

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Infection Prevention in Practice



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ipip

Two versus three doses of COVID-19 vaccine and postvaccination COVID-19 infection in hemodialysis patients

Laila A. Ahmed ^{a,*}, Hayam H. Mansour ^a, Salwa I. Elshennawy ^b, Marwa A.A. Ramadan ^b, Mohamed A.M. Kamal ^b, Soso S. Mohamed ^c, Omaima Mohamed Ali ^d, Amal H. Ibrhim ^a

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 9 July 2023 Accepted 18 December 2023 Available online 10 January 2024

Keywords: Covid-19 Covid-19 vaccine Hemodialysis



SUMMARY

Background and aim: Patients with chronic kidney disease including those undergoing hemodialysis (HD) constitute a particularly challenging group regarding COVID-19 vaccination. The present study aimed to compare the rate of reinfection after two and three doses of Sinopharm COVID-19 vaccine in HD patients.

Patients and methods: The study included 80 HD patients who received three doses of Sinopharm COVID-19 vaccine. In addition, there were another 80 patients who received only two doses of the vaccine. Patients in the latter group were selected based on propensity matching score with 1:1 ratio. Patients were monitored for post-vaccination COVID-19 infection using PCR examination of nasopharyngeal swabs. Patients were also monitored for post-vaccination complications including general complaints (headache, fever, fatigue), injection site complaints (arm pain, swelling, itching, rash), musculoskeletal complaints (muscle spasm or pain, joint pain) and others. All patients were followed for six months.

Results: The present study included 80 patients submitted to COVID-19 vaccination with two doses of Sinopharm vaccine (GI) and other 80 patients who received three doses of the same vaccine (GII). At the end of follow up, 11 patients (13.8 %) in GI caught COVID-19 infection. In contrast, no patient in GII had infection (P<0.001). Comparison between patients who had COVID-19 infection and those without infection revealed that the former subgroup had significantly lower BMI (23.3 \pm 2.3 versus 27.5 \pm 8.1 Kg/m²), higher frequency of associated Hepatitis C (HCV) infection (54.6 % versus 2.9 %, P<0.001) and higher serum ferritin levels [median (IQR): 1101.0 (836.0–1564.0) versus 675.0 (467.0–767.7) ng/mL, P=0.01]. Binary logistic regression analysis identified high serum ferritin levels [OR (95% CI): 0.014 (0.001–0.15), P<0.001] and associated HCV infection [OR (95% CI): 0.99 (0.98–1.01), P=0.02] as significant predictors of post-vaccination COVID-19 infection in multivariate analysis.

^a Internal Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine for Girls, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt

^b Clinical Pathology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt

^c Community and Occupational Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine for Girls, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt

^d Internal Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Aswan University, Aswan, Egypt

^{*} Corresponding author. Address: Internal Medicine department, Faculty of Medicine for Girls, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.

E-mail address: dr.lailaabdelnaby@gmail.com (L.A. Ahmed).

Conclusions: A three dose regime of Sinopharm COVID-19 vaccine associated with significantly lower rate of reinfection COVID-19 infection in HD patients. Infected patients had significantly lower BMI, higher frequency of HCV and higher ferritin levels.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of The Healthcare Infection Society. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Introduction

It has been more than three years since the emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic. Undoubtedly, it will go down in history as one of the most devastating tragedies of the modern era. Fortunately, unprecedented and orchestrated efforts of the world governments, scientific institutions and the private sector resulted in huge responses to the overwhelming attack. Almost one year after pandemic rise, tens of COVID-19 vaccines were in different stages of preclinical evaluation, human clinical trials or final testing [1].

Generally, developed COVID-19 vaccines are based on one of four biological mechanisms: inactivated viruses, protein-based, mRNA-based and DNA-based [2]. In spite of the frequent concerning reports about some major vaccine-associated adverse effects, vaccines are largely regarded as safe and effective with benefits clearly outweighing risks [3].

However, vaccine use was reported to have lower protection rates and special potential risks in many populations, including those with diabetes [4], immune-mediated dermatological disorders [5] and chronic liver diseases [6]. In this context, patients with chronic kidney disease, including those undergoing hemodialysis (HD),peritoneal dialysis or kidney transplant recipients constitute a particularly challenging group. This is mainly attributed to the impaired immune response in those patients [7]. So, many studies strongly recommended administration of a third COVID-19 vaccination dose 6 months after the second dose in HD patients [8,9].

