Probability Theroy based on Measure Theory

STAT 517

Dr. John Smith

Copyright © 2013 John Smith

PUBLISHED BY PUBLISHER

BOOK-WEBSITE.COM

Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported License (the "License"). You may not use this file except in compliance with the License. You may obtain a copy of the License at `http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0`. Unless required by applicable law or agreed to in writing, software distributed under the License is distributed on an "AS IS" BASIS, WITHOUT WARRANTIES OR CONDITIONS OF ANY KIND, either express or implied. See the License for the specific language governing permissions and limitations under the License.

First printing, March 2013

Contents

I Part One

1 Probability Measure 7
1.1 Overview 7
1.2 Probability on a Field 7
1.3 Extention of Probability Measure to a σ-field 14

2 General Measure 25

3 Integration with Respect to a Measure 27

4 Random Variable 29

5 Convergence in Probability/Limit Theorem 31

6 Radon-Nikodym Derivative Theorem 33

7 Special Topics 35

Index 37

Part One

1 Probability Measure 7
1.1 Overview
1.2 Probability on a Field
1.3 Extention of Probability Measure to a σ-field

2 General Measure 25

3 Integration with Respect to a Measure 27

4 Random Variable 29

5 Convergence in Probability/Limit Theorem 31

6 Radon-Nikodym Derivative Theorem . 33

7 Special Topics 35

Index 37

1. Probability Measure

1.1 Overview

1.2 Probability on a Field

Definition 1.2.1 — Ω. Non emtpy set.

Definition 1.2.2 — Paving. A collection of a subset of Ω is a paving.

Definition 1.2.3 — Field. A **field** $\mathscr{F}$ is a paving satisfying

(i) $\Omega \in \mathscr{F}$

(ii) $A \in \mathscr{F} \Rightarrow A^C \in \mathscr{F}$

(iii) $A, B, \in \mathscr{F}, \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathscr{F}$

Derived Properties about a Field

- $\emptyset \in \mathscr{F}$ (by (i) and (ii):

$$\Omega \in \mathscr{F} \Rightarrow \Omega^C \in \mathscr{F}$$
$$\Rightarrow \emptyset \in \mathscr{F})$$

- (i) can be replaced by "$\mathscr{R}$ is nonempty" because,
  Let $A \in \mathscr{F}$,

$$\Rightarrow A^c \in \mathscr{F}$$
$$\Rightarrow A^C \cup A \in \mathscr{F}$$
$$\Rightarrow \Omega \in \mathscr{F}$$

- $A \in \mathscr{F}, B \in \mathscr{F}, \Rightarrow, A \cap B \in \mathscr{F}$ because,

$$(A \cap B)^C = A^C \cup B^C (DeMorgan's Law)$$
$$A \cap B = (A^C \cup B^C)^C$$

- $A_1,\ldots,A_m \in \mathscr{F} \Rightarrow A_1 \cup,\ldots,\cup A_m \in \mathscr{F}$ (mathematical induction)
- $A_1,\ldots,A_m \in \mathscr{F} \Rightarrow A_1 \cap,\ldots,\cap A_m \in \mathscr{F}$

Definition 1.2.4 — σ-Field. Similar to the definition of a field except for (iii). A paving satisfying

(i) $\Omega \in \mathscr{F}$
(ii) $A \in \mathscr{F} \Rightarrow A^C \in \mathscr{F}$
(iii) $A_1 \in \mathscr{F},\ldots,A_m \in \mathscr{F}$
$\cup_{k=1}^{m} A_k \in \mathscr{F}$ (finite additvity)

If we replace (iii) from before by (iii') here:
For $A_1 \in \mathscr{F},\ldots,A_m \in \mathscr{F}$

$$\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathscr{F}$$

then $\mathscr{F}$ is called a **σ-field**.

Derived Facts

- Again, (i) can be repalced by $\mathscr{F}$ no empty, (iii) can be replaced $A_1 \in \mathscr{F},\ldots,A_m \in \mathscr{F}$

■ **Example 1.1** $\Omega = (0,1]$ (from now on all intervals are left open, right closed)

R Recall that σ-fields are generated by fields. Fancy scripts denote a σ-field. Fancy scripts with a zero subscript denote a field.

$\mathscr{B}_0$ is the collection of all finite union of disjoint intervals. Asside: something that we can consider easily, but computers cannot. (e.g. think of all stars, etc)



Figure 1.1: Finite unioin of three disjoint intervals.

