



Theory of Statistics I

Take Two

Meridith L Bartley



Copyright © 2013 Meredith L Bartley

PUBLISHED BY PUBLISHER

BOOK-WEBSITE.COM

Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported License (the “License”). You may not use this file except in compliance with the License. You may obtain a copy of the License at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0>. Unless required by applicable law or agreed to in writing, software distributed under the License is distributed on an “AS IS” BASIS, WITHOUT WARRANTIES OR CONDITIONS OF ANY KIND, either express or implied. See the License for the specific language governing permissions and limitations under the License.

First printing, August 2015

Contents

I	Part One	
1	Real Analysis Review	7
1.1	The Real Number System	7
1.1.1	Rationals	7
1.1.2	Sets and Subsets	8
1.1.3	Euclidean Space	10
1.2	Elements of Set Theory	11
1.2.1	Metric Spaces	14
1.2.2	Compact Sets	15
	Bibliography	17
	Books	17
	Articles	17
	Index	19



Part One

1	Real Analysis Review	7
1.1	The Real Number System	
1.2	Elements of Set Theory	
	Bibliography	17
	Books	
	Articles	
	Index	19

1. Real Analysis Review

1.1 The Real Number System

1.1.1 Rationals

Start with integers as given.

Definition 1.1.1 — Rational Numbers. Rationals are numbers of the form $\frac{m}{n}$, for m, n integers, $n \neq 0$ such that:

PR 1: The sum, difference, product, and ratio (division by 0 excluded) of any two rationals is a rational.

PR 2: $p + q = q + p, pq = qp$ (Commutative Property)

PR 3: $(p + q) + r = p + (q + r), (pq)r = p(qr)$, (Associative Property)

PR 4: $(p + q)r = pr + qr$ (Distributive Property)

PR 5: \forall two rationals p and q we have either $p=q$, $p < q$, or $q < p$ (Ordering Property)

PR 6: If $p < q$ and $q < r$, then $p < r$ (Transitivity of $<$)

PR 7: If $p > 0$ and $q > 0$, then $p + q > 0$ and $pq > 0$

PR 8: If $p < q$, then $p + r < q + r \forall r$

The rational number system is inadequate.

■ **Example 1.1** There is no rational number p that satisfies $p^2 = 2$ ■

Proof. Suppose such a p existed, and so $p = \frac{m}{n}$. Note that m, n can be chosen so not both are even. Then we have,

$$m^2 = 2n^2$$

Thus, m^2 is even, and hence m is even. (The square of an odd number is odd). Hence, m^2 is divided by 4. So, $2n^2$ is divisible by 4, or n^2 is even which implies that n is even - **contradiction**. ■

This example can be used to show that we can have a set of rational numbers bounded from above but has no supremum.

■ **Example 1.2** Let A be the set of < 0 rationals p , such that $p^2 < 2$. Let B be the set of > 0 rationals p , such that $p^2 > 2$. Then A contains no largest number and B contains no smallest number.

■

Proof. If $p \in A$, choose a rational h such that, $0 < h < 1$ and $h < \frac{2-p^2}{2p+1}$ and set $q = p + h$. Then q is rational and

$$\begin{aligned} q^2 &= p^2 + (2p+h)h \\ &< p^2 + (2p+1)h \\ &< p^2 + (2-p^2) \\ &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

If $p \in B$, set

$$q = p - \frac{p^2 - 2}{2p} = \frac{p}{2} + \frac{1}{p}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q^2 &= p^2 - (p^2 - 2) + \left(\frac{p^2 - 2}{2p}\right)^2 \\ &> p^2 - (p^2 - 2) \\ &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

■

R An axiomatic treatment of the real number system uses PR1 - PR8 as axioms together with the "completeness axiom". The non-axiomatics treatment is due to Dedekind.

1.1.2 Sets and Subsets

If A is any set, $x \in A$ means that x is a member of A , and $x \notin A$ means x is not a member of A . A set B is a **subset** of A if for every $x \in B$ we have $x \in A$, and we write $A \subseteq B$. B is a **proper subset** of A , $B \subset A$, if there $\exists x \in A$ with $x \notin B$. The **empty set** is denoted by \emptyset , and $\emptyset \in A$, \forall other set A .

