## Adjoint Computational Electromagnetics Eigenvalue Problems

Mohamed Kamal Abd Elrahman 6 October, Giza, Egypt

November 6, 2019

The sensitivities of eigenvalues and eigenvectors due to perturbations in the deign variables are derived. The focus here is on linear, isotropic, nonmagnetic and transparent materials <sup>1</sup>.

 $^{\scriptscriptstyle \rm I}$  In transparent materials, both  $\varepsilon$  and  $\mu$  are real and positive.

## **Problem Formulation**

Maxwell's equation for reads

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -j\mu_0 \omega \mathbf{H} \tag{1a}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = j\omega \epsilon_0 \epsilon(r) \mathbf{E} \tag{1b}$$

which can be combined into a single PDE <sup>2</sup>

$$\nabla \times \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^2 \mathbf{H} \tag{2}$$

Equation. 2 is a standard eigenvalue problem of the form,

$$Ax = \lambda x \tag{3}$$

where we have identified the operator  $A = \nabla \times \nabla \times$  and the eigenvector  $x = \mathbf{E}$  with associated eigenvalue  $\lambda = \omega^2$ . The operator A can be shown to be hermitian and positive-semidefinite. The eigenmodes can be normalized

$$x^T x = 1 \tag{4}$$

Sensitivity Derivatives (Nondegenerate Case)

Our optimization problem can be formulated as

$$\min_{p} \quad g(p, x, \lambda)$$
s.t.  $Ax = \lambda x$ 

$$x^{T} x = 1$$
(5)

where p is a vector of design parameters and g is the objective function we seek to minimize. To develop the eign-adjoint variable method, we first define the Lagrangian as

$$L = g(p, x, \lambda) + \kappa^{T} (A - \lambda) x + \sigma (1 - x^{T} x)$$
 (6)

<sup>2</sup> We will refer to this equation as the master equation.

where  $\sigma$  and  $\kappa$  are the Lagrange multipliers, for eigenvalues and eigenvectors, respectively <sup>3</sup>. Direct differentiation of equation.6 with respect to the design parameters

<sup>3</sup> We will choose the Lagrange multipliers in a clever way to cancel the annoying derivative terms later.

$$\frac{dL}{dp} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\frac{dx}{dp} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda}\frac{d\lambda}{dp} + \kappa^{T}\left(\frac{dA}{dp} - \frac{d\lambda}{dp}\right)x + \kappa^{T}\left(A - \lambda\right)\frac{dx}{dp} - 2\sigma\left(x^{T}\frac{dx}{dp}\right)$$
(7)

Collecting terms in  $\frac{d\lambda}{dp}$  and  $\frac{dx}{dp}$ 

$$\frac{dL}{dp} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial p} + \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + \kappa^{T}(A - \lambda) - 2\sigma x^{T}\right)\frac{dx}{dp} + \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda} - \kappa^{T}x\right)\frac{d\lambda}{dp} + \kappa^{T}\frac{dA}{dp}x$$
(8)

Requiring  $\kappa$  and  $\sigma$  to satisfy the following equations,

$$\kappa^T x = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda} \tag{9}$$

$$(A - \lambda)\kappa - 2\sigma x = -\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\right)^T \tag{10}$$

causes the implicit design variation of the Lagrangian to vanish. The second equation is problematic:  $A - \lambda$  is singular, with a null space of x. So, we also require  $\sigma$  to make the solution orthogonal to the the

null space: 
$$x^T \left( \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \right)^T - 2\sigma x \right) = 0$$
. Then,  $\sigma = \frac{x^T \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \right)^T}{2}$