Then $\mathscr{B}_0$ is a field.

(i) $(0,1] \in \mathscr{B}_0$
(ii) $A \in \mathscr{B}_0 \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathscr{B}_0$
(iii) $A \in \mathscr{B}_o, B \in \mathscr{B}_o \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathscr{B}_o$

■

Wednesday August 24

$\mathscr{B}_0$ = collection of finite unions of disjoin subintervals of (0, 1]. Is a field.



Figure 1.2: A and complement of A.



Figure 1.3: Union of A and B is still in $\mathcal{B}_o$

Definition 1.2.5 — Power Set. A σ-field is generated by a paving of power set. Let Ω be a set. The collection of all subsets of Ω is the power set written as 2^{Ω}.

R Where does this notation come from?
Consider ths case where Ω is finite

$$\Omega = \{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$$

Total number of subsets of Ω.
$\emptyset$, 1 element sets, 2-element sets, ..., n-element ests.

$$()+()+\cdots+ = (1+1)^n$$

$\#(\mathcal{F}) = 2^{\#\Omega}$, so it seems reasonable to denote $\mathcal{F} = 2^{\Omega}$.
It is also easy to show that 2^{Ω} is a σ-field. (The largest, even. The smallest: $\{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ which is also a σ-field.)

$$\{\emptyset, \Omega\} \subseteq \sigma\text{-field} \subseteq 2^{\Omega}$$

It turns out we can extend notion of lenght from $\mathcal{B}_0$ to σ-field generated by $\mathcal{B}_o$.

Now, let $\mathscr{A}$ be a nonempty paving of Ω. We define

$$\sigma(\mathscr{A}) = \cap\{\mathscr{B} \subseteq 2^{\Omega} : \mathscr{B} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-field}, \mathscr{A} \subseteq \mathscr{B}\}$$

OR rather, the *intersection* of all σ-fields that contains $\mathscr{A}$.

Let

$$\mathbb{F}(\mathscr{A}) = \{\mathscr{B} \subseteq 2^{\Omega} : \mathscr{B} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-field}, \mathscr{B} \supseteq \mathscr{A}\}$$

Then,

$$\sigma(\mathscr{A}) = \cap\mathscr{B}$$

$$\mathscr{B} \in \mathbb{F}(\mathscr{A})$$

Derived Facts
$\mathbb{F}(\mathscr{A})$ is nonempty. For example, 2^{Ω} is a σ-field and $2^{\Omega} \supseteq \mathscr{A}$.
$\cap B$ is a σ-field. ($B \in \mathbb{F}(\mathscr{A})$)

R Get notes about notation/levels.

Proof. We will prove that indeed $\sigma(\mathscr{A})$ is a σ -field. Recall that we have three conditions above for σ-field.

(i)

$$\Omega \in \sigma(\mathscr{A})$$

$$\Omega \in \cap_{B \in \mathbb{F}(\mathscr{A})} B$$

Because: B is σ-field, $A \in B$, $\forall B \in \mathbb{F}(\mathscr{A})$.

(ii)

(iii) $A_1, \ldots, \in \cap_{B \in \mathbb{F}(\mathscr{A})} B, \forall B \in \mathbb{F}(\mathscr{A})$
$\Rightarrow \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in B, \forall B \in \mathbb{F}(\mathscr{A})$

So, $\sigma(\mathscr{A}$ is a σ-field, we call it the σ-field, generated by $\mathscr{B}_o$. We know how tot assign lenth to members of $\mathscr{B}_o$, we now show the assignment can be extended to $\sigma(\mathscr{B}_o)$

■

■ **Example 1.2** Let $\mathscr{I}$ be the collection of *all* subintervals of (0,1].

Note that $\mathscr{I}$ is a smaller collection than $\mathscr{B}_0$ since $\mathscr{B}_0$ can have numerous different combinations of the sets.

Let

$$\mathscr{B} = \sigma(\mathscr{I})$$

.

This is a Borel-σ-field. (a member of $\mathscr{B}$ in Borel set.)
It turns out

$$\sigma(\mathscr{I}) = \sigma(\mathscr{B}_o)$$

This is because $\sigma(\mathscr{I})$ is a σ-field.

