

STOCHASTIC VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES IN A DYNAMICAL FRAMEWORK

Terry Rockafellar

University of Washington, Seattle

University of Florida, Gainesville

West Coast Optimization Meeting

University of British Columbia, Vancouver

1 October 2016

Modeling with Variational Inequalities

Targeted applications: here with stochastic ingredients
expression of conditions for optimality or equilibrium
posed in a Hilbert space, finite- or infinite-dimensional

Variational inequality problem in a space \mathcal{H}

For $C \subset \mathcal{H}$ nonempty closed convex, $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ continuous,
determine $x \in C$ such that $-F(x) \in N_C(x)$
i.e., $\langle F(x), x' - x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x' \in C$



Monotone case: F monotone, hence actually maximal monotone
 $\langle F(x') - F(x), x' - x \rangle \geq 0$ for all x, x'

Motivations in Optimization — Without Stochastics

general V.I.: $-F(x) \in N_C(x)$

Elementary optimization: minimizing $g(x)$ over $x \in C$

$-\nabla g(x) \in N_C(x) \rightarrow$ first-order optimality

To formulate this as a V.I., take $F = \nabla g$

Lagrangian V.I.: for $l(y, z)$ on $Y \times Z$ closed convex

$-\nabla_y l(y, z) \in N_Y(y), \quad \nabla_z l(y, z) \in N_Z(z),$

To formulate this as a V.I., take

$x = (y, z), \quad C = Y \times Z, \quad F(x) = (\nabla_y l(y, z), -\nabla_z l(y, z))$

\rightarrow this encompasses KKT conditions in NLP and much more!

(also, it can model a saddle-point in a two-person game)

Motivations in Equilibrium — Without Stochastics

Game-type equilibrium: for agents $i = 1, \dots, m$

- agent i chooses $x_i \in X_i$ closed convex $\subset \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$
- minimization of $f_i(x_i, x_{-i})$ over $x_i \in X_i$ is desired
where x_{-i} stands for the choices of all the other agents
- equilibrium represented by $-\nabla_{x_i} f_i(x_i, x_{-i}) \in N_{X_i}(x_i)$ for all i
agent global optimality is replaced here by “stationarity”!

To formulate this as a V.I., take

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_m), \quad C = X_1 \times \dots \times X_m$$
$$F(x) = (\nabla_{x_1} f_1(x_1, x_{-1}), \dots, \nabla_{x_m} f_m(x_m, x_{-m}))$$

Stochastic Structure with Emerging Information

capturing dynamics in stochastic optimization and equilibrium

Pattern of “decisions” and “observations” in N stages:

$$x_1, \xi_1, x_2, \xi_2, \dots, x_N, \xi_N \quad \text{with } x_k \in R^{n_k}, \xi_k \in \Xi_k$$

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in R^n = R^{n_1} \times \dots \times R^{n_N}$$

$$\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) \in \Xi \subset \Xi_1 \times \dots \times \Xi_N$$

Interpretation: each $\xi \in \Xi$ is an information **scenario**

Nonanticipativity of decisions

x_k can respond to ξ_1, \dots, ξ_{k-1} but not to ξ_k, \dots, ξ_N :

$$x(\xi) = (x_1, x_2(\xi_1), x_3(\xi_1, \xi_2), \dots, x_N(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{N-1}))$$

Simplifying assumptions here:

- the scenario space Ξ has only finitely many elements ξ
- each scenario $\xi \in \Xi$ has known probability $p(\xi) > 0$

→ Ξ is a probability space

Function Space Framework for Responses

\mathcal{L} = **all** functions from **scenario** space Ξ to **decision** space R^n

$$\Xi \subset \Xi_1 \times \cdots \times \Xi_N, \quad R^n = R^{n_1} \times \cdots \times R^{n_N}$$

Response functions as elements of this space:

$$x(\cdot) : \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) \mapsto x(\xi) = (x_1(\xi), \dots, x_N(\xi))$$

Expectation inner product giving Hilbert structure:

$$\langle x(\cdot), w(\cdot) \rangle = E_\xi[x(\xi) \cdot w(\xi)] = \sum_{\xi \in \Xi} p(\xi) \sum_{k=1}^N x_k(\xi) \cdot w_k(\xi)$$

Nonanticipativity subspace:

in terms of scenarios $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \xi_k, \dots, \xi_N)$,

$$\mathcal{N} = \{x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L} \mid x_k(\xi) \text{ depends only on } \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}\}$$

$$\longrightarrow x(\cdot) \text{ is nonanticipative} \iff x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{N}$$

Complementary subspace: $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}^\perp$ (source of “multipliers”)

$$\mathcal{M} = \{w(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L} \mid E_{\xi_k, \dots, \xi_N}[w_k(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \xi_k, \dots, \xi_N)] = 0\}$$

