CPSC354_FA22_Project

December 11, 2022

```
[1]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
     # import necessary packages
     from plotnine import *
     import pandas as pd
     import warnings
     from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression, LogisticRegression, Ridge, u

Lasso
     from sklearn.linear_model import RidgeCV, LassoCV
     from sklearn.metrics import r2_score, mean_squared_error, mean_absolute_error
     from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
     from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split # simple TT split cv
     import numpy as np
     from sklearn.neighbors import NearestNeighbors
     from sklearn.cluster import AgglomerativeClustering
     from sklearn.cluster import DBSCAN
     from sklearn.cluster import KMeans
     from sklearn.mixture import GaussianMixture
     from sklearn.metrics import silhouette_score
     # make sure you have these to make dendrograms!-----
     import scipy.cluster.hierarchy as sch
     from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
     warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
     %precision %.7g
     %matplotlib inline
```

```
[2]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
# import data and check for missing rows
```

Missing data per column: Repository Language 0 0 Active Repositories Total Pushes 0 Pushes Per Repository 0 New Forks Per Repository 0 Opened Issues Per Repository 0 New Watchers Per Repository 0 Appeared In Year 0 dtype: int64 Original data frame size:

1 Q1.

(Linear Regression) When predicting when the year a particular Programming Language was released, which predictors (Active Repositories, Total Pushes, Total Pushes, Pushes Per Repository, New Forks Per Repository, Opened Issues Per Repository, and New Watchers Per Repository) improves the accuracy of the model's prediction when excluded from the model? What does this suggest about the nature of the Programming Languages used in GitHub repositories?

```
[3]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment

# Preview of the first five lines of the dataset
data.head()
```

```
[3]:
       Repository Language Active Repositories
                                                    Total Pushes
     0
                 JavaScript
                                           323938
                                                         3461415
     1
                       Java
                                           222852
                                                         2323315
     2
                     Python
                                           164852
                                                         1654226
     3
                        CSS
                                           164585
                                                         1810013
     4
                        PHP
                                           138771
                                                         1391467
        Pushes Per Repository New Forks Per Repository \
     0
                         10.69
                                                      3.87
     1
                         10.43
                                                      3.48
     2
                         10.03
                                                      2.87
     3
                         11.00
                                                      4.91
     4
                         10.03
                                                      2.78
```

```
Opened Issues Per Repository New Watchers Per Repository Appeared In Year
0
                            6.10
                                                                              1995
                                                           9.66
                            6.67
                                                           6.24
1
                                                                              1995
2
                            6.32
                                                           5.72
                                                                              1991
3
                            5.24
                                                           9.33
                                                                              1996
4
                            5.87
                                                           4.76
                                                                              1995
```

```
[4]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
     # Linear Regression model containing all of the predictor variables
     predictors_all = ["Active Repositories", "Total Pushes", "Pushes Per_
     →Repository",
                       "New Forks Per Repository", "Opened Issues Per Repository",
                       "New Watchers Per Repository"]
     outcome = data[["Appeared In Year"]]
     # Randomly split the data to use 80% of the data for training and 20% for \Box
     → testing for model validation
     x_train, x_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(data[predictors_all],_
     →outcome.
                                                         test_size= 0.2,
                                                         random_state = 40)
     # Z-score predictor variables for both training and testing data
     z = StandardScaler()
     x_train[predictors_all] = z.fit_transform(x_train[predictors_all])
     x_test[predictors_all] = z.transform(x_test[predictors_all])
     # Linear Regression Model for model containing all predictor variables
     lr all = LinearRegression()
     lr_all.fit(x_train[predictors_all], y_train)
     # Print out Mean Squared Error and R2-Score for Testing and Training data
     print("For the Linear Regression Model containing all variables...")
     print("Training data R2 Score:", r2_score(y_train, lr_all.
     →predict(x_train[predictors_all])))
     print("Training data Mean Squared Error Score:", mean_squared_error(y_train,_
     →lr_all.predict(x_train[predictors_all])))
     print("\n")
     print("Testing data R2 Score:", r2_score(y_test, lr_all.
     →predict(x_test[predictors_all])))
     print("Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: ", mean squared error(y test, ...
      →lr all.predict(x test[predictors all])))
```

For the Linear Regression Model containing all variables... Training data R2 Score: 0.16331385155948508 Training data Mean Squared Error Score: 105.21183058627594

Testing data R2 Score: 0.4232737382895424
Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: 80.82177750914607

```
[5]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
     # Linear Regression model excluding Number of Active Repositories
     exclude_active = ["Total Pushes", "Pushes Per Repository",
                       "New Forks Per Repository", "Opened Issues Per Repository",
                       "New Watchers Per Repository"]
     # Linear Regression Model for model exlcuding Number of Active Repositories
     lr_no_active = LinearRegression()
     lr_no_active.fit(x_train[exclude_active], y_train)
     # Print out Mean Squared Error and R2-Score for Testing and Training data
     print("For the Linear Regression Model excluding Number of Active Repositories..
     →.")
     print("Training data R2 Score:", r2_score(y_train, lr_no_active.
     →predict(x_train[exclude_active])))
     print("Training data Mean Squared Error Score:", mean_squared_error(y_train,_
     →lr_no_active.predict(x_train[exclude_active])))
     print("\n")
     print("Testing data R2 Score:", r2_score(y_test, lr_no_active.
     →predict(x_test[exclude_active])))
     print("Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: ", mean_squared_error(y_test,__
      →lr_no_active.predict(x_test[exclude_active])))
```

For the Linear Regression Model excluding Number of Active Repositories... Training data R2 Score: 0.14928609973685336 Training data Mean Squared Error Score: 106.97579602423606

Testing data R2 Score: 0.42825473624864563
Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: 80.12374598959953

```
"New Watchers Per Repository"]
     # Linear Regression Model for model exlcuding Number of Total Pushes
     lr_no_push_total = LinearRegression()
     lr_no_push_total.fit(x_train[exclude_push_total], y_train)
     # Print out Mean Squared Error and R2-Score for Testing and Training data
     print("For the Linear Regression Model excluding Number of Total Pushes...")
     print("Training data R2 Score:", r2_score(y_train, lr_no_push_total.
     →predict(x_train[exclude_push_total])))
     print("Training data Mean Squared Error Score:", mean_squared_error(y_train, __
      →lr_no_push_total.predict(x_train[exclude_push_total])))
     print("\n")
     print("Testing data R2 Score:", r2_score(y_test, lr_no_push_total.
     →predict(x_test[exclude_push_total])))
     print("Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: ", mean squared error(y_test, __
      →lr_no_push_total.predict(x_test[exclude_push_total])))
    For the Linear Regression Model excluding Number of Total Pushes...
    Training data R2 Score: 0.15172857789930394
    Training data Mean Squared Error Score: 106.66865863572139
    Testing data R2 Score: 0.4230374299603413
    Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: 80.8548934958355
[7]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
     # Linear Regression model excluding Number of Pushes per Repository
     exclude_repository_pushes = ["Active Repositories", "Total Pushes",
                       "New Forks Per Repository", "Opened Issues Per Repository",
                       "New Watchers Per Repository"]
     # Linear Regression Model for model exlcuding Number of Pushes per Repository
     lr_no_repository_pushes = LinearRegression()
     lr_no_repository_pushes.fit(x_train[exclude_repository_pushes], y_train)
     # Print out Mean Squared Error and R2-Score for Testing and Training data
     print("For the Linear Regression Model excluding Number of Pushes Per⊔
     →Repository...")
     print("Training data R2 Score:", r2_score(y_train, lr_no_repository_pushes.
     →predict(x_train[exclude_repository_pushes])))
     print("Training data Mean Squared Error Score:", mean_squared_error(y_train, __
      →lr_no_repository_pushes.predict(x_train[exclude_repository_pushes])))
```

For the Linear Regression Model excluding Number of Pushes Per Repository... Training data R2 Score: 0.15398523175241896 Training data Mean Squared Error Score: 106.384888331494

Testing data R2 Score: 0.3429048737808713
Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: 92.0845808826529

```
[8]: | # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
     # Linear Regression model excluding Number of Forks Per Repository
     exclude_forks = ["Active Repositories", "Total Pushes", "Pushes Per Repository",
                       "Opened Issues Per Repository", "New Watchers Per Repository"]
     # Linear Regression Model for model exlcuding Number of Forks Per Repository
     lr_no_forks = LinearRegression()
     lr_no_forks.fit(x_train[exclude_forks], y_train)
     # Print out Mean Squared Error and R2-Score for Testing and Training data
     print("For the Linear Regression Model excluding Number of Forks Per Repository.
     ∴ ")
     print("Training data R2 Score:", r2_score(y_train, lr_no_forks.
      →predict(x_train[exclude_forks])))
     print("Training data Mean Squared Error Score:", mean_squared_error(y_train, __
     →lr no forks.predict(x train[exclude forks])))
     print("\n")
     print("Testing data R2 Score:", r2_score(y_test, lr_no_forks.
     →predict(x_test[exclude_forks])))
     print("Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: ", mean_squared_error(y_test, ⊔
      →lr_no_forks.predict(x_test[exclude_forks])))
```

For the Linear Regression Model excluding Number of Forks Per Repository... Training data R2 Score: 0.1632898597934973 Training data Mean Squared Error Score: 105.21484750919653