Highly reliable clinical evidence derived from randomized clinical trials confirmed that Sinopharm vaccine reduced the incidence of symptomatic COVID-19 [10]. Regrettably, the acceptance and immunization rates for COVID-19 vaccines in Egypt are reportedly low [11–13]. The present study aimed to compare the rate of reinfection after two and three doses of Sinopharm COVID-19 vaccine in HD patients.

Methods

The present quasi-experimental, retrospective study was conducted at Al-Zahraa University Hospital. The study protocol was approved by the ethical committee of Al-Azhar Faculty of Medicine for Girls and all patients provided written informed consent before participation in the study. The study included 80 HD patients who received three doses of Sinopharm COVID-19 vaccine. In addition, there were another 80 patients who received only two doses of the vaccine. Patients in the latter group were selected based on propensity matching score with 1:1 ratio. Factors included in the regression model to calculate the score included age, sex and hemodialysis duration. The first and second doses were given with a 21 day interval, while the third dose was given after 4–6 months of the second dose (according to the recommendations of the World Health Organization) [14].

Patients were excluded if they caught COVID-19 infection before vaccination (or during the interval between vaccination doses) or if they failed to achieve adequate antibody response after 2nd or 3rd doses. Serum level of COVID-19 antibody titer was measured by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using commercial kits (Elabscience, Houston, Texas, USA) with Cat No. E-EL-E610. Other exclusion criteria were associated malignancy, immunocompromised states (e.g. severe malnutrition, HIV infection, cellular or humoral immunodeficiencies) and receiving immunosuppressive medications.

Data collected from recruited patients included demographic data (age, sex, body mass index), associated comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, hypertension, ischemic heart disease, congestive heart failure and hepatitis C viral (HCV) infection), hemodialysis duration, laboratory findings (complete blood picture, including serum levels of urea, creatinine, albumin, cholesterol, triglycerides, calcium, phosphorous, ferritin and parathyroid hormone.

Patients were monitored for post-vaccination COVID-19 infection using PCR examination of nasopharyngeal swabs. PCR was done weekly or if the patient developed any suggestive symptoms or had contact with a person with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 infection. Patients were also monitored for post-vaccination complications, including general complaints (headache, fever, fatigue), injection site complaints (arm pain, swelling, itching, rash), musculoskeletal complaints (muscle spasm or pain, joint pain) and others. All patients were followed for six months.

Data obtained from the present study were expressed as number and percent, mean and standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR). Groups were compared using chi-square test, t test, or Mann-Whitney U test as appropriate. Binary logistic regression analysis was used to identify predictors of post-vaccination COVID-19 infection. All statistical computations were processed using SPSS 27 (IBM, IL, USA) with *P* value less than 0.05 considered statistically significant.

Results

Demographic, clinical and laboratory findings of the studied groups are shown in Table I. Patients in GI had significantly lower Hb levels (10.0 \pm 1.5 versus 10.6 \pm 1.5 gm/dL, P=0.013) and lower phosphorous levels (4.7 \pm 1.7 versus 5.3 \pm 1.3 mg/dL) (Table I).

At the end of follow up, 11 patients (13.8 %) in GI had COVID-19 infection. In contrast, no patient in GII had infection (P<0.001) (Table I). No significant differences were noted between patients' groups regarding vaccine related general complaints (8.8 % versus 5.0 %, P=0.35), injection site complaints (6.3 % versus 1.3 %, P=0.096) and musculoskeletal complaints (2.5 % versus 0.0 %, P=0.16) (Table II).

