

Alberta's Oil Sands EROI Multi-Objective Optimization

Summer 2015

Abstract

We evaluate the Energy Return on Investment (EROI) for the symbiotic development of oil sands using renewable systems from a Multi-Objective Optimization (MOP) approach.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Problem Domain	3
1.2	Motivation	4
1.3	Methods	5
2	Modelling	5
2.1	Model Generation	5
2.2	Input Parameters	7
2.3	Complete Model	7
3	Simulation	7
3.1	Algorithm Design	7
3.2	Techniques	7
4	Optimization Analysis	8
4.1	Discrete Optimization	8
4.2	Cominatorial Optimization	9
4.3	Stochastic Optimization	9
4.4	Multi-objective optimization	9
4.5	Performance Assessment	9
5	Results	9
5.1	EROI Models	9

6	Conclusion	10
7	References	11

1 Introduction

1.1 Problem Domain

Context

The development of the oil sands industry has experienced two challenging events in the past months. First, the continuous drop of oil price and, second, the rejection of Keystone XL by the Obama administration. As a consequence, oil companies have started to pull the plug on Alberta expansions and cutting down the expenses under a break-even threshold analyst say is needed to justify a brand new oil sand expansion. Furthermore, companies are trimming spending plans and reducing workforces in response.

Within this context, two important questions arise:

1. How could producers be more efficient and develop new technologies that lower production and maintenance costs?
2. How could companies cut costs and eliminate inefficiencies in the industry

In this paper, we hope to provide some insights to these questions by evaluating Alberta's Energy Return on Investment (EROI) from a multi-objective optimization approach.

Current Supply & Demand

Current studies show that there is presently more supply than demand for the oil industry. In this paper, we are interested in studying supply versus demand from supply "need" point of view. The price of oil has been fluctuating a lot in the past months, yet people's basic needs have been evolving more slowly. The price of gas is not considerably lower and we want to address the issue of supply from a concrete need of the population. We will talk about elastic and inelastic demand.

The price for a barrel was above US\$100 in 2013 [2], however, companies were making their highest profits back in 2007 when the price of a barrel of oil was US\$54 [3]. Therefore, industries were making four times as much profit as they were making it today. As the price of a barrel of oil went up, so did all the supplier costs and third party services. Now, the price has significantly dropped, but the cost of suppliers and other vendors have remained the same.

1.2 Motivation

In this paper we study Alberta's oil sands EROI as a multi-objective optimization problem in order to show that if we were to use renewables as a symbiotic approach for the development of oil sands as describe in [1], then not only we can achieve a carbon-free industry, but also a more profitable industry that can benefit both the government and oil producers.

In this paper, we will focus on:

1. Modelling

- We create a basic model.
- We feed the model input parameters
- We generate a complete model which we will then test. We will not know if the model created is useful or not, so we perform optimization analysis to figure out.

2. Simulating

- We identify scenarios based on the mdel we built
- We simulate those senarios to test if the new configuration is better thant the conventional configuration
- Simulate on different objectives and see. Conclude different propositions as a result.

3. Optimazing

- Based on the configuration, we identify the most important factors, variables, and which elements take into account.
- We test the configuration with all optimization algorithms to find a set of pareto optimal solutions
- If no solution is found, explain why

The research within the oil sands industry is not a simple and direct Minimizing/Maximizing optimization problem where we are trying to minimize the CO₂ amount while maximizing profits, but it is rather a modern complex optimization problem combining solutions from Discrete, Combinatorial, Stochastic, and Multi-Objetive algorithms.

In this paper, we discuss the combination of multiple methods to find a better configuration than the conventional configuration through meta-huristic

algorithms.

We get DATA \rightarrow We build Models by training exact patterns \rightarrow We filter models by Applying rules \rightarrow Visualize One Configuration Map \rightarrow we evaluate this configuration map. \rightarrow we propose a set of solutions within this configuration map.