Testing data R2 Score: 0.4237568659311758
Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: 80.75407253825607

```
[9]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
      # Linear Regression model excluding Number of Opened Issues Per Repository
      exclude_issues = ["Active Repositories", "Total Pushes", "Pushes Per_
       ⇔Repository",
                        "New Forks Per Repository", "New Watchers Per Repository"]
      # Linear Regression Model for model exlcuding Number of Opened Issues Peru
       \rightarrowRepository
      lr_no_issues = LinearRegression()
      lr_no_issues.fit(x_train[exclude_issues], y_train)
      # Print out Mean Squared Error and R2-Score for Testing and Training data
      print("For the Linear Regression Model excluding Number of Opened Issues Per⊔
      →Repository...")
      print("Training data R2 Score:", r2_score(y_train, lr_no_issues.
       →predict(x_train[exclude_issues])))
      print("Training data Mean Squared Error Score:", mean_squared_error(y_train, __
      →lr_no_issues.predict(x_train[exclude_issues])))
      print("\n")
      print("Testing data R2 Score:", r2_score(y_test, lr_no_issues.
       →predict(x_test[exclude_issues])))
      print("Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: ", mean_squared_error(y_test, __
       →lr no issues.predict(x test[exclude issues])))
     For the Linear Regression Model excluding Number of Opened Issues Per
     Repository...
     Training data R2 Score: 0.16289020662991227
     Training data Mean Squared Error Score: 105.26510320067506
     Testing data R2 Score: 0.43602910973274733
     Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: 79.03425392773026
[10]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
      # Linear Regression model excluding Number of New Watchers Per Repository
      exclude_watchers = ["Active Repositories", "Total Pushes", "Pushes Per_
      →Repository",
                        "New Forks Per Repository", "Opened Issues Per Repository"]
      # Linear Regression Model for model exlcuding Number of New Watchers Peru
      \hookrightarrowRepository
      lr_no_watchers = LinearRegression()
      lr_no_watchers.fit(x_train[exclude_watchers], y_train)
```


```
# Print out Mean Squared Error and R2-Score for Testing and Training data
      print("For the Linear Regression Model excluding Number of New Watchers Per⊔
       →Repository...")
      print("Training data R2 Score:", r2_score(y_train, lr_no_watchers.
       →predict(x train[exclude watchers])))
      print("Training data Mean Squared Error Score:", mean_squared_error(y_train, __
       →lr_no_watchers.predict(x_train[exclude_watchers])))
      print("\n")
      print("Testing data R2 Score:", r2_score(y_test, lr_no_watchers.
       →predict(x_test[exclude_watchers])))
      print("Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: ", mean squared error(y_test, __
       →lr_no_watchers.predict(x_test[exclude_watchers])))
     For the Linear Regression Model excluding Number of New Watchers Per
     Repository...
     Training data R2 Score: 0.07326075328163195
     Training data Mean Squared Error Score: 116.53585135253145
     Testing data R2 Score: 0.15754222250650884
     Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: 118.06109687374065
[11]: # code, run by pressing "run" to the left of this comment
      # import a dataset containing the Training and Testing R2 and Mean Squared_{\sqcup}
      →error scores
      variable_exclusion_scores = pd.read_csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/
       →MNGSunday/PublicData_for_CPSC354/main/
       →LanguageRepositories_LinearRegressionScores.csv", encoding='windows-1254')
      variable_exclusion_scores
Γ11]:
                    Variable Excluded Training Data R2 Score \
      0
                                 None
                                                     0.163314
      1
                                                     0.149286
                  Active Repositories
      2
                         Total Pushes
                                                     0.151729
      3
                Pushes Per Repository
                                                     0.153985
             New Forks Per Repository
                                                     0.163290
      5 Opened Issues Per Repository
                                                     0.162890
         New Watchers Per Repository
                                                     0.073261
         Training Data Mean Squared Error Score Testing Data R2 Score \
     0
                                     105.211831
                                                              0.423274
      1
                                     106.975796
                                                              0.428255
```

0.423037

106.668659

```
3
                                     106.384888
                                                               0.342905
      4
                                     105.214848
                                                               0.423757
      5
                                     105.265103
                                                               0.436029
      6
                                     116.535851
                                                               0.157542
         Testing Data Mean Squared Error Score
      0
                                     80.821778
      1
                                     80.123746
      2
                                     80.854894
      3
                                     92.084581
      4
                                     80.754073
      5
                                     79.034254
                                    118.061097
[12]: # code, run by pressing "run" to the left of this comment
      # Produce a Bar Graph Representing the Training R2 Scores
      # for each of the Linear Regression Models
      (ggplot(variable_exclusion_scores, aes(x = "Variable Excluded",
                                             y = "Training Data R2 Score",
                                             fill = "Variable Excluded")) +
       geom_bar(stat = "identity") +
       ggtitle("Linear Regression Model R2 Scores for Training Data") +
       labs(x = "Variable Excluded from Model",
            y = "Model R2 Score") +
       scale fill manual(["#490092", "#006ddb", "#b66dff", "#ff6db6",
                          "#920000", "#8f4e00", "#db6d00"]) +
       theme minimal() +
       theme(axis_text_x = element_blank(),
             axis_ticks_minor_x = element_blank(),
             axis_ticks_major_x = element_blank(),
             panel_grid_major_x = element_blank(),
             panel_grid_minor_x = element_blank()))
```

Linear Regression Model R2 Scores for Training Data




[12]: <ggplot: (8759328776135)>

```
[13]: # code, run by pressing "run" to the left of this comment
      # Produce a Bar Graph Representing the Training Mean Squared Error Scores
      # for each of the Linear Regression Models
      (ggplot(variable_exclusion_scores, aes(x = "Variable Excluded",
                                             y = "Training Data Mean Squared Error

Score",
                                             fill = "Variable Excluded")) +
       geom_bar(stat = "identity") +
       ggtitle("Linear Regression Model Mean Squared Error Scores for Training Data")
       labs(x = "Variable Excluded from Model",
            y = "Model Mean Squared Error Score") +
       scale_fill_manual(["#490092", "#006ddb", "#b66dff", "#ff6db6",
                          "#920000", "#8f4e00", "#db6d00"]) +
       theme_minimal() +
       theme(axis_text_x = element_blank(),
             axis_ticks_minor_x = element_blank(),
             axis_ticks_major_x = element_blank(),
             panel_grid_major_x = element_blank(),
             panel_grid_minor_x = element_blank()))
```


Linear Regression Model Mean Squared Error Scores for Training Data



[13]: <ggplot: (8759326076880)>

```
[14]: # code, run by pressing "run" to the left of this comment
      # Produce a Bar Graph Representing the Testing R2 Scores
      # for each of the Linear Regression Models
      (ggplot(variable_exclusion_scores, aes(x = "Variable Excluded",
                                             y = "Testing Data R2 Score",
                                             fill = "Variable Excluded")) +
       geom_bar(stat = "identity") +
       ggtitle("Linear Regression Model R2 Scores") +
       labs(x = "Variable Excluded from Model",
            y = "Model R2 Score") +
       scale_fill_manual(["#490092", "#006ddb", "#b66dff", "#ff6db6",
                          "#920000", "#8f4e00", "#db6d00"]) +
       theme_minimal() +
       theme(axis_text_x = element_blank(),
             axis_ticks_minor_x = element_blank(),
             axis_ticks_major_x = element_blank(),
             panel_grid_major_x = element_blank(),
             panel_grid_minor_x = element_blank()))
```

Linear Regression Model R2 Scores




[14]: <ggplot: (8759328782583)>

```
[15]: # code, run by pressing "run" to the left of this comment
      # Produce a Bar Graph Representing the Testing Mean Squared Error Scores
      # for each of the Linear Regression Models
      (ggplot(variable_exclusion_scores, aes(x = "Variable Excluded",
                                             y = "Testing Data Mean Squared Error_

Score",
                                             fill = "Variable Excluded")) +
       geom bar(stat = "identity") +
       ggtitle("Linear Regression Model Mean Squared Error Scores for Testing Data") +
       labs(x = "Variable Excluded from Model",
            y = "Model Mean Squared Error Score") +
       scale_fill_manual(["#490092", "#006ddb", "#b66dff", "#ff6db6",
                          "#920000", "#8f4e00", "#db6d00"]) +
       theme_minimal() +
       theme(axis_text_x = element_blank(),
             axis_ticks_minor_x = element_blank(),
             axis_ticks_major_x = element_blank(),
             panel_grid_major_x = element_blank(),
             panel_grid_minor_x = element_blank()))
```

Linear Regression Model Mean Squared Error Scores for Testing Data



[15]: <ggplot: (8759326041320)>

2 Q1)

2.1 Question 1:

(Linear Regression) When predicting when the year a particular Programming Language was released, which predictors (Active Repositories, Total Pushes, Total Pushes, Pushes Per Repository, New Forks Per Repository, Opened Issues Per Repository, and New Watchers Per Repository) improves the accuracy of the model's prediction when excluded from the model? What does this suggest about the nature of the Programming Languages used in GitHub repositories?

2.2 Response:

An R2-Score represents how well a model can represent the variance in data that the model is used on. For instance, an R2-Score of 0.07 would imply that the model is only able to represent about 7% of the variance within the data used against the model.

The Mean Squared Error (MSE) Score represents the average squared difference between the model's predicted values and the actual values from the data that the model is used on. In this scenario, the models all attempt to predict the **Appeared In Year** variable.

In terms of the subset of the original data used to train the Linear Regression models, excluding any of the variables from the Linear Regression did not result in an improvement in representing the training dataset. This can be shown through the Linear Regression model including all of the predictor variables having an R2-Score of 0.1633, whereas the other Linear Regression models

excluding different variables have R2-Scores either close to the original Linear Regression's R2-Score, or evidently less than the original's score. The models with scores close to the original Linear Regression's score include the Linear Regression models that exclude the New Forks Per Repository and Opened Issues Per Repository variables with scores of 0.1632 and 0.1628respectively. This would imply that in terms of the Training Data, these variables contributed the least in terms of fitting the training data to the original Linear Regression. Conversely, the model with an R2-Score significantly worse than the original Linear Regression was the model that excluded New Watchers Per Repository, with a score of 0.073, which implies that this variable had likely contributed the most to fitting the data to the original Linear Regression. result can be seen within the Mean Squared Error Scores for each of the models. While the original Linear Regression model had a MSE Score of 105.212, the other MSE Scores for the other models were greater than the original model's MSE Score. The models with MSE Scores similar to the original models excluded the New Forks Per Repository and Opened Issues Per Repository variables with MSE Scores of 105.215 and 105.265 respectively. The miniscule increase in the MSE Score implies that these variables did not contribute much to the model's performance, at least in terms of when the model is used against the data that it was originally trained with. Meanwhile, the the model with a MSE Score much greater than the original model's score excluded the **New** Watchers Per Repository variable, with a MSE Score of 116.536, meaning that excluding this variable from the original model performed in an overall worse performance.

