n+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{(n-1)^2} \cdot x^{2n}, \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot x^{2n+1}$  [4].

Determine the product polynomial  $C(x)$  using the FFT, computing the convolution of vectors  $A(x)$  and  $B(x)$  in  $O(n \cdot \log n)$  time by 5.15 [2].

Loop through  $j = 1 \dots n$ , calculating  $F_j = C \cdot q_j \cdot C(x_k)$  where  $C(x_k)$  is the term from  $C(x)$  with  $x^k$  corresponding to  $j$ .

### Asymptotic Analysis:

#### Worst Case:

The worst case evaluation of the convolution  $C(x)$  is  $O(n \cdot \log n)$  by 5.15 in [2]. The evaluation of  $F_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  is  $O(n)$  because the multiplication occurs in constant time, and there are  $n$  values to compute. Thus, the total run time is  $O(n \cdot \log n) + O(n) = \boxed{O(n \cdot \log n)}$ .

#### Best Case:

The best case would occur when there was a single particle to consider,  $n = 1$ . Because a particle cannot exert a force upon itself,  $i = j$ , the  $F_j = F_1 = 0$ . This would occur in constant time,  $\boxed{\Omega(1)}$ . However, for  $n > 1$ , the result would match the timing of the worst case. The convolution would still need to be calculated, taking  $O(n \cdot \log n)$  operations, as well as requiring  $n$  operations to calculate  $F_j$ , for any  $n > 1$ . Thus, the algorithm is  $\Theta(n \cdot \log n)$ .

#### Average Case:

The average case would produce no change to the worst case time analysis. To compute the convolution would still require  $O(n \cdot \log n)$  operations, and calculating  $F_j$  for each  $n$  would take  $n$  operations.

### Proof:

To begin, the equation for  $F_j$  must be manipulated into a form for which two vectors **a** and **b** can be convoluted, giving the desired result. When calculating  $F_j$  for particle  $j$ ,  $j$  is a constant. Thus,  $C \cdot q_j$  can be factored out from the summation.

$$F_j = \sum_{i < j} \frac{C \cdot q_i \cdot q_j}{(j-i)^2} - \sum_{i > j} \frac{C \cdot q_i \cdot q_j}{(j-i)^2}$$

$$F_j = C \cdot q_j \cdot \left[ \sum_{i < j} q_i \cdot \frac{1}{(j-i)^2} - \sum_{i > j} q_i \cdot \frac{1}{(j-i)^2} \right]$$

The summation can be combined for the convolution, noting that  $\sum_{i=j} = 0$ . The only remaining step is to determine the two vectors  $A$  and  $B$  to match the form  $\sum_{(i,j): i+j=k} a_i \cdot b_j$ . Let  $A(x) = q_i \cdot x^{i-1}$ , this gives the following for the interior of each summation:

$$Interior = \frac{A(x)}{x^{i-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{(j-i)^2}$$

$$\text{Let } B(x) = \frac{-1}{n^2} \cdot x^0, \frac{-1}{(n-1)^2} \cdot x^1, \frac{-1}{(n-2)^2} \cdot x^2, \dots, 0 \cdot x^{n+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{(n-1)^2} \cdot x^{2n}, \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot x^{2n+1}$$

If we denote  $(j - i)$  to be the distance between particles  $i$  and  $j$ , there is at most  $n - 1$  distance between the two particles. However, there is a total of  $2 \cdot (n - 1)$  possible values: if  $j = 1, i = n$ , all values are subtracted from  $F_j$ ; if  $j = n, i = 1$ , then all values are added to  $F_j$ , and if  $i = j$ , nothing is added or subtracted from  $F_j$ . By utilizing  $B(x)$  starting at  $\frac{1}{n^2}$ , it guarantees that there will be a resulting term in  $C(x)$  for every combination of  $i$  and  $j$ .

Vector  $A(x)$  is the polynomial representation of the charges  $q_i$ , and vector  $B(x)$  is a polynomial representation of the distances between two particles.