1.3 Methods

Methodology:

1. First Approach: Brute-Force. Find out how much time it takes for the computer to find a solution. If we need to change a specific variable (say the price of oil again), then it will take again a certain amount of time to solve.
2. Second Approach: try to cut down the time by a more global approach:
1) Branch and Bound 2) Tabu Search . We will test how this evolves and how different scenarios produce different solutions through these methods.
3. Third Approach: test in parallel all Meta-Heuristics: 1) VEGA 2) TAPAS 3) ETC.
4. Final Approach: take approx solutions to approx problems.

2 Modelling

2.1 Model Generation

We address the Oil Sands EROI problem from the following perspective:

Definition: Define B the cost of a barrel of oil in the market, E the energy required to develop a barrel of oil, C the cost of producing 1 barrel of oil with a given method, P the profit made by the oil company exploiting the bitumen, M the maintenance, and X the amount of CO₂ released to the atmosphere to produce such barrel. of oil We want to model the following cost vector v as a multi-objective optimization problem:

$$v = \langle B, E, C, P, M, X \rangle$$

We specify what we mean by P . If different companies have different profits then we talk about another vector of prices, different companies have different

prices associated with the landscape they work on, hence P is also a cost vector defined as

$$P = \langle R, \#bbl, T \rangle$$

Where R is the associated region the oil company works, $\#bbl$ is the number of barrel of oil produced within T days

We want to study this cost vector v based on different scenarios (or weights on different variables) and different methods of producing a barrel of oil using renewables. Depending on our decisions, we will weight some variables more than others. This vector v will serve more and an indicator of performance for different EROI models. As an analogy, consider how different organizations provide university rankings based on different criteria (number of PhDs, research grants, Nobel Prize winners, etc), this vector will behave in a similar basis. Changing variable weights will return different solutions for different scenarios.

This EROI analysis We can bring this discussion to the table. If we agree to weight into a certain variable more than another one, we the democratically judge what model to focus on based on which multi-objective function. This will clarify the choices of the model we will expand our work on. It will come down to how we weight these variables in question that will define the best working model for the problem. Ultimately, there is no mathematics that does this, it will depend on human decisions.

In this paper, we analyze the EROI problem through different situations to find which variables do we have control over, which variables we do not have control over (parameters and coefficients), and which variables we want to optimize. In this paper, we want to show that the vector

$$v_{wind+solar} = \langle B, E_{wind} + E_{solar}, C_{wind} + C_{solar}, P_{wind+solar}, X_{wind+solar} \rangle$$

yields better EROI while proving to be a good solutions towards a green future for Alberta.

In other words, we want the following linear combination:

$$P_{wind+solar} = \underbrace{\alpha \times E_w \times C_w}_{\text{EROI Wind Energy}} + \underbrace{\beta \times E_s \times C_s}_{\text{EROI Solar Energy}}$$

In this paper we show that $\beta \times E_s \times C_s \approx 0$ does not prove to be a good EROI, hence $P \approx \alpha \times E_w \times C_w$

2.2 Input Parameters

To study a more detailed model, we also input parameters in our backbone model such as:

- Peak Power of wind turbine
- Peak Power of solar panel
- Reinvestment policy into buying more wind turbines or more solar powers
- Cost per Watt

2.3 Complete Model

We provide a more detailed cost vector function.

3 Simulation

3.1 Algorithm Design

We want to:

- Design and Development of an Algorithm
- Run and test this algorithm

3.2 Techniques

- The first approach will be by exhaustive enumeration (brute force).
- Second approach is a branch and bound approach where we are interested in cutting down the number of cases to consider in a decision tree. To explore the heuristics, we take this variable in the grid. We try with another variable. Some discrete variables can be used as continuous.
- We try to find a pareto optimal. But first, we go by scenario-approach. We look for pareto local solutions. We look at different pareto local solutions based on different scenarios. Looking at the model, we might introduce new constraints that we never thought before. This process allows to illicit their constraints or needs depending on the choices we make. Then, we can possibly arrive at a pareto optimal solution.

