

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1.} \quad \mathbb{E}(a + Bx) &= (\mathbb{E}(a_i + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} x_j)) \\ &= (a_i + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \mathbb{E}(x_j)) \quad \text{since } \mathbb{E}(a + bx) = a + b\mathbb{E}(x) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R} \\ &= a + B\mathbb{E}(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{2.} \quad \mathbb{E}(Ax + B + C) &= \left(\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ii} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} + b_{ij} + c_{ij}\right) \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ii} \mathbb{E}(x_{ij}) b_{ij} + c_{ij} \right) \\ &= D \mathbb{E}(x) B + C. \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{3.} \quad \text{Put } X = (x_1 \dots x_n)' \quad \mu = (1, \dots, 1)' \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \mathbb{E}(\bar{x}) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) X'\right) \\ \text{(b)} \quad \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(X') &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} \right)' = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu = \mu. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(b)} \quad \text{Var}(\bar{x}) &= \mathbb{E}((\bar{x} - \mu)(\bar{x} - \mu)') \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)\right)\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \mu)\right)'\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}((x_i - \mu)(x_j - \mu)') \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}((x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)') \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{since } \mathbb{E}((x_i - \mu)(x_j - \mu)) = 0 \text{ when } i \neq j \\ &= \mathbb{E}(x_i - \mu) \mathbb{E}(x_i - \mu)' \quad x_i, x_j \text{ are ind.} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(x_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(c) } E(S) &= \frac{1}{n-1} E((\bar{x} - \bar{\bar{x}})^T (\bar{x} - \bar{\bar{x}})) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n-1} [E(\bar{x}'\bar{x}) - E(\bar{x}'\bar{\bar{x}}) - E(\bar{\bar{x}}'\bar{x}) + E(\bar{\bar{x}}'\bar{\bar{x}})] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n-1} [E(\bar{x}'\bar{x}) - nE(\bar{x}\bar{x}') - nE(\bar{\bar{x}}\bar{x}') + nE(\bar{\bar{x}}\bar{\bar{x}}')] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n E(x_i x_i') - nE(\bar{x}\bar{x}') \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{and note } \text{Var}(\bar{x}) &= E((\bar{x} - E(\bar{x}))(\bar{x} - E(\bar{x}))') \\
 &= E(\bar{x}\bar{x}') - E(\bar{x})E(\bar{x}') = E(\bar{x}\bar{x}') - E(\bar{x})(E(\bar{x}))' \\
 &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (\Sigma + \mu\mu') - n \left(\frac{1}{n} \Sigma + \mu\mu' \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{n-1} [n\Sigma + n\mu\mu' - \Sigma - n\mu\mu'] = \Sigma.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \text{First way: } E(X^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$$

$$(\text{put } u = x, du = x e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \Rightarrow du = 1, v = -e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}})$$

$$= \frac{-x e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$$

$$= 0 + 1 = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Second way } F_{X^2}(x) &= P(X^2 \leq x) = 0 \text{ when } x \leq 0 \\
 \text{and so suppose } x > 0. \text{ Then } F_{X^2}(x) &= \\
 P(-\sqrt{x} \leq X \leq \sqrt{x}) &= \Phi(\sqrt{x}) - \Phi(-\sqrt{x}) \text{ where} \\
 \Phi &\text{ is the } N(0,1) \text{ cdf. Therefore,}
 \end{aligned}$$

3,

$$F_{x^2(a)} = 2 \overline{\Phi}(\sqrt{a}) - 1 \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{x^2(a)} &= \frac{dF_{x^2(a)}}{da} = 2 \overline{\Phi}(\sqrt{a}) \frac{1}{2} a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad a > 0 \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} a^{1/2} e^{-a/2} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/2)} \cdot \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1/2-1} e^{-\frac{a}{2}} \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

which we recognize as the density of a chi-squared (1) distribution.

$$\text{Then } E(X^2) = \int_0^\infty x^2 f_{x^2}(x) dx$$

$$= \frac{2}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{1/2-1} e^{-\frac{x}{2}} \frac{1}{2} dx$$

$$\text{put } y = \frac{x}{2}, dy = \frac{1}{2} dx$$

$$= \frac{2}{\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^\infty y^{1/2-1} e^{-y} dy.$$

$$= 2 \Gamma(3/2) / \Gamma(1/2) = 2 \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(1/2) / \Gamma(1/2) = 1$$

$$\text{using } \Gamma(\alpha+1) = \alpha \Gamma(\alpha).$$

(5) We first need to show that each joint distribution $(x_{t_1}, \dots, x_{t_n})$ is properly defined and this entails showing that $\Sigma(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a variance and, from results in class we know that this means that $\Sigma(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is positive semi-definite. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$ then