$A \cup B = B \cup A$ - union with commutative property

$A \cap B = B \cap A$ - intersection with commutative property

$$(A \cup B) \cup C = A \cup (B \cup C)$$

$(A \cap B) \cap C = A \cap (B \cap C)$ - associative property

$(A \cup B) \cap C = (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C)$ - distributive property

$$(\cup A_i)^c = \cap A_i^c$$

$$(\cap A_i)^c = \cup A_i^c$$

Definition 1.1.2 — Dedekind Cuts. A set α of rational numbers is said to be a **cut** if

1. α is a proper, but non-empty, subset of the rational numbers.
2. If $p \in \alpha$ (p is rational), and $q < p$ (q is rational) then $q \in \alpha$
3. It contains no largest rational.

A cut of the form $\alpha = \{p: p \text{ is rational and } p < r\}$ where r is rational are called **rational cuts** and are denoted by r^* .

The development of the real number system proceeds as follows:

First, the set of cuts is equipped with an order relation, and the operation of addition and multiplication and it will show that the resulting arithmetic satisfies PR 1 - PR 8.

If α, β are cuts then,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha < \beta & \text{ if } \alpha \subset \beta \text{ and} \\ \alpha & \leq \beta \text{ if } \alpha \subseteq \beta \\ \alpha + \beta & = \{r : r = p + q \text{ for some } p \in \alpha, q \in \beta\} \\ (\alpha + 0^*) & = \alpha \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha + \beta = 0^*$, write $\beta = -\alpha$. (It can be shown that $\forall \alpha$ there is one and only one β such that $\alpha + \beta = 0^*$.)

$$|\alpha| = \begin{cases} \alpha, & \text{if } \alpha \geq 0^*, \\ -\alpha, & \text{if } \alpha < 0^*. \end{cases}$$

For $\alpha \geq 0^*$ and $\beta \geq 0^*$,

$$\alpha\beta = \{p: p \text{ rational such that either } p < 0 \text{ or } p = pq, \text{ for } q \in \alpha, r \in \beta \text{ with } q \geq 0 \text{ and } r \geq 0.\}$$

For general α, β ,

$$\alpha\beta = \begin{cases} -(|\alpha||\beta|), & \text{if } \alpha < 0^*, \text{ and } \beta \geq 0^* \\ & \text{or if } \alpha \geq 0^* \text{ and } \beta < 0^* \\ |\alpha||\beta|, & \text{if } \alpha < 0^*, \text{ and } \beta < 0^* \end{cases}$$

If $\alpha \neq 0^*$, then $\forall \beta$ there is one and only one γ such that $\alpha\gamma = \beta$, and this γ is denoted by $\frac{\beta}{\alpha}$. (In technical terms, we made the set of cuts an **ordered field**.)

Second, it is shown that replacing the set of rational numbers by the corresponding cuts preserves sums, products and order, ie,

1. $p^* + q^* = (p + q)^*$
2. $p^* q^* = (pq)^*$
3. $p^* < q^*$ iff $p < q$

In technical terms, the ordered field of rational numbers is **isomorphic** to that of rational events.

Theorem 1.1.1 — Dedekind. Let A, B be $\subset \mathbb{R}$ such that,

- (a) $A \cap B = \emptyset$
- (b) $A \cup B = \mathbb{R}$
- (c) neither A nor B is empty
- (d) if $\alpha \in A, \beta \in B$, then $\alpha < \beta$

Then there $\exists \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha \leq \gamma, \forall \alpha \in A$ and $\gamma \leq \beta, \forall \beta \in B$.

