



Building the Futuristic **Blockchain Ecosystem**

SECURITY AUDIT REPORT

Boo

TOKEN OVERVIEW

Risk Findings

| Severity | Found |
|-----------------|-------|
| ● High | 1 |
| ● Medium | 0 |
| ● Low | 0 |
| ● Informational | 2 |

Centralization Risks

| Owner Privileges | Description |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| ● Can Owner Set Taxes >25% ? | Not Detected |
| ● Owner needs to enable trading ? | Yes, owner needs to enable trades |
| ● Can Owner Disable Trades ? | Not Detected |
| ● Can Owner Mint ? | Not Detected |
| ● Can Owner Blacklist ? | Not Detected |
| ● Can Owner set Max Wallet amount ? | Not Detected |
| ● Can Owner Set Max TX amount ? | Not Detected |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|----|---------------------------|-------|
| 02 | Token Overview | _____ |
| 03 | Table of Contents | _____ |
| 04 | Overview | _____ |
| 05 | Contract Details | _____ |
| 06 | Audit Methodology | _____ |
| 07 | Vulnerabilities Checklist | _____ |
| 08 | Risk Classification | _____ |
| 09 | Inheritance Trees | _____ |
| 10 | Static analysis | _____ |
| 12 | Testnet Version | _____ |
| 13 | Manual Review | _____ |
| 18 | About Expelee | _____ |
| 19 | Disclaimer | _____ |

OVERVIEW

The Expelee team has performed a line-by-line manual analysis and automated review of the smart contract. The smart contract was analysed mainly for common smart contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and manipulation hacks. According to the smart contract audit:

| | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Audit Result | Passed |
| Audit Date | 12 February 2024 |

CONTRACT DETAILS

Token Address: 0x6f77660037020B011E9b2cF990DF67e7Bd1Cc88F

Name: Baby Boo

Symbol: BOO

Decimals: 18

Network: BSC

Token Type: BEP-20

Owner: 0x912e6f3Cf869aFF9F4733853deD21f448ED7e340

Deployer: 0x912e6f3Cf869aFF9F4733853deD21f448ED7e340

Token Supply: 5000000000

Checksum: B2032c616934aeb47e6039f76b20d321

Testnet:

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/token/0x2172fb514a5b41bbf4fe4373c89e55e5d2674a1b#code>

AUDIT METHODOLOGY

Audit Details

Our comprehensive audit report provides a full overview of the audited system's architecture, smart contract codebase, and details on any vulnerabilities found within the system.

Audit Goals

The audit goal is to ensure that the project is built to protect investors and users, preventing potentially catastrophic vulnerabilities after launch, that lead to scams and rugpulls.

Code Quality

Our analysis includes both automatic tests and manual code analysis for the following aspects:

- Exploits
- Back-doors
- Vulnerability
- Accuracy
- Readability

Tools

- DE
- Open Zeppelin
- Code Analyzer
- Solidity Code
- Compiler
- Hardhat

VULNERABILITY CHECKS

| | |
|--|--------|
| Design Logic | Passed |
| Compiler warnings | Passed |
| Private user data leaks | Passed |
| Timestamps dependence | Passed |
| Integer overflow and underflow | Passed |
| Race conditions & reentrancy. Cross-function race conditions | Passed |
| Possible delays in data delivery | Passed |
| Oracle calls | Passed |
| Front Running | Passed |
| DoS with Revert | Passed |
| DoS with block gas limit | Passed |
| Methods execution permissions | Passed |
| Economy model | Passed |
| Impact of the exchange rate on the logic | Passed |
| Malicious event log | Passed |
| Scoping and declarations | Passed |
| Uninitialized storage pointers | Passed |
| Arithmetic accuracy | Passed |
| Cross-function race conditions | Passed |
| Safe Zepplin module | Passed |

RISK CLASSIFICATION

When performing smart contract audits, our specialists look for known vulnerabilities as well as logical and access control issues within the code. The exploitation of these issues by malicious actors may cause serious financial damage to projects that failed to get an audit in time. We categorize these vulnerabilities by the following levels:

High Risk

Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance/functionality and should be fixed before moving to a live environment.

Medium Risk

Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance/functionality and should be fixed before moving to a live environment.


Low Risk

Issues on this level are minor details and warnings that can remain unfixed.

Informational

Issues on this level are minor details and warnings that can remain unfixed.

