

# Finite Elements lab assignments

Martijn Papendrecht: 4369971

February 22, 2018

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>1D Assignment</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Theory . . . . .	3
1.2	Modelling . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>2D Assignment: Assignment 1</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Theory . . . . .	8
2.2	Modelling . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>Matlab Code</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1	1D Assignment . . . . .	14
3.1.1	GenerateMesh.m . . . . .	14
3.1.2	GenerateTopology.m . . . . .	14
3.1.3	GenerateElementMatrix.m . . . . .	14
3.1.4	GenerateElementVector.m . . . . .	14
3.1.5	AssembleMatrix.m . . . . .	14
3.1.6	AssembleVector.m . . . . .	14
3.1.7	func.m . . . . .	15
3.1.8	Femsolve1d.m . . . . .	15
3.2	2D Assignment . . . . .	16
3.2.1	WI4243Mesh.m . . . . .	16
3.2.2	WI4243Comp.m . . . . .	16
3.2.3	GenerateElementMatrix.m . . . . .	16
3.2.4	GenerateElementVector.m . . . . .	17
3.2.5	GenerateBoundaryElementMatrix.m . . . . .	18
3.2.6	GenerateBoundaryElementVector.m . . . . .	18
3.2.7	BuildMatricesandVectors.m . . . . .	18
3.2.8	Fxy.m . . . . .	20
3.2.9	WI4243Post.m . . . . .	20
3.2.10	Run.m . . . . .	20

# 1 1D Assignment

## 1.1 Theory

Starting with the differential equation and boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} -D \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} + \lambda u &= f(x), \\ -D \frac{du}{dx}(0) &= 0, -D \frac{du}{dx}(1) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

we can derive the weak formulation.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left[ -D \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} + \lambda u \right] \phi dx &= \int_0^1 f(x) \phi dx \\ \int_0^1 -D \left( \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{du}{dx} \phi \right) - \frac{d\phi}{dx} \frac{du}{dx} \right) + \lambda u \phi &= \int_0^1 f(x) \phi dx \\ -D \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{du}{dx} \phi \right) dx + \int_0^1 D \frac{d\phi}{dx} \frac{du}{dx} + \lambda u \phi dx &= \int_0^1 f(x) \phi dx \\ \int_0^1 D \frac{d\phi}{dx} \frac{du}{dx} + \lambda u \phi dx &= \int_0^1 f(x) \phi dx \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here  $\phi$  is the test function satisfying the smoothness requirements. The boundary conditions are already contained in this weak formulation.

We can derive the Galerkin formulation by substitution  $\phi = \phi_i$  and  $u \approx u^N = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \phi_j$  in eq. (2).

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 D \frac{d\phi_i}{dx} \frac{d}{dx} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \phi_j \right) + \lambda \left( \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \phi_j \right) \phi_i dx &= \int_0^1 f(x) \phi_i dx \\ \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \int_0^1 D \frac{d\phi_i}{dx} \frac{d\phi_j}{dx} + \lambda \phi_i \phi_j dx &= \int_0^1 f(x) \phi_i dx \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

And finally we can write in the form  $S\vec{u} = \vec{f}$  where

$$S_{ij} = \int_0^1 D \frac{d\phi_i}{dx} \frac{d\phi_j}{dx} + \lambda \phi_i \phi_j dx \quad (4)$$

$$f_i = \int_0^1 f(x) \phi_i dx \quad (5)$$




## 1.2 Modelling



All figures are made using Matlab. The code is available at Github <sup>1</sup>. Also the files are added in section 3. In the files the elements have the option to get an additional random offset of  $\pm \frac{1}{2n}$ , and not equally spaced as prescribed in the assignment. This has been done to test the code without the assumption of

<sup>1</sup> <https://github.com/MPapendrecht/FiniteElements.git>

equally spaced vertices. The figures are made without the additional random offset.


The figures below show the results for different number of vertices  $n$ . Furthermore  $\lambda = 1$  and  $D = 1$  and the function  $f(x) = 1$ .









