

**Exercise 1** Consider a language with three sorts of terms: point, line and scalar, two predicate symbols  $=$  with arity  $\langle \text{scalar}, \text{scalar} \rangle$  and  $\in$  with arity  $\langle \text{point}, \text{line} \rangle$  and two function symbols  $d$ , distance, with arity  $\langle \text{point}, \text{point}, \text{scalar} \rangle$  and  $b$ , bisector, with arity  $\langle \text{point}, \text{point}, \text{line} \rangle$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the set containing the propositions

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z (x \in b(y, z) \Leftrightarrow d(x, y) = d(x, z))$$

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z ((x = y \wedge y = z) \Rightarrow x = z)$$

and  $A$  a proposition stating that if two bisectors of the triangle  $xyz$  intersect at a point  $w$ , then the three bisectors intersect at this point:

$$\forall w \forall x \forall y \forall z ((w \in b(x, y) \wedge w \in b(y, z)) \Rightarrow w \in b(x, z))$$

Write a proof of the sequent  $\Gamma \vdash A$ .

**Exercise 2** 1. Prove that if the sequents  $\Gamma \vdash B$  is provable in Natural Deduction, then so is the sequent  $\Gamma, A \vdash B$ .

2. Prove that if the sequents  $\Gamma, A \vdash B$  and  $\Gamma \vdash A$  are provable in Natural Deduction, then so is the sequent  $\Gamma \vdash B$ .

3. Prove that if the sequent  $\Gamma, A, B \vdash C$  is provable, then the sequent  $\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash C$  is provable.

**Exercise 3** Eliminate the cuts in the proof

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\exists x (P(x) \Rightarrow P(x)) \vdash \exists x (P(x) \Rightarrow P(x))}{\vdash \exists x (P(x) \Rightarrow P(x))} \text{axiom} \quad \frac{\frac{\frac{P(c) \vdash P(c)}{\vdash P(c) \Rightarrow P(c)} \text{axiom} \quad \frac{\vdash P(c) \Rightarrow P(c)}{\vdash \exists x (P(x) \Rightarrow P(x))} \exists\text{-intro}}{\vdash \exists x (P(x) \Rightarrow P(x))} \Rightarrow\text{-intro}}{\vdash \exists x (P(x) \Rightarrow P(x))} \Rightarrow\text{-elim}$$

**Exercise 4** Find a proof  $\pi$  that contains a single cut but such that eliminating this cut in  $\pi$  creates other cuts.

**Exercise 5** 1. Prove that a proof that is constructive, cut-free and without any axioms, ends with an introduction rule.

2. Show that each hypothesis is necessary.

**Exercise 6** Consider a set  $E$  and the set  $R = \{x \in E \mid \neg x \in x\}$ . Consider the rule

$$x \in R \longrightarrow x \in E \wedge \neg x \in x$$

1. Prove the sequent  $R \in R \vdash \perp$ ,

2. *prove the sequent  $\vdash \neg R \in R$ ,*
3. *prove the sequent  $R \in E \vdash R \in R$ ,*
4. *prove the sequent  $R \in E \vdash \perp$ ,*
5. *prove the sequent  $\vdash \neg R \in E$ .*
6. *Eliminate the cuts in this proof.*

**Exercise 7** 1. *Find a model where the proposition  $P(a)$  is not valid.*

2. *Is the proposition  $P(a)$  provable?*
3. *Find a model where the proposition  $\neg P(a)$  is not valid.*
4. *Is the proposition  $\neg P(a)$  provable?*
5. *Find a model valued in a Boolean algebra, where the proposition neither  $P(a)$  nor  $\neg P(a)$  are valid.*

**Exercise 8** *Find a model valued in a Heyting algebra, where the proposition  $P(a) \vee \neg P(a)$  is not valid.*