So,

$$\sigma(\mathscr{I}) \supseteq \mathscr{B}_o$$
$$\sigma(\mathscr{I}) \supseteq \sigma(\mathscr{B}_o)$$

Also,

$$\mathscr{I} \subseteq \mathscr{B}_o$$
$$\sigma(\mathscr{I}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathscr{B}_o)$$

Thus,

$$\sigma(\mathscr{I}) = \sigma(\mathscr{B}_o)$$

■

Definition 1.2.6 — Probability Measure. Probablity measures on field. Suppose $\mathscr{F}$ is a field on a nonempy set Ω. A probability measure is a function $P : \mathscr{F} \to \mathbb{R}$.

(i) $0 \leq P(A) \leq 1, \forall A \in \mathscr{F}$
(ii) $P(\emptyset) = 0, P(\Omega) = 1$
(iii) If $A_1, \ldots$ are disjoint emembers of $\mathscr{F}$ and $\cup A_n \in \mathscr{F}$ then we have countable additivity:

$$P(\cup A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_N)$$

R Note that (iii) also implies finite additivity. Prove by adding infinite empty sets on end.

If Ω is nonempty set. And $\mathscr{F}$ is a σ-field on Ω. And P is a probability measure on $\mathscr{F}$.
Then $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ is called a **probability space.**
And $(\Omega, \mathscr{F})$ is called a **measurable space.**

R If $A \subseteq B$, then $P(A) \leq P(B)$. This is because we may write B as

$$B = A \cup (B \setminus A)$$

R

$$P(A) + P(B) = P(A \cup B) + P(A \cap B)$$

Friday August 26

Recall,
Probability measure on a field, $\mathscr{F}_0$.

- $P(A) + P(B) = P(A \cup B) + P(A \cap B)$
  - $P(A) = P(AB^C) + P(AB)$
  - $P(B) = P(BA^C) + P(AB)$
  - $P(A) + P(B) = P(AB^C) + P(BA^C) + 2P(AB)$
  - $P(A \cup B) = P(AB^C) + P(BA^C) + P(AB)$

- $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(AB)$ By induction, we can prove if $A_1, \ldots A_n$,

$$P(\cup_{k=1}^{n} A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} P(A_k) - \sum_{i<j} P(A_i A_j) + \sum_{i<j<k} A_i A_j) + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} P(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$$

  Inclusion- Exclusion Formula
- If $A_1, \ldots A_n \in \mathscr{F}$,

$$B_1 = A_1$$

$$B_2 = A_2 \setminus A_1$$

$$\vdots$$

  Then,

$$\cup_{k=1}^{n} A_k = \cup_{k=1}^{n} B_k$$

  but the B_i are disjoint. Also $A_K \subseteq B_k \forall k = 1, \ldots, n$.

$$P(\cup_{k=1}^{n} A_k) = P(\cup_{k=1}^{n} B_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} B_k \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_k$$

  Thus, $P(\cup_{k=1}^{n} A_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_k$. Finite subadditivity.

Some conventions,
If $A_1, \ldots$ is a sequence of sets, we say $A_n \uparrow A$ if
1. $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \ldots$
2. $\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k = A$

If $A_1, \ldots$ is a sequence of sets, we say $A_n \downarrow A$ if
1. $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \ldots$
2. $\cap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k = A$

Theorem 1.2.1 If P is a probability measure on a field $\mathscr{F}$ Then,

1. Continuity from below.
   If $A_n \in \mathscr{F} \quad \forall n, A \in \mathscr{F}$

$$A_n \uparrow A$$

   then

$$P(A_n) \uparrow P(A)$$

2. Continuity from above.
   If $A_n \in \mathscr{F} \quad \forall n . A \in \mathscr{F}$

$$A_n \downarrow A$$

   then

$$P(A_n) \downarrow P(A)$$

3. Countable subadditivity.
   If $A_n \in \mathscr{F} \quad \forall n . \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathscr{F}$ then

$$P(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_k) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_k)$$

Proof. 1. If $A_1, \ldots A_n \in \mathscr{F}$,

$$B_1 = A_1$$

$$B_2 = A_2 \setminus A_1$$

$$B_3 = A_3 \setminus A_2$$

$$\vdots$$

then, $B_1, \ldots$ are disjoint.

$$\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(A) &= P(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \\ &= P(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(B_n) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(B_n) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} P(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

2. $A_n \downarrow A \Leftrightarrow A_n^C \uparrow A^C$
But by (1),

$$P(A_n^C) \uparrow P(A^C)$$

$$1 - P(A_n) \uparrow 1 - P(A)$$

$$P(A_n) \downarrow P(A)$$

3. By finite subadditivity,

$$P(\cup^n k = 1 A_k) \leq \sum^n k = 1 P(A_k) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n)$$

But since, by (1), because

$$\cup_{k=1}^{n} A_k \uparrow \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

$$P(\cup_{k=1}^{n} A_k) \uparrow P(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)$$

So,

$$P(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n)$$

■

R $A \in \mathscr{F}$ = "A is F-set".

