

(a) Convergence in Distribution (weak convergence)

Def A sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  of r.v.'s converges in distribution to r.v.  $X$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n}(x) = F_X(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $F_X$  is continuous.

- note - we write  $x_n \xrightarrow{d} X$  (sometimes called weak convergence of  $P_{x_n}$  to  $P_X$ )

$$\begin{aligned} P_{x_n}([a, b]) &= F_{x_n}(b) - F_{x_n}(a) \\ &\approx F_X(b) - F_X(a) = P_X([a, b]) \end{aligned}$$

For cty pts  $a, b$  of  $F_X$

$$\text{eg } ① P(X_n = -\frac{1}{n}) = P(X_n = \frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P(X = 0) = 1$$

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0 \\ 1 & x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

0 is a discontinuity pt of  $F_X$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \begin{cases} 0 & x < -\frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{n} \leq x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & x \geq \frac{1}{n} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & x = 0 \\ 1 & x > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore x_n \xrightarrow{d} X$$

| does  $x_n(\omega) \rightarrow x(\omega)$ ?

## Prop ① (Continuity Theorem)

Suppose  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  is a sequence of r.v.s with dist. fns  $F_{x_1}, F_{x_2}, \dots$  and c.f.'s  $c_{x_1}, c_{x_2}, \dots$

(i) if  $x_n \xrightarrow{d} X$  then  $c_{x_n} \rightarrow c_X$

(ii) if  $\phi = \lim c_{x_n}$  and  $\phi$  is cont. at 0 then

$\phi$  is the c.f. of some r.v.  $X$  and  $x_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ .

Proof: accept

## Prop ② (Weak Law of Large Numbers)

If  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  are i.i.d. r.v.s and  $E[x_i] = \mu \in \mathbb{R}$

then  $\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i \xrightarrow{d} \mu$

Proof via Markov's inequality when  $E[x_i^2] < \infty$

Proof: Let  $X$  be degenerate at  $\mu$  and

$$c_X(t) = E[e^{itX}] = e^{it\mu}. \text{ Now}$$

$$c_{\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i}(t) = E[e^{t \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i}] = \prod_{i=1}^n c_{x_i}(t/n) = c_{x_i}(t/n)$$

(Lemma)  $c_{x_i}(t) = 1 + it\mu + o(t)$  (expansion of  $c_{x_i}$  to two terms)

$$\text{Proof: } \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_{x_i}(t) - c_{x_i}(0)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} E\left[\frac{e^{itX} - 1}{t}\right] = 0$$

and  $\left| \frac{e^{itX} - 1}{t} \right| = \left| \frac{1 + itX + o(tX) - 1}{t} \right| = O(|X|)$  and then by

$$\text{DCT} \Rightarrow E\left[\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{itX} - 1}{t}\right] = E[iX] = i\mu.$$

Thus  $c_{x_i}(t) = 1 + it\mu + o(t)$ . Therefore

$$\ln(1+z) = z - \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{3} - \frac{z^4}{4} + \dots = z + o(z)$$

3

$$C_{X_n}(t) = (1 + i\mu \frac{t}{n} + o(\frac{t}{n}))^n \sim (1 + i\mu t)^n \rightarrow e^{i\mu t}$$

and the result follows from the Continuity Theorem.

### Prop ③ (Central Limit Theorem)

If  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are iid r.v.'s with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$  and  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$  then

$$Z_n = \frac{\frac{1}{n} S_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{d} Z$$

$$\mathbb{E}_x \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n} S_n\right] = \mu, \quad \text{Var}\left[\frac{1}{n} S_n\right] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

Proof: Let  $Y_n = \frac{X_n - \mu}{\sigma}$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[Y_n] = 0$ ,

$$\text{Var}[Y_n] = 1, \quad C_{Y_n}(t) = e^{-it\frac{\mu}{\sigma}} C_{X_n}(\frac{t}{\sigma}) \text{ and put}$$

$$Z_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i. \quad \text{Then } C_{Z_n}(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(C_{Y_n}(\frac{t}{\sigma})\right)^n = \left(1 + i\mathbb{E}[Y_n]t + \frac{i^2 \mathbb{E}[Y_n^2]t^2}{2!} + o(\frac{t^2}{n})\right)^n \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{2n} + o(\frac{t^2}{n})\right)^n \rightarrow e^{-\sigma^2/2} \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows by the CLT.

- There are numerous generalizations of the CLT

(4.)

