

---

# High Dimensional Statistics

## Homework 2

Javad Hezareh (404208723)  
Fall 2025 (1404)

---

### 1 Properties of $\ell_q$ -Ball

- (a) Consider a vector  $\theta \in B_q(R_q)$  therefore for this vectore we know

$$\sum_{j=1}^d |\theta_j|^q \leq R_q.$$

Now for a  $t \in [0, 1]$  we have  $|t\theta_j|^q = |t|^q|\theta_j|^q \leq |\theta_j|^q$  as we know  $|t|^q \leq 1$ . Therefore we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^d |t\theta_j|^q \leq \sum_{j=1}^d |\theta_j|^q \leq R_q,$$

which means that  $t\theta \in B_q(R_q)$  and therefore the  $\ell_q$ -ball is a star-shaped set.

- (b) We know  $\alpha > 1/q$  and as  $q \in (0, 1]$  we can say that  $\alpha q > 1$ . Now for a vector  $\theta \in B_{w(\alpha)}(C)$  we have:

$$|\theta|_{(j)} \leq C j^{-\alpha} \implies |\theta|_{(j)}^q \leq C^q j^{-\alpha q} \leq C^q$$

Therefore we have  $\sum_{j=1}^d |\theta|_{(j)}^q \leq dC^q$ .

## 2 Welch Bound

Using the provide hint we can substitute the  $\text{tr}(G) = n$  and  $\text{rank}(G) \leq m$  in the inequality as follows:

$$\text{tr}(G)^2 \leq \text{rank}(G) \cdot \text{tr}(G^2) \implies n^2 \leq m \cdot \text{tr}(G^2) \implies \text{tr}(G^2) \geq \frac{n^2}{m}.$$

Now for the  $\text{tr}(G^2)$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(G^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (G^2)_{ii} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n G_{ij} G_{ji} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (G_{ij})^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \langle a_i, a_j \rangle^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle a_i, a_i \rangle^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \langle a_i, a_j \rangle^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n 1^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \langle a_i, a_j \rangle^2 \\ &= n + \sum_{i \neq j} \langle a_i, a_j \rangle^2 \\ &\leq n + \sum_{i \neq j} \mu(A)^2 \\ &= n + n(n-1)\mu(A)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now by substituting this back in the previous inequality we have:

$$\frac{n^2}{m} \leq n + n(n-1)\mu(A)^2 \implies \frac{n}{m} - 1 \leq (n-1)\mu(A)^2 \implies \mu(A)^2 \geq \frac{n-m}{m(n-1)}.$$

### 3 Mutual Coherence

(a) For the upper bound we can use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality as follows:

$$|\psi_i^T \phi_j| \leq \|\psi_i\|_2 \|\phi_j\|_2 = 1 \cdot 1 = 1 \implies \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |\psi_i^T \phi_j| = \mu(\psi, \phi) \leq 1.$$

For the lower bound we can use the fact that transforming with an orthogonal matrix does not change the inner product. In other words for a vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have:

$$\|\psi^T v\|_2^2 = (\psi^T v)^T (\psi^T v) = v^T \psi \psi^T v = v^T I v = \|v\|_2^2.$$

Now let's consider  $v = \phi_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore we have:

$$\|\phi_j\|_2^2 = \|\psi^T \phi_j\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\psi_i^T \phi_j)^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(\psi, \phi)^2 = n\mu(\psi, \phi)^2.$$

Therefore we have  $1 \leq n\mu(\psi, \phi)^2 \implies \mu(\psi, \phi) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ . Thus we've shown that:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu(\psi, \phi) \leq 1.$$

(b) Let's set  $\mu = \mu(\psi, \phi)$  for simplicity. As we know  $\psi = I$  we have:

$$\max_{i,j} |\psi_i^T \phi_j| = \max_{i,j} |\phi_{ij}|$$

Therefore to construct a bound on the  $\mu$  we need to bound the  $\max_{i,j} |\phi_{ij}|$ . Let's define  $Z_{ij} = \sqrt{n}\phi_{ij}$ , we have:

$$\phi_{ij} \sim (0, \frac{1}{n}) \implies Z_{ij} = \sqrt{n}\phi_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