Here, references to "testing data" refers to the subset of data used from the original dataset that was not used to train the Linear Regression models. In other words, the testing data contains the data points that the Linear Regression models have not seen before.

The concept of "overfitting" means that a model is only good at predicting the data that it was originally trained with, and typically tends to perform worse when attempting to predict information from data that it has not encountered before.

In terms of the testing data, each of the Linear Regression models had R2-Scores greater than the R2-Scores from the training data, implying that the models are not overfit. In addition to this, in comarison to the training data, when the testing data was used on the Linear Regression models, some of the models had better R2-Scores than the Linear Regression model excluding none of the variables (original), which had an R2-Score of 0.4232. These models consisted of the models that excluded the Active Repositories, New Forks Per Repository, and Opened Issues Per Repository variables. The model that performed the best overall was the model that excluded the **Opened Issues Per Repository** variable, with a R2-Score of 0.4360, implying that this variable was not nearly as helpful when predicting data that the model was not trained with. Similarly to the results of the training data, the model that had the worst R2-Score excluded the New Watchers Per Repository variable, with a R2-Score of 0.1575, meaning that this variable contributes greatly to the model's overall performance when used against new data. similar trend is also found within the Mean Squared Error Scores when the testing data is used on the Linear Regression models. The original model had a MSE Score of 80.8218, which was better than the MSE Score of the training data used on original model. Similar to the R2-Score of the testing data, the model performing better on the testing data compared to the training data implies that the models are not overfit. Also similar to the R2-Score results, the models with MSE Scores better than the original models excluded the Active Repositories, New Forks Per Repository, and Opened Issues Per Repository variables. The model that performed the best overall was the model that excluded the Opened Issues Per Repository variable, with a MSE Score of 79.0433, implying that this variable had contributed the most in terms of causing incorrect predictions. Conversely, the model that had the worst MSE Score when the testing data was used was the model that excluded the New Watchers Per Repository variable, with a MSE Score of 118.0611. This would imply that excluding this variable from the model would not be a good idea as it contributes the most in terms of the model correctly predicting Appeared In Year. Overall, based on the R2 and Mean Squared Error Scores from the testing data being used on the Linear Regression models, the variable that improved the Linear Regression model's performance and accuracy when predicting the year in which a programming language was released was the Opened Issues Per Repository variable. Conversely, the variable that resulted in the worst accuraccy and performance when excluded from the model was the New Watchers Per Repository variable. Within the context of the original dataset being satisfies of programming languages found in GitHub, the average number of open issues for a particular programming language on GitHub is not very indicative of the year in which that programming language was originally released. In other words, whether a particular programming language has many or few currently open issues on GitHub does not reveal much about that programming language's characteristics. Conversely, as excluding the New Watchers Per Repository variable worsened the performance of the model, the number of new watchers that a programming language on GitHub receives is significant in predicting the year in which that programming language was originally released. limitation of creating a Linear Regression model for this particular iteration of the dataset was the very limited number of datapoints to use for Training and Testing the model respectively. Because all of the Models were based off of an 80-20 split for Training Data and Testing Data, because there was only 30 Programming Languages listed from the GitHut data, only 24 entries from the dataset could be used to train the model, while only a remaining 6 entries could be used to test the model, which could have contributed to the overall better performance when the testing data was used on the model. Further discuission on how to potentially alleviate this problem upon a future revisit to this project is discussed within the limitations and future actions of Question 3.

3 Q2.

(Clustering) When considering the number of Opened Issues Per Repository and the number of New Watchers Per Repository, what clusters emerge? What can be said about those clusters? What percentage of those clusters contain Programming Languages that were released prior to 1993?

```
# code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment

# Copy of the Programming Languages Dataset specifically for this clustering

⇒section

cluster_data = pd.read_csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/MNGSunday/


⇒PublicData_for_CPSC354/main/LanguageRepositories_Nov2022.csv",

⇒encoding='windows-1254')
```

```
[17]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment

# Establish and Z-Score variables for copy of the dataset for the clustering

→ section
```



[18]: <ggplot: (8759325969655)>

In this section, the assumptions of different clustering algorithms is discussed and is used to assess which clustering algorithms would work the best and the worst with the above scatterplots.

The **KMeans** algorithm is a rather simple clustering method that attempts to find K centroids in the data, where K is a number either decided by the user or randomly generated. In turn, the algorithm attempts to run until the points assigned to each cluster do not change or the location of the centroid in each cluster does not significantly change. **KMeans** also assumes that the variance of each predictor in each cluster is consistent, which would mean that the **KMeans** algorithm would be most effective on spherical-shaped clusters of data, which does not appear to be very present in the above scatterplots. Because of this, **KMeans** would likely not be a good choice of clustering algorithm for this data.

The Gaussian Mixture Models (EM) model, while similar to the KMeans model, assumes that there are multiple normal distributions within the data, where such distributions are used to determine the clusters in the data. Unlike KMeans, EM assumes that the variance of each predictor in the cluster is different, meaning that the EM model would be most effective for elliptical


or spherical shaped clusters of data. While this can be effective for the above scatterplots, **EM** is suseptible to including "noise" data points in its clusters, which can potentially throw off the calculation of each cluster in the data. While a better option than **KMeans**, **EM** might not be a good choice of clustering model for the data.

The **DBSCAN** clustering algorithm does not make any assumptions about the potential shape of clusters within the data, which already makes it a better option than **KMeans** and **EM** due to its assumptions about the variance of each predictor within said clusters. In addition to this, **DBSCAN** also ignores "noise" points, which are essentially outlier points, which the two previous algorithms are suseptible to as they attempt to use every point of data. The major downside of **DBSCAN** are clusters that are extremely close to each other, as the points of such clusters may overlap and data sets in which the clusters have different densities. While this does appear to be present due to the large group of points towards the bottom left of the above scatterplot, **DB-SCAN** appears to be best choice of clustering model for the data so far.

The **Hierarchical Clustering** model assumes that there exists an inherent hierarchical relationship. This is accomplished through generating clusters through determining how close each data point is to each other, and determining what points to "link" together based on the distances between each point. **Hierarchical Clustering** is suseptible to overlapping clusters, which as mentioned with **DBSCAN** appears to potentially exist within the bottom left corner of the scatterplot. In addition to this, the model also attempts to use all data points when determining the clusters, which makes the model also suseptible to noise. Because of this, although also a good choice, I would not consider **Hierarchical Clustering** to be the better choice of clustering model for the data over **DBSCAN**.

With this in mind, the two potentially best options for clustering models would be the **DB-SCAN** followed by the **Hierarchical Clustering** models.

```
rect = element_rect(fill = "#202124ff"),
    axis_text = element_text(color = "white"),
    axis_title = element_text(color = "white"),
    plot_title = element_text(color = "white"),
    panel_border = element_line(color = "darkgray"),
    plot_background = element_rect(fill = "#202124ff")
    ))
ggsave(plot=plt, filename='elbow.png', dpi=300)
plt
```



[19]: <ggplot: (8759326031014)>

4 Notice About how Epsilon for DBSCAN is Determined:

Based on the Dendogram (shown above) dependent on a specific minimum number of neighboring points to determine a cluster, the Epsilon value used for DBSCAN Clustering is determined by the y-value of the "Elbow" of the Dendogram graph, right before the graph rapidly shoots upwards.

```
[20]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
      # According to the model, with 5 min neighbors, best epsilon is around 1.036
      db_model = DBSCAN(eps = 1.036, min_samples = 5).fit(zCluster[cluster_variables])
      labsList = ["Noise"]
      labsList = labsList + ["Cluster " + str(i) for i in range(1,len(set(db_model.
      →labels )))]
      zCluster["assignments"] = db_model.labels_
      # only clustered data points
      db_clustered = zCluster.loc[(zCluster.assignments >= 0)]
      # Could not get a silhouette score for only the clustered points as DBSCAN hadu
      \# determined that one cluster exists within the data and decided that the rest_\sqcup
      \hookrightarrow of
      # the data points are considered noise.
      # Overall Data (Clustering in Regards to all points Including Noise)
      print("Silhouette score for DBSCAN for overall data",
            silhouette_score(zCluster[cluster_variables], zCluster["assignments"]))
```

Silhouette score for DBSCAN for overall data 0.5333313784438912

```
# code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment

# DBSCAN Clustering Scatterplot for Opened Issues Per Repository vs

# New Watchers Per Repository

(ggplot(zCluster, aes(x = "Opened Issues Per Repository", y = "New Watchers Per

Repository",

color = "factor(assignments)")) + geom_point() +

theme_minimal() + scale_color_discrete(name = "Cluster Assignment",

labels = labsList) +


theme(panel_grid_major = element_blank()) +

labs(x = "Number of Opened Issues Per Repository", y = "Number of New Watchers

Per Repository",

title = "Opened Issues vs New Watchers Per Repository (DBSCAN Clusters)"))
```