The polynomial  $C(x)$  is the convolution of the two vectors, where a term  $c_k = d \cdot x^k$  is multiplied by  $C \cdot q_j$ , producing a corresponding value for the force  $F_j$ .

$$F_j = C \cdot q_j \cdot \frac{c_k}{x^k}$$

Because the two vectors match the form given in the text, the convolution can be utilized for this calculation, proven by 5.15 [2].

**Example of Solution:** Let  $n = 3$ , and  $q_i = 10, 20, 50$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Polynomial representation of vectors:**

$$A(x) = 10 \cdot x^0 + 20 \cdot x^1 + 50 \cdot x^2$$

$$B(x) = \frac{-1}{3^2} \cdot x^0 + \frac{-1}{(3-1)^2} \cdot x^1 + \frac{-1}{(3-2)^2} \cdot x^2 + 0 \cdot x^3 + \frac{1}{(3-2)^2} \cdot x^4 + \frac{1}{(3-1)^2} \cdot x^5 + \frac{1}{3^2} \cdot x^6$$

$$B(x) = \frac{-1}{9} \cdot x^0 - \frac{1}{4} \cdot x^1 - 1 \cdot x^2 + 0 \cdot x^3 + 1 \cdot x^4 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot x^5 + \frac{1}{9} \cdot x^6$$

**Hand Calculation of Solution:**

$$j = 1$$

$$Sum = \frac{-20}{(1-2)^2} + \frac{-50}{(1-3)^2} = -20 - \frac{50}{4} = \frac{-65}{2}$$

$$j = 2$$

$$Sum = \frac{10}{(2-1)^2} + \frac{-50}{(2-3)^2} = 10 - 50 = -40$$

$$j = 3$$

$$Sum = \frac{10}{(3-1)^2} + \frac{20}{(3-2)^2} = \frac{10}{4} + 20 = \frac{45}{2}$$

**Calculated Values using Algorithm:**

$$C(x) = A(x) \cdot B(x)$$

$$C(x) = (10 + 20 \cdot x + 50 \cdot x^2) \cdot \left( \frac{-1}{9} \cdot x^0 - \frac{1}{4} \cdot x^1 - 1 \cdot x^2 + 0 \cdot x^3 + 1 \cdot x^4 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot x^5 + \frac{1}{9} \cdot x^6 \right)$$

$$C(x) = \frac{50 \cdot x^8}{9} + \frac{265 \cdot x^7}{18} + \frac{505 \cdot x^6}{9} + \frac{45 \cdot x^5}{2} - 40 \cdot x^4 - \frac{65 \cdot x^3}{2} - \frac{185 \cdot x^2}{9} - \frac{85 \cdot x}{18} - \frac{10}{9}$$

As shown in the solution,  $j = 1, 2, 3$  correspond to  $k = 3, 4, 5$ . The corresponding values of  $C_k$  match the given sums for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ .

## Chapter 6, Problem #9

### Problem Statement:

1. Give an example of an instance with the following properties: there is a "surplus" of data in the sense that  $x_i > s_1$  for every  $i$ ; the optimal solution reboots the system at least twice. In addition to the example, you should say what the optimal solution is. You do not need to provide a proof that it is optimal.
2. Give an efficient algorithm that takes values for  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$  and returns the total *number* of terabytes processed by an optimal solution.

### Part 1: Example

The below example satisfies the requirements given

	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5
x	16	16	16	16	16
s	15	4	3	2	1

On each day, there is a surplus of data  $x_i > s_1$ . The optimal solution will reboot the system on Day 2 and Day 4, giving a total output of 45 Terabytes.

### Description of Algorithm:

This algorithm will use a dynamic programming approach to calculate the optimum value of the number of terabytes processed by the solution.

Let  $OPT(i, j)$  refer to the total number of terabytes processed from day  $i$  to day  $n$ , where  $j$  is the number of days since the last reboot.