Proof. First, suppose there are 2 γ , say $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2$. Take γ_3 such that $\gamma_1 < \gamma_3 < \gamma_2$.

$$\gamma_3 < \gamma_2 \text{ implies that } \gamma_3 \in A$$

$$\gamma_1 < \gamma_3 \text{ implies that } \gamma_3 \in B$$

However, these implications contradict the disjointness (part (a)). Define $\gamma = \{p: p \text{ rational such that } p \in A \text{ for some } \alpha \in A\}$. The proof proceeds by showing that γ is a cut, and hence a real number that satisfies $\alpha \leq \gamma$ for $\alpha \in A$ and $\gamma \leq \beta \forall \beta \in B$. ■

Corollary 1.1.2 If A, B are as in the theorem, then either A contains a largest number or B contains a smallest number.

Corollary 1.1.3 Let $E \neq \emptyset$ be a subset of \mathbb{R} . Then, if E is bounded above a supremum (least upper bound) exists.

Proof. Define

$$A = \{\alpha : \alpha < x \text{ for some } x \in E\}$$

$$B = A^c$$

Clearly, all members of B are upper bounds of E . It is sufficient to prove that B contains a smallest number, or, by Corollary 1, that A does not contain a largest number (and thus prove by contradiction). Indeed if $\alpha \in A \exists$ an $x \in E$ such that $\alpha < x$. But, by Property 1 (???) there \exists an α' such that $\alpha < \alpha' < x$ where $\alpha' \in A$ (i.e. we can always find a larger α so, since there is no largest α , there MUST be a smallest β). ■

Theorem 1.1.4 Any real number admits a decimal expansion.

Proof. Let $x > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $n_0 = [x]$ (n largest integer $< x$). Let n_1 be the largest integer such that $n_0 + \frac{n_1}{10} < x$. Having defined $n_0 \dots n_{k-1}$, define n_k as the largest integer such that $n_0 + \frac{n_1}{10} + \frac{n_2}{10^2} + \dots + \frac{n_k}{10^k} \leq x$. Let E be the set of resulting numbers for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then x is the supremum of E and n_0, n_1, \dots is its **decimal expansion**. Conversely, any set of integers n_0, n_1, \dots defines a set of numbers, E , bounded above by $n_0 + 1$. ■

Definition 1.1.3 — Extended Real Number System.

$$\bar{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$$

1.1.3 Euclidean Space

Definition 1.1.4 — Vector Space. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let \mathbb{R}^k be the set of ordered k -tuples.

$$\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \text{ with } x_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\underline{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\underline{x} + \underline{y} = (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_k + y_k)$$

$$\alpha \underline{x} = (\alpha x_1, \dots, \alpha x_k)$$

Which makes \mathbb{R}^k a **vector space** over the **real field**.

Definition 1.1.5 — Inner/Scalar/Dot Product.

$$\underline{x} \cdot \underline{y} = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i$$

Definition 1.1.6 — Norm/Length.

$$|\underline{x}| = (\underline{x}\underline{x})^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2}$$

Definition 1.1.7 — Euclidean K-space. The vector space \mathbb{R}^k with the inner product and norm is called **Euclidean k-space**.

Theorem 1.1.5 For $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

- a) $|\underline{x}| \geq 0, |\underline{x}| = 0$ iff $\underline{x} = \underline{0}$
 $|\alpha \underline{x}| = |\alpha| |\underline{x}|$
- b) **Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality** $|\underline{x} \cdot \underline{y}| \leq |\underline{x}| |\underline{y}|$
- c) **Triangle Inequality** $|\underline{x} + \underline{y}| \leq |\underline{x}| + |\underline{y}|$

1.2 Elements of Set Theory

Definition 1.2.1 Let A, B be sets and suppose that to each $x \in A$ there corresponds an elements of B denoted by $f(x)$. Then f is a **function** (or in more general space, mapping) from A (in)to B .

A is called the **domain** of f . $f(x)$ is the **value** of f at x , $R(f) = \{f(x) : x \in A\}$ is the **range** of f .

Definition 1.2.2 — Image. If f is a function from A to B ($A \rightarrow B$) and $E \subseteq A$ we write $f(E) = \{f(x) : x \in E\}$ and call it the **image** of E under f . If $f(A) = B$, then we say f maps A **onto** B .