The solution is as expected very close to the analytical solution, and clearly satisfies the boundary conditions.


The figures below show the results for different number of vertices  $n$  as well. The same parameters are used, however the function  $f(x) = \sin(20x)$ .







The solution with  $n = 10$  shows the boundary conditions aren't satisfied properly because the amount of vertices is too small. The other solutions satisfy the boundary conditions much better. Increasing  $n$  further doesn't seem to make the function improve much more, which can be seen in the figure below.



## 2 2D Assignment: Assignment 1

### 2.1 Theory

We start with the differential equation and boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (D\vec{\nabla}u) + \lambda u &= F(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in \Omega \\ F(x, y) &= \exp\left(\frac{(x - 0.3)^2 + y^2}{0.1}\right) \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} &= 0, \quad (x, y) \in \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $\Omega$  is the L-shaped domain which is constructed by removing the left-upper quarter from the square of  $(-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$  and where  $\partial\Omega$  is the boundary of  $\Omega$ .

First we will derive the Weak Formulation, again using  $\phi$  as the test function which satisfies the smoothness requirements.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} [-\vec{\nabla} \cdot (D\vec{\nabla}u) + \lambda u] \phi d\Omega &= \int_{\Omega} F(x, y) \phi d\Omega \\ - \int_{\Omega} \vec{\nabla} \cdot (D\vec{\nabla}u) \phi d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \lambda u \phi d\Omega &= \int_{\Omega} F(x, y) \phi d\Omega \\ - \int_{\Omega} \vec{\nabla} \cdot ((D\vec{\nabla}u) \phi) - D\vec{\nabla}u \cdot \vec{\nabla} \phi d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \lambda u \phi d\Omega &= \int_{\Omega} F(x, y) \phi d\Omega \quad (7) \\ - \int_{\partial\Omega} D(\vec{\nabla}u \cdot \vec{n}) \phi d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} D\vec{\nabla}u \cdot \vec{\nabla} \phi + \lambda u \phi d\Omega &= \int_{\Omega} F(x, y) \phi d\Omega \\ \int_{\Omega} D\vec{\nabla}u \cdot \vec{\nabla} \phi + \lambda u \phi d\Omega &= \int_{\Omega} F(x, y) \phi d\Omega \end{aligned}$$

In eq. (7) we have used the boundary conditions and therefore they are contained in eq. (7).

Next we can derive the Galerkin formulation by substituting  $\phi = \phi_i$  and  $u \approx u^N = \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \phi_j$  into eq. (7).

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} D\vec{\nabla} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \phi_j \right) \cdot \vec{\nabla} \phi_i + \lambda \left( \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \phi_j \right) \phi_i d\Omega &= \int_{\Omega} F(x, y) \phi_i d\Omega \\ \int_{\Omega} D \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \vec{\nabla} \phi_j \cdot \vec{\nabla} \phi_i + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \phi_j \phi_i d\Omega &= \int_{\Omega} F(x, y) \phi_i d\Omega \quad (8) \\ \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \int_{\Omega} D\vec{\nabla} \phi_j \cdot \vec{\nabla} \phi_i + \lambda \phi_j \phi_i d\Omega &= \int_{\Omega} F(x, y) \phi_i d\Omega \end{aligned}$$

We can write this as a linear system of equations  $S\vec{u} = \vec{f}$  where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ij} &= \int_{\Omega} D\vec{\nabla} \phi_j \cdot \vec{\nabla} \phi_i + \lambda \phi_j \phi_i d\Omega \\ f_i &= \int_{\Omega} F(x, y) \phi_i d\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$




Using triangular elements  $e_k$  we find for the internal elements




$$\begin{aligned}
S_{ij}^{e_k} &= \int_{e_k} D [\beta_j \quad \gamma_j] \cdot [\beta_i \quad \gamma_i] + \lambda \phi_j \phi_i d\Omega \\
&= \frac{|\Delta e_k|}{2} D (\beta_i \beta_j + \gamma_i \gamma_j) + \frac{|\Delta e_k|}{24} \lambda (1 + \delta_{ij}) \\
f_i &= \int_{e_k} F(x, y) \phi_i d\Omega \\
&= \frac{|\Delta e_k|}{6} \sum_{p=1}^3 F(x_p, y_p) \phi_i(x_p, y_p) \\
&= \frac{|\Delta e_k|}{6} F(x_i, y_i).
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$




Because eq. (8) doesn't have boundary contributions the boundary elements are all 0.




