tropical islands, we also simulate their growth as part of the last step. Real-time visualization is enabled during the simulation, so that one can observe the evolution of the terrain. Here we dynamically apply textures to the terrain based on its local characteristics. The result is a natural

Predator-Prev Simulation Using Boid Therman in bydral licer Ision | Coral reef |

Matija Ojo, Miha Krajnc, Marko Adžaga, and Janez Kuhar

Collective behaviour course research seminar report

November 19, 2023

Iztok Lebar Bajec | Associate Professor | mentor

ToDo: Add abstract.

Collective Behaviour | Boids | Simulation | Prey-Predator | Escape patterns

Introduction


n 1987, Reynolds [?] proposed a simple algorithm to model the flocking behavior of birds, herding of sheep, and similar phenomena, known as the Boids (Bird-oid objects) model. In contrast to controlling the interactions of the entire flock, the Boids simulation focuses on dictating the behavior of each individual boid. Despite consisting of a few simple rules, this algorithm produces complex and lifelike behaviors similar to those observed in nature.

Our paper centers on the implementation of a predator-prey behavior utilizing a Boids simulation.


Methods

As a starting point, a basic boids model has been implemented, which is based on three simple rules:


1. Avoid collisions.



2. Maintain the same heading and speed as the neighboring boids.



3. Gravitate toward the center of the flock.



Basic boids implementation. Each boid B has the following properties:

- 1. position a vector in \mathbb{R}^2 , denoted by position(B),
- 2. velocity a vector in \mathbb{R}^2 , denoted by velocity(B),
- 3. acceleration a vector in \mathbb{R}^2 ; used exclusively for the internal computation of the boid's velocity and not for behavioral logic.

With regards to behavioral logic, we also assign the following attributes to all boids:

- 1. perception radius (denoted by r_P)
- 2. separation radius (denoted by r_S , also note that $r_S < r_P$)

The Euclidean distance (??) is used for computing the distance between boids.

$$d(p,q) = \sqrt{(p_1 - q_1)^2 + (p_2 - q_2)^2}; \ p, q \in \mathbb{R}^2$$
 [1]

To avoid the computation of the costly square root of a real number, we utilize an equivalent formula (??):

$$d(p,q)^{2} = (p_{1} - q_{1})^{2} + (p_{2} - q_{2})^{2}; \ p,q \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$$

Each tick of the simulation loop updates the direction of a boid, which is then applied to its acceleration, determining the actual velocity for all boids.

The direction for collision avoidance, also known as separation, for boid B is computed by the following formula (??):

$$direction = \sum_{i=1}^{n} position(B) - position(B_i)$$
 [3]

where *i*-th boid B_i is a boid such that: $d(position(B), position(B_i))^2 < r_S^2$. This effectively means that boid B will move away (in the opposite direction) from the boids which are too near (closer than the specified r_S).

The direction for alignment for boid B is computed as (??):

$$direction = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} velocity(B_i)}{n} - velocity(B)\right) / 8$$
 [4]

where *i*-th boid B_i is a boid such that: $d(position(B), position(B_i)^2 < r_P^2)$ (i.e., B_i is B's neighbour). First, the average velocity of all neighboring boids is computed (denoted v_{avg}). The velocity of current boid B is then subtracted from v_{avg} , such that a vector in the direction from velocity(B) to v_{avg} is obtained. Adding such a vector to the velocity(B) would result in velocity(B) being equal to the v_{avg} . Since this result is not desired, direction is lastly divided by a constant 8.

The direction for *cohesion* for boid B is computed like so (??):

$$direction = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (position(B_i) - position(B))}{n}\right) / 100$$
 [5]

where *i*-th boid B_i is a boid such that: $d(position(B), position(B_i)^2 < r_P^2)$ (i.e., B_i is B's neighbour). In this formula a centroid to the neighboring boids is computed, which is then divided by 100. The reasoning behind the constant 100 is that on every update, each boid would move 1% towards the centroid.

Results

We have successfully implemented the model described in the previous section. Correct behaviour was also confirmed with the help of special debug draw commands on top of the boids. Performance of the model was also evaluated with a different number of boids. Initial tests indicate, that we can smoothly run simulations of up to 200 boids on weaker computer systems.

Discussion

ToDo: Conclusion/Discussion.

CONTRIBUTIONS. ToDo: division of work.

2 | Oio et al

Bibliography

Ojo *et al.* BM-RI | **FRI**teza | 2023/24 | CB:Group B | **3**