Definition 1.2.3 — Inverse Image. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $E \subseteq B$. We write $f^{-1}(E) = \{x \in A : f(x) \in E\}$ and call it the **inverse image** of E **under** f . NB: If $E = \{y\}, y \in B$ we also write $f^{-1}(y)$ (versus $f^{-1}(\{y\})$). If $\forall y \in B$ $f^{-1}(y)$ consists of at most one element, then f is one to one mapping of A **into** B .

Theorem 1.2.1 a) $f^{-1}(A \cup B) = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$

b) $f(A \cup B) = f(A) \cup f(B)$

c) $f^{-1}(A \cap B) = f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B)$

Actually, these may be extended to arbitrary unions and intersections.

$$f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}\right) = \bigcup_{\alpha} f^{-1}(A_{\alpha})$$

$$f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}\right) = \bigcap_{\alpha} f^{-1}(A_{\alpha})$$

$$f\left(\bigcup_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}\right) = \bigcup_{\alpha} f(A_{\alpha})$$

Note: $f(A \cap B)$ is not necessarily equal to $f(A) \cap f(B)$ (see notes for example and sketch)

Definition 1.2.4 — Cardinal Number. If \exists a one-to-one mapping of A onto B , we say that A and B have the same **cardinal number**, or that they are **equivalent** $A \sim B$.

- a) $A \sim A$ (reflective)
- b) If $A \sim B$, then $B \sim A$ (symmetric)
- c) If $A \sim B$ and $B \sim C$, then $A \sim C$. (transitive)

Definition 1.2.5 — (In)finite/(Un)Countable. Let $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_n^+ = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and let A be a set.

- a) We say A is **finite** if $A \sim \mathbb{Z}_n^+$ for some n or if $A = \emptyset$
- b) A is **infinite** if it is not finite
- c) A is **countable** if $A \sim \mathbb{Z}^+$
- d) A is **uncountable** if A is not finite and countable.

Note: If A and B are finite, then $A \sim B$ if and only if they have the same number of elements. This is not true if they are infinite.

■ **Example 1.3 Equivalent Infinite Sets**

1. The set \mathbb{Z}^+ of all integers is countable. Then take

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ -(\frac{n-1}{2}), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

1	→	0
2	→	1
3	→	-1
4	→	2
5	→	-2
6	→	3
7	→	-3

Table 1.1: Corresponding Integers

The set of positive, even integers is countable. Take

$$f(x) = 2n$$

■

Theorem 1.2.2 The countable union of countable sets is countable.

Proof. Let A_1, A_2, \dots be countable and assume that they are disjoint (for if not, you can consider the sequences of countable sets that are disjoint - $A_1, A_2 - A_1, \dots$), which are countable and have the same union. Let $A_k = \{a_{k1}, a_{k2}, \dots\}$ and consider the arrangement of $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$.

a_{11}	a_{12}	a_{13}	a_{14}	...	1	2	6	7	...
a_{21}	a_{22}	a_{23}	a_{24}	...	3	5	8
a_{31}	a_{32}	a_{33}	a_{34}	...	4	9	13
a_{41}	a_{42}	a_{43}	a_{44}	...	10	12

Table 1.2: Reassigning new values to counting integers.

■

Theorem 1.2.3 Every infinite set has a countable subset.

Proof. Let a_1 be any element of A . Since A is infinite, it contains an $a_2 \neq a_1$. So it contains a countable subset. ■

Theorem 1.2.4 Every infinite set, A , is equivalent to at least one of its proper subsets.

Proof. Let $E = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ be a countable subset of A (which exists by previous Theorem). Write,

$$E = E_1 \cup E_2$$

$$E_1 = \{a_{odd}\}$$

$$E_2 = \{a_{even}\}$$

Then, $E \sim E_2$

Define,

$$g : E \rightarrow E_2$$

$$g(a_i) = a_{2i}$$

$$f(a) = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } a \notin E, \\ g(a), & \text{if } a \in E. \end{cases}$$

So, we can also say that $A - E_1 \subset A$ and thus, $A \sim (A - E_1)$ ■

Theorem 1.2.5 The set of real numbers in $[0,1]$ is uncountable.

Proof. Suppose all numbers in $[0,1]$ are countable, $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$.