## 2.2 Modelling

In the following figures  $D = 0.23$  and  $\lambda$  is changed. All code is again available on Github (see footnote 1) as well in section 3.









In these figures we see a stationary solution to eq. (6). The diffusion of the source is balanced with the decomposition. For high  $\lambda$  we see only the source remains and before much diffusion can happen the lactates are already decomposed. When  $\lambda$  is small, the lactates have to be in a higher concentration for the decomposition rate to catch up. This is because the decomposition rate is linear with  $u$ . Furthermore, because of the boundary conditions the lactates cannot leave the upper right corner, so here they reach a higher concentration. In the lower left corner more space is available to decompose the lactates. Here the concentration will always be lower than the upper right corner. This is also the reason for the fan shape in the lower right corner. Because the solution has to be smooth a fan transition between the high and low concentration areas is necessary.

As a final remark  $\lambda = 0$  will result in a non-stationary solution, because of the lack of decomposition. The source will keep increasing the amount of lactates, and the diffusion will equalize the concentration, but there is not point at which decomposition will match regeneration.

## 3 Matlab Code

### 3.1 1D Assignment

#### 3.1.1 GenerateMesh.m

```
1 x = linspace(0,1,n);
2 %x = x + [0, (2*rand(1,n-2)-1)/(2*n) , 0];
```

#### 3.1.2 GenerateTopology.m

```
1 elmat = zeros(n-1,2);
2 elmat(:,1) = 1:(n-1);
3 elmat(:,2) = 2:n;
```

#### 3.1.3 GenerateElementMatrix.m

```
1 S_elem = zeros(2,2);
2 h = abs(x(elmat(i,2)) - x(elmat(i,1)));
3 for k = 1:2
4     for l = 1:2
5
6         S_elem(k,l) = 1/h * D*(-1)^(k-1) + h*lambda*(1+(k
            ==1))/6;
7     end
8 end
```

#### 3.1.4 GenerateElementVector.m

```
1 xc = [x(elmat(i,1)), x(elmat(i,2))];
2 h = abs(xc(1) - xc(2));
3
4 f_elem = h/2 * func(xc);
```

#### 3.1.5 AssembleMatrix.m

```
1 S = zeros(n,n);
2 for i = 1:(n-1)
3     GenerateElementMatrix
4     for j = 1:2
5         for k = 1:2
6             S(elmat(i,j),elmat(i,k)) = S_elem(j,k) + S(
                elmat(i,j),elmat(i,k));
7         end
8     end
9 end
```

#### 3.1.6 AssembleVector.m

```
1 f = zeros(n,1);
2 for i = 1:(n-1)
3     GenerateElementVector;
4     for j = 1:2
```

```

5         f(elmat(i,j)) = f_elem(j) + f(elmat(i,j));
6     end
7 end

```

### 3.1.7 func.m

```

1 function [ f ] = func( x )
2 %F Summary of this function goes here
3 % Detailed explanation goes here
4     f = sin(20*x);
5 end

```

### 3.1.8 Femsolve1d.m

```

1 clear
2 close all
3
4 N = [10, 20, 40, 80, 160];
5 nN = numel(N);
6 snN = ceil(sqrt(nN));
7 for q=1:nN
8
9     n = N(q);
10    lambda = 1;
11    D = 1;
12    GenerateMesh;
13    GenerateTopology;
14    AssembleMatrix;
15    AssembleVector;
16    u = S\f;
17
18    figure(q)
19    plot(x,u, '-o');
20    set(gca, 'FontSize', 24)
21    title(['n = ', num2str(n)])
22 end

