

# Syntax and Semantics of Dependant Types

by Martin Hofmann

---

Louis Milhaud

January 29, 2024

Université Paris Saclay

# Outline

Introduction

Syntax

Term model

Semantic frameworks

Categories with Famillies (CwF)

Categories with Attributes (CwA)

Bonus

$\prod$  Type former

Interpretation

# Introduction

---

## Definition

A dependant type is a family of types varying on the elements of another type.

Exemple:

$$\text{Vec}_\sigma(M), \quad M : \mathbb{N}$$

Built on:

- $\text{nil}_\sigma : \text{Vec}_\sigma(0)$
- $\text{Cons}_\sigma(U, V) : \text{Vec}_\sigma(\text{Succ}(M))$

with  $U : \sigma$  and  $V : \text{Vec}_\sigma(M)$

$$\Gamma ::= \diamond$$

$$| \Gamma, x : \sigma \quad \text{provided } x \text{ is not declared in } \Gamma$$

$$\sigma, \tau ::= \Pi x : \sigma. \tau \mid \Sigma x : \sigma. \tau \mid Id_{\sigma}(M, N) \mid \mathbb{N}$$

$$M, N, H, P ::= x \mid \lambda x : \sigma. M^{\tau} \mid App_{[x:\sigma]\tau}(M, N) \mid Pair_{[x:\sigma]\tau}(M, N)$$

$$| R_{[z:\Sigma x:\sigma.\tau]\rho}^{\Sigma}([x:\sigma, y:\tau]H, M) \mid Refl_{\sigma}(M)$$

$$| R_{[x:\sigma, y:\sigma, p:Id_{\sigma}(x,y)]\tau}^{Id}([z:\sigma]H, M, N, P) \mid 0 \mid Suc(M) \mid$$

$$R_{[n:\mathbb{N}]\sigma}^{\mathbb{N}}(H_z, [n:\mathbb{N}, x:\sigma]H_s, M)$$

## Context morphisms

Let  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n$  be valid contexts.

$f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (M_1, \dots, M_n)$  is a context morphism (we write  $\Gamma \vdash f \implies \Delta$ )

when the following  $n$  judgements hold:

$$\Gamma \vdash M_1 : \sigma_1$$

$$\Gamma \vdash M_2 : \sigma_2[M_1/x_1]$$

...

$$\Gamma \vdash M_n : \sigma_n[M_1/x_1][M_2/x_2] \dots [M_{n-1}/x_{n-1}]$$

## Generalized substitution & Composition

If we have:

$$\vdash \Gamma, \Delta \text{ context} \quad \Gamma \vdash \tau \text{ type}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash f \implies \Delta$$

$$f \equiv (M_1, \dots, M_n)$$

$$\Delta \equiv x_1 : \sigma_1, \dots, x_n : \sigma_n$$

Then:

$$\tau[f/\Delta] \equiv \tau[M_1/x_1][M_2/x_2]\dots[M_n/x_n]$$

Thanks to **substitution** we can now define context morphism **composition**:

$$\text{Let } \Delta \vdash g \implies \Theta \text{ a context morphism, with } g \equiv (N_1, \dots, N_k)$$

$$f \circ g \equiv (N_1[f/\Delta], \dots, N_k[f/\Delta])$$

## **Semantic frameworks**

---



Let's first define some data structures in our **semantic model**:

- $\mathcal{C}$  category of contexts and context morphisms
- for  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  a collection  $Ty_{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)$  of semantic types
- for  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\sigma \in Ty_{\mathcal{C}}$  a collection  $Tm_{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma, \sigma)$  of semantic terms

## Context formation & type extension

*Formation:*

- $\top$  a terminal object in  $\mathcal{C}$
- $\forall \Gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\langle \rangle_\Gamma$  denotes the unique morphism from  $\Gamma$  to  $\top$

*Type Extension:*

- $\forall (\Gamma, \sigma) \in \mathcal{C} \times \text{Ty}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\Gamma.\sigma \in \mathcal{C}$  is the comprehension of  $\sigma$
- in the term model:

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma \text{ context} \quad \Gamma \vdash \sigma \text{ type}}{\Gamma, x : \sigma \text{ context}}$$

# Substitution

Semantic substitution is described by one operation for types and one for terms.