Now for the  $\mu$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\mu > f(n)) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{i,j} |\phi_{ij}| > f(n)\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{i,j} |Z_{ij}| > \sqrt{n}f(n)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{P}(|Z_{ij}| > \sqrt{n}f(n)) \quad (\text{by union bound}) \\ &= n^2 \mathbb{P}(|Z| > \sqrt{n}f(n)) \\ &\leq n^2 2 \exp\left(-\frac{n f(n)^2}{2}\right) \quad (\text{by Gaussian tail bound}) \\ &= 2 \exp\left(2 \log n - \frac{n f(n)^2}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We need this bound to go to zero as  $n$  grows, therefore we need:

$$2 \log n - \frac{n f(n)^2}{2} \rightarrow -\infty \implies f(n) \geq \sqrt{\frac{4 \log n}{n}}.$$

Therefore we can set  $f(n) = c\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}$  for some constant  $c > 2$  so that with high probability we would have  $\mu(\psi, \phi) \leq c\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}$ .

(c) Same as Problem 2

## 4 Relationship between RIC and Incoherence

- (a) From the definition for  $\delta_2(A)$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_2(A) &= \max_{S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, d\}, |S| \leq 2} \|A_S^T A_S - I_2\|_{op} \\ &= \max_{i \neq j} \|A_{\{i,j\}}^T A_{\{i,j\}} - I_2\|_{op} \\ &= \max_{i \neq j} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \langle a_i, a_j \rangle \\ \langle a_j, a_i \rangle & 1 \end{bmatrix} - I_2 \right\|_{op} \\ &= \max_{i \neq j} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \langle a_i, a_j \rangle \\ \langle a_j, a_i \rangle & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{op}\end{aligned}$$

Now the operation norm of the matrix is equal to its largest eigenvalue in absolute value. The eigenvalues of the above matrix are  $\pm |\langle a_i, a_j \rangle|$ . Therefore we have:

$$\delta_2(A) = \max_{i \neq j} |\langle a_i, a_j \rangle| = \mu(A)$$

- (b) Let  $S \subset [n]$  be a subset of indices with cardinality  $|S| = k$ . Consider the Gram matrix of the subset of columns,  $G_S = A_S^T A_S$ . The restricted isometry constant  $\delta_k$  is defined by the deviation of eigenvalues of  $G_S$  from 1. Equivalently, it is the spectral radius (largest absolute eigenvalue) of the matrix  $M_S = A_S^T A_S - I_k$ . The entries of the  $k \times k$  matrix  $M_S$  are given by:

$$(M_S)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \langle a_i, a_j \rangle & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

where  $i, j \in S$ . According to the Gershgorin Circle Theorem, every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $M_S$  lies in at least one disc centered at the diagonal entries (which are 0) with a radius equal to the sum of the absolute values of the off-diagonal entries in that row. Therefore, for any eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $M_S$ :

$$|\lambda| \leq \max_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} |(M_S)_{ij}| = \max_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} |\langle a_i, a_j \rangle|.$$

Since  $\delta_k = \sup_{S:|S|=k} \|M_S\|_2$  is the maximum spectral radius over all such sets, we have:

$$\delta_k \leq \max_{S:|S|=k} \left( \max_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} |\langle a_i, a_j \rangle| \right).$$

The inner sum in inequality,  $\sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} |\langle a_i, a_j \rangle|$ , represents the sum of absolute correlations between a specific  $a_i$  and  $k - 1$  other distinct vectors in  $S$ . Recalling the definition of the Babel function (or 1-coherence parameter)  $\mu_1(m)$ :

$$\mu_1(m) = \max_{i \notin \Lambda, |\Lambda|=m} \sum_{j \in \Lambda} |\langle a_i, a_j \rangle|.$$

Since the sum in our expression involves exactly  $k - 1$  other vectors, it is bounded by definition by  $\mu_1(k - 1)$ . Therefore:

$$\delta_k \leq \mu_1(k - 1).$$

Consider the definition of  $\mu_1(k - 1)$  again:

$$\mu_1(k - 1) = \max_{i, \Lambda} \sum_{j \in \Lambda} |\langle a_i, a_j \rangle|, \quad \text{where } |\Lambda| = k - 1.$$