1.3 Extention of Probability Measure to a σ-field

Let f be a function $f : D \to R$.

Let $\tilde{D}$ be another set such that

$$D \subseteq \tilde{D}$$

An extantion of f onto $\tilde{D}$ is

$$\tilde{f} : \tilde{D} \to R$$

Such that $f(x) = \tilde{f}(x) \forall x \in D$

$\tilde{f}$ is an extention of f on D.

We say f has unique extention, $\tilde{f}$ onto $\tilde{D}$ if

1. $\tilde{f}$ is an extension of f to $\tilde{D}$.
2. if g is another extension of f to $\tilde{D}$ then $\tilde{f} = g$ on D.

Theorem 1.3.1 A probability measure on a field has a unique extension on the σ-field generated by this field.

This means that if $\mathscr{F}_0$ is a field, and P is a probability measure on $\mathscr{F}_0$, then there exists a probability measure, Q on $\sigma(\mathscr{F})$ such that

$$Q(A) = P(A) \quad \forall A \in \mathscr{F}_0$$

Moreover, if $\tilde{Q}$ is another probability measure on $\sigma(\mathscr{F}_0)$ such that $\tilde{Q} = P(A) \quad \forall A \in \mathscr{F}$ then

$$\tilde{Q} = Q$$

.

R The proof of this theorem will come after several definitions and lemmas.

Outer Measure $P^* : 2^\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$

For any $A \in 2^\Omega$ $(A \subseteq \Omega)$

$$P^*(A) = \inf\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) : A_1, A_2, \ldots \text{is a sequence of } \mathscr{F}_0 \text{ sets, } A \subseteq \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\}$$

P^* is a measure out until $\mathscr{M}$, but it is only a function beyond that on 2^Ω.

Inner Measure

$P_*(A) = 1 - P^*(A)$

Define the paving $\mathscr{M}$ as followes

$$\mathscr{M} = \{A \in 2^\Omega : E \in 2^\Omega, P^*(E) = P^*(E \cap A) + P^*(E \cap A^C)\}$$

Idea: we came up with this $\mathscr{M}$ such that P^* behaves as a measure. It will turn out to be that $\mathscr{M}$ is a σ-field that contains $\sigma(\mathscr{F}_0)$.

Monday August 29

P^* satisfies the following probabilities:

(i) $P^*(\emptyset) = 0$
(ii) $P^*(A) \geq 0 \quad \forall A \in 2^\Omega$
(iii) $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow P^*(A) \subseteq P^*(B)$
(iv) $P^*(\cup_{n=1}^\infty A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty P^*(A_n))$

Proof. (i) Take $\{\emptyset, \emptyset, \dots\}$.

$$\emptyset \in \mathscr{F}_0, \quad \emptyset \cup_{n=1}^\infty \emptyset$$

So,

$$P^*(\emptyset) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty P(\emptyset) = 0$$

Note,

$$P(A) \geq 0 \quad \forall A$$

So,

$$P^*(\emptyset) \geq \emptyset$$

Thus,

$$P^*(\emptyset) = \emptyset$$

(ii) Already done as part of (i).
(iii) Let $A \subseteq B$

$$P^*(A) = \inf\{\sum_{n=1}^\infty P(A_n), A_n \in \mathscr{F}_0, A \subseteq \cup A_n\}$$

Now, if $B_1, \dots \in \mathscr{F}_0 \subseteq \cup B_n$
Then,

$$A \subseteq B \subseteq \cup_n B_n$$

If $\{\{B_n\}_{n=1}^\infty : B_n \in \mathscr{F}_0, B \subseteq \cup_n B_n\} \subseteq \{\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty : A_n \in \mathscr{F}_0, A \subseteq \cup_n A_n\}$
Or in short, Collection 1 $\subseteq$ Collection 2.