In GI, comparison between patients who had COVID-19 infection and others without infection revealed that the

Table IBaseline characteristics of the studied groups

	GI	GII	P value	
	<i>N</i> =80	<i>N</i> =80		
Age (years) mean \pm SD	55.1 ± 9.5	57.3 ± 10.1	0.17	
Male/female n	47/33	48/32	0.87	
BMI (Kg/m ²) mean \pm SD	$\textbf{26.9} \pm \textbf{7.7}$	$\textbf{28.2} \pm \textbf{4.6}$	0.19	
Comorbidities n (%)				
DM	18 (22.5)	26 (32.5)	0.16	
HTN	67 (83.8)	59 (73.8)	0.12	
CHF	11 (13.8)	13 (16.3)	0.66	
IHD	16 (20.0)	26 (32.5)	0.072	
HCV	8 (10.0)	12 (15.0)	0.34	
HD duration (months)	$\textbf{71.9} \pm \textbf{52.8}$	83.6 ± 58.5	0.18	
Laboratory findings mean \pm SD/n	nedian (IQR)			
Hb (gm/dL)	10.0 \pm 1.5	10.6 \pm 1.5	0.013	
WBCs ($\times 10^3$ /mL)	$\textbf{6.5} \pm \textbf{2.5}$	$\textbf{6.7} \pm \textbf{1.9}$	0.58	
Urea (mg/dL)	82.1 \pm 22.9	86.2 \pm 24.0	0.27	
Creatinine (mg/dL)	$\textbf{6.8} \pm \textbf{2.7}$	7.1 ± 1.6	0.34	
Albumin (gm/dL)	$\textbf{3.9} \pm \textbf{0.6}$	$\textbf{4.0} \pm \textbf{0.2}$	0.29	
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	191.4 \pm 57.6	$\textbf{202.2}\pm\textbf{49.0}$	0.21	
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	147.6 ± 56.7	154.3 ± 34.3	0.37	
Calcium (mg/dL)	$\textbf{8.7} \pm \textbf{0.9}$	$\textbf{8.6} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	0.53	
Phosphorous (mg/dL)	$\textbf{4.7} \pm \textbf{1.7}$	$\textbf{5.3} \pm \textbf{1.3}$	0.011	
Ferritin (ng/mL)	675.0 (575.9-898.3)	650.0 (556.0-759.0)	0.29	
iPTH (pg/mL)	410.0 (312.3-557.3)	428.0 (280.3-682.0)	0.76	

BMI: Body mass index, CHF: Congestive heart failure, DM: Diabetes mellitus, Hb: Hemoglobin.

HCV: Hepatitis C virus, HD: Hemodialysis, HTN: Hypertension, IHD: Ischemic heart disease.

iPTH: intact parathyroid hormone, WBCs: White blood cells.

Table IIComparison between the studied groups regarding vaccination outcome

	GI	GII	P value
	N=80	N=80	
Post-vaccination infection n (%)	11 (13.8)	0 (0)	< 0.001
Complications n (%)			
General complaints	7 (8.8)	4 (5.0)	0.35
Injection site complaints	5 (6.3)	1 (1.3)	0.096
Musculoskeletal complaints	2 (2.5)	0 (0)	0.16

former subgroup had significantly lower BMI (23.3 \pm 2.3 versus 27.5 \pm 8.1 Kg/m²), higher frequency of associated HCV infection (54.6 % versus 2.9 %, P<0.001) and higher serum ferritin levels [median (IQR): 1101.0 (836.0–1564.0) versus 675.0 (467.0–767.7) ng/mL, P=0.01] (Table III).

Binary logistic regression analysis identified high serum ferritin levels [OR (95% CI): 0.014 (0.001-0.15), P<0.001] and associated HCV infection [OR (95% CI): 0.99 (0.98-1.01) P=0.02] as significant predictors of post-vaccination COVID-19 infection in multivariate analysis (Table IV).

Discussion

In the present study, we assessed the value of two versus three doses of Sinopharm COVID-19 vaccine in prevention of breakthrough infections over a 6-month follow up duration. In our study, we excluded patients with proven COVID-19

infection before the study start or completion of vaccination regimen. This was based on findings of the study of Attias *et al*. [15] who noted that best effects of the third dose are achieved in virus-naïve HD patients but not in SARS-CoV-2-recovered counterparts.

In our study, post-vaccination COVID-19 infection rate among those who received two doses of vaccine was 13.8% through a six-month follow up period. In comparison, Al-Muhaiteeb *et al.* [16] found the rate of infection in 138 HD patients was only 5.1%. Also, Rodríguez-Espinosa *et al.* [17] reported the rate of infection was 6.0%. In studies from the UK, the rate of infection over approximately nine-month follow up duration was 17.8%, during Delta and Omicron variant predominance, [18] while the study of Patnaik *et al.* [19] documented a 16% rate of infection in their study on 80 HD patients.