Let  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ ,  $g : \Delta \rightarrow \Theta$ ,  $\sigma \in Ty(\Theta)$  and  $M \in Tm(\Theta, \sigma)$

- $-\{g\} : Ty(\Theta) \rightarrow Ty(\Delta)$
- $-\{g\} : Tm(\Theta, \sigma) \rightarrow Tm(\Delta, \sigma\{g\})$
- compatible with composition and identities:

$$\sigma\{id_{\Theta}\} = \sigma \quad \in Ty(\Theta)$$

$$\sigma\{g \circ f\} = \sigma\{g\}\{f\} \in Ty(\Gamma)$$

$$M\{id_{\Theta}\} = M \quad \in Tm(\Theta, \sigma)$$

$$M\{g \circ f\} = M\{g\}\{f\} \in Tm(\Gamma, \sigma\{g \circ f\})$$

$p$  morphism:

- $p(\sigma) : \Gamma.\sigma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is the projection associated to  $\sigma$
- in the term model:

$$\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash p \implies \Gamma$$

$v$  morphism:

- $v_\sigma : \Gamma.\sigma \rightarrow \sigma$  is the second projection
- $v_\sigma \in Tm_C(\Gamma.\sigma, \sigma\{p(\sigma)\})$
- in the term model:

$$\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash v \implies x : \sigma$$

Let  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ ,  $\sigma \in Ty(\Delta)$  and  $M \in Tm(\Gamma, \sigma\{f\})$ .

- $\langle f, M \rangle_\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta.\sigma$  is the extension of  $f$  by  $M$
- if  $g : \Theta \rightarrow \Gamma$  then it satisfies the following:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\sigma) \circ \langle f, M \rangle_\sigma &= f && : \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \\ v\{\langle f, M \rangle_\sigma\} &= M && \in Tm(\Gamma, \sigma\{f\}) \\ \langle f, M \rangle_\sigma \circ g &= \langle f \circ g, M\{g\} \rangle_\sigma && : \Theta \rightarrow \Delta.\sigma \\ \langle p(\sigma), v \rangle_\sigma &= id_{\Delta.\sigma} && : \Delta.\sigma \rightarrow \Delta.\sigma \end{aligned}$$

To recap, a **Category with families** is the following tuple:

$$(\mathcal{C}, Ty, Tm, -\{-\}, \top, \langle \rangle_-, -.-, p, v, \langle -, - \rangle_-)$$

## Definitions & $\mathcal{Fam}$ Category

The category  $\mathcal{Fam}$  of families of sets has:

- as objects pairs  $A = (A^0, A^1)$
- as arrows  $f$  between  $A$  and  $B$  a pair  $(f^0, f^1)$

We also define the functor  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{Fam}$  such that:

$$\mathcal{F}(\Gamma) = (Ty_{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma), (Tm_{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma, \sigma))_{\sigma \in Ty_{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)})$$

We can now define a category with families with:

- a category  $\mathcal{C}$  with terminal object
- a functor  $\mathcal{F} = (Ty, Tm) : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{Fam}$
- a comprehension for each  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\sigma \in Ty_{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)$



## q morphism & Weakening

- *q morphism:*

Let  $f : \Theta \rightarrow \Gamma$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Ty}(\Gamma)$

$$q(f, \sigma) : \Theta.\sigma\{f\} \rightarrow \Gamma.\sigma$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle f \circ p(\sigma\{f\}), v_{\sigma\{f\}} \rangle_\sigma$$

- *Weakening maps:*

$$\begin{array}{l} w := \quad p(\sigma) : \Gamma.\sigma \rightarrow \Gamma \\ \quad \mid \quad q(w, \tau) \end{array}$$

## Pullback property

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  a  $\text{CwF}$ ,  $f : \Theta \rightarrow \Gamma$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Ty}(\Gamma)$  the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta.\sigma\{f\} & \xrightarrow{q(f, \sigma)} & \Gamma.\sigma \\ \downarrow p(\sigma\{f\}) & & \downarrow p(\sigma) \\ \Theta & \xrightarrow{f} & \Gamma \end{array}$$

A **category with attributes** consists of:

- A category  $\mathcal{C}$  with terminal object  $\top$
- A functor  $Ty : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow Set$
- $\forall \sigma \in Ty(\Gamma)$  an object  $\Gamma.\sigma$  and a morphism  $p(\sigma) : \Gamma.\sigma \rightarrow \Gamma$
- $\forall f : \Theta \rightarrow \Gamma$  and  $\sigma \in Ty(\Gamma)$  a pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta.\sigma\{f\} & \xrightarrow{q(f, \sigma)} & \Gamma.\sigma \\ p(\sigma\{f\}) \downarrow & & \downarrow p(\sigma) \\ \Theta & \xrightarrow{f} & \Gamma \end{array}$$

such that  $q(id_{\Gamma}, \sigma) = id_{\Gamma.\sigma}$  and  $q(f \circ g, \sigma) = q(f, \sigma) \circ q(g, \sigma\{f\})$ .