$$\begin{aligned} a' \Sigma(t_1, \dots, t_n) a &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i a_j \sigma(t_i, t_j) \\ &= r^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i a_j \exp\left\{-\frac{(t_i - t_j)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \\ &= r^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i a_j \mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left\{\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{(t_i - t_l)}{\sigma} Z_l\right\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $Z \sim N(0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= r^2 \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_i a_j \exp\left\{\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{(t_i - t_l)}{\sigma} Z_l\right\}\right) \\ &= r^2 \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \exp\left\{\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{(t_i - z_l)}{\sigma}\right\} a_i \exp\left\{-\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{(t_i - z_l)}{\sigma}\right\}\right) \\ &= r^2 \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \exp\left\{\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{(t_i - z_l)}{\sigma}\right\}\right) \overline{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \exp\left\{\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{(t_i - z_l)}{\sigma}\right\}\right)}\right) \\ &= r^2 \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \exp\left\{\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{(t_i - z_l)}{\sigma}\right\}\right|^2\right) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \exp\left\{\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{(t_i - z_l)}{\sigma}\right\}\right|^2 \geq 0$.

Therefore $\Sigma(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a variance matrix.

(5)

Next we have to show that these joint distributions satisfy the consistency requirements so we can apply RCT. In class we proved the following result: if $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ and $\tilde{X} = (X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_k})$ where i_1, \dots, i_k are distinct then $\tilde{X} \sim N((\mu_{i_1}, \dots, \mu_{i_k}), (\sigma_{i_1 i_1}, \dots, \sigma_{i_k i_k}))$.

Now observe that $(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n}) \sim N((m(t_1), \dots, m(t_n)), (\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - \bar{t})(t_i - \bar{t})^\top))$ and the above implies that $(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n}) \sim N((m(t_{i_1}), \dots, m(t_{i_k})), (\sum_{j=1}^k (t_{i_j} - \bar{t}_{i_j})(t_{i_j} - \bar{t}_{i_j})^\top))$ which corresponds to the definition.

Therefore RCT applies and the stochastic process is properly defined.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{G. (3.2.1)} \quad P(X=1, Z=1) &= P(X=1, Y=1) \\
 &= P(X=1)P(Y=1) = P(X=1)P(Z=1) \quad \text{since } \\
 P(Z=1) &= P(X=1, Y=1) + P(X=-1, Y=1) \\
 &= 1/4 + 1/4 = 1/2 = P(Y=1). \quad \text{Similarly} \\
 P(X=a, Z=b) &= P(X=a)P(Z=b), \quad P(Y=a, Z=b) \\
 &= P(Y=a)P(Z=b) \quad \text{for all choices of } a \text{ and } b. \\
 \text{Since } X \text{ and } Y \text{ are defined to be independent} \\
 \text{we have that } X, Y \text{ and } Z \text{ are pairwise} \\
 \text{independent.}
 \end{aligned}$$

But $0 = P(X=1, Y=1, Z=-1) \neq 1/8$
 $= P(X=1)P(Y=1)P(Z=-1)$ also X, Y and Z are not mutually statistically independent.

(6)

3.5.4

$P(X=n) = P(\text{last of } n \text{ marked animals was with } \text{sup}(\text{het}))$

$$= P(\text{1st } n-1 \text{ animals contains reaktion}) P(n \downarrow p)$$

m-1 marked

$$= \frac{\binom{a}{m-1} \binom{b-a}{n-m}}{\binom{b}{n-1}} \frac{a-m+1}{b-n+1}$$

$$= \frac{a!}{(a-m+1)! (m-1)!} \binom{b-a}{n-m} \frac{a-m+1}{b-n+1}$$

b!

$$\frac{(b-n+1)! (n-1)!}{(b-a+m)!}$$

$$= \frac{a}{b} \binom{a-1}{m-1} \binom{b-a}{n-m} / \binom{b-1}{n-1}$$

Now $P(X=n) = 0$ when $n < m$ and
 $P(X=n) = 0$ when $n > b-a+m$. So

$$\sum_{i=m}^{b-a+m} i \cdot \frac{a}{b} \binom{a-1}{m-1} \binom{b-a}{i-m} / \binom{b-1}{i-1}$$

$$= \frac{a}{b} \binom{a-1}{m-1} \sum_{i=m}^{b-a+m} i \cdot \binom{b-a}{i-m} / \binom{b-1}{i-1}$$

$$= m \sum_{i=m}^{b-a+m} \binom{a}{i} \binom{b-a}{i-m} / \binom{b}{i}$$

It is "difficult" to sum this so we try another approach let $X_i = \#$ of unmarked animals sampled between the $(i-1)$ st and the i -th marked animal. Then $X = X_1 + \dots + X_m + m$ and $E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^m E(X_i) + m$ and since the X_i are symmetrically distributed $E(X_i) = E(X_j)$ ($\forall i$) so $E(X) = m(E(X_1) + 1)$ and we only need $E(X_1)$.

