Droput para clasificación **Objetivos** 1. Crear el modelo y la función de costo 2. Batch Gradient Descent Tabla de contenido Veremos el droput permite disminuir el overfitting Crear algunos datos • Crear el modelo y la función de costo • Batch Gradient Descent Preparación In [1]: import os os.environ['KMP DUPLICATE LIB OK']='True' # Import the libraries we need for this lab In [2]: import torch import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import torch.nn as nn import torch.nn.functional as F import numpy as np from matplotlib.colors import ListedColormap from torch.utils.data import Dataset, DataLoader Función para graficar: # The function for plotting the diagram In [3]: def plot decision regions 3class(data set, model=None): cmap light = ListedColormap(['#0000FF', '#FF0000']) cmap bold = ListedColormap(['#FF0000', '#00FF00', '#00AAFF']) X = data set.x.numpy() y = data set.y.numpy() h = .02 $x \min, x \max = X[:, 0].\min() - 0.1, X[:, 0].\max() + 0.1$ $y \min, y \max = X[:, 1].\min() - 0.1, X[:, 1].\max() + 0.1$ xx, yy = np.meshgrid(np.arange(x min, x max, h), np.arange(y min, y max, h)) newdata = np.c_[xx.ravel(), yy.ravel()] Z = data set.multi dim poly(newdata).flatten() f = np.zeros(Z.shape) f[Z > 0] = 1f = f.reshape(xx.shape)if model != None: model.eval() XX = torch.Tensor(newdata) , yhat = torch.max(model(XX), 1) yhat = yhat.numpy().reshape(xx.shape) plt.pcolormesh(xx, yy, yhat, cmap=cmap light) plt.contour(xx, yy, f, cmap=plt.cm.Paired) plt.contour(xx, yy, f, cmap=plt.cm.Paired) plt.pcolormesh(xx, yy, f, cmap=cmap light) plt.title("decision region vs True decision boundary") Función para calcular la precisión: # The function for calculating accuracy In [4]: def accuracy(model, data set): _, yhat = torch.max(model(data set.x), 1) return (yhat == data set.y).numpy().mean() Crear algunos datos Creamos un dataset que NO es linealmente separable: In [5]: # Create data class for creating dataset object class Data(Dataset): # Constructor def init (self, N SAMPLES=1000, noise std=0.15, train=True): a = np.matrix([-1, 1, 2, 1, 1, -3, 1]).Tself.x = np.matrix(np.random.rand(N SAMPLES, 2)) self.f = np.array(a[0] + (self.x) * a[1:3] + np.multiply(self.x[:, 0], self.xself.a = aself.y = np.zeros(N SAMPLES) self.y[self.f > 0] = 1self.y = torch.from numpy(self.y).type(torch.LongTensor) self.x = torch.from numpy(self.x).type(torch.FloatTensor) self.x = self.x + noise std * torch.randn(self.x.size()) self.f = torch.from numpy(self.f) self.a = aif train == True: torch.manual seed(1) self.x = self.x + noise std * torch.randn(self.x.size()) torch.manual seed(0) def getitem__(self, index): return self.x[index], self.y[index] # Get Length def len (self): return self.len # Plot the diagram def plot(self): X = data set.x.numpy() y = data set.y.numpy() x_{min} , $x_{max} = X[:, 0].min()$, X[:, 0].max() $y_{min}, y_{max} = X[:, 1].min(), X[:, 1].max()$ xx, yy = np.meshgrid(np.arange(x min, x max, h), np.arange(y min, y max, h)) Z = data set.multi dim poly(np.c [xx.ravel(), yy.ravel()]).flatten() f = np.zeros(Z.shape) f[Z > 0] = 1f = f.reshape(xx.shape)plt.title('True decision boundary and sample points with noise ') plt.plot(self.x[self.y == 0, 0].numpy(), self.x[self.y == 0,1].numpy(), 'bo',plt.plot(self.x[self.y == 1, 0].numpy(), self.x[self.y == 1,1].numpy(), 'ro', plt.contour(xx, yy, f,cmap=plt.cm.Paired) plt.xlim(0,1)plt.ylim(0,1)plt.legend() # Make a multidimension ploynomial function def multi dim poly(self, x): x = np.matrix(x)out = np.array(self.a[0] + (x) * self.a[1:3] + np.multiply(x[:, 0], x[:, 1])out = np.array(out) return out Creamos un objeto dataset: In [6]: # Create a dataset object data set = Data(noise std=0.2) data set.plot() True decision boundary and sample points with noise 0.8 Datos de validación: # Get some validation data In [7]: torch.manual seed(0) validation set = Data(train=False) Crear el modelo, optimizador y costo Creamos un módulo personalizado con 3 capas. in_size es el tamaño de las características de entrada, n_hidden el tamaño de las capas y out_size el tamaño de la salida. p es la probabilidad de droput. Por defecto tiene el valor 0, que corresponde a no haya dropout. In [8]: # Create Net Class class Net(nn.Module): # Constructor def __init__(self, in_size, n_hidden, out size, p=0): super(Net, self).