So the inf of a larger set is smaller than (or equal to) the inf of a smaller set.

So,
$P^*(A) = \inf\{\sum_{n=1}^\infty P(A_n), A_n \in \text{ collection \#1}\} \leq P^*(B) = \inf\{\sum_{n=1}^\infty P(B_n), A_n \in \text{ collection \#2}\} =$
$P^*(B)$
(iv) Want

$$P^*(\cup_n A_n) \leq \sum_n P^*(A_n)$$

$$P^*(A_n) = \inf\{\sum_{n=1}^\infty P(A_n) : A_{nk} \in \mathscr{F}_0, A \subseteq \cup_k A_{nk}\}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, by defnition of there exists,

$$\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(B_{nk}) \leq P^*(A_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}$$

So,

$$\cup_n A_n \subseteq \cup_{n,k} B_{nk}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} P^*(\cup_n A_n) &\leq \sum_{n,k} P(B_{nk}) \\ &< \sum_n P^*(A_n) + \sum_n (\varepsilon 2^{-n}) \\ P^*(\cup A_n) &< \sum_n P^*(A_n) + \varepsilon \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Simply put,
b
So,

$$P^*(\cup_n A_n) \leq \sum_n P^*(A_n)$$

■

By definition, $A \in \mathscr{M}$ if and only if $P^*(EA) + P^*(EA^C) = P^*(E)$.

We know that P^* is subadditive.

So, by subadditivity we know,

$$P^*(E) \leq P^*(AE) + P^*(A^C E)$$

Therefore, to show $A \in \mathscr{M}$ we only need to show

$$P^*(E) \geq P^*(AE) + P^*(A^C E)$$

$\mathscr{M}$ is defined by P^* and P^* is defined using $\mathscr{F}_0$ so $\mathscr{M}$ is indireclty tied to $\mathscr{F}_0$.

Lemma 1. $\mathscr{M}$ is a field.

Proof. (i) $\Omega \in \mathscr{M}$

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \Omega \\ P^*(\emptyset) &= 0 \\ P^*(E) + P^*(\emptyset) &= P^*(E) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $A \in \mathscr{M} = A^C \in \mathscr{M}$

$$\begin{aligned} P^*(E) &= P^*(EA) + P^*(A^C E) \\ &= P^*(EA^C) + P^*(AE) \\ &= P^*(EA^C) + P^*((A^C)^C E) \end{aligned}$$

(iii) $A, B \in \mathscr{M} \to A \cap B \in \mathscr{M}$

$B \in \mathscr{M} \Rightarrow P^*(E) = P^*(Eb) + P^*(B^C E) \quad \forall E$
$A \in \mathscr{M} \Rightarrow P^*(BE) = P^*((BE)A) + P^*(A^C(BE))$
$A \in \mathscr{M} \Rightarrow P^*(B^C E) = P^*((B^C E)A) + P^*(A^C(B^C E))$

Hence,

$$P^*(BE) + P^*(B^C E) = P^*((BE)A) + P^*(A^C(BE)) + P^*((B^C E)A) + P^*(A^C(B^C E))$$

$$\begin{aligned} P^*(A^C(BE)) + P^*((B^C E)A) + P^*(A^C(B^C E)) &\geq P^*((A^C BE) \cup (AB^C E) \cup (A^C BE)) \\ &= P^*(E \cap [A^C B \cup AB^C \cup A^C B^C]) \\ &= P^*(E \cap (AB)^C) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P^*(E) &= P^*(BE) + P^*(B^C E) \\ &= P^*((BE)A) + (P^*(A^C(BE)) + P^*((B^C E)A) + P^*(A^C(B^C E))) \\ &\geq P^*(ABE) + P^*(E(AB)^C) \end{aligned}$$

So, $A, B \in \mathscr{M}$

■

Lemma 2. If $A_1, A_2, \ldots$ is a sequence of disjoint $\mathscr{M}$-sets then for each $E \subseteq \Omega$,

$$P^*(E \cap (\cup_k A_k)) = \sum_k P^*(E \cap A_k)$$

Proof. First, prove this statement for finite sequence.

$$A_1, \ldots, A_n$$

by mathematical induction.

