

(1)

STAC 58: 2017 Assignment 3 - Solutions

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad m_{(\bar{x}, \underline{1})}(t, \underline{u}) &= E_{\mu}(\exp\{t\bar{x} + \underline{u}'\underline{1}\}) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\{t\bar{x} + \underline{u}'\underline{1}\} (\pi\sigma_0^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2\right\} dx_1 \dots dx_n \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i x_i + t\bar{x} - \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \bar{x}\right\} dx_1 \dots dx_n \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\left\{\left(u_i + \frac{t}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n u_j\right) x_i\right\} (\pi\sigma_0^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right\} dx_i \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n E_{\mu}(\exp\{(u_i + \frac{t}{n} - \bar{u})x_i\}) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n m_{(\mu, \sigma_0^2)}(u_i + \frac{t}{n} - \bar{u}) \\
&\quad \text{where } m_{(\mu, \sigma_0^2)}(t) = \exp\{t\mu - \sigma_0^2 \frac{t^2}{2}\} \\
&\quad \text{is the mgf of } \sim N(\mu, \sigma_0^2) \text{ r.v.} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\left\{(u_i - \bar{u} + \frac{t}{n})\mu + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2} (u_i - \bar{u} + \frac{t}{n})^2\right\} \\
&= \exp\left\{t\mu_0 + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (u_i - \bar{u})^2 + \frac{t^2}{n}\right)\right\} \\
&\quad \text{since } \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i - \bar{u}) = 0 \\
&= \exp\left\{\mu t + \sigma_0^2 \frac{t^2}{2}\right\} \exp\left\{\frac{\sigma_0^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i - \bar{u})^2\right\} \\
&= (\text{mgf of } \bar{x}) \times (\text{mgf of } \underline{1})
\end{aligned}$$



and so  $\bar{x}$  and  $r$  are statistically independent with  $\bar{x} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$  and  $r \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2(I - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}'))$

since a  $N_n(\mu, \Sigma)$  dist'n has mgf  $m_{(\mu, \Sigma)}(t) = \exp\{\mathbf{t}'\mu + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}'\Sigma \mathbf{t}\}$

$$\text{and } \mathbf{t}'(I - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}') \mathbf{t} = \sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - \bar{t})^2.$$



(2) (a)  $R(a, d_1) = L(a, d_1(1)) \frac{1}{3} + L(a, d_1(2)) \frac{1}{3} + L(a, d_1(3)) \frac{1}{3}$   
 $= 0 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 0 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$   
 $R(b, d_1) = L(b, d_1(1)) \frac{1}{3} + L(b, d_1(2)) \frac{2}{3} + L(b, d_1(3)) 0$   
 $= 0 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 0 \cdot \frac{2}{3} + 0 \cdot 0 = 0$   
 $R(c, d_1) = L(c, d_1(1)) \cdot 0 + L(c, d_1(2)) \frac{1}{3} + L(c, d_1(3)) \frac{2}{3}$   
 $= 1 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 0 \cdot \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$

(b)  $R(a, d_2) = 0 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 0 \cdot \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$   
 $R(b, d_2) = 0 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 1 \cdot \frac{2}{3} + 1 \cdot 0 = \frac{2}{3}$   
 $R(c, d_2) = 1 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{3} + 1 \cdot \frac{2}{3} = 1$

(c) Since  $R(a, d_1) = R(a, d_2) \quad \forall a$ , and  
 $R(b, d_1) < R(b, d_2)$ ,  $d_1$  is preferred to  $d_2$ .

(3) The likelihood function is  
 $L(\sigma^2 | x) = (\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2\right\}$  so by the Factorization Theorem,  $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2$  is a sufficient statistic.  
 (The log-likelihood function is  $\ln L(\sigma^2 | x) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2$   
 so  $\frac{\partial \ln L(\sigma^2 | x)}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2$ . Setting the derivative equal to 0 gives the solution  $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2$ .  
 So we can compute  $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2$  at this proves this is a M.S.S.) Now  $E_{\sigma^2}(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2)$   
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n E_{\sigma^2}((x_i - \mu_0)^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}_{\sigma^2}(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 = n\sigma^2$ .  
 Therefore,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ . Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2$  is a sufficient statistic the Rao-Blackwell Theorem implies that any unbiased estimator can be (possibly) improved w.r.t variance by Rao-Blackwellization. Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2$  is complete there is only one unbiased estimator that is a function of this statistic so  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2$  is UMVU.