We know that the pairwise incoherence parameter  $\mu$  is the maximum absolute inner product between any two distinct columns:  $\mu = \max_{u \neq v} |\langle a_u, a_v \rangle|$ . Thus, for every term in the sum, we have  $|\langle a_i, a_j \rangle| \leq \mu$ . Substituting this upper bound into the sum:

$$\sum_{j \in \Lambda} |\langle a_i, a_j \rangle| \leq \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \mu = (k - 1)\mu.$$

Since this holds for the maximum sum, we conclude:

$$\mu_1(k - 1) \leq (k - 1)\mu.$$

## 5 Restricted Isometry Property

- (a) We first show that for a fixed support set  $T$  with  $|T| = s$ , the random matrix  $A_T$  preserves the norm of vectors with high probability. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^s$  be a unit vector ( $\|x\|_2 = 1$ ). Since the entries of  $A$  are i.i.d.  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1/m)$ , the vector  $Ax$  follows a multivariate Gaussian distribution, and  $m\|Ax\|_2^2$  follows a Chi-squared distribution with  $m$  degrees of freedom ( $\chi_m^2$ ).

Using concentration inequalities for Chi-squared variables (or sub-exponential variables), for any  $t > 0$ , we have:

$$\mathbb{P}(|\|Ax\|_2^2 - 1| \geq t) \leq 2 \exp(-c_0 m t^2)$$

Here we first select our vector  $x$  and then observe the randomness over the matrix  $A$ . However, we want this bound for the  $x$  that maximizes the deviation. This makes the vector  $x$  dependent on  $A$ , and we can not simply use this bound for all  $x$ . We need to use a covering argument to uniformize this bound over all  $x$  in the unit sphere. Let  $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon$  be an  $\epsilon$ -net of the unit sphere  $S^{s-1}$ . From standard covering arguments, we know that:

$$|\mathcal{N}_\epsilon| \leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)^s$$

Using the union bound over all  $x \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon$  and setting  $t = \delta/2$ , we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon} |\|Ax\|_2^2 - 1| \geq \delta/2\right) &\leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{N}_\epsilon} \mathbb{P}(|\|Ax\|_2^2 - 1| \geq \delta/2) \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)^s 2 \exp(-c_0 m (\delta/2)^2) \end{aligned}$$

By choosing  $\epsilon$  appropriately (e.g.,  $\epsilon < c\delta$ ), the norm preservation on the net implies norm preservation on the entire sphere with constant  $\delta$ . Thus, for a fixed support  $T$ :

$$\mathbb{P}(\delta_T > \delta) \leq 2 \exp(s \ln(C/\delta) - c'm\delta^2)$$

Now we need to ensure this holds for all possible support sets of size  $s$ . There are  $\binom{n}{s}$  such subspaces. Using the standard bound for the binomial coefficient  $\binom{n}{s} \leq (en/s)^s$ , we apply the union bound again:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\delta_s > \delta) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\max_{|T|=s} \delta_T > \delta\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{|T|=s} \mathbb{P}(\delta_T > \delta) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{en}{s}\right)^s 2 \exp(s \ln(C/\delta) - c'm\delta^2) \\ &= 2 \exp\left(s \ln\left(\frac{en}{s}\right) + s \ln(C/\delta) - c'm\delta^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

To have this probability bounded by  $2 \exp(-c_2 m)$ , we require the exponent to be dominated by the negative  $m$  term. Specifically, we need:

$$c'm\delta^2 \geq s \ln\left(\frac{en}{s}\right) + s \ln(C/\delta) + c_2 m$$

Ignoring constants and lower order terms, this inequality holds provided that:

