

Model Card

Task: Image-to-Image translation

0. Card Metadata

Creation date: —

Versioning

- Version number: —
 - Version changes: —
-

1. Model Basic Information

Name: —

Creation date: —

Versioning

- Version number: —
- Version changes: —

Model scope

- Summary: —
- Anatomical site: —

Clearance

- Type: —

Approved by

- Name(s): —
- Institution(s): —
- Contact email(s): —

Observed limitations: —

Type of learning architecture: —

Developed by

- Name: —
- Institution(s): —

– Contact email(s): —

Conflict of interest: —

Software licence: —

2. Technical specifications

2.1 Model overview

Model pipeline

- Summary: —
- Model inputs: ['4DCBCT']
- Model outputs: ['CT']
- Pre-processing: —
- Post-processing: —

2.2 Learning architecture(s)

Learning architecture 1

Field	Value
Total number of trainable parameters	—
Number of inputs	—
Input content	—
Input size	—
Number of outputs	—
Output content	—
Output size	—
Loss function	—
Batch size	—
Regularisation	—
Uncertainty quantification techniques	—
Explainability techniques	—

2.3 Hardware & software

No hardware and software details specified.

3. Training Data Methodology and Information

Fine tuned form

- Model name: —
- URL/DOI to model card: —
- Tuning technique: —

Training Dataset

General information

- Total size: —
- Number of patients: —
- Source: —
- Acquisition period: —
- Inclusion / exclusion criteria: —
- Type of data augmentation: —
- Strategy for data augmentation: —

Technical specifications

4DCBCT (model_inputs)

Field	Value
Image resolution	NA
Patient positioning	neck-toes
Scan(s) manufacturer and model	—
Scan acquisition parameters	—
Scan reconstruction parameters	—
FOV	—

CT (model_outputs)

Field	Value
Image resolution	—
Patient positioning	—
Scan(s) manufacturer and model	NA

Field	Value
Scan acquisition parameters	—
Scan reconstruction parameters	—
FOV	—

- Reference standard: —
- Reference standard QA: —

Patient demographics and clinical characteristics

- Age: —
- Sex: —

Validation strategy: —

Validation data partition: —

Model choice criteria: —

Inference method: —

4. Evaluation Data Methodology, Results and Commissioning

1 1

Evaluation date: —

Evaluated by

- Name(s): —
- Institution(s): —
- Contact email(s): —
- Same as 'Approved by': No

Evaluation frame: —

Evaluation dataset

General information

- Total size: —
- Number of patients: —
- Source: —
- Acquisition period: —
- Inclusion / Exclusion criteria: —

– URL info: —

Technical specifications

4DCBCT (model_inputs)

Field	Value
Image resolution	NA
Patient positioning	neck-toes
Scan(s) manufacturer and model	—
Scan acquisition parameters	—
Scan reconstruction parameters	—
FOV	—

CT (model_outputs)

Field	Value
Image resolution	—
Patient positioning	—
Scan(s) manufacturer and model	NA
Scan acquisition parameters	—
Scan reconstruction parameters	—
FOV	—

– Reference standard: —

– Reference standard QA: —

Patient demographics and clinical characteristics

– Age: —

– Sex: —

Quantitative evaluation

Qualitative evaluation

Evaluators information: —

Likert scoring

– Method: —

– Results: —

Turing test

- Method: —
- Results: —

Time saving

- Method: —
- Results: —

Other

- Method: —
- Results: —

Explainability: —**Citation details:** —

5. Other considerations

No other considerations provided.