Now consider the case when $m = a$ and let X_{a+1} be the remaining unmarked animals after all a have been obtained. Again all the X_i have the same distribution and clearly $X_1 + \dots + X_a + X_{a+1} = b - a$ so $(a+1)E(X_1) = b - a$ and $E(X_1) = (b - a)/(a+1)$. This implies $E(X) = m\left(\frac{b-a}{a+1} + 1\right) = m(b+a)/(a+1)$

(6)

8.

3.6.5

(a) Note that \log is a concave function and so $-\log$ is convex. Therefore, whenever $x > 0$ a.s. and $E(\log x)$ exists we have $E(-\log x) \geq -\log E(x)$ by Jensen.

When g is a probability function then $0 \leq g(x) \leq 1$ and so $-\infty \leq \log g(x) \leq 0$ which implies $E(-\log g(x))$ exists. Therefore, when X is discrete and g is a probability function we have.

$$E(\log(f_x(x)/g(x))) = -E(-\log g(x)/f_x(x))$$

$$\geq -\log E(g(x)/f_x(x)) = -\log 1 = 0.$$

and so $E(\log f_x(x)) \geq E \log g(x)$, and the result follows by taking $g = f_X$.

Also we get equality (by Jensen)

if $\log g(x)/f_x(x) = a + b(g(x)/f(x))$ a.s. for some constants a and b and this occurs iff

$g(x) = c f_x(x)$ for some constant c . But then $\sum g(x) = c \sum f_x(x) = c$ and so equality occurs if $g = f_X$.

Note The result $E(\log(f_x(x)/g(x))) \geq 0$ with equality iff $f_X = g$ holds quite generally for densities f_X and g and $KL(f_X \| g)$

$E(\log(f_X(x)/g(x)))$ is called the Kullback-Leibler divergence. $\frac{1}{t}$ is not necessary either that X be a random variable.

(q.)

(b) Take $g(x, y) = f_x(x) f_y(y)$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= E \left(\log \frac{f_{x,y}(x,y)}{f_x(x) f_y(y)} \right) \\ &= KL(f_{x,y} \| g) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

with equality iff $f_{x,y} = g$ or equivalently iff x and y are statistically independent.

$$(9) \quad 3.7.4 \quad \text{Var}(Y|X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E((Y - E(Y|X))^2 | X)$$

and so $\text{Var}(Y|X) : (R^*, B^*) \rightarrow (R^*, B^*)$

is the rv. satisfying $E(h(x) \text{Var}(Y|X)(x)) = E(h(x)(Y - E(Y|X))^2)$ for every $h : (R^*, B^*) \rightarrow (R^*, B^*)$. For which both expectations exist.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \text{Var}(Y) &= E((Y - E(Y))^2) \\ &= E((Y - E(Y|X) + E(Y|X) - E(Y))^2) \\ &= E((Y - E(Y|X))^2) + 2E((Y - E(Y|X))(E(Y|X) - E(Y))) \\ &\quad + E((E(Y|X) - E(Y))^2) \\ &\stackrel{\text{TE}}{=} E(E((Y - E(Y|X))^2 | X)) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ 2E(E((Y - E(Y|X))(E(Y|X) - E(Y)) | X))$$

$$+ \text{Var}(E(Y|X)) \quad (\text{as } E(E(Y|X)) = E(Y))$$

$$= E(\text{Var}(Y|X)) + \text{Var}(E(Y|X))$$

$$\text{since } * = E(E(Y - E(Y|X)) | X)(E(Y|X) - E(Y))$$

by result in class

$$= 0 \quad \text{since } E(Y) - E(E(Y|X)) = 0$$

(10) 4.5.4 $x, y \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U(0,1)$. Then.

$$\mathbb{E}(u) = \mathbb{E}(\min(x, y)) = \int_{\substack{\min(x,y) \\ x=y}}^1 \int_{[0,1]^2} \min(x,y) dx dy$$

$$= \int_0^1 \int_0^x y dx dy + \int_0^1 \int_x^1 x dx dy.$$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{x^2}{2} dx + \int_0^1 \frac{y^2}{2} dy$$

$$= \frac{x^3}{6} \Big|_0^1 + \frac{y^3}{6} \Big|_0^1 = \frac{1}{3}$$

Since $X+Y = U+V$ then $\mathbb{E}(Y) = \mathbb{E}(X) + \mathbb{E}(V) - \mathbb{E}(U) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$, $\text{Cov}(U, V) = \mathbb{E}(UV) - \mathbb{E}(U)\mathbb{E}(V) = \mathbb{E}(UV) - \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$ and

$$\mathbb{E}(uv) = \mathbb{E}(\min(x, y) \max(x, y))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(xy) \stackrel{\text{ind.}}{=} \mathbb{E}(x)\mathbb{E}(y) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4}$$

since $\mathbb{E}(x) = \mathbb{E}(y) = \frac{1}{2}$

Therefore $\text{Cov}(u, v) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{9} = \frac{5}{36}$