INHERITANCE TREES



STATIC ANALYSIS

A static analysis of the code was performed using Slither. No issues were found.

```
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in 800.internalSwap() (800.sol#828-833):
  External calls:
    - swapToETH(balanceOf(address(this))) (800.sol#828)
      - uniswapRouter.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(_amount,0,path,address(this),block.timestamp) (800.sol#841-847)
    - (success) = marketingWallet.call{value: address(this).balance}() (800.sol#825)
  External calls sending eth:
    - (success) = marketingWallet.call{value: address(this).balance}() (800.sol#825)
  State variables written after the call(s):
    - isSwapping = false (800.sol#832)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-2
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in 800._transfer(address,address,uint256) (800.sol#791-818):
  External calls:
    - internalSwap() (800.sol#814)
      - uniswapRouter.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(_amount,0,path,address(this),block.timestamp) (800.sol#841-847)
      - (success) = marketingWallet.call{value: address(this).balance}() (800.sol#825)
  External calls sending eth:
    - internalSwap() (800.sol#814)
      - (success) = marketingWallet.call{value: address(this).balance}() (800.sol#825)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
    - Transfer(from,to,amount) (800.sol#478)
      - super._transfer(_from,_to,toTransfer) (800.sol#817)
Reentrancy in 800.internalSwap() (800.sol#828-833):
  External calls:
    - swapToETH(balanceOf(address(this))) (800.sol#828)
      - uniswapRouter.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(_amount,0,path,address(this),block.timestamp) (800.sol#841-847)
    - (success) = marketingWallet.call{value: address(this).balance}() (800.sol#825)
  External calls sending eth:
    - (success) = marketingWallet.call{value: address(this).balance}() (800.sol#825)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
    - TransferFailed(marketingWallet,address(this).balance) (800.sol#828)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-3
INFO:Detectors:
Different versions of Solidity are used:
  - Version used: ['0.8.19', '0.8.0']
  - 0.8.19 (800.sol#621)
  - *0.8.0 (800.sol#3)
  - *0.8.0 (800.sol#30)
  - *0.8.0 (800.sol#115)
  - *0.8.0 (800.sol#208)
  - *0.8.0 (800.sol#238)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#different-pragma-directives-are-used
```

STATIC ANALYSIS

```
INFO:Detectors:
Context._msgData() (B00.sol#20-22) is never used and should be removed
ERC20._burn(address,uint256) (B00.sol#510-526) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version^0.8.0 (B00.sol#3) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.0 (B00.sol#30) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.0 (B00.sol#115) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.0 (B00.sol#200) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.0 (B00.sol#230) allows old versions
Pragma version0.8.19 (B00.sol#621) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.8.18.
solc-0.8.19 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity
INFO:Detectors:
Low level call in B00.internalSwap() (B00.sol#820-833):
- (success) = marketingWallet.call{value: address(this).balance}() (B00.sol#825)
Low level call in B00.withdrawStuckETH() (B00.sol#850-855):
- (success) = address(msg.sender).call{value: address(this).balance}() (B00.sol#851-853)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
INFO:Detectors:
Function DexRouter.WETH() (B00.sol#633) is not in mixedCase
Event B00.marketingWalletChanged(address) (B00.sol#688) is not in CapWords
Parameter B00.setmarketingWallet(address)._newmarketing (B00.sol#713) is not in mixedCase
Parameter B00.setBuyTaxes(uint256)._marketingTax (B00.sol#730) is not in mixedCase
Parameter B00.setSellTaxes(uint256)._marketingTax (B00.sol#736) is not in mixedCase
Parameter B00.setSwapTokensAtAmount(uint256)._newAmount (B00.sol#741) is not in mixedCase
Parameter B00.setWhitelistStatus(address,bool)._wallet (B00.sol#755) is not in mixedCase
Parameter B00.setWhitelistStatus(address,bool)._status (B00.sol#756) is not in mixedCase
Parameter B00.checkWhitelist(address)._wallet (B00.sol#762) is not in mixedCase
Parameter B00.swapToETH(uint256)._amount (B00.sol#836) is not in mixedCase
Parameter B00.withdrawStuckTokens(address).BEP20_token (B00.sol#857) is not in mixedCase
Constant B00._totalSupply (B00.sol#661) is not in UPPER_CASE_WITH_UNDERSCORES
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
INFO:Detectors:
B00.slitherConstructorVariables() (B00.sol#656-868) uses literals with too many digits:
- swapTokensAtAmount = _totalSupply / 100000 (B00.sol#676)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#too-many-digits
INFO:Slither:B00.sol analyzed (8 contracts with 93 detectors), 31 result(s) found
```

TESTNET VERSION

1- Approve (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x296bc80a52886201c69a8d0cb0978d53291be1fd7e030a713ffa041e2932961a>

2- Increase Allowance (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x04809f3f6a03c21ded25642025246f8713d23c8fb281946bf333b0cc7365ccdc>

3- Decrease Allowance (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x3b763bad5d9e8298b0344fcb3a4150788ea465d08e79c017c13663cce070f723>

4- Enable Trading (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x3613e2e9743a7521b610c70f325c0c66b34c43d4b976662e3753ad69a36f2642>

5- Set Buy Taxes (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0xde49ef21ac13ffb660fbdc172c7c7fed1397d82eaa924e57c131cd45d6bd5161>

6- Set Sell Taxes (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0xc6ccf031c3620f76edaf5b146e9dfe5848a07f31fb046f08024944edb2af1c21>

7- Transfer (passed):

<https://testnet.bscscan.com/tx/0x1dc0d581acca49de33e940f61d31d151630379b8af153473d0bdfe8041291dcb>

MANUAL REVIEW

Severity Criteria

Expelee assesses the severity of disclosed vulnerabilities according to methodology based on OWASP standarts.