1. Using generic algorithms (meta-heuristics)

2. Non-scalar and non-pareto approach: VEGA (Vector Evaluated Genetic Algorithm)
3. Target Mining Pareto Search (TAPAS)
4. Path Relinking Algorithm: Neighborhood Exploration. Add graph.
5. Path Linking Method. We look at the neighborhood of the solution space. 2-objective functions gives birth to 2 grids. Cube space (a,b,c) a = Wind, b = Solar, c = Mining. Different configurations can represent something interesting things. Our topology map will be encoded based on our constraints. Then we need to establish rules, for instance, (1,1,0) can be next to (1,0,1), but not next to (0,1,1) we encode the solution structure. Given X, this is the neighborhood.
6. Domination Dependent lifetime: maximal lifetime is assigned to each wind turbine. The lifetime is shortened if the solution dominates a major part of the present non-dominated solutions. This limits the impact of the solution.

4 Optimization Analysis

A multi-objective optimization problem consists in a set of objectives (discrete variables) to which we associate a cost function we want to optimize. The set of optimized solutions is the pareto optimal set.

In the pursuit of finding a pareto optimal solution, we will study different pareto optimums which represent different choices because our cost vector v does not have more information. We give the pareto optimum solutions scenarios, we cannot distinguish them if we don't weight in some variables more than others, so we are left with a choice. Then, based on that choice, we will show what we have found.

4.1 Discrete Optimization

The configuration network is a discrete because the choice of putting a turbine or not is also discrete (yes or not).

how many do we place? a bit less a bit more? amortize it.

4.2 Combinatorial Optimization

There're many heuristics exist for this type of problem such as Tabu Search or the Genetic Algorithm, in concrete it is a problem with complex structural optimization.

4.3 Stochastic Optimization

When talking about the functioning of the turbine, there are data problems. Here we can talk about machine learning because the turbine needs to learn when to shut down when there is no wind. This is stochastic optimization problem. We have a real system where the wind is random variable, we have the choice of keeping the turbine on or to turn it off, and if we don't do this at the right time, turbine could be non-functioning anymore.

4.4 Multi-objective optimization

we want to maximize something and minimize something. Is there a direct relationship? Maybe be not. This what we call multi-objective optimization (profit, CO₂). When we have conflicting variables, there are artificial trade-offs.

CO₂ evaluation methods are highly political. Need to play with this 2 tables (profit, CO₂)

4.5 Performance Assessment

- How to measure the quality of the solution?
- How much time does it take to generate the solution set?
- What we find in this paper is that we don't find a single solution, but rather a set of solutions based on trade-offs

5 Results

5.1 EROI Models

CO₂ Free solution long term

- More progress towards returning the landscape back

Sample results;;

- More \$ for refineries and pipelines
- (SAMPLE) The technology resulted in an additional 1,600 barrels of bitumen per day being recovered from just one vessel. The figure means that 50% less material ends up in tailing ponds, and at oil prices \$50 per barrel translates into an additional 30 million in annual revenues for Suncor.

6 Conclusion

The big picture: what do we propose? and to whom do we propose to? Then a several things to consider.

We proposed the EROI problem from a multi-objective optimization problem. We showed what can we generate different models based on input parameters. We showed that those created models represent different scenarios (or configurations) which could give a set of solutions or not.

If there is something in these results that is of interest to the energy sector we then plan to expand on that specific branch and show what has to be done for that to happen.

We showed that this set of configurations performs better than the conventional configuration in terms of EROI provided the simulation scenarios.

The difference with this problem and other problem in industry is that at the end of the day we have a choice. We can go further and propose things to politicians and oil companies. We can propose things, see how the problem is approached, and find a better balance between profit margins and public safety .

Process: End user action focusing → High Order Complex relationship → Transparency (Provide an easy way to understand outcomes instead of black box approaches) → Multiple Data Sources (handling Unstructured data)

7 References

- [1] Slocum et al. *A Symbiotic Approach for the Development of Oil Sands*.
- [2] Smith, Aaron. *Oil prices at 2013 high above \$103 a barrel*. Retrieved on June 29, 2015 from <http://money.cnn.com/>
- [3] Tencer, Daniel. *CNRL's Steve Laut Says Oilsands Face 'Death Spiral' If They Don't Cut Costs*. Retrieved on February 19, 2015 from <http://www.huffingtonpost.ca/>