```

## 3.2 2D Assignment

### 3.2.1 WI4243Mesh.m

```
1 [p,e,t] = initmesh(Geometry);
2
3 for i = 1:loops
4 [p,e,t] = refinemesh(Geometry,p,e,t); % gives
      gridrefinement
5 end
6
7 pdemesh(p,e,t); % plots the geometry and mesh
8
9 x = p(1,:); y = p(2,:);
10
11 n = length(p(1,:));
12
13 elmat = t(1:3,:);
14 elmat = elmat';
15 elmatbnd = e(1:2,:);
16 elmatbnd = elmatbnd';
```

### 3.2.2 WI4243Comp.m

```
1 % Construction of linear problem
2
3 BuildMatricesandVectors;
4
5 % Solution of linear problem
6
7 u = S \ f;
```

### 3.2.3 GenerateElementMatrix.m

```
1
2 % Module for element mass matrix for reactive term
3 %
4 % Output: Selem  ===== 2 x 2 matrix
5 %
6 % Selem(1,1), Selem(1,2), Selem(1,3), Selem(2,1), Selem
      (2,2), Selem(2,3),
7 %
8 % Selem(3,1), Selem(3,2), Selem(3,3) to be computed in
      this routine.
9 %
10 % elmat(i,1), elmat(i,2), elmat(i,3) give the index
      numbers of the vertices of element i
11 %
12 % x(elmat(i,j)), y(elmat(i,j)) give the coordinates of
      the vertices
13 %
```



```

14 % i = index number of element, imported from
    AssemblyStepStiffnessMatrix.m
15 %
16 % Selem(index1,index2) = (grad phi(elmat(i,index1)),grad
    phi(i,index2))
17 %
18
19 xc=zeros(1,topology);
20 yc = zeros(1,topology);
21 Selem = zeros(topology,topology);
22
23 for index1 = 1:topology
24     xc(index1) = x(elmat(i,index1));
25     yc(index1) = y(elmat(i,index1));
26 end
27
28 D = [1 xc(1) yc(1);1 xc(2) yc(2);1 xc(3) yc(3)];
29 Delta = det(D);
30
31 B_mat = D \ eye(3);
32
33 alpha = B_mat(1,1:3);
34 beta = B_mat(2,1:3);
35 gamma = B_mat(3,1:3);
36
37 for index1 = 1:topology
38     for index2 = 1:topology
39         Selem(index1,index2) = abs(Delta)/2*
            DiffCoeff*(beta(index1)*beta(index2)+
            gamma(index1)*gamma(index2)) + lambda
            * (1+(index1==index2)) * abs(Delta)
            /24;
40     end
41 end

```

### 3.2.4 GenerateElementVector.m

```

1
2 % Module for element mass matrix for reactive term
3 %
4 % Output: felem ===== vector of two components
5 %
6 % felem(1), felem(2) to be computed in this routine.
7
8 xc=zeros(1,topology);
9 yc = zeros(1,topology);
10 felem = zeros(1,topology);
11
12 for index1 = 1:topology
13     xc(index1) = x(elmat(i,index1));

```

```

14         yc(index1) = y(elmat(i, index1));
15     end
16
17
18     for index1 = 1:topology
19         felem(index1) = abs(Delta)/6 * Fxy(xc(
20             index1), yc(index1));
21     end

```

### 3.2.5 GenerateBoundaryElementMatrix.m

```

1 xc = zeros(1, topologybnd);
2 yc = zeros(1, topologybnd);
3 BMelem = zeros(topologybnd, topologybnd);
4
5 for index1=1:topologybnd
6     xc(index1) = x(elmatbnd(i, index1));
7     yc(index1) = y(elmatbnd(i, index1));
8 end
9
10 lek = sqrt((xc(2)-xc(1))^2 + (yc(2)-yc(1))^2);
11
12 for index1=1:topologybnd
13     BMelem(index1, index1) = 0;
14 end