Vulnerabilities are dividend into three primary risk categorieis:

High

Medium

Low

High-level considerations for vulnerabilities span the following key areas when conducting assessments:

- Malicious input handling
- Escalation of privileges
- Arithmetic
- Gas use

| Overall Risk Severity | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|--------|----------|
| Impact | HIGH | Medium | High | Critical |
| | MEDIUM | Low | Medium | High |
| | LOW | Note | Low | Medium |
| | | LOW | MEDIUM | HIGH |
| | Likelihood | | | |

HIGH RISK FINDING

Centralization – Enabling Trades

Severity: High

function: EnableTrading

Status: Resolved (Ownership With SAFU Dev)

Overview:

The EnableTrading function permits only the contract owner to activate trading capabilities. Until this function is executed, no investors can buy, sell, or transfer their tokens. This places a high degree of control and centralization in the hands of the contract owner.

```
function enableTrading() external onlyOwner {  
    require(!tradingEnabled, "Trading is already enabled");  
    tradingEnabled = true;  
    startTradingBlock = block.number;  
}
```

Suggestion

To reduce centralization and potential manipulation, consider one of the following approaches:

1. Automatically enable trading after a specified condition, such as the completion of a presale, is met.
2. If manual activation is still desired, consider transferring the ownership of the contract to a trustworthy, third-party entity like a certified "PinkSale Safu" developer. This can give investors more confidence in the eventual activation of trading capabilities, mitigating concerns of potential bad-faith actions by the original owner.

INFORMATIONAL & OPTIMIZATIONS

Optimization

Severity: Informational

Subject: Floating Pragma Solidity version

Status: Open

Overview:

It is considered best practice to pick one compiler version and stick with it. With a floating pragma, contracts may accidentally be deployed using an outdated.

pragma solidity ^0.8.19;

Suggestion:

Adding the latest constant version of solidity is recommended, as this prevents the unintentional deployment of a contract with an outdated compiler that contains unresolved bugs.

INFORMATIONAL & OPTIMIZATIONS

Optimization

Severity: Optimization

subject: Remove Unused Code

Status: Open

Overview:

Unused variables are allowed in Solidity, and they do. not pose a direct security issue. It is the best practice though to avoid them.

```
function _msgData() internal view virtual returns (bytes  
calldata) {  
    return msg.data;  
}  
function _burn(address account, uint256 amount) internal  
virtual {  
    require(account != address(0), "ERC20: burn from the zero  
address");  
  
    _beforeTokenTransfer(account, address(0), amount);  
  
    uint256 accountBalance = _balances[account];  
    require(accountBalance >= amount, "ERC20: burn amount  
exceeds balance");  
    unchecked {  
        _balances[account] = accountBalance - amount;
```


INFORMATIONAL & OPTIMIZATIONS

```
// Overflow not possible: amount <= accountBalance <=  
totalSupply.
```

```
_totalSupply -= amount;  
}
```

```
emit Transfer(account, address(0), amount);
```

```
_afterTokenTransfer(account, address(0), amount);  
}
```

ABOUT EXPELEE

Expelee is a product-based aspirational Web3 start-up. Coping up with numerous solutions for blockchain security and constructing a Web3 ecosystem from deal making platform to developer hosting open platform, while also developing our own commercial and sustainable blockchain.

 www.expelee.com

 [expeleeofficial](https://twitter.com/expeleeofficial)

 [expelee](https://medium.com/expelee)

 [Expelee](https://t.me/Expelee)

 [expelee](https://in.linkedin.com/company/expelee)

 [expelee_official](https://www.instagram.com/expelee_official)

 [expelee-co](https://github.com/expelee-co)

expelee

Building the Futuristic **Blockchain Ecosystem**

DISCLAIMER

All the content provided in this document is for general information only and should not be used as financial advice or a reason to buy any investment. Team provides no guarantess against the sale of team tokens or the removal of liquidity by the project audited in this document.

Always do your own research and protect yourselves from being scammed. The Expelee team has audited this project for general information and only expresses their opinion based on similar projects and checks from popular diagnostic tools.

Under no circumstances did Expelee receive a payment to manipulate those results or change the awarding badge that we will be adding in our website. Always do your own research and protect yourselves from scams.

This document should not be presented as a reason to buy or not buy any particular token. The Expelee team disclaims any liability for the resulting losses.

The logo for Expelee, featuring the word "expelee" in a stylized font. The "ex" is in white, and "pelee" is in orange. The letters are bold and modern.

Building the Futuristic **Blockchain Ecosystem**