__init__() self.drop = nn.Dropout(p=p) self.linear1 = nn.Linear(in size, n hidden) self.linear2 = nn.Linear(n hidden, n hidden) self.linear3 = nn.Linear(n hidden, out size) # Prediction function def forward(self, x): x = F.relu(self.drop(self.linear1(x))) x = F.relu(self.drop(self.linear2(x)))x = self.linear3(x)return x Creamos 2 objetos modelo: model que no tiene droput y model_drop que sí lo tiene, con probabilidad de 0.5: # Create two model objects: model without dropout and model with dropout In [9]: model = Net(2, 300, 2)model drop = Net(2, 300, 2, p=0.5) Entrenar el modelo vía Mini-Batch Gradient Descent Establecemos el modelo usando dropout para entrenarlo: In [11]: # Set the model to training mode model drop.train() Out[11]: Net((drop): Dropout(p=0.5, inplace=False) (linear1): Linear(in_features=2, out_features=300, bias=True) (linear2): Linear(in_features=300, out_features=300, bias=True) (linear3): Linear(in_features=300, out_features=2, bias=True) Entrenamos el modelo usando el optimizador ADAM. Para la pérdida usamos Cross Entropy Loss: In [12]: # Set optimizer functions and criterion functions optimizer ofit = torch.optim.Adam(model.parameters(), lr=0.01) optimizer drop = torch.optim.Adam(model drop.parameters(), lr=0.01) criterion = torch.nn.CrossEntropyLoss() Inicializamos un diccionario que almacena las pérdidas de entrenamiento y validación para cada modelo: # Initialize the LOSS dictionary to store the loss In [13]: $LOSS = {}$ LOSS['training data no dropout'] = [] LOSS['validation data no dropout'] = [] LOSS['training data dropout'] = [] LOSS['validation data dropout'] = [] Ejecutamos 500 iteraciones de batch gradient gradient descent: # Train the model In [14]: epochs = 500 def train model(epochs): for epoch in range(epochs): #all the samples are used for training yhat = model(data_set.x) yhat_drop = model_drop(data_set.x) loss = criterion(yhat, data_set.y) loss drop = criterion(yhat drop, data set.y) #store the loss for both the training and validation data for both models LOSS['training data no dropout'].append(loss.item()) LOSS['validation data no dropout'].append(criterion(model(validation_set.x), validation_set.x), validation_set.x) LOSS['training data dropout'].append(loss drop.item()) model drop.eval() LOSS['validation data dropout'].append(criterion(model_drop(validation_set.x), model_drop.train() optimizer_ofit.zero_grad() optimizer drop.zero grad() loss.backward() loss drop.backward() optimizer ofit.step() optimizer_drop.step() train_model(epochs) Establecemos el modelo con droput en método de evaluación: # Set the model to evaluation model In [15]: model drop.eval() Out[15]: Net((drop): Dropout(p=0.5, inplace=False) (linear1): Linear(in features=2, out features=300, bias=True) (linear2): Linear(in features=300, out features=300, bias=True) (linear3): Linear(in_features=300, out_features=2, bias=True) Testeamos el modelo sin droput sobre los datos de validación: In [16]: # Print out the accuracy of the model without dropout print("The accuracy of the model without dropout: ", accuracy(model, validation_set)) The accuracy of the model without dropout: 0.796 Testeamos el modelo con droput sobre los datos de validación: In [17]: # Print out the accuracy of the model with dropout print ("The accuracy of the model with dropout: ", accuracy (model drop, validation set The accuracy of the model with dropout: 0.849 Se ve que el modelo con dropout tiene una mejor performance sobre los datos de validación. Función verdadera Graficamos la frontera de decisión y la predicción de la red en diferentes colores. # Plot the decision boundary and the prediction In [18]: plot decision regions 3class(data set) <ipython-input-3-9a9b576e46fe>:27: MatplotlibDeprecationWarning: shading='flat' when X and Y have the same dimensions as C is deprecated since 3.3. Either specify the corne rs of the quadrilaterals with X and Y, or pass shading='auto', 'nearest' or 'gouraud', or set rcParams['pcolor.shading']. This will become an error two minor releases late plt.pcolormesh(xx, yy, f, cmap=cmap light) decision region vs True decision boundary 1.5 1.0