## Bonus

---

## $\Pi$ Type former

- Type former for the functions with return type depending on the parameter
- Set-theoretic equivalent:  
Cartesian product over a family of sets:  $\prod_{i \in I} B_i$
- preserved by definitional equality

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \sigma \text{ type} \quad \Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash \tau \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash \prod x : \sigma. \tau \text{ type}} \text{Form}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash M : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \sigma. M^\tau : \Pi x : \sigma. \tau} \text{Intro}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : \Pi x : \sigma. \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \text{App}_{[x:\sigma]\tau}(M, N) : \tau[N/x]} \text{Elim}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \sigma. M^\tau : \Pi x : \sigma. \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash N : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \text{App}_{[x:\sigma]\tau}(\lambda x : \sigma. M^\tau, N) = M[N/x] : \tau[N/x]} \text{Comp}$$

## $\Pi$ type former interpretation

For each  $\sigma \in Ty(\Gamma)$ ,  $\tau \in Ty(\Gamma.\sigma)$ ,  $L \in Tm(\Gamma.\sigma, \tau)$ ,  $M \in Tm(\Gamma, \Pi(\sigma, \tau))$  and  $N \in Tm(\Gamma, \sigma)$  we can define:

- the type  $\Pi(\sigma, \tau) \in Ty(\Gamma)$
- the term  $\lambda_{\sigma, \tau}(L) \in Tm(\Gamma, \Pi(\sigma, \tau))$
- the term  $App_{\sigma, \tau}(M, N) \in Tm(\Gamma, \tau\{\bar{M}\})$

such that

$$App_{\sigma, \tau}(\lambda_{\sigma, \tau}(M), N) = M\{\bar{N}\} \quad \Pi - C$$

$$\Pi(\sigma, \tau)\{f\} = \Pi(\sigma\{f\}, \tau\{q(f, \sigma)\}) \quad \Pi - S$$

$$\lambda_{\sigma, \tau}(M)\{f\} = \lambda_{\sigma\{f\}, \tau\{q(f, \sigma)\}}(M\{q(f, \sigma)\}) \quad \lambda - S$$

$$App_{\sigma, \tau}(M, N)\{f\} = App_{\sigma\{f\}, \tau\{q(f, \sigma)\}}(M\{f\}, N\{f\}) \quad App - S$$

# Interpretation function

Let  $\llbracket - \rrbracket$  an interpretation function such that:

$$\llbracket \diamond \rrbracket = \top$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket . \llbracket \Gamma ; \sigma \rrbracket$$

if  $x \notin \Gamma$ , undefined otherwise

$$\llbracket \Gamma ; \Pi x : \sigma . \tau \rrbracket = \Pi (\llbracket \Gamma ; \sigma \rrbracket, \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma ; \tau \rrbracket)$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma ; x \rrbracket = v_{\llbracket \Gamma ; \sigma \rrbracket}$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma, \Delta, y : \tau ; x \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma, \Delta ; x \rrbracket \{p(\llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma, \Delta ; \tau \rrbracket)\}$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma ; App_{\sigma, [x:\sigma]\tau}(M, N) \rrbracket = App_{\llbracket \Gamma ; \sigma \rrbracket, \llbracket \Gamma, x:\sigma ; \tau \rrbracket}(\llbracket \Gamma ; M \rrbracket, \llbracket \Gamma ; N \rrbracket)$$

$$\llbracket \Gamma ; \lambda x : \sigma . M^\tau \rrbracket = \lambda_{\llbracket \Gamma ; \sigma \rrbracket, \llbracket \Gamma, x:\sigma ; \tau \rrbracket}(\llbracket \Gamma, x : \sigma ; M \rrbracket)$$



## Soundness properties

$$\Gamma \vdash \xRightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \in \mathcal{C}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \sigma \xRightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \llbracket \Gamma; \sigma \rrbracket \in \mathit{Ty}(\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket)$$

$$\Gamma \vdash M : \sigma \xRightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \llbracket \Gamma; M \rrbracket \in \mathit{Tm}(\llbracket \Gamma; \sigma \rrbracket)$$

$$\vdash \Gamma = \Delta \text{ context} \xRightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket = \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \sigma = \tau \text{ type} \xRightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \llbracket \Gamma; \sigma \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma; \tau \rrbracket$$

$$\Gamma \vdash M = N : \sigma \xRightarrow{\mathbf{S}} \llbracket \Gamma; M \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma; N \rrbracket$$