If $n = 1$ this is 'trivial',

$$P^*(E \cap A_1) = P^*(E \cap A_1)$$

If $n = 2$ we need to show,

$$P^*(E(A_1 \cup A_n)) = P^*(EA_1) + P^*(EA_2)$$

Because $A_1 \in \mathscr{M}$,

$$P^*(E(A_1 \cup A_2)) = P^*(E(A_1 \cup A_2))A_1 + P^*(E(A_1 \cup A_2)A_1^2)$$

$$E(A_1 \cup A_2) = E(A_1 A_2 \cup A_1 A_2 = EA_1$$

$$E(A_1 \cup A_2)A_1^C = E(A_1A_1^C \cup A_2A_2^C)$$

So,

$$P^*(E(A_1 \cup A_2)) = P^*(EA_1) + P^*(EA_2)$$

Suppose true for n = k. (induction hypothesis)

Now we must show for n = k + 1.

$$P^*(E \cap (\cup_{n=1}^{k+1} A_n)) = P^*([E \cap (\cup_{n=1}^{k} A_n)] \cup A_{k+1})$$

$(\cup_{n=1}^{k} A_n), A_{k+1}$ are two disjoint sets. Using the n=2 case,

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{k} P^*(E \cap A_n) + P(E \cap A_{k+1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{k+1} P^*(E \cap A_n)$$

So this is now shown to be true for $\{A_1, \ldots, A_n\}$. Next, showtrue for $A_1, \ldots in \mathscr{M}$ (disjoint). Want:

$$P^*(E \cap (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^*(E \cap A_n)$$

Using countable subadditivity,

$$P^*(E \cap (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)) = P^*(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E \cap A_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^*(E \cap A_n)$$

In the meantime, by the monotonicity of P^*

$$P^*(E \cap (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)) \geq P^*(E \cap (\cup_{n=1}^{m} A_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^*(E \cap A_n)$$

So,

$$P^*(E \cap (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)) \geq \lim \sum_{n=1}^{m} P^*(E \cap A_n)$$

(*), (**) gives us,

$$P^*(E \cap (\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^*(E \cap A_n)$$

■

Wednesday August 31
(finished proof)

Lemma 3.
1. $\mathscr{M}$ is a σ-field
2. P^* restricted on $\mathscr{M}$ is countably additive.

Proof. First we show if

1. $\mathscr{M}$ is a fieldd
2. $\mathscr{M}$ is closed under countable disjoint union.

then $\mathscr{M}$ is a σ-field.
Let's create disjoints sets,

$A_n \in \mathscr{M}, n = 1,2,\ldots\ B_1 = A_1\ B_2 = A_2A_1^C \vdots B_n = A_nA_1^C \ldots A_{n-1}^C$
$B_1,\ldots,B_n \in \mathscr{M}$ (disjoint)

$$\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

But we know that $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \in \mathscr{M}$ so $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathscr{M}$ and thus $\mathscr{M}$ is a σ-field.
So it suffices to show that $\mathscr{M}$ is closed under disjoint countable unions.

Let $A_1, A_2, \ldots$ are disjoins $\mathscr{M}$-sets.

Let $A = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$.

Let $F_n = \cup^n k = 1A_k$.

Then $F_n \in \mathscr{M}$.

So, $\forall E \in 2^{\Omega}$,

$$P^*(E) = P^*(EF_n) + P^*(EF_n^C)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P^*(EF_n) &= P^*(E(\cup_{k=1}^{n} A_k)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} P^*(EA_k) \\ P^*(EF_n^C) &\geq P^*(EA^C)(F_n \subseteq A, F_n^C \supseteq A^C) \\ \Rightarrow P^*(E) &\geq \lim_{n\to\infty} P^*(EA_k) + P^*(EA^C) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} P^*(EA_k) + P^*(EA^C) \\ &= P^*(EA) + P^*(EA^C) \end{aligned}$$

■

So $A \in \mathscr{M}$ and $\mathscr{M}$ is a σ-field.

Now, let's show P^* is countably additive.
Let $A_1, A_2, \ldots$ be disjoint members of $\mathscr{M}$. Then $\forall E \in 2^{\Omega}$,

$$P^*(E(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(EA_n)$$

Take $E = \Omega$.

$$P^*(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n)$$

Lemma 4. $\mathscr{F}_0 \subseteq \mathscr{M}$

Proof. Let $A \in \mathscr{F}$.