[21]: <ggplot: (8759325877444)>

```
# Create a column to determine whether a Programming Language has been released

→ prior to 1993

zCluster["Released Prior to 1993"] = zCluster["Appeared In Year"] <= 1993

# Determining percentage of DBSCAN Cluster

dbscan_cluster = zCluster.loc[zCluster["assignments"] == 0]

dbscan_noise = zCluster.loc[zCluster["assignments"] == -1]
```

```
# code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment

# Calculating percentage of Programming Languages Appearing in DBScan Cluster

→Prior to 1993

DB_before_1993 = dbscan_cluster.loc[dbscan_cluster["Released Prior to 1993"]]

print("Total Number of Programming Languages in DBScan Cluster: ", 
→len(dbscan_cluster))

print("Total Number of Programming Languages in DBScan Cluster that were

→Released Prior to 1993: ", len(DB_before_1993))

print("Percentage of Programming Languages in DBScan Cluster Released Prior to

→1993: ",

len(DB_before_1993) / len(dbscan_cluster) * 100, "%")

print("Languages in DBScan Cluster that were Released Prior to 1993: ")


print(DB_before_1993["Repository Language"].to_string(index=False))
```

```
Total Number of Programming Languages in DBScan Cluster: 27
     Total Number of Programming Languages in DBScan Cluster that were Released Prior
     to 1993: 13
     Percentage of Programming Languages in DBScan Cluster Released Prior to 1993:
     48.148148148145 %
     Languages in DBScan Cluster that were Released Prior to 1993:
          Python
           Shell
     Objective-C
               R
            VimL
            Perl
             TeX
      Emacs Lisp
         Haskell
             Lua
          Matlab
        Makefile
[24]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
      # Calculating percentage of Programming Languages in DBScan Cluster Appearing □
      \rightarrowAfter 1993
      DB after 1993 = dbscan_cluster.loc[dbscan_cluster["Released Prior to 1993"] ==__
      print("Total Number of Programming Languages in DBScan Cluster: ",,,
       →len(dbscan_cluster))
      print("Total Number of Programming Languages in DBScan Cluster that were⊔
      →Released After to 1993: ", len(DB_after_1993))
      print("Percentage of Programming Languages in DBScan Cluster Released After to⊔
       →1993: ",
            len(DB_after_1993) / len(dbscan_cluster) * 100, "%")
      print("Languages in DBScan Cluster that were Released After 1993: ")
      print(DB_after_1993["Repository Language"].to_string(index=False))
     Total Number of Programming Languages in DBScan Cluster: 27
     Total Number of Programming Languages in DBScan Cluster that were Released After
     to 1993: 14
     Percentage of Programming Languages in DBScan Cluster Released After to 1993:
     51.85185185185185 %
     Languages in DBScan Cluster that were Released After 1993:
       JavaScript
             Java
              CSS
              PHP
             Ruby
```

```
Go
     CoffeeScript
            Scala
          Clojure
          Arduino
           Groovy
           Puppet
       PowerShell
[25]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
      # Calculating percentage of Programming Languages in Noise Cluster Appearing
      →Prior to 1993
      DB_noise_before_1993 = dbscan_noise.loc[dbscan_noise["Released Prior to 1993"]]
      print("Total Number of Programming Languages in DBScan Noise Cluster: ", u
       →len(dbscan noise))
      print("Total Number of Programming Languages in DBScan Noise Cluster that were⊔
      →Released Prior to 1993: ",
            len(DB noise before 1993))
      print("Percentage of Programming Languages in DBScan Noise Cluster Released⊔
      ⇔Prior to 1993: ",
            len(DB_noise_before_1993) / len(dbscan_noise) * 100, "%")
      print("Languages in DBScan Noise Cluster that were Released Prior to 1993: ")
      print(DB_noise_before_1993["Repository Language"].to_string(index=False))
     Total Number of Programming Languages in DBScan Noise Cluster:
     Total Number of Programming Languages in DBScan Noise Cluster that were Released
     Prior to 1993: 1
     Percentage of Programming Languages in DBScan Noise Cluster Released Prior to
     Languages in DBScan Noise Cluster that were Released Prior to 1993:
     C++
[26]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
      # Calculating percentage of Programming Languages in Noise Cluster Appearing □
      \rightarrowAfter 1993
      DB noise_after_1993 = dbscan_noise.loc[dbscan_noise["Released Prior_to_1993"]_
      →== False]
      print("Total Number of Programming Languages in DBScan Noise Cluster: ", L
      →len(dbscan_noise))
      print("Total Number of Programming Languages in DBScan Noise Cluster that were⊔
      →Released Prior to 1993: ",
            len(DB noise after 1993))
      print("Percentage of Programming Languages in DBScan Noise Cluster Released⊔
      \hookrightarrowPrior to 1993: ",
```

C#

```
len(DB_noise_after_1993) / len(dbscan_noise) * 100, "%")
print("Languages in DBScan Noise Cluster that were Released Prior to 1993: ")
print(DB_noise_after_1993["Repository Language"].to_string(index=False))
```



```
[28]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
```

```
# Average Linkage Dendogram for Determining Hierarchical Clustering Linkage

→ Criteria


hac_avg = AgglomerativeClustering(affinity = "euclidean",

linkage = "average")

hac_avg.fit(cluster_data[cluster_variables])

dendro_avg = sch.dendrogram(sch.linkage(cluster_data[cluster_variables],

method='average'))
```



```
[29]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment

# Single Linkage Dendogram for Determining Hierarchical Clustering Linkage

Criteria


hac_single = AgglomerativeClustering(affinity = "euclidean",

linkage = "single")

hac_single.fit(cluster_data[cluster_variables])

dendro_single = sch.dendrogram(sch.linkage(cluster_data[cluster_variables],

method='single'))
```



```
[30]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment

# Complete Linkage Dendogram for Determining Hierarchical Clustering Linkage

□ Criteria


hac_complete = AgglomerativeClustering(affinity = "euclidean",

linkage = "complete")

hac_complete.fit(cluster_data[cluster_variables])

dendro_complete = sch.dendrogram(sch.linkage(cluster_data[cluster_variables],

method='complete'))
```



5 Note About Linkage Criteria for Hierarchical Clustering Method

The Dendograms for each of the above Linkage Criterion (Ward, Average, Single, and Complete) are used in order to determine the Linkage Criteria method to use for the Hierarchical Clustering method. The Linkage Criteria that was chosen to be used in the Hierarchical Clustering method was the "Complete" Linkage Criteria as the separated green and yellow points in its Dendogram were separated cleaner than the "Single" and "Average" Linkage Criteria. The "Ward" Linkage Criteria was not chosen as its Dendogram became more complex in terms of linkage towards 0 on the y-axis in comparison to the "Complete" Linkage Criteria Dendogram, which is more indicative of a model that overfits the data.

```
[31]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment

# Performing Heirarchical Clustering using Complete Linkage as the criteria for

clusters

hac = AgglomerativeClustering(n_clusters = 3,

affinity = "euclidean",

linkage = "complete")

hac.fit(zCluster[cluster_variables])

membership = hac.labels_

print("Hierarchical Clustering Silhouette Score: ",

silhouette_score(zCluster[cluster_variables], membership))
```

Hierarchical Clustering Silhouette Score: 0.46871360964179304

```
# code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment

# General Scatterplot with Heirarchal Clustering using Complete Linkage

zCluster["cluster3"] = membership

(ggplot(zCluster, aes(x = "Opened Issues Per Repository",

y = "New Watchers Per Repository")) +

geom_point(aes(color = "factor(cluster3)")) + theme_minimal() +


theme(panel_grid_major = element_blank()) +

labs(x = "Number of Opened Issues Per Repository", y = "Number of New Watchers□

→Per Repository",

title = "Opened Issues vs New Watchers Per Repository (DBSCAN Clusters)"))
```

Opened Issues vs New Watchers Per Repository (DBSCAN Clusters)



```
[32]: <ggplot: (8759326073811)>
```

```
[33]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment

# Creating sub-DataFrames for each of the clusters

cluster_zero = zCluster.loc[zCluster["cluster3"] == 0]

cluster_one = zCluster.loc[zCluster["cluster3"] == 1]

cluster_two = zCluster.loc[zCluster["cluster3"] == 2]
```

```
[34]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
      # Calculating percentage of Programming Languages Appearing in Cluster Zero⊔
       →Prior to 1993
      CO_before_1993 = cluster_zero.loc[cluster_zero["Released Prior to 1993"]]
      print("Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster Zero: ", u
       →len(cluster_zero))
      print("Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster Zero that were Released ⊔
       →Prior to 1993: ", len(CO_before_1993))
      print("Percentage of Programming Languages in Cluster Zero Released Prior to,,
       →1993: ",
            len(CO_before_1993) / len(cluster_zero) * 100, "%")
      print("Languages in Cluster Zero that were Released Prior to 1993: ")
      print(CO_before_1993["Repository Language"].to_string(index=False))
     Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster Zero: 23
     Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster Zero that were Released Prior
     to 1993: 12
     Percentage of Programming Languages in Cluster Zero Released Prior to 1993:
     52.17391304347826 %
     Languages in Cluster Zero that were Released Prior to 1993:
         Python
          Shell
              R.
           VimL
           Perl
            TeX
     Emacs Lisp
        Haskell
            Lua
         Matlab
       Makefile
[35]: | # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
      # Calculating percentage of Programming Languages Appearing in Cluster Zero_{\sqcup}
       \rightarrowAfter 1993
      CO_after_1993 = cluster_zero.loc[cluster_zero["Released Prior to 1993"] ==__
       →False]
      print("Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster Zero: ", u
      →len(cluster zero))
      print("Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster Zero that were Released ⊔

→After 1993: ", len(CO_after_1993))
      print("Percentage of Programming Languages in Cluster Zero Released After 1993:
       \hookrightarrow^{\text{II}} ,
```

```
len(CO_after_1993) / len(cluster_zero) * 100, "%")
      print("Languages in Cluster Zero that were Released After 1993: ")
      print(CO after 1993["Repository Language"].to string(index=False))
     Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster Zero: 23
     Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster Zero that were Released After
     1993:
     Percentage of Programming Languages in Cluster Zero Released After 1993:
     47.82608695652174 %
     Languages in Cluster Zero that were Released After 1993:
             Java
              PHP
             Ruby
               C#
     CoffeeScript
            Scala
          Clojure
          Arduino
           Groovy
           Puppet
       PowerShell
[36]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
      # Calculating percentage of Programming Languages Appearing in Cluster One,
      →Prior to 1993
      C1_before_1993 = cluster_one.loc[cluster_one["Released Prior to 1993"]]
      print("Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster One: ", u
       →len(cluster_one))
      print("Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster One that were Released ⊔
      →Prior to 1993: ", len(C1_before_1993))
      print("Percentage of Programming Languages in Cluster One Released Prior to,,
       \hookrightarrow1993: ",
            len(C1_before_1993) / len(cluster_one) * 100, "%")
      print("Languages in Cluster One that were Released Prior to 1993: ")
      print(C1 before 1993["Repository Language"].to string(index=False))
     Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster One: 2
     Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster One that were Released Prior to
     1993:
     Percentage of Programming Languages in Cluster One Released Prior to 1993: 50.0
     Languages in Cluster One that were Released Prior to 1993:
[37]: | # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
```

```
# Calculating percentage of Programming Languages Appearing in Cluster One_

After 1993

C1_after_1993 = cluster_one.loc[cluster_one["Released Prior to 1993"] == False]

print("Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster One: ",_

\( \to \text{len}(cluster_one) \))

print("Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster One that were Released_
\( \to After 1993: ", len(C1_after_1993)) \)

print("Percentage of Programming Languages in Cluster One Released After 1993:_
\( \to ", \)

len(C1_after_1993) / len(cluster_one) * 100, "%")

print("Languages in Cluster One that were Released After 1993: ")

print(C1_after_1993["Repository Language"].to_string(index=False))
```

Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster One: 2
Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster One that were Released After 1993: 1
Percentage of Programming Languages in Cluster One Released After 1993: 50.0 % Languages in Cluster One that were Released After 1993:

```
# code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment

# Calculating percentage of Programming Languages Appearing in Cluster Two_
→Prior to 1993

C2_before_1993 = cluster_two.loc[cluster_two["Released Prior to 1993"]]

print("Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster Two: ",_

→len(cluster_two))

print("Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster Two that were Released_
→Prior to 1993: ", len(C2_before_1993))

print("Percentage of Programming Languages in Cluster Two Released Prior to_
→1993: ",

len(C2_before_1993) / len(cluster_two) * 100, "%")

print("Languages in Cluster Two that were Released Prior to 1993: ")

print(C2_before_1993["Repository Language"].to_string(index=False))
```

Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster Two: 5
Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster Two that were Released Prior to 1993: 1
Percentage of Programming Languages in Cluster Two Released Prior to 1993: 20.0 %
Languages in Cluster Two that were Released Prior to 1993: Objective-C

```
[39]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment

# Calculating percentage of Programming Languages Appearing in Cluster Two

→ After 1993
```

```
Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster Two: 5
Total Number of Programming Languages in Cluster Two that were Released After 1993: 4
Percentage of Programming Languages in Cluster Two Released After 1993: 80.0 %
Languages in Cluster Two that were Released After 1993:

JavaScript

CSS

Go

Swift
```

6 Q2)

6.1 Question 2: (Clustering) When considering the number of Opened Issues Per Repository and the number of New Watchers Per Repository, what clusters emerge? What can be said about those clusters? What percentage of those clusters contain Programming Languages that were released prior to 1993?

In terms of the clustering model created by DBSCAN, only one cluster exists, while the rest of the data points not included within the one cluster are considered "noise" points as they do not fit under any other cluster. The single cluster made by the DBSCAN model appears to be characterized by Programming Languages that fall within 1 Standard Deviation from both the average number of New Watchers Per Repository and the number of Opened Issues Per Repository. The Programming Languages that were considered "noise" by the DBSCAN meanwhile fell over 3 Standard Deviations past either the average number of New Watchers Per Repository or past the average number of Opened Issues Per Repository. Through this, it can be said that the DBSCAN Cluster simply consists of the Programming Languages that share similar New Watchers Per Repository and Opened Issues Per Repository values, whereas the noise cluster consisted of any Programming Languages that fell within outlier values. As for the percentage of the DBSCAN cluster that was released prior to 1993, 48.148% of the 27 Programming Languages within the cluster were released prior to 1993, while 33.333% of the 3 Programming Languages assigned to the "noise" Clustering method, 3 clusters emerged. Cluster 0 of the Hierarchical Clustering method consisted of Programming Languages whose number of Opened Issues Per Repository and number of New Watchers Per Repository were below +1 Standard Deviation from the average. Cluster 1 consisted

of Programming Languages whose number of Opened Issues Per Repository were over +2 Standard Deviations from the average. Meanwhile, Cluster 1 consisted of Programming Languages whose number of New Watchers Per Repository were about at least +1 Standard Deviation from the average. Through this, it can be said that Cluster 0 consisted of Programming Languages that shared around average values, while Cluster 1 consisted of Programming Languages with a lot of Open Issues Per Repository and Cluster 2 contained Programming Languages with a lot of New Watchers Per Repository. As for the percentages for each cluster-52.174\% of Cluster 0's 23 Programming Languages, 50% of Cluster 1's 2 Programming Languages, and 20% of Cluster 2's 5 Programming Languages were released prior to 1993. One particular limitation of this problem was the fact that there was not a lot of variation within the GitHut Programming Languages, which was likely due to the fact that there were only 30 Programming Languages to work with. Unlike the potential way to alleviate the limitations of Questions 1 and 3 discussed within the limitations and possible future plans for Question 3, the only way to really alleviate the problems of this question would to have more data from GitHut relating to Programming Languages that are not already present within the Q4/14 data. This would entail potentially awaiting a new Programming Language to begin being regularly used within GitHub enough to actually be recorded in the GitHut dataset, which can potentially take a long time. Aside from having more Programming Languages and their respective statistics to work on, potentially including a third variable for clustering criteria and producing clusters for each combination of the three variables would likely make a more interesting discussion upon revisiting the project within the future.

7 Q3.

(Feature Reduction) Apply LASSO to the Linear Regression model created in Question 1 and based on the variables that LASSO determines to have a coefficient, create another Linear Regression model. How did this effect the original model? What does this tell you about the GitHut data regarding Programming Languages used in GitHub repositories?

The alpha to use for LASSO is: 3.456872390218585

8 Warning Notice Note

9

10

11

The Convergence Warning notification from the manual alpha-selection for LASSO is a likely result from having too little of a dataset to work with.

```
[41]: | # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment
      logistic_co = lr_all.coef_[0]
      # Create Lasso Model and get its Coefficients
      lasso = Lasso(alpha = lsr_alpha.alpha_, fit_intercept = True,
                    tol=0.000001, max_iter = 100000)
      lasso.fit(x_train[predictors_all], y_train["Appeared In Year"])
      lasso_co = lasso.coef_
      conames = ["Active", "Pushes", "Pushes/Repository",
                        "New Forks", "Issues",
                        "New Watchers"] * 2
      # Create the dataframe to use to graph the comparison between the coefficients
      # for each variable as determined by Logistic Regression and LASSO
      model_coefs = np.concatenate([logistic_co,lasso_co])
      model = np.repeat(np.array(["Linear", "LASSO"]), [6,6], axis=0)
      compare_df = pd.DataFrame({"conames": conames, "coefs": model_coefs, "model":u
      →model})
      compare_df["Odds Coefs"] = np.exp(compare_df["coefs"])
      compare_df
[41]:
                                                     Odds Coefs
                                            model
                                    coefs
                    conames
      0
                     Active -1.638289e+01 Linear 7.673617e-08
      1
                     Pushes 1.521883e+01 Linear 4.068699e+06
          Pushes/Repository -2.143425e+00 Linear 1.172526e-01
      2
      3
                  New Forks 7.167881e-02 Linear 1.074310e+00
      4
                     Issues -3.853283e-01 Linear 6.802273e-01
      5
               New Watchers 3.962142e+00 Linear 5.256982e+01
                                           LASSO 1.000000e+00
      6
                     Active -0.00000e+00
      7
                    Pushes -0.000000e+00
                                           LASSO 1.000000e+00
          Pushes/Repository -0.000000e+00
      8
                                           LASSO 1.000000e+00
```

```
[42]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment

# Boxplot comparing the coefficients of variables between Logistic and LASSO

→model

(ggplot(compare_df, aes(x = "conames", y = "coefs", fill = "model")) +
```

LASSO 1.000000e+00

LASSO 1.000000e+00


LASSO 1.000000e+00

New Forks 0.000000e+00

New Watchers 1.184238e-15

Issues -0.000000e+00

```
geom_boxplot() + ggtitle("All Coefficients of Each Variable") +
theme(axis_text_x = element_text(angle = 75)) +
theme_minimal())
```



[42]: <ggplot: (8759325715363)>

9 Note About LASSO

Although difficult to see within the above Variable Coefficient graph, a non-zero LASSO Coefficient indicates a variable determined to be significant enough to use as a predictor variable within a Linear Regression, and any other LASSO Coefficient that is zero or close to zero can be excluded from the Linear Regression model, hence the "feature reduction" aspect of performing LASSO manually. When LASSO is performed automatically, we are unable to determine which variables were kept or excluded from the new Linear Regression model, hence why a Manual LASSO model was made for this question. In the case of the Programming Languages model, the only variable that has a non-zero LASSO coefficient was New Watchers Per Repository.

```
[43]: # code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment

# Creating a new Logistic Model based on variables with non-zero LASSO

→ Coefficients
```

```
lasso_var = ["New Watchers Per Repository"]
lr_lasso = LinearRegression()
lr_lasso.fit(x_train[lasso_var], y_train["Appeared In Year"])
lasso_trained = lr_lasso.predict(x_train[lasso_var])
lasso_predicted = lr_lasso.predict(x_test[lasso_var])
# Print out Mean Squared Error and R2-Score for Testing and Training data
print("For the Linear Regression Model with only New Watchers Per Repository...
print("Lasso Training data R2 Score:",
     r2_score(y_train, lasso_trained))
print("Lasso Training data Mean Squared Error Score:",
      mean_squared_error(y_train, lasso_trained))
print("\n")
print("Lasso Testing data R2 Score:",
      r2_score(y_test, lasso_predicted))
print("Lasso Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: ",
      mean_squared_error(y_test, lasso_predicted))
```