There are two cases for a given day  $i$ :

#### Case 1: Reboot

$$OPT(i, j) = OPT(i + 1, 1)$$

No work is done on day  $i$ , so the total amount of work processed will be starting on day  $i + 1$ , with  $j = 1$ .

#### Case 2: Process Day $i$

$$OPT(i, j) = OPT(i + 1, j + 1) + \min(x_i, s_j)$$

This adds the work done on day  $i$  to the total from the following day with  $j' = j + 1$  because work was done on day  $i$ . Thus, the total number of terabytes processed by the optimal solution will be found at  $OPT(1, 1)$ .

---

#### Algorithm 2 Pseudocode of Algorithm:

---

```
for  $j = 1 \rightarrow n$  do
     $OPT(n, j) \leftarrow \min(x_n, s_j)$ 
end for
for  $i = n - 1 \rightarrow 1$  do
    for  $j = 1 \rightarrow i$  do
         $OPT(i, j) = \max[OPT(i + 1, 1), \min(x_i, s_j) + OPT(i + 1, j + 1)]$ 
    end for
end for
return  $OPT(1, 1)$ 
```

---

## Asymptotic Analysis:

### Worst Case:

This algorithm has a nested for loop with  $n$  loops, where each loop consists of constant time operations. This section runs in  $O(n^2)$  time. There is another for loop of  $n$  operations to output value for the final day's work. Thus, the algorithm will terminate in  $O(n^2) + O(n) \rightarrow O(n^2)$ .

### Best Case:

Because there are no conditions to exit the algorithm more quickly, this is also the best case running time.  $f(x) = O(n^2) = \Omega(n^2)$ . Thus, the algorithm is  $\Theta(n^2)$ .

### Average Case:

Because the function is tightly bounded by  $\Theta(n^2)$ , the average case will also terminate in  $\Theta(n^2)$  time.

## Proof:

Because there are no days after day  $i = n$ , the final day will process whatever data it may. Thus,  $OPT(n, j)$  is initialized to the minimum of  $x_i$  and  $s_j$ . Each day prior to day  $i$ , there exist two options:

1. **Process Data:**  $OPT(i, j) = \min(x_i, s_j) + OPT(i + 1, j + 1)$ .
2. **Reboot:**  $OPT(i, j) = OPT(i + 1, 1)$ .

When a reboot occurs, no additional information is processed, but  $j = 1$  on the following day (with  $i' = i + 1$ ). When you process on Day  $i$ , the total amount processed equals the previous total (found from  $i + 1$  and  $j + 1$ ) plus the minimum value of  $x_i$  and  $s_j$ . The algorithm will iteratively build the solution starting from  $OPT(n, j)$  to  $OPT(1, 1)$ .

Because we start from day  $i = n$  and work back to day  $i = 1$ , adding an optimal (maximum) value at each step, the value of  $OPT(1, 1)$  will be the optimal solution.

## Chapter 6, Problem #12

### Problem Statement:

We want to replicate a file over a collection of  $n$  servers, labeled  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ . Placing a file at  $S_i$  incurs a *placement cost* of  $c_i > 0$ . If a user requests the file from server  $S_i$ , and no file is present:  $S_{i+1}, S_{i+2}, \dots$  are searched until the file is found at server  $S_j$ . This results in a *access cost* of  $j - i$ .

A *configuration* is a choice, for server  $S_i$  with  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ , of whether to place a copy at  $S_i$  or not.

The *total cost* of the configuration is the sum of all placement costs for servers with a copy of the file, plus the sum of all access costs associated with all  $n$  servers.

Give a polynomial-time algorithm to find a configuration of minimum total cost.

### Description of Algorithm:

This algorithm will utilize a dynamic approach to build the optimal solution  $OPT(n)$ . Note, the final server  $S_n$  will always have the file to ensure termination. Let  $OPT(i)$  be the minimum cost over servers  $1 \rightarrow i$ .

