

## Exercise 9 - Two population hypothesis testing

### Exercise 1

Two analysis tests used to determine the level of impurities in a substance.

Specimen	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Test X	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.7	1.8	1.4	1.3
Test Y	1.4	1.7	1.5	1.3	2.0	2.1	1.7	1.6

On the same unit so they are paired data  
Assume  $N$

a) Two-sided CI 0.99 for the mean difference

$$W_i = X_i - Y_i \quad i=1, \dots, 8$$

$$W_1, \dots, W_8 \sim N(\mu_X - \mu_Y, \sigma_W^2)$$

$$CI_{1-\alpha}(\mu_X - \mu_Y) = \left( \bar{w} - t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(n-1) \frac{s_w}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{w} + t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(n-1) \frac{s_w}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

$$\bar{w} = \bar{x} - \bar{y} = -0.2125$$

$$s_w^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^8 W_i^2 - n \bar{w}^2 \right) = 0.0298$$

$$\alpha = 0.01 \Rightarrow t_{0.995}(7) = 3.4995$$

$$= (-0.4261, 0.0011)$$

b) At 1% is there evidence to say that

$$\mu_X = \mu_Y$$

$$H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y \quad H_1: \mu_X \neq \mu_Y$$

$$H_0: \mu_w = 0 \quad H_1: \mu_w \neq 0$$

Since  $0.6 \in I_{0.99}(\mu_w) \Rightarrow$  we cannot reject  $H_0$

c)  $H_0: \mu_w \leq 0.16$  vs.  $H_1: \mu_w > 0.16$

We reject  $H_0$  if:

$$\frac{\bar{w}_2 - (-0.16)}{s_w / \sqrt{n}} > \underbrace{t_{1-\alpha}(n-1)}_{2.9979}$$

$-0.8602$

We cannot reject  $H_0$  at level 1%

### Exercise 3

Output of 2 processes, sampled independent (not paired)

Process X:  $n = 64, \bar{x} = 12.5, \sigma_x = 2.1$

Process Y:  $m = 100, \bar{y} = 11.9, \sigma_y = 2.2$

a) At 5%, are the means different

$$H_0: \mu_x = \mu_y \text{ vs. } H_1: \mu_x \neq \mu_y$$

large sample case, two populations, known (but different) variances

$$z_0 = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y} - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_x^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma_y^2}{m}}} = 1.75$$

Reject  $H_0$  if  $|z_0| > z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Rightarrow z_{0.975} = 1.96$   
 $\hookrightarrow \alpha = 0.05$

$\Rightarrow$  we cannot reject  $H_0$

b)  $P\{\text{not committing type II error}\}?$

Type II error: error of wrongly accepting  $H_0$

$\beta = P\{\text{reject } H_0 \text{ when } H_0 \text{ is false}\} = \text{Power of Test}$

$$= P_{H_1}(\text{reject } H_0) = P_{H_1}\{X \in CR\} =$$

$\hookrightarrow$  Critical Region

$$= P_{H_1}\left\{\left|\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_X^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{m}}}\right| > z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right\}$$

$$= 1 - P\left\{-z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\dots} < \bar{X} - \bar{Y} < z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\dots}\right\}$$

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_X - \mu_Y)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_X^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{m}}} \underset{\text{approx}}{\sim} N(0,1)$$

$$(\mu_X = 12.1, \mu_Y = 11.5 \Rightarrow \mu_X - \mu_Y = 0.6)$$

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - 0.6}{\sqrt{\dots}} \sim N(0,1)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{standardize}}{=} 1 - \left\{ \Phi\left(z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - \frac{0.6}{\sqrt{\dots}}\right) - \Phi\left(-z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - \frac{0.6}{\sqrt{\dots}}\right) \right\} = 1 - \Phi(0.21) + \Phi\left(-\frac{3}{7}\right)$$

$= 0.4169$

### Exercise 4

$X$ : content of lead in hair in the past ( $10^{-6}g$ )  
 $Y$ : content of lead in hair now ( $10^{-6}g$ )

	$X$	$Y$
Sample size	50	100
sample mean	48.5	26.6
sample stdev.	14.5	12.3

- a) At 1% has the lead content decreased by at least 16.5?  
(Assume  $N$ , same variance)

$$H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y + 16.5 \quad \text{vs.} \quad H_1: \mu_X > \mu_Y + 16.5$$

Two independent populations.

We reject  $H_0$  if 
$$\frac{\bar{x}_n - \bar{y}_m - \delta_0}{\underline{s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}}}} > z_{1-\alpha}$$

$$\delta_0 = 16.5 \quad n=50, m=100$$

$$\bar{x} = 48.5 \quad \bar{y} = 26.6$$

$$s_p^2 = \frac{(n-1)S_X^2 + (m-1)S_Y^2}{n+m-2} = 170.8105$$

$$z_0 = \underline{2.39} > z_{0.99} = 2.325 \Rightarrow \text{we can reject } H_0.$$

b) p-value? (N, same variance case)

$$\alpha^* : z_0 = z_{1-\frac{\alpha^*}{2}} \rightarrow \alpha^* = 1 - \phi(z_0) = 1 - \phi(2.39) = 0.00842$$

$$\Rightarrow p\text{-value} = 8.42\%$$

c) Remove the same variance clause

$$\frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y} - 16.5}{\sqrt{\frac{s_x^2}{n} + \frac{s_y^2}{m}}} \rightarrow \text{test statistic}$$

$$= 2.26$$

$$z_{0.99} = 2.34$$

$$\Rightarrow 2.26 = z_{1-\alpha^*} \Rightarrow \alpha^* = 1 - \phi(2.26) = 1.191\%$$

### Exercise 5

Two equally sized groups of tested men ( $\underbrace{\text{control group is placebo, 1 drug group}}_{\text{main}}$ )

22000 (total number)

104/11000 of main group had<sup>a</sup> heart attack

189/11000 of control group " " "

a) Does the drug decrease the probability of having a heart attack?

$X$ : #patient main group

$Y$ : " " control group

$$H_0: p_x \geq p_y \quad \text{vs.} \quad H_1: p_x < p_y$$

large sample case ( $n=m=11000$ )

$$z_0 = \frac{\bar{x}_n - \bar{y}_m}{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}\right)}} \quad \hat{p} = \frac{n\bar{x}_n + m\bar{y}_m}{n+m}$$

Now:

$$\bar{x}_n = \frac{104}{11000} = 0.00945$$

$$\bar{y}_m = \frac{189}{11000} = 0.01718 \quad \Rightarrow \quad z_0 = -5.00058$$

$$\hat{p} = 0.01332$$

Reject  $H_0$  if:  $z_0 < z_{1-\alpha} \rightarrow$  we don't have a source for  $p$ -values.

$p$ -value approach:

$$z_0 = z_{1-\alpha^*} \Rightarrow \alpha^* = 1 - \Phi(5) \cong 0$$

$p$ -value  $\cong 0$  we have evidence to reject  $H_0$ .

b) 119 in the main group and 98 in the control group, had a stroke

Does the drug increase the occurrence of a stroke?

$X$ : # patients in the main group with a stroke

$Y$ : " " control " "

$$H_0: p_x \leq p_y \text{ vs. } H_1: p_x > p_y$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{119}{11000} \quad \bar{y} = \frac{98}{11000} \Rightarrow \hat{p} = 0.009864$$

$$z_0 = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y}}{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}\right)}} = 1.45$$

$$z_0 = z_{1-\alpha^*}$$

$$\alpha^* = 1 - \Phi(1.45) = 7.353\%$$

there is not enough evidence to reject  $H_0$ .