For the Linear Regression Model with only New Watchers Per Repository... Lasso Training data R2 Score: 0.09503086844057518 Lasso Training data Mean Squared Error Score: 113.79829716663316

Lasso Testing data R2 Score: 0.3530214194316901 Lasso Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: 90.66685941575344

```
# code, run by pressing "run" button to the left of this comment

# Using the automatic application of LASSO, in which we do not know which

# variables have been used or excluded from the new Linear Regression Model

lsr_tune = LassoCV(cv = 5).fit(x_train[predictors_all],y_train["Appeared In_\[ \to Year"])

# Print out Mean Squared Error and R2-Score for Testing and Training data

print("For the Linear Regression Model excluding Number of Opened Issues Per_\[ \to Repository...")

print("Lasso Training data R2 Score:",

r2_score(y_train, lsr_tune.predict(x_train[predictors_all])))


print("Lasso Training data Mean Squared Error Score:",

mean_squared_error(y_train, lsr_tune.predict(x_train[predictors_all])))

print("\n")
```

```
print("Lasso Testing data R2 Score:",
            r2_score(y_test, lsr_tune.predict(x_test[predictors_all])))
      print("Lasso Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: ",
            mean_squared_error(y_test, lsr_tune.predict(x_test[predictors_all])))
      print("\n" + str(lsr_tune.alpha_) + " was chosen as the alpha for LASSO.")
     For the Linear Regression Model excluding Number of Opened Issues Per
     Repository...
     Lasso Training data R2 Score: 0.0
     Lasso Training data Mean Squared Error Score: 125.7482638888889
     Lasso Testing data R2 Score: -0.011905351833497058
     Lasso Testing data Mean Squared Error Score: 141.80729166666646
     3.456872390218585 was chosen as the alpha for LASSO.
[45]: # code, run by pressing "run" to the left of this comment
      # import a dataset containing the LASSO R2 and Mean Squared error scores
      lasso_scores = pd.read_csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/MNGSunday/
      →PublicData_for_CPSC354/main/LanguageRepositories_LassoScores.csv", □
      →encoding='windows-1254')
      lasso_scores
[45]: Type of Linear Regression Model Training Data R2 Score \
                         All Variables
                                                       0.163314
      1
                           Manual LASSO
                                                       0.095031
      2
                        Automatic LASSO
                                                       0.000000
        Training Data Mean Squared Error Score Testing Data R2 Score \
      0
                                     105.211831
                                                              0.423274
      1
                                     113.798297
                                                              0.353021
      2
                                     125.748264
                                                             -0.011905
        Testing Data Mean Squared Error Score
      0
                                     80.821778
                                     90.666859
      1
      2
                                    141.807292
[46]: # code, run by pressing "run" to the left of this comment
      # Produce a Bar Graph Representing the Training R2 Scores
      # for each of the models (Original Linear Regression, Manual LASSO, and
       → Automatic LASSO)
```

Linear Regression Model R2 Scores for Training Data



[46]: <ggplot: (8759325594016)>

```
[47]: # code, run by pressing "run" to the left of this comment

# Produce a Bar Graph Representing the Training Mean Squared Error Scores

# for each of the models (Original Linear Regression, Manual LASSO, and

→ Automatic LASSO)

(ggplot(lasso_scores, aes(x = "Type of Linear Regression Model",


y = "Training Data Mean Squared Error

→ Score",

fill = "Type of Linear Regression


→ Model")) +
```

Linear Regression Model Mean Squared Error Scores for Training Data



[47]: <ggplot: (8759325720330)>

Linear Regression Model R2 Scores for Testing Data




[48]: <ggplot: (8759325590983)>

```
[49]: # code, run by pressing "run" to the left of this comment
      # Produce a Bar Graph Representing the Testing Mean Squared Error Scores
      # for each of the models (Original Linear Regression, Manual LASSO, and
      → Automatic LASSO)
      (ggplot(lasso_scores, aes(x = "Type of Linear Regression Model",
                                              y = "Testing Data Mean Squared Error<sub>□</sub>
       ⇔Score",
                                              fill = "Type of Linear Regression_
       →Model")) +
       geom_bar(stat = "identity") +
       ggtitle("Linear Regression Model Mean Squared Error Scores for Testing Data") +
       labs(x = "Type of Model",
            y = "Model Mean Squared Error Score") +
       scale_fill_manual(["#490092", "#006ddb", "#b66dff"]) +
       theme_minimal() +
       theme(axis_text_x = element_blank(),
             axis_ticks_minor_x = element_blank(),
```

```
axis_ticks_major_x = element_blank(),
panel_grid_major_x = element_blank(),
panel_grid_minor_x = element_blank()))
```

Linear Regression Model Mean Squared Error Scores for Testing Data



[49]: <ggplot: (8759325519891)>

10 Q3)

10.1 Question 3: (Feature Reduction) Apply LASSO to the Linear Regression model created in Question 1 and based on the variables that LASSO determines to have a coefficient, create another Linear Regression model. How did this effect the original model? What does this tell you about the GitHut data regarding Programming Languages used in GitHub repositories?

Overall, it appears that the original Linear Regression model using all of the variables performed better than the Linear Regression models created using LASSO manually and using LASSO automatically. This can be shown through the original Linear Regression model having greater R2 and Mean Squared Error Scores than the Manual and Automatic LASSO models for both the training and testing data. However, as the Linear Regression models created using LASSO manually and (assumed due to nature of LASSO) automatically do not use every variable that the original Linear Regression used, the two LASSO Linear Regression models are not nearly as overfit as the original Linear Regression model. Though not much can be said about the GitHub data from the Automatic LASSO model, the Manual LASSO Linear Regression model implies that in comparison to the other statistics within the GitHut dataset of GitHub Programming Languages, the Number of New Watchers Per Repository could potentially be used as a good indicator of other aspects of

a particular Programming Language commonly used on GitHub. Similar to the results of Question 1, a large limitation of performing LASSO on this particular data set is the fact that not only were there only a few variables to work with, also the fact that there were only 30 Programming Languages listed on the GitHut dataset. Because of this, the function for finding the alpha-value to use in the LASSO model resulted in a warning due to having too little data to work with. An interesting way to potentially alleviate this issue in a rework of this project would to incorporate a "Quarter" variable, and record the data for each of the Programming Languages on GitHut for a year's quarters. For context, the Data used for this project was initially recorded in November 2022, which was considered Q4/14 on GitHut. A future revisit to this project could involve utilizing Q1/14, Q2/14, and Q3/14 in addition to the Q4/14 data alongside a "Quarter" variable to differentiate between the statistics of the same Programming Languages at different periods of time. Though this would not necessarily alleviate the issue of not having too many variables to use as predictor variables in future Linear Regression models, the dataset would not be nearly as small as it was during the conception of this project.

```
[]: # code, only run for PDF export purposes. Mostly irrelevant to the actual coding
     # aspect of the final project.
     # The following code is specifically for creating a PDF containing the outputs \Box
     # the notebook as a PDF, do not run for general testing
     # doesn't show this cells output when downloading PDF
     !pip install gwpy &> /dev/null
     # installing necessary files
     !apt-get install texlive texlive-xetex texlive-latex-extra pandoc
     !sudo apt-get update
     !sudo apt-get install texlive-xetex texlive-fonts-recommended_
     →texlive-plain-generic
     # installing pypandoc
     !pip install pypandoc
     # connecting to google drive
     from google.colab import drive
     drive.mount('/content/drive')
     # copying your file over.
     !cp "drive/My Drive/Colab Notebooks/CPSC354 FA22 Project.ipynb" ./
     # converting file to PDF, should appear under "Files" tab in the left sidebar.
     !jupyter nbconvert --to PDF "CPSC354 FA22 Project.ipynb"
```

Reading package lists... Done Building dependency tree

Reading state information... Done pandoc is already the newest version (1.19.2.4~dfsg-1build4). pandoc set to manually installed. The following package was automatically installed and is no longer required: libnvidia-common-460 Use 'apt autoremove' to remove it. The following additional packages will be installed: fonts-droid-fallback fonts-lato fonts-lmodern fonts-noto-mono fonts-texgyre javascript-common libcupsfilters1 libcupsimage2 libgs9 libgs9-common libijs-0.35 libjbig2dec0 libjs-jquery libkpathsea6 libpotrace0 libptexenc1 libruby2.5 libsynctex1 libtexlua52 libtexluajit2 libzzip-0-13 lmodern poppler-data preview-latex-style rake ruby ruby-did-you-mean ruby-minitest ruby-net-telnet ruby-power-assert ruby-test-unit ruby2.5 rubygems-integration t1utils tex-common tex-gyre texlive-base texlive-binaries texlive-fonts-recommended texlive-latex-base texlive-latex-recommended texlive-pictures texlive-plain-generic tipa Suggested packages: fonts-noto apache2 | lighttpd | httpd poppler-utils ghostscript fonts-japanese-mincho | fonts-ipafont-mincho fonts-japanese-gothic | fonts-ipafont-gothic fonts-arphic-ukai fonts-arphic-uming fonts-nanum ri ruby-dev bundler debhelper gv | postscript-viewer perl-tk xpdf-reader | pdf-viewer texlive-fonts-recommended-doc texlive-latex-base-doc python-pygments icc-profiles libfile-which-perl libspreadsheet-parseexcel-perl texlive-latex-extra-doc texlive-latex-recommended-doc texlive-pstricks dot2tex prerex ruby-tcltk | libtcltk-ruby texlive-pictures-doc vprerex The following NEW packages will be installed: fonts-droid-fallback fonts-lato fonts-lmodern fonts-noto-mono fonts-texgyre javascript-common libcupsfilters1 libcupsimage2 libgs9 libgs9-common libijs-0.35 libjbig2dec0 libjs-jquery libkpathsea6 libpotrace0 libptexenc1 libruby2.5 libsynctex1 libtexlua52 libtexluajit2 libzzip-0-13 lmodern poppler-data preview-latex-style rake ruby ruby-did-you-mean ruby-minitest ruby-net-telnet ruby-power-assert ruby-test-unit ruby2.5 rubygems-integration t1utils tex-common tex-gyre texlive texlive-base texlive-binaries texlive-fonts-recommended texlive-latex-base texlive-latex-extra texlive-latex-recommended texlive-pictures texlive-plain-generic texlive-xetex tipa 0 upgraded, 47 newly installed, 0 to remove and 20 not upgraded. Need to get 146 MB of archives. After this operation, 460 MB of additional disk space will be used. Get:1 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 fonts-droid-fallback all 1:6.0.1r16-1.1 [1,805 kB] Get:2 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 fonts-lato all 2.0-2 Get:3 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 poppler-data all 0.4.8-2 [1,479 kB] Get:4 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 tex-common all 6.09