Multistage Stochastic Optimization in this \mathcal{L} -Setting

Basic constraint beyond nonanticipativity: $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\mathcal{C} = \{x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L} \mid x(\xi) \in \mathcal{C}(\xi) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ for all } \xi \in \Xi\}$$

Convexity assumption: making \mathcal{C} be closed convex $\neq \emptyset$ in \mathcal{L}

$\mathcal{C}(\xi)$ closed convex $\neq \emptyset$ in \mathbb{R}^n for every scenario $\xi \in \Xi$

Stochastic programming problem in a classical format

minimize $\mathcal{G}(x(\cdot)) = E_{\xi}[g(x(\xi), \xi)]$ over all functions $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{N}$

Smoothness assumption: making \mathcal{G} be a \mathcal{C}^1 function on \mathcal{L}

$g(\cdot, \xi)$ is a \mathcal{C}^1 function on \mathbb{R}^n for every scenario $\xi \in \Xi$

Directional derivatives: $d\mathcal{G}(x(\cdot))(u(\cdot)) = \langle \nabla \mathcal{G}(x(\cdot)), u(\cdot) \rangle$

the gradient $\nabla \mathcal{G}(x(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{L}$ takes ξ to $\nabla g(x(\xi), \xi)$

Convex minimization case: \mathcal{G} is convex if each $g(\cdot, \xi)$ is convex

Variational Inequalities in the Response Function Space \mathcal{L}

First-order optimality condition in stochastic programming

$$-\nabla \mathcal{G}(x(\cdot)) \in N_{\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{N}}(x(\cdot))$$

the V. I. for the gradient mapping $\nabla \mathcal{G}$ and the convex set $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{N}$
this condition is **sufficient** in the case of **convex** minimization

Generalization: replace $\nabla \mathcal{G}$ by any mapping $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ where
 $\mathcal{F}(x(\cdot))$ takes ξ to $F(x(\xi), \xi)$ for functions $F(\cdot, \xi) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$
stochastic programming had $F(\cdot, \xi) = \nabla g(\cdot, \xi)$

Continuity assumption: $F(\cdot, \xi)$ continuous making \mathcal{F} continuous

Definition of a stochastic variational inequality, basic form

$$-\mathcal{F}(x(\cdot)) \in N_{\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{N}}(x(\cdot))$$

Monotone case: \mathcal{F} is monotone if $F(\cdot, \xi)$ is monotone $\forall \xi \in \Xi$

Stochastic Decomposition

Basic S.V.I. to understand further: $-\mathcal{F}(x(\cdot)) \in N_{C \cap \mathcal{W}}(x(\cdot))$
 $C = \{x(\cdot) \mid x(\xi) \in C(\xi), \forall \xi\}$, \mathcal{N} = nonanticipativity subspace
Calculus of normals: if $\exists \tilde{x}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{N}$ with $\tilde{x}(\xi) \in \text{ri } C(\xi) \forall \xi$, then
 $N_{C \cap \mathcal{W}}(x(\cdot)) = N_C(x(\cdot)) + N_{\mathcal{N}}(x(\cdot))$ where $N_{\mathcal{N}}(x(\cdot)) = \mathcal{N}^\perp = \mathcal{M}$
and moreover $v(\cdot) \in N_C(x(\cdot)) \iff v(\xi) \in N_{C(\xi)}(x(\xi)) \forall \xi$

Definition of a stochastic variational inequality, extensive form

$x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\exists w(\cdot) \in \mathcal{M}$: $-F(x(\xi), \xi) - w(\xi) \in N_{C(\xi)}(x(\xi)), \forall \xi$

the basic and extensive forms of are “essentially equivalent”

Meaning in stochastic programming: when $F(\cdot, \xi) = \nabla g(\cdot, \xi)$
 $x(\xi)$ minimizes $g(\cdot, \xi) + \langle \cdot, w(\xi) \rangle$ over $C(\xi)$

\Rightarrow the multiplier vectors $w(\xi)$ capture the **price of information**
having $w(\cdot) \in \mathcal{M}$ is a “martingale-like” condition

Projection Tool for Aggregating Responses

Recalling the structure of the complementary subspaces:

$$\mathcal{N} = \{x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L} \mid x_k(\xi) \text{ depends only on } \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}\}$$

$$\mathcal{M} = \{w(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L} \mid E_{\xi_k, \dots, \xi_N}[w_k(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \xi_k, \dots, \xi_N)] = 0\}$$

Aggregation: let \mathcal{P} = projection onto \mathcal{N}

then $\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}$ = projection onto \mathcal{M} , since $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}^\perp$

