Team: **S2-CB03-Group 5**

Date: **10/06/2021**

Minutes prepared by: **Luc van der Putten**

Time: **15:30 – 16:00**

Location: **Microsoft Teams**

Purpose of the meeting: **Discuss Technical peer review**

Attendance: **Everybody  (Alessandro Busacchi – Maarten Hormes – Luca Pulvirenti – Nikola Kličková – Luc van der Putten)**

**Agenda**

Topic

* Discuss technical peer review

Discussion

* Schedule code seems clean
* Way of class implementation is the same
* No particular tips from Alessandro and Luc
* Schedule code was easily readable
* Invited Maarten to read doc
* Could have used more base classes throughout the project
* Reason for no schedule base class is that they work different. Interface could be good replacement
* Code structure is not bad
* No comments but no big concern
* Further improvement remove logic from DB
* Long if else statement in Schedule Handler
* Long if else could be changed to a single statement using Type Casting
* In general the product management is readable, naming is good and consistent
* Some Property names can be confusing(Quantity S and Quantity WH)
* Lack of comments
* Inheritance wouldn’t help in product management
* Lot of Properties are public, make setters private
* Lot of employee management feedback similar
* Could use one extra class for DB management
* Code could be more structured
* Write comments and regroup methods to make it structured better
* Possibly splitting logic and database
* Possible base class or interface
* Inheritance could be used in Employee Management
* RegIx could be moved to a separate class
* Have a class to check data validity
* There is a Form called Form1, this is not the best practice
* Expected to write process report for the week 18 deadline