

points

Choose true statements about text tokens.

Lemmatization is always better than stemming

Un-selected is correct

Correct

This is true. Word2vec embeddings, for instance, are trained on raw tokens.

Lemmatization needs more storage than stemming to work

A model without stemming/lemmatization can be the best

Correct

This is true, you have to store information about all possible word forms in the

vocabulary.

Stemming can be done with heuristic rules

Yeah, Porter stemmer works this way.

some of the words:

2.



Word Stem Lemma operate oper operate

Imagine you have a texts database. Here are stemming and lemmatization results for



operating operating oper operates oper operates operation operation oper operative oper operative operatives operative oper operational operational oper Imagine you want to find results in your texts database using the following queries: operating system (we are looking for articles about OS like Windows or Linux) operates in winter (we are looking for machines that can be operated in winter)

and texts. Compare stemming and lemmatization for a given query and choose the correct statements.

Before execution of our search we apply either stemming or lemmatization to both query

- Stemming provides higher precision for operating system query.
- Un-selected is correct

Lemmatization provides higher precision for operates in winter query.

Stemming provides higher F1-score for operating system query.

a lot of relevant forms like operational.

This is true, but it would loose a lot of other relevant forms.

Un-selected is correct

Stemming provides higher recall for operates in winter query.

This is true, lemmatization would only find exact matches with operates and lose

Un-selected is correct

Un-selected is correct

tokens in the dataset.

Correct

Choose correct statements about bag-of-words (or n-grams) features. You get the same vectorization result for any words permutation in your text.

For bag-of-words features you need an amount of RAM at least proportional to N imes T , where N is the number of documents, T is the number of unique

points

3.

tokens in the dataset.

proportional to vocabulary size to operate.

This is true, you have to store a hash map {token: index} to be able to vectorize new texts.

Hashing vectorizer (object that does vectorization) needs an amount of RAM

Classical bag-of-words **vectorizer** (object that does vectorization) needs an amount of RAM at least proportional to T, which is the number of unique

We prefer **sparse** storage formats for bag-of-words features.

efficiently in sparse formats (look at

Let's consider the following texts:

Term frequency (TF)

raw count

term frequency

log normalization

Inverse document frequency (IDF)

• N = |D| – total number of documents in corpus

Correct

Un-selected is correct

This is true. We have a lot of zeros in these features, that's why we can store them

sklearn.feature_extraction.text.TfidfVectorizer and scipy.sparse.csr.csr_matrix).

Let's count **Term Frequency** here as a distribution over tokens in a particular text, for

• tf(t,d) – frequency for term (or n-gram) t in document d

example for text "good one" we have TF = 0.5 for "good" and "one" tokens.

 did not like i like it good one

good movie

not a good movie

4.

· Variants:

TF weight weighting scheme 0, 1binary

• $|\{d \in D: t \in d\}|$ – number of documents where the term tappears • $\operatorname{idf}(t, D) = \log \frac{N}{|\{d \in D: t \in d\}|}$ What is the **sum** of TF-IDF values for 1-grams in "good movie" text? Enter a math expression as an answer. Here's an example of a valid expression: log(1/2)*0.1. Preview

 $1 + \log(f_{t,d})$

Reveal correct answer

 $-0.75\log(3) + 1.5\log(5)$

(0.5+0.25)*(log(5/3)+log(5))

Incorrect Response

SVM

points

Naive Bayes

What models are usable on top of bag-of-words features (for 100000 words)?

Correct

Correct

Logistic Regression Correct

Un-selected is correct

Decision Tree

Un-selected is correct

Gradient Boosted Trees

5.

points