Execution relative to the information structure

- Scenarios $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$ and $\xi' = (\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_N)$ are at stage k are **information-equivalent** if $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}) = (\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_{k-1})$
- Let $A_k(\xi)$ = k th-stage equivalence class containing ξ
- Then $x(\cdot) = \mathcal{P}(\bar{x}(\cdot))$ has its k th-stage component given by

$$x_k(\xi) = \sum_{\xi' \in A_k(\xi)} p(\xi') \bar{x}_k(\xi') / \sum_{\xi' \in A_k(\xi)} p(\xi')$$

thus $x_k(\xi)$ is the **conditional expectation** of $\bar{x}_k(\xi)$ relative to the k th-stage information-equivalence class containing ξ

Progressive Hedging in Stochastic Programming

Rock. & Wets, 1991: stochastic decomposition realized iteratively

Algorithm statement in the convex case with parameter $r > 0$

Having $x^\nu(\cdot) \in \mathcal{N}$ and $w^\nu(\cdot) \in \mathcal{M}$, get $\bar{x}^\nu(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L}$ by

$$\bar{x}^\nu(\xi) = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in C(\xi)} \left\{ g(x, \xi) + x \cdot w^\nu(\xi) + \frac{r}{2} \|x - x^\nu(\xi)\|^2 \right\}$$

Then get $x^{\nu+1}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{N}$ and $w^{\nu+1}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{M}$ by aggregation:

$$x^{\nu+1}(\cdot) = \mathcal{P}(\bar{x}^\nu(\cdot)), \quad w^{\nu+1}(\cdot) = w^\nu(\cdot) + r[\bar{x}^\nu(\cdot) - x^{\nu+1}(\cdot)]$$

Convergence theorem — when a solution pair $x(\cdot)$, $w(\cdot)$, exists

The sequence $\{(x^\nu(\cdot), w^\nu(\cdot))\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ generated by the algorithm will always converge to a particular solution pair $(x^*(\cdot), w^*(\cdot))$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^{\nu+1}(\cdot) - x^*(\cdot)\|^2 + r^{-2} \|w^{\nu+1}(\cdot) - w^*(\cdot)\|^2 \\ \leq \|x^\nu(\cdot) - x^*(\cdot)\|^2 + r^{-2} \|w^\nu(\cdot) - w^*(\cdot)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Progressive Hedging for Stochastic Variational Inequalities

Recall extensive form of S.V.I: $x(\cdot) \in \mathcal{N}$, $w(\cdot) \in \mathcal{M}$,
 $-F(x(\xi), \xi) - w(\xi) \in N_{C(\xi)}(x(\xi)) \quad \forall \xi \in \Xi$

Algorithm statement in the monotone case with parameter $r > 0$

Having $x^\nu(\cdot) \in \mathcal{N}$ and $w^\nu(\cdot) \in \mathcal{M}$, get $\bar{x}^\nu(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L}$ by solving for **each individual scenario** $\xi \in \Xi$ the V.I.

$$-F^\nu(x, \xi) \in N_{C(\xi)}(x)$$

with respect to $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ to get $\bar{x}^\nu(\xi)$, where

$$F^\nu(x, \xi) = F(x, \xi) + w^\nu(\xi) + r[x - x^\nu(\xi)].$$

Then get $x^{\nu+1}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{N}$ and $w^{\nu+1}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{M}$ by aggregation:

$$x^{\nu+1}(\cdot) = \mathcal{P}(\bar{x}^\nu(\cdot)), \quad w^{\nu+1}(\cdot) = w^\nu(\cdot) + r[\bar{x}^\nu(\cdot) - x^{\nu+1}(\cdot)]$$

Convergence theorem — when a solution pair $x(\cdot)$, $w(\cdot)$, exists

same result again!

The Role of Monotonicity and its Prospects

Technical basis for the algorithm:

proximal point algorithm applied to a mapping $T : \mathcal{L} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{L}$

T is derived from a “twisting” of the ingredients of the S.V.I.

Global convergence: T should be a maximal monotone mapping

Local convergence: T just maximal monotone locally at solution

Prospects for extended stochastic programming:

- convexity of the cost functions can be weakened to a second-order optimality condition right at a solution
- expectation can be replaced by a risk measure in the objective

Prospects for game models and equilibrium

- game versions of multistage stochastic programming
- local convergence in circumstances of “moderate interaction”
- an augmented Lagrangian technique can actually elicit that!

Some References

- [1] R.T. Rockafellar, R.J -B Wets (2016) “Stochastic variational inequalities: single-stage to multistage,” *Mathematical Programming B*, forthcoming.
- [2] R.T. Rockafellar and R.J-B Wets (1991) “Scenarios and policy aggregation in optimization under uncertainty,” *Mathematics of Operations Research* 16, 119–147.
- [3] R.T. Rockafellar and R.J-B Wets (1976) “Nonanticipativity and L^1 -martingales in stochastic optimization problems,” *Mathematical Programming Study* 6, 170-187.

website: www.math.washington.edu/~rtr/mypage.html