Write them in decimal expansion form. So, we can say

$$a_1 = 0.a_{11}a_{12} \dots a_{1n} \dots$$

$$a_2 = 0.a_{21}a_{22} \dots a_{2n} \dots$$

Recall,

$$0 = 0.000000000 \dots$$

$$1 = 0.999999999 \dots$$

Now, consider the number, β with decimal expansion $\beta = 0.b_1b_2 \dots$ where

$$b_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a_{nn} = 1, \\ 2, & \text{if } a_{nn} \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

There will always be 1 element difference. ALWAYS. ■

Theorem 1.2.6 If A is countable, then so is A^n , where

$$A^n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n); a_i \in A\}$$

Proof. Statement is true for any $n=1$ since $A^1 = A$. Assume true for $n=k$. To show A^{k+1} is countable, write an element $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}) = (\underline{a}, a_{k+1}), \underline{a} \in A^k$. Thus, $A^{k+1} = \bigcup_{\underline{a} \in A^k} \{\underline{a}, a_{k+1}\}; a_{k+1} \in A$ (see previous Theorem). ■

1.2.1 Metric Spaces

Definition 1.2.6 A set X is a **metric space** is $\forall x, x \in X$ there is a **real** number, $d(x_1, x_2)$ called the **distance** between x_1 and x_2 such that,

- a) $d(x_1, x_2) > 0$ if $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $d(x_1, x_1) = 0$
- b) $d(x_1, x_2) = d(x_2, x_1)$
- c) $d(x_1, x_2) \leq d(x_1, x_3) + d(x_2, x_3), \forall x_3$

- **Example 1.4** a) Euclidean spaces \mathbb{R}^k are metric spaces with $d(x_1, x_2) = |x_1 - x_2|$
- b) Any subset of a metric space is a metric space with same distance.
- c) The set \mathbb{R}^k can also be metrized with

$$d_1(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^k |x_{1i} - x_{2i}|$$

or with

$$d_2(x_1, x_2) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |x_{1i} - x_{2i}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

- d) The set $C_{[a,b]}$ of all continuous functions on $[a, b]$ with

$$d_1(f, g) = \max_{a \leq t \leq b} |f(t) - g(t)|$$

or with

$$d_2(f, g) = \left(\int_a^b [f(t) - g(t)]^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- e) The set l_p of all infinite sequences $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p < \infty$ for $p \geq 1$ with

$$d(x_1, x_2) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_{1i} - x_{2i}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

■

Definition 1.2.7 Let X be a metric space. All sets and points mentioned are sets and elements of X .

- a) An **open ball** of radius r and center x is

$$B(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$$

The **closed ball** is

$$B[x, r] = \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq r\}$$

Open ball with center x are also called **neighborhoods** of x and $B(x, y)$ is denoted by $N_r(x)$.

- b) A point x is a **limit point** of a set E if $\forall r > 0$ $E \cap N_r(x)$ contains a point $\neq x$. If x is not a limit point it is called an **isolated point**.
- c) A point x is an **interior point** of E if there $\exists r$ such that $N_r(x) \subseteq E$.
- d) E is **open** if every point of E is an interior point.
- e) E is **closed** if every point of E belongs in E .
- f) E is **dense** in X if every point of X is a limit point of E , or a point of E , or both. (e.g. rationals with in real numbers)
- g) E is **bounded** if for some $r > 0$, and $x \in X$, $E \subseteq N_r(x)$.

Theorem 1.2.7 Every neighborhood is an open set.

Theorem 1.2.8 If X is limit point of E , then every neighborhood of X contains infinitely many points of E .

- **Example 1.5** $X = \mathbb{R}$, then (a, b) is open, $[a, b]$ is close, $(a, b]$ and $[a, b)$ are neither open nor closed.

■

■ **Example 1.6** $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ (see sketch in notes.) ■

Theorem 1.2.9 Suppose $Y \subset X$ (a metric space) and take $E \subseteq Y$, then E is open relative to Y if and only if $E = Y \cap G$ for some open set G of X .

Theorem 1.2.10 E is open if and only if its complement is closed.