[33.0 kB]

```
Get:5 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 fonts-lmodern all 2.004.5-3 [4,551 kB]
```

Get:6 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 fonts-noto-mono all 20171026-2 [75.5 kB]

Get:7 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/universe amd64 fonts-texgyre all 20160520-1 [8,761 kB]

Get:8 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 javascript-common all
11 [6,066 B]

Get:9 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic-updates/main amd64 libcupsfilters1 amd64 1.20.2-Oubuntu3.1 [108 kB]

Get:10 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic-updates/main amd64 libcupsimage2 amd64 2.2.7-1ubuntu2.9 [18.6 kB]

Get:11 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 libijs-0.35 amd64
0.35-13 [15.5 kB]

Get:12 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 libjbig2dec0 amd64 0.13-6 [55.9 kB]

Get:13 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic-updates/main amd64 libgs9-common all 9.26~dfsg+0-Oubuntu0.18.04.17 [5,092 kB]

Get:14 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic-updates/main amd64 libgs9 amd64 9.26~dfsg+0-0ubuntu0.18.04.17 [2,267 kB]

Get:15 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 libjs-jquery all
3.2.1-1 [152 kB]

Get:16 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic-updates/main amd64 libkpathsea6 amd64 2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1 [54.9 kB]

Get:17 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 libpotrace0 amd64 1.14-2 [17.4 kB]

Get:18 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic-updates/main amd64 libptexenc1 amd64 2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1 [34.5 kB]

Get:19 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 rubygems-integration all 1.11 [4,994 B]

Get:20 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic-updates/main amd64 ruby2.5 amd64 2.5.1-1ubuntu1.12 [48.6 kB]

Get:21 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 ruby amd64 1:2.5.1
[5,712 B]

Get:22 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic-updates/main amd64 rake all 12.3.1-1ubuntu0.1 [44.9 kB]

Get:23 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 ruby-did-you-mean all
1.2.0-2 [9,700 B]

Get:24 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 ruby-minitest all 5.10.3-1 [38.6 kB]

Get:25 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 ruby-net-telnet all 0.1.1-2 [12.6 kB]

Get:26 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 ruby-power-assert all 0.3.0-1 [7,952 B]

Get:27 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 ruby-test-unit all
3.2.5-1 [61.1 kB]

Get:28 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic-updates/main amd64 libruby2.5 amd64 2.5.1-1ubuntu1.12 [3,073 kB]

```
Get:29 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic-updates/main amd64 libsynctex1 amd64 2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1 [41.4 kB]
```

Get:30 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic-updates/main amd64 libtexlua52 amd64 2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1 [91.2 kB]

Get:31 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic-updates/main amd64 libtexluajit2 amd64 2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1 [230 kB]

Get:32 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic-updates/main amd64 libzzip-0-13 amd64 0.13.62-3.1ubuntu0.18.04.1 [26.0 kB]

Get:33 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 lmodern all 2.004.5-3
[9,631 kB]

Get:34 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 preview-latex-style all 11.91-1ubuntu1 [185 kB]

Get:35 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 t1utils amd64 1.41-2
[56.0 kB]

Get:36 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/universe amd64 tex-gyre all 20160520-1 [4,998 kB]

Get:37 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic-updates/main amd64 texlive-binaries amd64 2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1 [8,179 kB]

Get:38 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 texlive-base all 2017.20180305-1 [18.7 MB]

Get:39 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/universe amd64 texlive-fonts-recommended all 2017.20180305-1 [5,262 kB]

Get:40 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 texlive-latex-base all 2017.20180305-1 [951 kB]

Get:41 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/main amd64 texlive-latex-recommended all 2017.20180305-1 [14.9 MB]

Get:42 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/universe amd64 texlive all 2017.20180305-1 [14.4 kB]

Get:43 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/universe amd64 texlive-pictures all 2017.20180305-1 [4,026 kB]

Get:44 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/universe amd64 texlive-latex-extra all 2017.20180305-2 [10.6 MB]

Get:45 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/universe amd64 texlive-plaingeneric all 2017.20180305-2 [23.6 MB]

Get:46 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/universe amd64 tipa all 2:1.3-20
[2,978 kB]

Get:47 http://archive.ubuntu.com/ubuntu bionic/universe amd64 texlive-xetex all 2017.20180305-1 [10.7 MB]

Fetched 146 MB in 2s (65.0 MB/s)

Extracting templates from packages: 100%

Preconfiguring packages ...

Selecting previously unselected package fonts-droid-fallback.

(Reading database ... 124013 files and directories currently installed.)

Preparing to unpack .../00-fonts-droid-fallback_1%3a6.0.1r16-1.1_all.deb ...

Unpacking fonts-droid-fallback (1:6.0.1r16-1.1) ...

Selecting previously unselected package fonts-lato.

Preparing to unpack .../01-fonts-lato_2.0-2_all.deb ...