---

**Algorithm 3** Dynamic Programming for Minimum Server Cost

---

```
Let  $OPT(0) = 0$  and  $\binom{1}{2} = 0$ 
for  $1 \leq j \leq (n - 1)$  do
     $OPT(j) \leftarrow c_j + \min_{0 \leq i < j} (OPT(i) + \binom{j-i}{2})$ 
end for
 $OPT(n) = OPT(n - 1) + c_n$ .
return  $OPT(n)$ 
```

---

### Asymptotic Analysis:

#### Worst Case:

There are two nested for loops: one looping through  $j$  (computing  $OPT(j)$ ), and the other looping through values of  $0 \leq i < j$ . There is a constant amount of work done in each loop, resulting in  $O(n^2)$  for the loops. To walk through the values of  $OPT(n)$  to output the configuration requires at most  $n$  operations, resulting in a total asymptotic run-time of  $O(n^2) + O(n) = O(n^2)$ .

#### Best Case:

At best, each server is still considered because it is an iterative solution. There are  $n$  outer loops, and  $i = j$  inner loops. The total number of inner loops is  $\frac{n+0}{2}$ , which is equivalent to the average value of  $j$ . Thus, at best, the algorithm runs in  $\Omega(n \cdot \frac{n}{2}) = \Omega(n^2)$ .

#### Average Case:

Because the algorithm is tightly bounded by  $f(x) = n^2$ , the algorithm is  $\Theta(n^2)$ , which will be the run time for best, worst, and average.

### Proof:

Consider a server  $i$  and its respective cases:

1. File placed at  $S_i$ : incurs cost of  $c_i$
2. File not at  $S_i$ : this incurs the access cost  $j - i$ , for some  $j > i$ .

Case 1 is simple to consider, it is simply the placement cost at  $S_i$ .

Case 2 requires some additional consideration to get into a workable form for a dynamic programming algorithm. For every server  $S_{i'}$  where  $i < i' < j$ , there will be an access cost of  $j - i'$ . Assume there is a copy of the file at  $S_i$ . Thus,

the below calculation follows:

$$S_i \rightarrow S_j = c_i + \sum_{k=i+1}^j (j - k) + c_j$$

This sum can be written as the average value of an arithmetic sum with  $n = j - i$  terms,  $a_1 = (j - i - 1)$ , and  $a_n = j - j = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Sum &= \frac{(a_n + a_1)}{2} \cdot n \\ &= \frac{(j - i - 1) \cdot (j - i)}{2} \\ &= \frac{(j - i) \cdot (j - i - 1)}{2} \cdot \frac{(j - i - 2)!}{(j - i - 2)!} \\ &= \frac{(j - i)!}{(j - i - 2)! \cdot 2!} \\ Sum &= \binom{j - i}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $OPT(i)$  contains the optimal solution up to  $S_i$ .

**Base Case:**

$OPT(1) = c_1$  At the next step,  $OPT(2) = c_2 + \min(OPT(1) + (2 - 1))$ . This would result in the cost of placing it at  $S_2$ , plus the minimum between the cost at  $S_1$  and the access cost to get from  $S_1$  to  $S_2$ .

At each step of the algorithm,  $OPT(j)$  returns the minimum value of the current placement cost  $c_j$ , previous optimal placement  $OPT(i)$ , and the total access cost from servers  $S_{i+1} \rightarrow S_j$ . The configuration can be found simply by walking through the array of  $OPT$  values from  $j = n \rightarrow j = 1$  in  $O(n)$  time.

## References

- [1] *CS-180 Algorithm Design*. URL: <https://github.com/weimin/CS-180>.
- [2] Jon Kleinberg and Eva Tardos. *Algorithm Design*. Pearson Education. ISBN: 978-93-325-1864-3.
- [3] *Tarjan's Algorithm to find Strongly Connected Components*. URL: <https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/tarjan-algorithm-find-strongly-connected-components/>.
- [4] Lenore Zuck. *CS401*. URL: <https://www.cs.uic.edu/~i401/ass2-f12-part1.pdf>.