```

### 3.2.6 GenerateBoundaryElementVector.m

```

1 xc = zeros(1, topologybnd);
2 yc = zeros(1, topologybnd);
3 bfelem = zeros(topologybnd, topologybnd);
4
5 for index1 = 1:topologybnd
6     xc(index1) = x(elmatbnd(i, index1));
7     yc(index1) = y(elmatbnd(i, index1));
8 end
9
10 lek = sqrt((xc(2)-xc(1))^2+(yc(2)-yc(1))^2);
11
12 for index1 = 1:topologybnd
13     bfelem(index1) = 0;
14 end

```

### 3.2.7 BuildMatricesandVectors.m

```

1
2 %
3 % This routine constructs the large matrices and vector.
4 %
5 % The element matrices and vectors are also dealt with.
6 %

```

```

7 %
8 % First the internal element contributions
9 %
10 % First Initialisation of large discretisation matrix,
    right-hand side vector
11
12 S = sparse(n,n); % stiffness matrix
13
14 f = zeros(n,1); % right-hand side vector
15
16 %
17 % Treatment of the internal (triangular) elements
18 %
19
20 for i = 1:length(elmat(:,1)) % for all internal elements
21     GenerateElementMatrix; % Selem
22     for ind1 = 1:topology
23         for ind2 = 1:topology
24             S(elmat(i,ind1),elmat(i,ind2)) = S(elmat
                (i,ind1),elmat(i,ind2)) + Selem(ind1,ind2)
                ;
25         end
26     end
27     GenerateElementVector; % felem
28     for ind1 = 1:topology
29         f(elmat(i,ind1)) = f(elmat(i,ind1)) + felem(ind1)
                ;
30     end
31 end
32
33 % Next the boundary contributions
34
35 for i = 1:length(elmatbnd(:,1)) % for all boundary
    elements extension of mass matrix M and element vector
    f
36     GenerateBoundaryElementMatrix; % BMelem
37     for ind1 = 1:topologybnd
38         for ind2 = 1:topologybnd
39             S(elmatbnd(i,ind1),elmatbnd(i,ind2)) = S(
                elmatbnd(i,ind1),elmatbnd(i,ind2)) +
                BMelem(ind1,ind2);
40         end
41     end
42     GenerateBoundaryElementVector; % bfelem
43     for ind1 = 1:topologybnd
44         f(elmatbnd(i,ind1)) = f(elmatbnd(i,ind1)) +
                bfelem(ind1);
45     end
46 end

```

### 3.2.8 Fxy.m

```
1 function [ F ] = Fxy( x, y )
2 %FXY Summary of this function goes here
3 % Detailed explanation goes here
4 F = exp( -((x-0.3).^2 + y.^2)/0.1 );
5 end
```

### 3.2.9 WI4243Post.m

```
1 figure();
2 trisurf(elmat,x,y,u)
3 figure(3);
4 subplot(plots(1), plots(2), loop)
5 trisurf(elmat,x,y,u);
6 title([ '$\lambda = ', num2str(lambda), '$$'], '
    Interpreter', 'latex')
7 set(gca,'FontSize',20)
8 view(2); shading interp; colormap jet; colorbar; set(gcf,
    'renderer','zbuffer')
```

### 3.2.10 Run.m

```
1 clear;
2 close all;
3 Geo = { 'circleg', 'squareg', 'lshapeg' };
4
5 %% Generate mesh
6 Geometry = Geo{3};
7 loops = 2;
8
9 WI4243Mesh;
10
11 %% Compute S, f and u
12 DiffCoeff = 0.23;
13 plots = [2,5];
14 spacing = plots(1)*plots(2);
15
16 lambdaV = logspace(-5,5,spacing);
17 for I = 1:plots(1)
18     for J = 1:plots(2)
19         loop = (I-1)*plots(2)+J;
20         lambda = lambdaV(loop);
21         topology = 3; topologybnd = 2;
22         WI4243Comp;
23
24         %% Generate solution
25         WI4243Post;
26     end
27 end
```