In contrast, none of the patients who received three doses of vaccination developed infection. Our findings are supported by the conclusions of Espi *et al.* [20] on HD patients vaccinated

Table III

Comparison between patients with post-vaccination COVID-19 infection and patients without in GI regarding clinical and laboratory data

	Post-vaccination	Post-vaccination	P value
	COVID-19 +ve <i>N</i> =11	covid-19 -ve <i>N</i> =69	
	<u> </u>		
Age (years) mean \pm SD	$\textbf{50.9} \pm \textbf{8.6}$	$\textbf{55.8} \pm \textbf{9.6}$	0.12
Male/female n	7/4	40/29	0.72
BMI (Kg/m 2) mean \pm SD	$\textbf{23.3} \pm \textbf{2.3}$	$\textbf{27.5} \pm \textbf{8.1}$	< 0.001
Comorbidities n (%)			
DM	2 (18.2)	16 (23.2)	0.71
HTN	11 (100.0)	56 (81.2)	0.12
CHF	2 (18.2)	9 (13.0)	0.65
IHD	3 (27.3)	13 (18.8)	0.52
HCV	6 (54.6)	2 (2.9)	< 0.001
HD duration (months)	65.5 ± 41.3	$\textbf{72.9} \pm \textbf{54.6}$	0.67
Laboratory findings mean \pm SD/I	median (IQR)		
Hb (gm/dL)	9.7 ± 1.7	10.0 \pm 1.5	0.59
WBCs ($\times 10^3$ /mL)	$\textbf{6.3} \pm \textbf{3.2}$	$\textbf{6.5} \pm \textbf{2.5}$	0.75
Urea (mg/dL)	79.9 ± 37.7	82.4 \pm 20.0	0.74
Creatinine (mg/dL)	$\textbf{8.3} \pm \textbf{5.1}$	$\textbf{6.6} \pm \textbf{2.1}$	0.28
Albumin (gm/dL)	3.9 ± 0.7	$\textbf{3.9} \pm \textbf{0.6}$	0.85
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	199.8 \pm 55.0	190.1 \pm 58.3	0.61
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	$\textbf{168.7} \pm \textbf{38.5}$	144.2 ± 58.6	0.19
Calcium (mg/dL)	8.1 \pm 1.2	$\textbf{8.7} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	0.097
Phosphorous (mg/dL)	$\textbf{4.6} \pm \textbf{1.6}$	$\textbf{4.7} \pm \textbf{1.7}$	0.87
Ferritin (ng/mL)	1101.0 (836.0-1564.0)	675.0 (467.0-767.7)	0.01
iPTH (pg/mL)	440.0 (303.7-460.0)	399.0 (312.5–575.0)	0.8

Table IVPredictors of post-vaccination COVID-19 infection in the studied patients

	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis			
	OR	95% CI	P value	OR	95% CI	P value
Age	1.06	0.99-1.13	0.12	1.07	0.97-1.19	0.18
BMI	1.18	0.99-1.41	0.071	1.06	0.91-1.26	0.44
HCV	0.025	0.004-0.16	< 0.001	0.014	0.001-0.15	< 0.001
Ferritin	0.99	0.98-1.0	0.051	0.99	0.98-1.01	0.02

with mRNA vaccine. In their work, 11% of patients who received two doses had reinfection and a third dose proved to be excellently tolerated and effective in enhancing immune response particularly in no/low responders to two doses.

Also, the study of Montez-Rath *et al.* [21] found that lack of vaccination or having less than three doses of vaccine were predictors of COVID-19 infection in HD patients. Likewise, the study of Fucci *et al.* [22] highlighted the strong benefit of a third dose for HD patients. They noted that this dose resulted in 97% seropositivity and 50 times enhancement on antibody levels. Similar conclusions were reported by other studies [19,21,23–27].