## Eg Simple random walk

$$S_0 = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}[x_i] = p \cdot 1 + (1-p)(-1) = 2p - 1$$

$$\text{Var}[x_i] = p \cdot 1^2 + (1-p)(-1)^2 - (2p-1)^2$$

$$= 1 - (4p^2 - 4p + 1) = 4p(1-p)$$

$$\therefore \frac{\frac{1}{n} S_n - (2p-1)}{\sqrt{\frac{4p(1-p)}{n}}} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1)$$

- note - convergence in distribution can be defined for a sequence of random vectors  $x_n$  to random vector  $\tilde{x}$ , namely  $x_n \xrightarrow{D} \tilde{x}$  if  $P_{x_n}(B) \rightarrow P_{\tilde{x}}(B)$  for every Borel set  $B \in \mathcal{B}^h$  satisfying  $P_{\tilde{x}}(\partial B) = 0$
- also get vector versions of WLLN, CLT etc

## Prop ④ (Skorokhod Representation Theorem)

If  $\{x_n\}, x$  are r.v.'s on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $x_n \xrightarrow{D} x$  then  $\exists$  prob. space  $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', P')$  and r.v.'s  $\{x'_n\}, x'$  defined on it s.t.

$$(i) \quad F_{x'_n} = F_{x_n}, \quad F_{x'} = F_x$$

$$(ii) \quad x'_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} x,$$

Proof: see text

(b) Large Deviations

- CLT implies (for  $a > 0$ )

$$P\left(\left|\frac{1}{n}S_n - \mu\right| > a\right) = P\left(\frac{\left|\frac{1}{n}S_n - \mu\right|}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > \frac{\sqrt{n}a}{\sigma}\right)$$

$\rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

- at what rate?

Prop ⑤ (Large Deviations) If  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  are iid with mean  $\mu$  and mgf  $m_x$  and  $P(x > \mu + a) > 0$  then for  $a > 0$

$$P\left(\frac{1}{n}S_n - \mu > a\right) \sim \left[ \inf_{t > 0} \left( \exp\{-a(t)\} m_x(t) \right) \right]^n$$

$$\begin{aligned} - \text{note } ① \exp\{-a(t)\} m_x(t) &= \exp\{-at\} m_{x-\mu}(t) \\ &= (1 - at + o(t)) (1 + \frac{1}{2}a^2 t^2 + o(t^2)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\stackrel{a>0}{=} 1 - at + o(t) < 1 \text{ for } t \text{ sufficiently small} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore 0 < t(a) = \inf_{t>0} \exp\{-a(t)\} m_x(t) < 1$$

and  $P\left(\frac{1}{n}S_n - \mu > a\right)$  goes to 0 like

$[c \exp(-at)]^n$ ; i.e. exponentially fast

note ② - a similar result for  $a < 0$

6.

eg Simple random walk (cont'd)

- $m_x(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tx}] = pe^{t+} + (1-p)e^{-t}$
- $\mu t + \delta(t) = p \exp\{-(a+2p-1)t\} + (1-p) \exp\{-(a+2p-1)t\}$   
and suppose  $a+2p-1 < 1$ ,  $a > 0$
- then  $\delta'(t) = -p(a+2p-2) \cdot \exp\{-(a+2p-2)t\} = (1-p)(a+2p) \exp\{-(a+2p)t\}$
- $\delta'(t) = 0$  iff  $p(a+2p-2) \exp\{zt\} = -(1-p)(a+2p)$   
iff  $t = t^* = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ -\frac{1-p}{p} \frac{(a+2p)}{(a+2p-2)} \right]$
- then  $P(\frac{1}{n} S_n - \mu > a) \sim (\delta(t^*))^n$

## (c) Convergence in Probability

Def A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of r.v.'s converges in probability to a r.v.  $X$ , denoted  $x_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|x_n - x| > \varepsilon) = 0$

Prop ⑥ (i)  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} x \Rightarrow x_n \xrightarrow{P} x$   
(ii)  $x_n \xrightarrow{P} x \Rightarrow x_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} x$

Proof: (i) Put  $\Omega_{mn} = \{ |x_n - x| > \frac{1}{m} \}$ . Then

$$\bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} \Omega_{mn} = \text{set of } \omega \text{ s.t. } |x_{new}(\omega) - x(\omega)| > \frac{1}{m} \text{ for}$$

infinitely many  $n$  and  $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} x$ . implies that  $0 =$

$$P\left(\bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} \Omega_{mk}\right) = 0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} \Omega_{mk}\right)$$

~~at b & a & c~~ and  $P(\Omega_{mk}) \leq P\left(\bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} \Omega_{mn}\right)$

implies  $P(\Omega_{mk}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  which

gives the result by choosing  $\frac{1}{m} \leftarrow \varepsilon$  and noting

$$P(|x_n - x| > \varepsilon) \leq P(|x_n - x| > \frac{1}{m}).$$

(ii) We have  $F_{x_n}(x) = P(x_n \leq x, x \leq x+\varepsilon) + P(x_n > x, x > x+\varepsilon)$

$$\leftarrow F_x(x+\varepsilon) + P(|x_n - x| > \varepsilon) \text{ and } F_x(x-\varepsilon)$$

$$= P(x_n \leq x, x \leq x-\varepsilon) + P(x_n > x, x > x-\varepsilon)$$

days  $\xrightarrow{\text{P}} \mu$

$\leq F_{x_n}(x) + P(|x_n - x| > \varepsilon)$ . Therefore,

$$F_{x_n}(x) - F_x(x) \leq F_{x(\text{cst})} - F_x(x) + P(|x_n - x| > \varepsilon) \text{ and}$$

$$F_x(x) - F_{x_n}(x) \leq F_{x(\text{cst})} - F_{x_n}(x) + P(|x_n - x| > \varepsilon).$$

$$\text{So } |F_x(x) - F_{x_n}(x)| \leq \max\{|F_{x(\text{cst})} - F_x(x)|, |F_{x(\text{cst})} - F_{x_n}(x)|\} + P(|x_n - x| > \varepsilon).$$

The result follows when we take  $x = a$  ct) pt

of  $F_x$ .