Corollary 1.2.11 a) Both X and \emptyset are closed.
 b) The union of finite numbers of closed sets is closed.
 c) Arbitrary intersections of closed sets is closed.

Theorem 1.2.12 For any metric space X , we have

- a) X and \emptyset are open.
- b) The intersection of a finite number of open sets is open. (Note: must be finite. $E_n = (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \{0\}$)
- c) The union of every collection of open sets is open.

1.2.2 Compact Sets

Definition 1.2.8 A Subset K of a metric space X is **compact** if every open cover of K contains a finite subcover. This for all collections $G_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ of open sets such that $\bigcup_A G_\alpha \supset K$ there exists a finite collection $G_{\alpha_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $K \subset \bigcup G_{\alpha_i}$

■ **Example 1.7** a) $X = \mathbb{R}, E = (0, 1)$

Let $G_\alpha = (\frac{1}{\alpha}, 1), \alpha = 1, 2, \dots$

Clearly, $\bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} G_\alpha \subset (0, 1)$, but also,

$K \not\subset \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} G_\alpha$.

b) $X = \mathbb{R}, E = [0, \infty)$, let $G_\alpha = (-1, \alpha), \alpha \geq 1$. Then $E \subset \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} G_\alpha$, but $E \not\subset \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^n G_\alpha, \forall n$.

■

Theorem 1.2.13 Suppose $K \subset Y \subset X$, (X is a metric space). Then K is a compact space with respect to Y if and only if K is a compact space of X .

Proof. " \Leftarrow " Suppose K is compact relative to X and let $V_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ be open sets relative to Y , such that $K \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V_\alpha$. By Theorem 1.2.12 (13 in notes), $V_\alpha = Y \cap G_\alpha$, some G_α open relative to X . (Note:

$K \subset \bigcup G_{\alpha_i}$.) Thus, there exists a finite subcover, $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i}$. But then,

$$\begin{aligned} K &\subset Y \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i} \right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (Y \cap G_{\alpha_i}) \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i} \end{aligned}$$

" \Rightarrow " Suppose k is compact relative to Y , and let $G_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ be open relative to X , so $k \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha$. But then,

$$\begin{aligned} k \subset Y \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i} \right) &= \bigcup_{i=1}^n (Y \cap G_{\alpha_i}) \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i}, V_{\alpha_i} \text{ open with respect to } Y. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $k \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\alpha_i} = Y \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i} \right)$

So, $k \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i}$ ■

Theorem 1.2.14 If k is a compact subset of a metric space, X , then k is closed and bounded.

Proof. We'll show k is closed by showing k^c is open. Let $p \in k^c$. For each $q \in k$ we will consider $N_{r_q}(q)$ where $r_q = \frac{1}{2}d(p, q)$. Since k is compact, there exists $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n) \in k$ such that $k \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n N_{r_{q_i}}(q_i)$. Let $G = \bigcap_{i=1}^n N_{r_{q_i}}(p)$. (Note: $(\bigcup_{i=1}^n N_{r_{q_i}}(q_i)) \cap G = \emptyset$.) ■

Theorem 1.2.15 Closed (with respect to X) subsets of compact sets are compact.

Proof. Let $F \subseteq k \subseteq X$, where X is a metric space, k is compact, and F is closed with respect to X . Let $G_\alpha, \alpha \in A$, be open such that $F \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha$ (F is "covered" by $\bigcup G_\alpha$). F closed implies F^c is open. Then the collection $\{F^c, G_\alpha\}$ covers k . Let $k \subset F^c \cup G_\alpha$ which implies $F \subset \bigcup G_\alpha$. ■

Theorem 1.2.16 If E is an infinite subset of a compact set k , then E has a limit point in k .

■ **Example 1.8** Let X be the space of rational numbers, with $d(p, d) = |p - d|$. Show that $E = \{p \in X; 2 < p^2 < 3\}$ is closed, bounded, but not compact. ■



Bibliography

Books

Articles



Index

Elements of Set Theory, 11
Euclidean Space, 10
Metric Spaces, 14
Rationals, 7
Sets and Subsets, 8
The Real Number System, 7