STAC62F: 2016 Assignment 3-Solutions (1) (a) (1) I A = (W) = { 0 WEA IFF IAW) = { 0 WEA iff Ipe wo = 1 - Tpan and so Tpe=1-IA. Cii) In we Ai we Ai we Ai wi Ai we Ai we Ai 199 MTA(w) = { 1 weA; 0; (177) TOATE - 1- TOATE - 1- MI A. $C(v) = (T_{2A}) = (I - M(I - I_{A}))$ =1-B(1-ZIA+ZIA, A-+C)I 5: rece T (1-TA) = 1- ZIA+ ZIA; - Z TA: TA: TA: TA: TA: TA = 1- = TA+ = TA: AA+ --+(-1) TA.A-AA J = ZPCA;) - ZP(A; A) + -+C-17PCA, A-1A (2) (a) Since $3 = 2^{21/2/33}$ (the power sot)

we have immediatohy that $7^{-1}B \in 3$ for

even Borel sot $B \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$ (actually $7^{-1}B \in 3$ for any $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$). Therefore, $7^{-1}B \in 3$ We have that for a Borel sot BP(E13) if 168, # 4B P(E2,33) if 14B, # 6B (b) E(1,) = 1.P(1,=1) + + P(1,=+) = P(E13)++P(E2,33) (c) We have that In GD =1 In and 1, (2) = 7, (3) = 1, -00 as m-000. Therefore &w: 1,000 th(w) = 76013 = £1,2,32 and P(£1,2,33) =1. This proves the one of. (d) E(4n) = P((13)++P((233)) -PP((18)) and E(Y) = 1.P(Y=1) +0.P(Y=0) = P(Y=1) 2P(513). Alternatively you could note that I thought 22 Un and this In and I by the Dannatal Cowargance Theorem.

3

3 (a) E(1x1) = 5-0 1x1 (2x)-= exp{- = x2}dx = 2 50 x (27) = exp{- + 23} dx by = ymnothy = 2 (-124)-FEXDE-Fagg () = 2 (-0 + (2x) = 03) = 1= (b) F (y) = P(NEy) = P(1x1+y) = P(-y = x = y) = \(\bar{D}(y) - \bar{D}(-y) = 2 0 (g)-1. 50 fyg) = dFyg) = 20(y). Then E(y) = 50 y 200y)dy = 250 y acylly= 12 as above. (H) E(Y) = (E(Y)) and Y; = a; + \(\frac{7}{521}\) \(\frac{7}{521}\) 50 B(4:) = B(a:+ Zb: X;) = a:+Zb: E(x) Therefore E(Y) = 9+BE(X).

(4)

BE(Y): He matrix with (in) the domain equal to E(Y;). Now the cirry the downt of A+Bxc is given by circh being x xis where being at C. Thorofore E(Y;) by (the inth row of C. Thorofore E(Xx;) by (the and E(Xx;) = E(Xx;) where Xx; is the inth row of X; Then E(Xx;) = E(Xx; rero) = ZE(X; rero) = E(Xx; rero) = ZE(X; rero) = E(Xx; rero) = ZE(X; rero) = E(Xx; rero)

Therefore E(Y) = A+BE(X)C.

(G) In the proof of James we obtained

Contince (x) & contine (h(x)) have contine

If contine (x) = contine (h(x)) which overs iff

Contince (x) - h(E(x)) + & c - (x; -E(x)) which

is nonnegative) has mean of this occurs iff

Contine (h(x) - h(E(x)) + & c; (x; -E(x;)) = 0 with

probability 1. But then h(x) = a + c' x where

a = h(E(x)) + & Eilentine (x) of c = (2)/Contine of contine

If contine the result follows by indution

If h(x) = a + c' x for some a c than the

result is immolicity

To ci) We have E (1h(x)) = E(h(x))

for every h and so by definition of

emulitimal expectation E(11x) = 1 (ii) We have E((a7,+b7,)h(x)) = a E(E(Y, 1x) h(x)) + b E(E(H, 1x) h(x)) by dof'n of conditional expertation = E (GECY.IX) + BECY_IXI) h(XI) by and since this holds for every he we have, by the definition of conditional expectation, that E(ax, +b x, 1 x) = a E(x, 1x) +b E(x,1x).