(4)

Note - the material in ( ) is not necessary for a solution

- also a solution can be based on the Lehman-Schoffo' theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad L(\theta, a_1 + (1-a) a_2) &= |\theta - a a_1 - (1-a) a_2| \\ &= |a(\theta - a_1) + (1-a)(\theta - a_2)| \leq |a(\theta - a_1)| + |(1-a)(\theta - a_2)| \\ &\text{(by the triangle inequality)} = a|\theta - a_1| + (1-a)|\theta - a_2| \\ &\text{when } a \in [0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

So for any estimator  $d(x)$  of  $\theta$  then when using the loss  $L$  and  $T$  a sufficient statistic

$$R(\theta, d) = E_{\theta}(L(\theta, d(x)))$$

$$= E_{\theta}(E(L(\theta, d(x)) | T)(T(x)))$$

Jensen

$$\geq E_{\theta}(L(\theta, E(d(x) | T)(T(x)))) = R(\theta, d_0)$$

since  $L$  is a convex fn by above.

and note that  $d_0(x) = E(d(x) | T)(T(x))$  does not depend on  $\theta$  and has risk no greater than risk of  $d$ .

(5) We have that  $(\bar{x}, \|x - \bar{x}\|_2)$  is a complete MSS for this problem and  $E_{(\mu, \sigma^2)}(\bar{x}) = \mu$  while (as shown in class)

$$E_{(\mu, \sigma^2)}(\|x - \bar{x}\|_2) = \sigma \Gamma(n/2) 2^{1/2} / \Gamma(n/2 - 1)$$

$$\text{Therefore } d(x) = \bar{x} + \frac{\Gamma(n/2 - 1)}{2^{1/2} \Gamma(n/2)} z_{0.25} \|x - \bar{x}\|_2$$

is an unbiased estimator of  $\mu + \sigma z_{0.25}$ . By Lehman-Schoffo' it is UMVU.



(6.)

6. The UMVU estimator of  $\mu^2 + \sigma^2$  (in ex. 5) is  $d(x) = \bar{x}^2 + \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma_0^2$ . By the information inequality the estimator that achieves the lower bound when  $\mu$  is true is of the form  $(\mu^2 + \sigma_0^2) + (2\mu) I^{-1}(\mu)$  where  $I(\mu)$  is the information. Since the log-likelihood equals  $l(\mu|x) = -\frac{n}{2\sigma_0^2} (\bar{x} - \mu)^2$  the score function equals  $S(\mu|x) = \frac{n}{\sigma_0^2} (\bar{x} - \mu)$  so  $I(\mu) = \text{Var}_\mu(S(\mu|x)) = \frac{n^2}{\sigma_0^2} \text{Var}_\mu(\bar{x}) = \frac{n^2}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} = \frac{n}{\sigma_0^2}$ .

Therefore, the estimator achieving the lower bound when  $\mu$  is true is  $(\mu^2 + \sigma_0^2) + 2\mu \left( \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \right) S(\mu|x) = (\mu^2 + \sigma_0^2) + 2 \frac{\mu \sigma_0^2}{n} \frac{n}{\sigma_0^2} (\bar{x} - \mu) = \sigma_0^2 - \mu^2 + 2\mu \bar{x}$  which depends on  $\mu$  so there is no unbiased estimator that achieves the lower bound and, in particular, the UMVU estimator doesn't.

7. Let  $\{f_\theta : \theta \in \mathcal{W}_0\}$  be a model and  $\{f_\theta : \theta \in \mathcal{W}\}$ , with  $\mathcal{W}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{W}$  the larger model and  $T$  a complete statistic for  $\{f_\theta : \theta \in \mathcal{W}_0\}$ . Now suppose that the function  $h$  is such that  $E_\theta(h(T)) = 0 \forall \theta \in \mathcal{W}$ . Then  $E_\theta(h(T)) = 0 \forall \theta \in \mathcal{W}_0$  which implies  $P_\theta(\{x : h(T(x)) \neq 0\}) = 0 \forall \theta \in \mathcal{W}_0$ . But by assumption  $P_\theta(\{x : h(T(x)) \neq 0\}) = 0 \forall \theta \in \mathcal{W}$  and this implies that  $T$  is complete for  $\{f_\theta : \theta \in \mathcal{W}\}$ .