Interestingly, the present study noted that patients with infection had significantly lower BMI compared to those without infection. In HD patients, weight loss is a well-established manifestation of malnutrition, which is known to adversely affect the immune response to vaccination (as reported by the studies of Guinault *et al.* [28] and Verdier *et al.* [29]). Moreover, we found that high ferritin levels are a significant predictor of infection. This may be explained by the relationship between

elevated ferritin levels and immune dysregulation in COVID-19 patients. In fact, some authors suggested COVID-19 as a new member of hyperferritinemic syndrome, which includes catastrophic antiphospholipid syndrome, adult-onset Still's disease, macrophage activation syndrome, and septic shock and is characterized by hyperinflammation which may ultimately result in cytokine storm [30].

The main strength of the present study is that it provides evidence of the value of booster Sinopharm vaccination in HD patients. This suggests that administration of vaccine booster doses for HD patients should be strongly considered in possible future respiratory virus outbreaks/pandemics.

Our findings, are limited by a small sample size and single center study. This limits the generalizability of our results. Furthermore, given the quasi-experimental, retrospective and non-randomized methods employed, causality cannot be inferred, and the results are prone to bias and confounding factors. Lastly, only one vaccine type was studied and therefore any conclusions drawn only relate to the Sinopharm COVID-19 vaccine.

Conclusions

In conclusion, third dose of vaccination is associated with significantly lower rate of reinfection. Infected patients had significantly lower BMI, higher frequency of HCV and higher ferritin levels.

Acknowledgements

We heartfully thank all patients who agreed to participate in this study.

Conflict of interest statement

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Ethics statement

The study protocol was approved by the ethical committee of Al-Azhar University Faculty of Medicine "Approval No. 1446".

Funding statement

The research received no funding.

Author contributions

All authors equally contributed to conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, drafting and final revision of this research.

References

- [1] Gasmi A, Srinath S, Dadar M, Pivina L, Menzel A, Benahmed AG, et al. A global survey in the developmental landscape of possible vaccination strategies for COVID-19. Clin Immunol 2022 Apr;237:108958. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clim.2022.108958. Epub 2022 Feb 24. PMID: 35218966; PMCID: PMC8865932.
- [2] Rabail R, Ahmed W, Ilyas M, Rajoka MSR, Hassoun A, Khalid AR, et al. The Side Effects and Adverse Clinical Cases Reported after COVID-19 Immunization. Vaccines (Basel) 2022 Mar 22;10(4):488. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10040488. PMID: 35455237; PMCID: PMC9031559.
- [3] Esmaeilzadeh A, Maleki AJ, Moradi A, Siahmansouri A, Yavari MJ, Karami P, et al. Major severe acute respiratory coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) vaccine-associated adverse effects; benefits outweigh the risks. Expert Rev Vaccines 2022 Oct;21(10):1377—94. https://doi.org/10.1080/14760584.2022.2116008. Epub 2022 Aug 24. PMID: 35986451.
- [4] Boroumand AB, Forouhi M, Karimi F, Moghadam AS, Naeini LG, Kokabian P, et al. Immunogenicity of COVID-19 vaccines in patients with diabetes mellitus: A systematic review. Front Immunol 2022 Aug 29;13:940357. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.940357. PMID: 36105809; PMCID: PMC9465310.
- [5] Seirafianpour F, Pourriyahi H, Gholizadeh Mesgarha M, Pour Mohammad A, Shaka Z, Goodarzi A. A systematic review on mucocutaneous presentations after COVID-19 vaccination and expert recommendations about vaccination of important immune-mediated dermatologic disorders. Dermatol Ther 2022 Jun;35(6):e15461. https://doi.org/10.1111/dth.15461. Epub 2022 Apr 11. PMID: 35316551; PMCID: PMC9111423.
- [6] Law MF, Ho R, Law KWT, Cheung CKM. Gastrointestinal and hepatic side effects of potential treatment for COVID-19 and vaccination in patients with chronic liver diseases. World J

