THE IMPRINT OF WATER-COLUMN RESONANCE ON SECONDARY MICROSEISMIC SOURCE SPECTRA

M Meschede[1], É Stutzmann[1], V Farra[1], M Schimmel[2], F Ardhuin[3]

[1] Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, Paris 75005, France

[2] Institut of Earth Sciences Jaume Almera - CSIC, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

[3] University of Brest, CNRS, IRD, Ifremer, Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique et Spatiale, IUEM

The Secondary Microseismic Frequency Band



P-wave Generation from Interacting Ocean Waves



inspired by Gualtieri et al. (2014, 2015) and Ardhuin et al. (2013)

Secondary Microseism Pressure Models



[model by Ardhuin et al. (2011), distributed by IFREMER]

Secondary Microseism Pressure Models



[model by Ardhuin et al. (2011), distributed by IFREMER]

Building a Dataset

1 year of continuous data per array



P-wave (30-90 degree) coverage

- five arrays (North-America)
- combined timespan of five years (2006, 2008, 2011, 2015, 2015)
- daily synthetic and observed beams for 3 models
  (1809 beams as a function of slowness and frequency)

- this presentation:
  spectral characteristics of the strongest-sources

From Pressure Model to Synthetic Beam



pressure model

displacement that the pressure model generates at one station

synthetic beam



Comparison of backprojected beams



California array



Alaska array

The Strongest-Source Distribution



Selecting Comparable Sources

observed source time and location ...



... match with model R



... don't match with model R

- On 26% (467/1809) days the strongest-sources match
- non-matching sources are mostly microseisms as well

The Spectral Double Peak



average spectra



amplitude comparison



frequency comparison

- Spectral double peak in observation and models with resonance
- Amplitudes are predicted within factor of 0.5 - 6 (1 std)
- peak frequencies are either low or high

Associating spectral shapes with geographical regions





- Spectral Shape and Geographical Region are related
- Low frequency peak is broader than the high frequency one
- High frequency spectra are better modeled

Source Site Resonance



- resonance when $2h/\lambda + 1/2 = n$
- $h = 1/4\lambda,\ 3/4\lambda,\ 5/4\lambda, ...$
- $n = 1$ (shallow ocean) and $n = 2$ (deep ocean) most important for secondary microseisms

Source Site Resonance Map





- n=1 in shallow ocean [cross]
- no resonance from 0.10 - 0.24Hz [circle]
- n=2 in deep ocean [triangle]

Conclusions



- secondary microseism P-wave spectra are strongly affected by resonance effects
- bathymetry favours resonance at 0.15 and 0.20Hz (first and second harmonic)
- ocean wave model can reasonably well predict the spectra