Want:

$$A \in \mathscr{M}$$
$$P^*(E) = P^*(EA) + P^*(EA^C)$$

By definition, there exists $E_n \in \mathscr{F}_0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^*(E_n) \leq P^*(E) + \varepsilon$$

■

Lemma 5.

$$P^*(A) = P(A) \quad \forall A \in \mathscr{F}_0$$

Proof. Let $A \in \mathscr{F}_0$.
Because, $A, \emptyset, \emptyset, \ldots, \in \mathscr{F}_0$.

$$A \subseteq A \cup \emptyset \cup \emptyset \ldots$$

$$P^*(A) \leq P(A) + P(\emptyset) + \ldots$$

But, if

$$A_n \in \mathscr{F}_0$$

$$A \subseteq \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

$$P^*(A) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow P^*(A) \leq \inf \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n)$$

$$= P^*(A)$$

■

Friday September 2

Recall, Extension Theorem. That is, If $\mathscr{F}$ is a field and P is a probability measure, then there exists a measure, Q such that

$$Q(A) = P(A) \quad \forall A \in \mathscr{F}_0$$

Proof. By Lemma 5,
$P^*(\Omega) = P(\Omega) = 1$ $P^*(\emptyset) = P(\emptyset) = 0$
Outline of what we need to show:

- $0 \leq M(A) \leq 1$
- $M(\emptyset) = 0, \quad M(\Omega) = 1$

- $M(\cup_n A_n) = \sum_n M(A_n)$

Since $\forall A \in \mathscr{M}$,

$$\emptyset \subseteq A \subset \Omega$$

then

$$0 \leq P^*(\emptyset) \leq P^*(A) \leq P^*(\Omega) \leq 1$$

But, by Lemma 3, P^* is contably additive on $\mathscr{M}$. So P^* is probability measure on $\mathscr{M}$ (which is a σ-field, by Lemma 3).

By Lemma 4, $\mathscr{F}_0 \subset \mathscr{M} \Rightarrow \sigma(\mathscr{F}_0 \subseteq \mathscr{M}$. So P^* is also probabliity measure on $\sigma(\mathscr{F}_0)$.

Finally, by Lemma 5, again $P^*(A) = P(A)$, P^* is an extention of P form $\mathscr{F}_0$ to $\sigma(\mathscr{F}_0)$. ■

Uniqueness of of the extention, $\pi - \lambda$ Theorem.

Paving - {π-system and λ-system. (?)

A clan of subsets $\mathscr{P}$ of Ω is a π system, if $A, B \in \mathscr{P} \Rightarrow AB \in \mathscr{P}$.

A class $\mathscr{L}$ is a λ-system if

1. $\Omega \in \mathscr{L}$
2. $A \in \mathscr{L} \Rightarrow A^C \in \mathscr{L}$
3. If $A_1, dots \in \mathscr{L}$ are disjoint then $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathscr{L}$

So, the only difference is "disjoint". So, weaker than a σ-field (i.e. A σ-field is always a λ-system).

Note that (λ_2) can be replace by $(\lambda_{2\prime})$ wherein

$$A, B \in \mathscr{F}, A \subseteq B, \Rightarrow B \setminus A \in \mathscr{L}$$

That is $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \Leftrightarrow \lambda_1, \lambda_{2\prime}, \lambda_3$

Lemma 6. A class of sets that is both π-systema and λ-system is a σ-field.

Proof. Suppose $\mathscr{F}$ is both π-systema and λ-system.

By definition,

1. $\Omega \in \mathscr{F}$
2. $A \in \mathscr{F} \Rightarrow A^C \in \mathscr{F}$

Let $A_1, A_2, \ldots$ be $\mathscr{F}$ sets.

Let's constructs disjoints sets, B

$$B_1 = A_1$$
$$B_2 = A_1 A_2^C$$
$$\vdots$$

Then B_n are $mathscrF$-sets (by $\lambda_{2\prime} - A_2^C = \Omega A) 2^C \in \mathscr{F}$, by π-system, $A_1 A_2^C \in \mathscr{F}$).

