# Protocol Audit Report Katlego Molokoane April 7, 2025



# Protocol Audit Report

Version 1.0

 $Katlego\ Molokoane$ 

# Protocol Audit Report

# Katlego Molokoane April 7, 2025

Prepared by: Katlego Molokoane

## **Table of Contents**

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
  - Roles
- Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- Findings
  - High
    - \* [H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private
    - \* [H-2] The PasswordStore::setPasword function has no access control, meaning a non-owner could change the password
  - Informational
    - \* [I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that does not exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect

## **Protocol Summary**

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's password. It is designed to be used by a single user, and not multiple users. Futhermore, only the owner should be able to both set and access the password.

#### Disclaimer

Katlego Molokoane's team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

### **Risk Classification**

		Impact		
Likelihood	High Medium Low	High H H/M M	Medium H/M M M/L	Low M M/L L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

### **Audit Details**

The findings described in this document correspond with the following commit hash:

 $7\,d55682\,ddc4301a7b13ae9413095feffd9924566$ 

## Scope

### Roles

Owner: The user who can set the password and read the password.

Outsider: No one else should be able to set or read the password.

## **Executive Summary**

Spent 12 hours reviewing the PassordStore contract together with the test suites. Only fuzz tests and unit tests were used as this is a minimalist contract.

#### Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Informational	1
Total	3

## **Findings**

## High

# [H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private

**Description:** All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone, and can be read directly from the blockchain. The PasswordStore::s\_password variable is intended to be a private variable and only accessed through the PasswordStore::getPassword function, which is intended to be only called by the owner of the contract.

**Impact:** Anyone can read the private password, severly breaking thr functionality of the protocol.

#### **Proof of Concept:**

The below test case shows how anyone (including non owners) can read the password directly from the blockchain

1. Create a locally running chain

make anvil

2. Deploy the contract to the chain

make deploy

3. Run the storage tool

We use 1 because that's the storage slot of s password in the contract.

```
cast storage <ADDRESS_HERE> 1 —rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

You'll get an output that looks like this:

You can then parse that hex to a string with:

And get an output of: myPassword

Recommended Mitigation: Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the password. However, you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password.

#### Likelihood & Impact:

```
-Impact: HIGH-Likelihood: HIGH-Severity: HIGH
```

# [H-2] The PasswordStore::setPasword function has no access control, meaning a non-owner could change the password

**Description:** The PasswordStore::setPassword function is set to be external function, however, the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that This function allows only the owner to set a new password.

**Impact:** Anyone can set/change the password of the contract, severly breaking the contract intended functionality

#### **Proof of Concept:**

Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol test file.

Code

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public {
    vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
    vm.prank(randomAddress);
    string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
    passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);

vm.prank(owner);
    string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
```

```
assertEq\left(actualPassword\;,\;\;expectedPassword\;\right); }
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** Add an access control conditional to the PasswordStore::setPassword function

```
if(msg.sender != owner) {
    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
}
```

## Likelihood & Impact:

-Impact: HIGH-Likelihood: HIGH-Severity: HIGH

#### **Informational**

[I-1] The PasswordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that does not exist, causing the natspec to be incorrect

#### ${\bf Description:}$

```
/*
    * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.

    * @param newPassword The new password to set.
    */
function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
    if (msg.sender != s_owner) {
        revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
    }
    return s_password;
}
```

The PasswordStore::getPassword function signature is getPassword() while the natspec says it should be getPassword(string).

**Impact:** The natspec is incorrect.

Recommended Mitigation: Remove the natspec line

\* @param newPassword The new password to set.

# ${\bf Likelihood\ \&\ Impact:}$

-Impact: NONE

-Likelihood: HIGH

-Severity: INFORMATIONAL