Unpacking fonts-lato (2.0-2) ...

```
Selecting previously unselected package poppler-data.
Preparing to unpack .../02-poppler-data_0.4.8-2_all.deb ...
Unpacking poppler-data (0.4.8-2) ...
Selecting previously unselected package tex-common.
Preparing to unpack .../03-tex-common 6.09 all.deb ...
Unpacking tex-common (6.09) ...
Selecting previously unselected package fonts-lmodern.
Preparing to unpack .../04-fonts-lmodern_2.004.5-3_all.deb ...
Unpacking fonts-lmodern (2.004.5-3) ...
Selecting previously unselected package fonts-noto-mono.
Preparing to unpack .../05-fonts-noto-mono_20171026-2_all.deb ...
Unpacking fonts-noto-mono (20171026-2) ...
Selecting previously unselected package fonts-texgyre.
Preparing to unpack .../06-fonts-texgyre_20160520-1_all.deb ...
Unpacking fonts-texgyre (20160520-1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package javascript-common.
Preparing to unpack .../07-javascript-common_11_all.deb ...
Unpacking javascript-common (11) ...
Selecting previously unselected package libcupsfilters1:amd64.
Preparing to unpack .../08-libcupsfilters1 1.20.2-0ubuntu3.1 amd64.deb ...
Unpacking libcupsfilters1:amd64 (1.20.2-Oubuntu3.1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package libcupsimage2:amd64.
Preparing to unpack .../09-libcupsimage2_2.2.7-1ubuntu2.9_amd64.deb ...
Unpacking libcupsimage2:amd64 (2.2.7-1ubuntu2.9) ...
Selecting previously unselected package libijs-0.35:amd64.
Preparing to unpack .../10-libijs-0.35_0.35-13_amd64.deb ...
Unpacking libijs-0.35:amd64 (0.35-13) ...
Selecting previously unselected package libjbig2dec0:amd64.
Preparing to unpack .../11-libjbig2dec0_0.13-6_amd64.deb ...
Unpacking libjbig2dec0:amd64 (0.13-6) ...
Selecting previously unselected package libgs9-common.
Preparing to unpack .../12-libgs9-common_9.26~dfsg+0-0ubuntu0.18.04.17_all.deb
Unpacking libgs9-common (9.26~dfsg+0-Oubuntu0.18.04.17) ...
Selecting previously unselected package libgs9:amd64.
Preparing to unpack .../13-libgs9_9.26~dfsg+0-0ubuntu0.18.04.17_amd64.deb ...
Unpacking libgs9:amd64 (9.26~dfsg+0-Oubuntu0.18.04.17) ...
Selecting previously unselected package libjs-jquery.
Preparing to unpack .../14-libjs-jquery_3.2.1-1_all.deb ...
Unpacking libjs-jquery (3.2.1-1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package libkpathsea6:amd64.
Preparing to unpack .../15-libkpathsea6_2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1_amd64.deb
Unpacking libkpathsea6:amd64 (2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package libpotrace0.
Preparing to unpack .../16-libpotrace0_1.14-2_amd64.deb ...
Unpacking libpotrace0 (1.14-2) ...
Selecting previously unselected package libptexenc1:amd64.
```

```
Preparing to unpack .../17-libptexenc1 2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1 amd64.deb
Unpacking libptexenc1:amd64 (2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package rubygems-integration.
Preparing to unpack .../18-rubygems-integration 1.11 all.deb ...
Unpacking rubygems-integration (1.11) ...
Selecting previously unselected package ruby2.5.
Preparing to unpack .../19-ruby2.5_2.5.1-1ubuntu1.12_amd64.deb ...
Unpacking ruby2.5 (2.5.1-1ubuntu1.12) ...
Selecting previously unselected package ruby.
Preparing to unpack .../20-ruby_1%3a2.5.1_amd64.deb ...
Unpacking ruby (1:2.5.1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package rake.
Preparing to unpack .../21-rake_12.3.1-1ubuntu0.1_all.deb ...
Unpacking rake (12.3.1-1ubuntu0.1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package ruby-did-you-mean.
Preparing to unpack .../22-ruby-did-you-mean_1.2.0-2_all.deb ...
Unpacking ruby-did-you-mean (1.2.0-2) ...
Selecting previously unselected package ruby-minitest.
Preparing to unpack .../23-ruby-minitest 5.10.3-1 all.deb ...
Unpacking ruby-minitest (5.10.3-1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package ruby-net-telnet.
Preparing to unpack .../24-ruby-net-telnet_0.1.1-2_all.deb ...
Unpacking ruby-net-telnet (0.1.1-2) ...
Selecting previously unselected package ruby-power-assert.
Preparing to unpack .../25-ruby-power-assert_0.3.0-1_all.deb ...
Unpacking ruby-power-assert (0.3.0-1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package ruby-test-unit.
Preparing to unpack .../26-ruby-test-unit_3.2.5-1_all.deb ...
Unpacking ruby-test-unit (3.2.5-1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package libruby2.5:amd64.
Preparing to unpack .../27-libruby2.5_2.5.1-1ubuntu1.12_amd64.deb ...
Unpacking libruby2.5:amd64 (2.5.1-1ubuntu1.12) ...
Selecting previously unselected package libsynctex1:amd64.
Preparing to unpack .../28-libsynctex1 2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1 amd64.deb
Unpacking libsynctex1:amd64 (2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package libtexlua52:amd64.
Preparing to unpack .../29-libtexlua52_2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1_amd64.deb
Unpacking libtexlua52:amd64 (2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package libtexluajit2:amd64.
Preparing to unpack
.../30-libtexluajit2_2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1_amd64.deb ...
Unpacking libtexluajit2:amd64 (2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package libzzip-0-13:amd64.
Preparing to unpack .../31-libzzip-0-13_0.13.62-3.1ubuntu0.18.04.1_amd64.deb ...
Unpacking libzzip-0-13:amd64 (0.13.62-3.1ubuntu0.18.04.1) ...
```

```
Selecting previously unselected package lmodern.
Preparing to unpack .../32-lmodern_2.004.5-3_all.deb ...
Unpacking lmodern (2.004.5-3) ...
Selecting previously unselected package preview-latex-style.
Preparing to unpack .../33-preview-latex-style 11.91-1ubuntu1 all.deb ...
Unpacking preview-latex-style (11.91-1ubuntu1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package tlutils.
Preparing to unpack .../34-t1utils_1.41-2_amd64.deb ...
Unpacking tlutils (1.41-2) ...
Selecting previously unselected package tex-gyre.
Preparing to unpack .../35-tex-gyre_20160520-1_all.deb ...
Unpacking tex-gyre (20160520-1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package texlive-binaries.
Preparing to unpack .../36-texlive-
binaries_2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1_amd64.deb ...
Unpacking texlive-binaries (2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package texlive-base.
Preparing to unpack .../37-texlive-base 2017.20180305-1_all.deb ...
Unpacking texlive-base (2017.20180305-1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package texlive-fonts-recommended.
Preparing to unpack .../38-texlive-fonts-recommended 2017.20180305-1 all.deb ...
Unpacking texlive-fonts-recommended (2017.20180305-1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package texlive-latex-base.
Preparing to unpack .../39-texlive-latex-base_2017.20180305-1_all.deb ...
Unpacking texlive-latex-base (2017.20180305-1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package texlive-latex-recommended.
Preparing to unpack .../40-texlive-latex-recommended 2017.20180305-1_all.deb ...
Unpacking texlive-latex-recommended (2017.20180305-1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package texlive.
Preparing to unpack .../41-texlive_2017.20180305-1_all.deb ...
Unpacking texlive (2017.20180305-1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package texlive-pictures.
Preparing to unpack .../42-texlive-pictures 2017.20180305-1 all.deb ...
Unpacking texlive-pictures (2017.20180305-1) ...
Selecting previously unselected package texlive-latex-extra.
Preparing to unpack .../43-texlive-latex-extra 2017.20180305-2 all.deb ...
Unpacking texlive-latex-extra (2017.20180305-2) ...
Selecting previously unselected package texlive-plain-generic.
Preparing to unpack .../44-texlive-plain-generic_2017.20180305-2_all.deb ...
Unpacking texlive-plain-generic (2017.20180305-2) ...
Selecting previously unselected package tipa.
Preparing to unpack .../45-tipa_2%3a1.3-20_all.deb ...
Unpacking tipa (2:1.3-20) ...
Selecting previously unselected package texlive-xetex.
Preparing to unpack .../46-texlive-xetex_2017.20180305-1_all.deb ...
Unpacking texlive-xetex (2017.20180305-1) ...
Setting up libgs9-common (9.26~dfsg+0-Oubuntu0.18.04.17) ...
Setting up libkpathsea6:amd64 (2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1) ...
```

```
Setting up libjs-jquery (3.2.1-1) ...
Setting up libtexlua52:amd64 (2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1) ...
Setting up fonts-droid-fallback (1:6.0.1r16-1.1) ...
Setting up libsynctex1:amd64 (2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1) ...
Setting up libptexenc1:amd64 (2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1) ...
Setting up tex-common (6.09) ...
update-language: texlive-base not installed and configured, doing nothing!
Setting up poppler-data (0.4.8-2) ...
Setting up tex-gyre (20160520-1) ...
Setting up preview-latex-style (11.91-1ubuntu1) ...
Setting up fonts-texgyre (20160520-1) ...
Setting up fonts-noto-mono (20171026-2) ...
Setting up fonts-lato (2.0-2) ...
Setting up libcupsfilters1:amd64 (1.20.2-Oubuntu3.1) ...
Setting up libcupsimage2:amd64 (2.2.7-1ubuntu2.9) ...
Setting up libjbig2dec0:amd64 (0.13-6) ...
Setting up ruby-did-you-mean (1.2.0-2) ...
Setting up tlutils (1.41-2) ...
Setting up ruby-net-telnet (0.1.1-2) ...
Setting up libijs-0.35:amd64 (0.35-13) ...
Setting up rubygems-integration (1.11) ...
Setting up libpotrace0 (1.14-2) ...
Setting up javascript-common (11) ...
Setting up ruby-minitest (5.10.3-1) ...
Setting up libzzip-0-13:amd64 (0.13.62-3.1ubuntu0.18.04.1) ...
Setting up libgs9:amd64 (9.26~dfsg+0-Oubuntu0.18.04.17) ...
Setting up libtexluajit2:amd64 (2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1) ...
Setting up fonts-lmodern (2.004.5-3) ...
Setting up ruby-power-assert (0.3.0-1) ...
Setting up texlive-binaries (2017.20170613.44572-8ubuntu0.1) ...
update-alternatives: using /usr/bin/xdvi-xaw to provide /usr/bin/xdvi.bin
(xdvi.bin) in auto mode
update-alternatives: using /usr/bin/bibtex.original to provide /usr/bin/bibtex
(bibtex) in auto mode
Setting up texlive-base (2017.20180305-1) ...
mktexlsr: Updating /var/lib/texmf/ls-R-TEXLIVEDIST...
mktexlsr: Updating /var/lib/texmf/ls-R-TEXMFMAIN...
mktexlsr: Updating /var/lib/texmf/ls-R...
mktexlsr: Done.
tl-paper: setting paper size for dvips to a4:
/var/lib/texmf/dvips/config/config-paper.ps
tl-paper: setting paper size for dvipdfmx to a4:
/var/lib/texmf/dvipdfmx/dvipdfmx-paper.cfg
tl-paper: setting paper size for xdvi to a4: /var/lib/texmf/xdvi/XDvi-paper
tl-paper: setting paper size for pdftex to a4:
/var/lib/texmf/tex/generic/config/pdftexconfig.tex
Setting up texlive-fonts-recommended (2017.20180305-1) ...
Setting up texlive-plain-generic (2017.20180305-2) ...
```

```
Setting up texlive-latex-base (2017.20180305-1) ...
Setting up lmodern (2.004.5-3) ...
Setting up texlive-latex-recommended (2017.20180305-1) ...
Setting up texlive-pictures (2017.20180305-1) ...
Setting up tipa (2:1.3-20) ...
Regenerating '/var/lib/texmf/fmtutil.cnf-DEBIAN'... done.
Regenerating '/var/lib/texmf/fmtutil.cnf-TEXLIVEDIST'... done.
update-fmtutil has updated the following file(s):
        /var/lib/texmf/fmtutil.cnf-DEBIAN
        /var/lib/texmf/fmtutil.cnf-TEXLIVEDIST
If you want to activate the changes in the above file(s),
you should run fmtutil-sys or fmtutil.
Setting up texlive (2017.20180305-1) ...
Setting up texlive-latex-extra (2017.20180305-2) ...
Setting up texlive-xetex (2017.20180305-1) ...
Setting up ruby2.5 (2.5.1-1ubuntu1.12) ...
Setting up ruby (1:2.5.1) ...
Setting up ruby-test-unit (3.2.5-1) ...
Setting up rake (12.3.1-1ubuntu0.1) ...
Setting up libruby2.5:amd64 (2.5.1-1ubuntu1.12) ...
Processing triggers for mime-support (3.60ubuntu1) ...
Processing triggers for libc-bin (2.27-3ubuntu1.6) ...
Processing triggers for man-db (2.8.3-2ubuntu0.1) ...
Processing triggers for fontconfig (2.12.6-Oubuntu2) ...
Processing triggers for tex-common (6.09) ...
Running updmap-sys. This may take some time... done.
Running mktexlsr /var/lib/texmf ... done.
Building format(s) --all.
        This may take some time...
```