## **MPRI**

Foundations of proof systems 2 Gilles Dowek

**Exercise 1** The simple types are inductively defined by two rules:

- ι and o are simple types,
- if A and B are simple types, then  $A \rightarrow B$  is a simple type.

For each simple type, we consider an infinite set of variables of this type and possibly some constants. The Simply typed  $\lambda$ -terms are defined by

- variables and constants of type A are terms of type A,
- if t is a term of type  $A \to B$  and u a term of type A, then  $(t \ u)$  is a term of type B,
- if x is a variable of type A and t a term of type B, then  $\lambda x : A t$  is a term of type  $A \to B$ .
- 1. The  $\beta$ -reduction rule is

$$((\lambda x : A t) u) \longrightarrow (u/x)t$$

Define the notion of one-step  $\beta$ -reduction, termination and strong termination of  $\beta$ -reduction.

2. Consider the following strong termination proof.

*By induction of the structure of*  $\lambda$ *-terms* 

- variables and constants are irreducible, hence they strongly terminate,
- if t strongly terminates, then so does  $\lambda x t$ ,
- if t and u strongly terminate, then so does (t u).

Why is this proof wrong?

We define, by induction over the type A, a set of terms  $R_A$ .

- If  $A = \iota$  or A = o, then a term t is an element of  $R_A$  if and only if it strongly terminates.
- If  $A = B \to C$ , then a term t is an element of  $R_A$  if and only if it strongly terminates and whenever it reduces to a term of the form  $\lambda x : B u$ , then for every term v in  $R_B$ , (v/x)u is an element of  $R_C$ .
- 3. Prove that if x is a variable or a constant, then  $x \in R_A$  for all A.
- 4. Prove that if t is an element of  $R_A$  and t reduces to t', then t' is an element of  $R_A$ .

5. Let t be a term of the form  $(u_1 \ u_2)$  such that all the one-step reducts of t are in  $R_A$ . We want to prove that t is in  $R_A$ .

Prove that t strongly terminates.

Prove that if  $A = \iota$  or A = o, then t is in  $R_A$ .

Prove that if  $A = B \rightarrow C$ , then t is in  $R_A$ .

6. Let  $t_1$  be a term in  $R_{A\to B}$  and  $t_2$  a term in  $R_A$ . We want to prove that  $(t_1 \ t_2)$  is in  $R_B$ .

Prove that the term  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  strongly terminates.

Let  $n_1$  be the maximum length of a reduction sequence issued from the term  $t_1$  and  $n_2$  be the maximum length of a reduction sequence issued from  $t_2$ .

Prove by induction on  $n_1 + n_2$  that  $(t_1 t_2)$  is in the set  $R_B$ .

- 7. Let t be a term of type A and  $\sigma$  be a substitution mapping each variable of type B to an element of  $R_B$ . Prove that  $\sigma t$  is in  $R_A$ .
- 8. Let t be a term of type A. Prove that t strongly terminates.

**Exercise 2** Consider the model of Simple type theory defined as follows  $\mathcal{M}_{\iota} = \{7\}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{o} = \{0,1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{A \to B} = \mathcal{M}_{A} \to \mathcal{M}_{B}$ , that is the set of all functions from A to B.

- $\hat{\varepsilon}$  is the identity function,
- $\hat{\alpha}_{A,B}$  is the function mapping f and a to f(a),
- $\hat{K}_{A,B}$  is the function mapping a and b to a,
- $\hat{S}_{A,B,C}$  is the function mapping f, g and a to f a (g a),
- $\hat{\dot{\top}} = \tilde{\top} = 1$ ,
- $\bullet \ \ \hat{\dot{\bot}} = \tilde{\bot} = 0,$
- $\hat{\wedge} = \tilde{\wedge}$ ,
- $\hat{\forall} = \tilde{\lor}$ ,
- $\bullet \ \ \hat{\Rightarrow} = \tilde{\Rightarrow},$
- $\dot{\forall}_A$  is the function mapping f to the minimum of f(a) for a in  $\mathcal{M}_A$ ,
- $\dot{\forall}_A$  is the function mapping f to the maximum of f(a) for a in  $\mathcal{M}_A$ .
- 1. Prove that M is a model of Simple type theory.

2. Equality is defined by the rule

$$x = y \longrightarrow \dot{\forall} c ((c x) \Rightarrow (c y))$$

prove that  $[\![ \varepsilon(t=u) ]\!]_{\rho}=1$  if and only if  $[\![t]\!]_{\rho}=[\![u]\!]_{\rho}.$ 

Let E be the extensionality axiom

$$\forall f: (\iota \to \iota) \forall g: (\iota \to \iota) ((\forall x: \iota (fx) = (gx)) \Rightarrow f = g)$$

Prove that E is valid in this model

*Prove that*  $\neg E$  *is not provable in Simple type theory.* 

3. Build a model of Simple type theory where  ${\it E}$  is not valid.

Prove that E is not provable in Simple type theory.