$$m \geq c_1 \delta^{-2} s \log\left(\frac{en}{s}\right).$$

- (b) We discuss the optimality of this bound. The known lower bound for any matrix satisfying the RIP of order  $s$  is  $m \geq Cs \log(n/s)$ . Since our derived sufficient condition matches this lower bound (up to constant factors), Gaussian matrices are order-optimal for compressed sensing. The logarithmic factor  $\log(n/s)$  has a specific geometric interpretation regarding the set of sparse vectors.
- (a) **Union of Subspaces:** The set of  $s$ -sparse vectors is not a linear subspace, but rather a union of  $\binom{n}{s}$  subspaces.
- (b) **Encoding Complexity:** To identify an  $s$ -sparse vector, one must identify both its values (requiring  $s$  degrees of freedom) and its support. The number of bits required to encode the support location is approximately  $\log_2 \binom{n}{s} \approx s \log(n/s)$ .

## 6 Covering and Packing Numbers

- (a) We know  $N$  is a maximal  $\epsilon$ -seperated set, therefore there is no element in  $K$  that we can add to  $N$  such that the set remains  $\epsilon$ -seperated. This means that for every  $x \in K$  there exists at least one  $z \in N$  such that  $d(x, z) \leq \epsilon$ , otherwise we could add  $x$  to  $N$  and contradict the maximality of  $N$ . Therefore  $N$  is also an  $\epsilon$ -net of  $K$ .
- (b) Let's say  $M$  is a maximal  $\epsilon$ -seperated set of  $K$ , from part (a) we know  $M$  is also an  $\epsilon$ -net of  $K$ . From the definiton we know  $N(K, d, \epsilon)$  is the size of the smallest  $\epsilon$ -net of  $K$ , therefore we have:

$$N(K, d, \epsilon) \leq |M| = P(K, d, \epsilon).$$

To show the lower bound, we show there exist a one-to-one mapping from  $S$  to  $C$  where  $S$  is a maximal  $2\epsilon$ -seperated set of  $K$  and  $C$  is a minimal  $\epsilon$ -net of  $K$ . For every element  $x \in S$  we can find a  $z \in C$  such that  $d(x, z) \leq \epsilon$  as we know  $C$  is an  $\epsilon$ -net of  $K$ . Now let's assume two different elements  $x_1, x_2 \in S$  map to the same  $z \in C$ . Therefore using the triangle inequality we have:

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq d(x_1, z) + d(z, x_2) \leq \epsilon + \epsilon = 2\epsilon,$$

which contradicts the fact that  $S$  is a  $2\epsilon$ -seperated set. Therefore every element in  $S$  maps to a different element in  $C$  and we have  $|S| \leq |C|$  or equivalently  $P(K, d, 2\epsilon) \leq N(K, d, \epsilon)$ . Thus we've shown:

$$P(K, d, 2\epsilon) \leq N(K, d, \epsilon) \leq P(K, d, \epsilon).$$

- (c) To show the lower bound, we show the constructed set with  $k = C(K, d, \epsilon)$  is an  $\epsilon$ -net of  $K$ . Letting  $C(K, d, \epsilon) = k$  means we have access to a set  $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_{2^k}\}$  elements that can be used to specify elements in  $K$  with  $\epsilon$ -accuracy. In other words for every  $x \in K$  there exists a  $z \in Z$  such that  $d(x, z) \leq \epsilon$ . Therefore  $Z$  is an  $\epsilon$ -net of  $K$  and from the definition we have:

$$N(K, d, \epsilon) \leq |Z| = 2^{C(K, d, \epsilon)} \implies \log_2 N(K, d, \epsilon) \leq C(K, d, \epsilon).$$

To show the upper bound, we set  $M$  to be the minimal  $\epsilon/2$ -net of  $K$ , therefore for each  $x \in K$  there exists a  $z \in M$  such that  $d(x, z) \leq \epsilon/2$ . Therefore we can specify elements in  $K$  with  $\epsilon$ -accuracy by specifying the closest element in  $M$  to that element. From the definition we know  $N(K, d, \epsilon/2) = |M|$ , therefore we can specify elements in  $K$  with  $\epsilon$ -accuracy using  $\lceil \log_2 N(K, d, \epsilon/2) \rceil$  bits. Thus we have:

$$C(K, d, \epsilon) \leq \lceil \log_2 N(K, d, \epsilon/2) \rceil.$$