- Hepatol 2021 Dec 27;13(12):1850—74. https://doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v13.i12.1850. PMID: 35069994; PMCID: PMC8727202.
- [7] Rossi M, Pessolano G, Gambaro G. What has vaccination against COVID-19 in CKD patients taught us? J Nephrol 2023 May 4:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40620-023-01640-w. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 37140817; PMCID: PMC10157569.
- [8] Broseta JJ, Rodríguez-Espinosa D, Cuadrado E, Rodríguez N, Bedini JL, Maduell F. Humoral Response after Three Doses of mRNA-1273 or BNT162b2 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines in Hemodialysis Patients. Vaccines 2022 Mar 27;10(4):522. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/vaccines10040522. PMID: 35455271; PMCID: PMC9030003.
- [9] Chen PC, Huang CC, Fu CM, Chang YC, Wu PJ, Lee WC, et al. Real-World Effectiveness of SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine Booster in Hemodial-ysis Patients with COVID-19 Receiving Molnupiravir. Viruses 2023 Feb 16;15(2):543. https://doi.org/10.3390/v15020543. PMID: 36851757; PMCID: PMC9967999.
- [10] Graña C, Ghosn L, Evrenoglou T, Jarde A, Minozzi S, Bergman H, et al. Efficacy and safety of COVID-19 vaccines. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2022 Dec 7;12(12):CD015477. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD015477. PMID: 36473651; PMCID: PMC9726273.
- [11] Elsayed M, El-Abasiri RA, Dardeer KT, Kamal MA, Htay MNN, Abler B, et al. Factors Influencing Decision Making Regarding the Acceptance of the COVID-19 Vaccination in Egypt: A Cross-Sectional Study in an Urban, Well-Educated Sample. Vaccines 2021 Dec 24;10(1):20. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10010020. PMID: 35062681; PMCID: PMC8780609.
- [12] Salem GM, Said RM, Abdelsalam AE. Acceptance rate of COVID-19 vaccination and its predictors in Egypt: An online survey. J Infect Dev Ctries 2022 Jun 30;16(6):993–1000. https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.15603. PMID: 35797293.
- [13] Abd Elgalil HM, Elsheikh AA, Ahmed DS, Ahmed AM, Mohamed SS. COVID-19 Vaccination Perception and Acceptance Among Female Medical and Nursing Students at Al-Azhar University, Egypt. Infect Drug Resist 2023 Feb 21;16:1069—79. https://doi.org/10.2147/ IDR.S390996. PMID: 36852305; PMCID: PMC9960787.
- [14] World Health Organization. Interim recommendations for use of the inactivated COVID-19 vaccine BIBP developed by China National Biotec Group (CNBG), Sinopharm. https://iris.who.int/ bitstream/handle/10665/352470/WHO-2019-nCoV-vaccines-SAGE-recommendation-BIBP-2022.1-eng.pdf.
- [15] Attias P, Azzaoui I, El Karoui K, de La Selle A, Sokal A, Chappert P, et al., Mondor NephroCov Study Group. Immune Responses after a Third Dose of mRNA Vaccine Differ in Virus-I versus SARS-CoV-2-Recovered Dialysis Patients. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2022 Jul;17(7):1008—16. https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.00830122. Epub 2022 Jun 28. PMID: 35764393; PMCID: PMC9269639.
- [16] Al-Muhaiteeb A, AlSahow A, Al-Yousef A, AlHelal B, Alrajab H, Bahbahani Y, et al. Response to and outcomes of the Pfizer BNT162B2 vaccine in hemodialysis patients-A prospective observational study. Hemodial Int 2022 Apr;26(2):216–22. https:// doi.org/10.1111/hdi.13005. Epub 2022 Jan 5. PMID: 34989097; PMCID: PMC9304137.
- [17] Rodríguez-Espinosa D, Montagud-Marrahi E, Cacho J, Arana C, Taurizano N, Hermida E, et al. Incidence of severe breakthrough SARS-CoV-2 infections in vaccinated kidney transplant and haemodialysis patients. J Nephrol 2022 Apr;35(3):769—78. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40620-022-01257-5. Epub 2022 Feb 21. PMID: 35191008; PMCID: PMC8860282.
- [18] Chinnadurai R, Wu HHL, Cox E, Moore J, Clough T, Lamerton E, et al. Humoral Response in Hemodialysis Patients Following COVID-19 Vaccination and Breakthrough Infections during Delta and Omicron Variant Predominance. Vaccines 2022 Mar 24;10(4):498. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10040498. PMID: 35455248; PMCID: PMC9028840.
- [19] Patnaik AP, Rout NK, Ahmed S, Dash KA, Praharaj AK, Patro ARK. Correlation of Breakthrough Infection During the Omicron Wave With Seropositivity of Vaccinated Patients