0.0 -0.50.5 -0.5 0.0 1.0 1.5 Modelo sin dropout: # The model without dropout In [19]: plot_decision_regions_3class(data_set, model) <ipython-input-3-9a9b576e46fe>:23: MatplotlibDeprecationWarning: shading='flat' when X and Y have the same dimensions as C is deprecated since 3.3. Either specify the corne rs of the quadrilaterals with X and Y, or pass shading='auto', 'nearest' or 'gouraud', or set rcParams['pcolor.shading']. This will become an error two minor releases late plt.pcolormesh(xx, yy, yhat, cmap=cmap_light) decision region vs True decision boundary 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0

-0.5

1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

-0.5

In [21]:

In [20]:

-0.5

The model with dropout

Modelo con dropout:

0.0

0.0

0.5

Se ve que el modelo con dropout sigue mejor la función que genera los datos.

plt.plot(np.log(np.array(value)), label=key)

plt.ylabel("Log of cost or total loss")

-0.5

hacer más notoria la diferencia.

plt.figure(figsize=(6.1, 10))

plt.legend()

for key, value in LOSS.items():

plt.xlabel("iterations")

Plot the LOSS

def plot_LOSS():

plot_LOSS()

0.0

-0.2

-0.4

-0.6

-0.8

-1.0

In []:

100

validación que con los de entrenamiento.

200

iterations

og of cost or total loss

0.5

plot_decision_regions_3class(data_set, model_drop)

plt.pcolormesh(xx, yy, yhat, cmap=cmap_light) decision region vs True decision boundary

1.5

<ipython-input-3-9a9b576e46fe>:23: MatplotlibDeprecationWarning: shading='flat' when X and Y have the same dimensions as C is deprecated since 3.3. Either specify the corne rs of the quadrilaterals with X and Y, or pass shading='auto', 'nearest' or 'gouraud', or set rcParams['pcolor.shading']. This will become an error two minor releases late

1.5

Graficamos las pérdidas de entrenamiento y validación para ambos modelos; usamos el logaritmo para

training data no dropout validation data no dropout training data dropout validation data dropout

400

validación; esto sugiere overfitting. El modelo con droput tiene una mejor performance con los datos de

El modelo sin droput tiene una mejor perfomance con los datos de entrenamiento que con los de

500

1.0