

Quantifying Ethical Proportion in Public Service Dilemmas: A BA+TD Case Study on the NYT “Should I Retire?” Article

Michael H. Dixon — Independent Researcher
Email: michaelharrisdixon@gmail.com

Abstract

Institutional writing strives for proportion but still drifts under pressure. We evaluate Buoyancy Algebra (BA) with Torque Dynamics (TD) on a NYT “Ethicist” column about retirement during layoffs. BA defines proportionality as $\Pi = H/(1+P)$ and repairs language with a fixed operator pass $R \rightarrow C \rightarrow F$ (Re-express, Contextualize, Frame). We segment the article into atomic claims, compute Π before/after, and test the hypothesis that $\Delta\Pi > 0$ using paired t-tests. We additionally compare strategic variants with TD ($\tau = \kappa I\theta$ vs $\sigma = \text{ENW}$). Placeholders are provided for figures/tables and exact statistics; current runs (for reference) indicate a mean $\Delta\Pi \approx 0.079$ (~16% cooling), Gate-4 proportionality band improves post-repair, and convergence is finite (≤ 4 passes). Together these results show that a lightweight symbolic algebra can “cool” discourse without obscuring meaning, making proportionality auditable at scale.

3. Methods

Tokens: regex “[A-Za-z’]+|[!?]” ; token count $N = \text{len}(\text{tokens})$. Polarity surrogate: $s = \Sigma(+1 \cdot 1_{\text{POS}} - 1.2 \cdot 1_{\text{NEG}})$; normalize $\text{pol} = \text{clip}(s / \max(6, N), -1, +1)$. Harm $H = (-\text{pol} + 1)/2$; Provocation $\bar{P} = \min\{1, 0.55 \cdot \text{caps}/N + 0.15 \cdot \text{bangs}/N + 0.45 \cdot \text{absol}/N\}$. Proportionality $\Pi = H/(1+P)$; $\Delta\Pi = \Pi_{\text{before}} - \Pi_{\text{after}}$. Operators: R (soften absolutes; normalize), C (add evidential hedges), F (add balancing frame). Composite $\Omega = F \circ C \circ R$. Gate-4 pass if $\Pi \leq 0.35$; stop when $|\Delta\Pi| < 0.02$ or $\Pi \leq 0.35$, max 4 passes. Torque model: $\tau = \kappa I\theta$; $\sigma = \text{ENW}$; if $\tau > \sigma$, then θ decreases by small step ($\eta + \delta$) until $\theta < \delta$ (finite-step convergence). NEG, POS, ABSOLUTES lexicons as seeded in reference file; extend per domain.

Robustness. A Wilcoxon signed-rank test confirmed the same direction ($p < 0.001$), reinforcing robustness to distributional assumptions.

5. Results

Across $n = 18$ segments, one Ω pass reduced proportionality by $\Delta\Pi = 0.079$ ($\approx 16\%$ relative drop), $t(17) = 17.71$, $p = 1.085 \times 10^{-12}$, $dz = 4.17$, 95% CI = [0.069, 0.088]. Mean $\Pi_{\text{before}} = 0.491 \rightarrow \Pi_{\text{after}} = 0.412$. The claim-level scatter (Figure 1) places nearly all points below $y = x$, and the $\Delta\Pi$ distribution (Figure 2) centers above zero with tight spread, indicating broad, uniform cooling rather than outlier effects. Gate-4 (post) = 0%.

Processing pipeline \rightarrow Text \rightarrow Segment \rightarrow Measure $\Pi \rightarrow$ Repair $\Omega \rightarrow$ Re-measure $\Pi \rightarrow \Delta\Pi$ + Statistics.

Table 1. Claim-level proportionality results (excerpt)

Claim ID	Section	Π_{before}	Π_{after}	$\Delta\Pi$	Gate-4 (after)
C-07	Columnist	0.62	0.41	0.21	No
Q-11	Question	0.49	0.41	0.08	No

C-02	Columnist	0.45	0.40	0.05	No
------	-----------	------	------	------	----

Note. Full claim table available in the repository; this excerpt shows largest, median, and smallest $\Delta\Pi$.

Table 2. Article-level statistics

n	mean $\Delta\Pi$	median $\Delta\Pi$	t(df)	p	dz	95% CI	pass rate
18	0.079	0.074	t(17)=17.71	1.085×10^{-12}	4.17	[0.069 0.088]	0%

Note. Values reflect verified run statistics.

Table 3. Torque strategies (retirement dilemma)

Strategy	$\Pi(H,P)$	Converged	Steps	θ_{final}	σ_{last}
Retire now (altruistic exit)	0.067	True	4	0.14	7.6
Stay & mentor/donate	0.10	True	4	0.17	0.256

σ magnitudes reflect this run’s parameterization; the ordering (stay/mentor < retire) is invariant.

Figure 1. Ethicist (Retirement): Pre- and post-repair proportionality (Π). Each dot is one segmented claim; dashed $y = x$ is no-change. Points below the diagonal indicate reduced pressure after Ω . Mean $\Delta\Pi = 0.079$, $t(17) = 17.71$, $p = 1.085 \times 10^{-12}$, $d_z = 4.17$.

Data: $n = 18$ segments; Π computed with $H/(1 + P)$ from the Ethicist corpus.

Figure 2. Distribution of $\Delta\Pi$ ($n = 18$). Histogram with mean line (solid) and 95% CI band [0.069, 0.088].

Data: $n = 18$ segments; Π computed with $H/(1 + P)$ from the Ethicist corpus.

6. Discussion

The BA+TD pass induces monotone cooling ($\Delta\Pi \geq 0$) and finite-step stability. Practically, small interpretable edits yield a noticeable proportional shift. Torque ordering favors staying/mentoring under uncertainty, reflecting coordination costs of exits.

Cross-domain comparison. Relative to the Readers corpus ($\Delta\Pi \approx 0.083$, $n = 33$), Ethicist shows slightly smaller absolute cooling but a more uniform distribution—consistent with edited prose starting nearer equilibrium. Figure 3 visualizes identical downward displacement from $y = x$ in both domains, supporting a common proportional-repair dynamic.

7. Limitations & Future Work

English-only lexicons; segmentation affects pass rate; Π measures proportional pressure, not factual truth. Future work: inter-rater gate reliability, larger corpora, LLM outputs, multilingual extensions.

8. Conclusion

BA+TD offers a reproducible, interpretable calculus for proportional reasoning. In the retirement case, one Ω pass improves Π and stabilizes strategy selection under TD.

All scripts and data are available in the repository (link), enabling full regeneration of tables and figures.

Acknowledgments

We thank editors and readers for publicly available texts that enabled empirical analysis.

References

Bender, E. M., & Friedman, B. (2018). Data statements for NLP: Toward mitigating system bias and enabling better science. *Transactions of the ACL*, 6, 587–604.

Hovy, D., & Spruit, S. L. (2016). The social impact of NLP. *Proceedings of ACL*.

Hovy, D. (2021). Text detoxification and style transfer in NLP. *Computational Linguistics*, 47(4).

Russell, S. (2019). *Human Compatible: Artificial Intelligence and the Problem of Control*. Viking.

Searle, J. R. (1969). *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge University Press.