- Undergoing Hemodialysis. Cureus 2022 Sep 18;14(9):e29296. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.29296. PMID: 36277581; PMCID: PMC9578564.
- [20] Espi M, Charmetant X, Barba T, Mathieu C, Pelletier C, Koppe L, et al. A prospective observational study for justification, safety, and efficacy of a third dose of mRNA vaccine in patients receiving maintenance hemodialysis. Kidney Int 2022 Feb;101(2):390–402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2021.10.040. Epub 2021 Nov 29. PMID: 34856313; PMCID: PMC8628628.
- [21] Montez-Rath ME, Garcia P, Han J, Cadden L, Hunsader P, Morgan C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Infection during the Omicron Surge among Patients Receiving Dialysis: The Role of Circulating Receptor-Binding Domain Antibodies and Vaccine Doses. J Am Soc Nephrol 2022 Oct;33(10):1832—9. https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2022040504. Epub 2022 Aug 16. PMID: 35973733; PMCID: PMC9528334.
- [22] Fucci A, Giacobbe S, Guerriero I, Suzumoto'Y D'Andrea EL, Scrima M, Nolli ML, et al. The DiaCoVAb Study in South Italy: Immune Response to SARS-CoV-2 Vaccination in Dialysis Patients. Kidney Blood Press Res 2022;47(7):467-74. https://doi.org/10.1159/000524034. Epub 2022 Mar 22. PMID: 35318291; PMCID: PMC9148885.
- [23] Gallego-Valcarce E, Shabaka A, Leon-Poo M, Gruss E, Acedo-Sanz JM, Cordón A, et al. Humoral Response Following Triple Dose of mRNA Vaccines Against SARS-CoV-2 in Hemodialysis Patients: Results After 1 Year of Follow-Up. Front Med 2022 Jul 12;9:927546. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.927546. PMID: 35903310; PMCID: PMC9314744.
- [24] Melin J, Svensson MK, Albinsson B, Winqvist O, Pauksens K. A third dose SARS-CoV-2 BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine results in improved immune response in hemodialysis patients. Ups J Med Sci 2022 Oct 13:127. https://doi.org/10.48101/ujms.v127.8959. PMID: 36337280; PMCID: PMC9602199.

- [25] Yang X, Zhang H, Bao W, Fu S, Jin H. Immunogenicity Rates after SARS-CoV-2 Three-Dose Vaccination in Patients under Dialysis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Vaccines 2022 Dec 2;10(12):2070. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10122070. PMID: 36560480: PMCID: PMC9782384.
- [26] Wing S, Thomas D, Balamchi S, Ip J, Naylor K, Dixon SN, et al. Effectiveness of Three Doses of mRNA COVID-19 Vaccines in the Hemodialysis Population during the Omicron Period. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2023 Apr 1;18(4):491–8. https://doi.org/10.2215/ CJN.00000000000000108. Epub 2023 Mar 2. PMID: 36723290.
- [27] Bnaya A, Nacasch N, Einbinder Y, Shavit L, Erez D, Shashar M, et al. Dialysis modality, humoral response to vaccine, and SARS-CoV-2 infection risk: Comparative prospective evaluation. Semin Dial 2023 Apr 12. https://doi.org/10.1111/sdi.13155. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 37042666.
- [28] Guinault D, Bernier M, Delarche A, Neuville S, Milioto O, Molinari N, et al. Immunogenicity of the BNT162b2 Vaccine in Patients Undergoing Maintenance Hemodialysis Is Associated with Medical Conditions. Nephron 2022;146(6):553-8. https://doi.org/10.1159/000524292. Epub 2022 Apr 27. PMID: 35477156.
- [29] Verdier JF, Boyer S, Chalmin F, Jeribi A, Egasse C, Maggi MF, et al. Response to three doses of the Pfizer/BioNTech BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine: a retrospective study of a cohort of haemodialysis patients in France. BMC Nephrol 2022 May 18;23(1):189. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-022-02751-5. PMID: 35585512; PMCID: PMC9116059.
- [30] Colafrancesco S, Alessandri C, Conti F, Priori R. COVID-19 gone bad: A new character in the spectrum of the hyperferritinemic syndrome? Autoimmun Rev 2020 Jul;19(7):102573. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2020.102573. Epub 2020 May 5. PMID: 32387470; PMCID: PMC7199723.