By λ_3,

$$\cup_n^{\infty} B_n \in \mathscr{F}$$

So,

$$\cup_n^{\infty} A_n \in \mathscr{F}$$

■

Theorem 1.3.2 — π-λ Theorem. If $\mathscr{P}$ is in a π-system, $\mathscr{L}$ is in a λ-system, then

$$\mathscr{P} \subseteq \mathscr{L} \Rightarrow \sigma(\mathscr{P} \subseteq \mathscr{L})$$

Proof. Let $\lambda(\mathscr{P})$ be the intersection of all λ-system that contains $\mathscr{P}$.

$$\lambda(\mathscr{P}) = \cap\{\mathscr{L}' : \mathscr{L}' \supseteq \mathscr{P}, \mathscr{L}' \text{ is } \lambda\text{-set }\}$$

$\lambda(\mathscr{P})$ is a λ-system.

1. $\Omega \in \lambda(\mathscr{P})$?

$$\Omega \in \mathscr{L}' \quad \forall \mathscr{L}'$$
$$\Omega \in \lambda(\mathscr{P})$$

2. $A \in \lambda(\mathscr{P}) \Rightarrow A^C \in \lambda(\mathscr{P})$?

$$A \in \lambda(\mathscr{P}) \Rightarrow A \in \cap\{\mathscr{L}' : \mathscr{L}' \supseteq \mathscr{P}, \mathscr{L}' \text{ is } \lambda\text{-set }\}$$

Then
$A \in \mathscr{L}'$ for any $\mathscr{L}' \supseteq \mathscr{P}, \mathscr{L}'$ is λ-system.

$$\Rightarrow A^C \in \mathscr{L}'$$

$$\Rightarrow A^C \in \cap\{\mathscr{L}' : \mathscr{L}' \supseteq \mathscr{P}, \mathscr{L}' \text{ is } \lambda\text{-set }\} = \lambda(\mathscr{P})$$

3. $A_1, A_2, \cdots \in \lambda(\mathscr{P})$ are disjoint then $A_1, A_2, \cdots \in \mathscr{L}' \quad \forall \mathscr{L}'$.
Then $\cup A_n \in \mathscr{L}'(mathscrL'\lambda\text{-system})$
So $\cup_n A_n \in \lambda(\mathscr{P})$.
We call $\lambda(\mathscr{P})$ the λ-system generated by $\mathscr{P}$.

If we can say that $\lambda(\mathscr{P})$ is also a σ-field, then $\sigma(\mathscr{P}) \subseteq \lambda(\mathscr{P})$ because $\sigma(\mathscr{P})$ is smallest.
So then, $\sigma(\mathscr{P}) \subseteq \mathscr{L}$ because $\lambda(\mathscr{P})$ is the small λ-system.
So it suffices to show that $\lambda(\mathscr{P})$ is a σ-field. But we know if $\lambda(\mathscr{P})$ is a system then $\lambda(\mathscr{P})$ is σ-field. So it suffices to show that $\lambda(\mathscr{P})$ is a π-system.

Construct again for any $A \in 2^\Omega \quad (A \subseteq \Omega)$, let

$$\mathscr{L}_A = \{B : AB \in \lambda(\mathscr{P})\}$$

Claim: If $A \in \lambda(\mathscr{P})$ then $\mathscr{L}_A$ is λ-system.

(a) $\Omega \in \mathscr{L}_A$?

$$A\Omega = A \in \mathscr{L}_A$$

(b) $(\lambda_2') : B_1, B_2 \in \mathscr{L}_A, B_1 \subseteq B_2 \Rightarrow B_2 B_1^C \in \mathscr{L}_A$?

$$B_1 \in \mathscr{L}_A \Rightarrow AB_1 \in \lambda(\mathscr{P})$$
$$B_2 \in \mathscr{L}_A \Rightarrow AB_2 \in \lambda(\mathscr{P})$$

Since $AB_1 \subseteq AB_2$, $\lambda(\mathscr{P})$ is λ-system by (λ_2') for $\lambda(\mathscr{P})$

(c) If B_n is disjoint, $\mathscr{L}_A$-sets.
Want $\cup_n B_n$ because

$$B_n \in \mathscr{L}_A$$

$$B_n A \in \lambda(\mathscr{P})$$

Because B_n disjoint we know that $B_n A$ is also disjoint.
Hence,

$$\cup_n (B_n A) \in \lambda(\mathscr{P})$$

■

2. General Measure

3. Integration with Respect to a Measure

4. Random Variable



5. Convergence in Probability/Limit Theorem

6. Radon-Nikodym Derivative Theorem

7. Special Topics

Index

Exten. Prob Measure to σ-field, 14

Probability on a Field, 7