- Note - the converses of (i) and (iii) are false

$$\text{(ii)} \frac{x_n \xrightarrow{D} x \neq x_n \xrightarrow{P} x}{\text{eg } Z \sim N(0,1), Y = -Z \sim N(0,1)}$$

$$x_n = z \quad \forall n, \text{ then } x_n \xrightarrow{D} y$$

$$\text{but } P(|x_n - y| > \varepsilon) = P(|z - y| > \varepsilon) \neq 0 \\ \text{so } x_n \not\xrightarrow{P} y$$

Prop ⑦,  $x_n \xrightarrow{P} \mu \Leftrightarrow x_n \xrightarrow{D} \mu$

Proof:  $\Rightarrow$  Prop ⑤ (ii).

$$\text{4] } P(|x_n - \mu| \leq \varepsilon) = P(\mu - \varepsilon \leq x_n \leq \mu + \varepsilon)$$

$$= F_{x_n}(\mu + \varepsilon) - F_{x_n}(\mu - \varepsilon) + P_{x_n}(\varepsilon, \mu - \varepsilon)$$

$$\rightarrow 1 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{x_n}(\varepsilon, \mu - \varepsilon) \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{x_n}(\varepsilon, \mu + \varepsilon)$$

$$\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n}(\mu - \varepsilon) = 0$$

(9)

(d) Convergence in Expectation

Def A sequence  $\{X_n\}$  of r.v.'s converges in expectation if order  $r$  ( $r \geq 1$ ) to the r.v.  $X$  if  $E|X_n|^r < \infty$  for all  $n$  and  $E|X_n - X|^r \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and we denote this by  $X_n \xrightarrow{r} X$ .

Prop (i)  $X_n \xrightarrow{r} X$  implies  $X_n \xrightarrow{s} X$  for  $1 \leq s \leq r$   
(ii)  $X_n \xrightarrow{r} X$  implies  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$

Proof: (i)  $E[|X_n - X|^s] = E[|X_n - X|^{rs}]$

$$\stackrel{\text{Jensen}}{\geq} (E[|X_n - X|^r])^{\frac{s}{r}} \quad \text{since } z^s \text{ is convex.}$$

$$(ii) P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \stackrel{\text{Markov}}{\leq} \frac{E[|X_n - X|]}{\epsilon},$$

$$(x^p)^n = p(p-1)x^{p-2} \cdot \dots \cdot x^2 \cdot x^p$$

- note - the converses are false and also  $X_n \xrightarrow{r} X$  does not imply  $X_n \xrightarrow{as} X$  or conversely

- let  $L_p = \{X | X \text{ a r.v. on } (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \text{ and } E|X|^p < \infty\}$

- define  $\|\cdot\|_p: L_p \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\|X\|_p = \{E|X|^p\}^{1/p}$  for  $p \geq 1$

Prop (Minkowski Inequality) If  $x, y \in L_p$  then  
 $\|x+y\|_p \leq \|x\|_p + \|y\|_p$

- clearly  $\max\|x\|_p = 1 \cdot \|x\|_p$  and thus  $L_p$  is a real vector space and  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is a (pseudo) norm on  $L_p$

- when  $p=2$  we define  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
by  $\langle x, y \rangle = E[XY] \quad (1 \leq x, y \leq n, \|x\|_2, \|y\|_2)$
- $\langle ax_i + bx_j, y \rangle = a\langle x_i, y \rangle + b\langle x_j, y \rangle$   
 $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$   
 $\langle x, x \rangle = \|x\|_2^2 \geq 0$
- thus  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a (pseudo) inner product on  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .
- hence we can talk about the angle  $\theta$  existing between r.v.s  $x$  and  $y$  via

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\|x\|_2 \|y\|_2}$$

and  $x \perp y$  : if  $\langle x, y \rangle = E[XY] = 0$

eq - let  $\mathbb{Z}' \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2$  be the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  with 0 mean (a subspace of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ )

- then  $\cos \theta = \frac{E[(x - E[x])(y - E[y])]}{\sqrt{E[(x - E[x])^2]} \sqrt{E[(y - E[y])^2]}}$
- $= \text{CORR}[x, y]$

### (e) Strong Law of Large Numbers

- There are various "laws of large numbers" depending on what notion of convergence is employed.
- the WLLN uses convergence in probability

Prop ⑩ (L<sup>2</sup> Law of Large Numbers) If  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  is an iid sequence with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$  then  $\frac{1}{n} S_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mu$ .

Proof:  $E\left[\left(\frac{1}{n} S_n - \mu\right)^2\right] = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E[(x_i - \mu)^2]$

 $= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Prop ⑪ (Strong Law of Large Numbers) If  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  are iid with mean  $\mu$  then  $\frac{1}{n} S_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mu$ .

Proof: see text.