**The variant call format provides efficient and robust storage of GWAS summary statistics**
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**Genome-wide association study (GWAS) summary statistics are a fundamental resource for a variety of secondary research applications 1–6. Yet despite their widespread utility, no common storage format has been widely adopted, hindering tool development, data sharing, analysis and integration. Existing tabular formats 7,8 often ambiguously or incompletely store information about genetic variants and their associations, and also lack essential metadata increasing the possibility of errors in data interpretation and post-GWAS analyses. Additionally, data in these formats are typically not indexed, requiring the whole file be read which is slow and computationally inefficient. To address these issues, we propose an implementation of the variant call format (VCF) 9 and a suite of open-source tools for creating and using this format in downstream analyses. Simulations of query performance demonstrate VCF is 9-46x faster than tabular alternatives when extracting variant(s) by genomic position. Our results demonstrate the VCF provides a robust and performant solution for analysis and sharing of GWAS data. To encourage adoption, we provide free access to over 10,000 complete GWAS summary datasets converted to this format (available from:** [**https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk**](https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk)**).**

**Main**

The GWAS is a powerful tool for identifying genetic loci associated with any trait, including diseases and clinical biomarkers, as well as non-clinical and molecular phenotypes such as height and gene expression 3 (eQTLs). Sharing of GWAS results as summary statistics (i.e. variant, effect size, standard error, p-value etc.) has enabled a range of important secondary research applications including: causal gene and functional variant prioritization 1, causal cell/tissue type nomination 2, pathway analysis 3, causal inference (Mendelian randomization; MR) 4, risk prediction 3, genetic correlation 5 and heritability estimation 6. However, the utility of GWAS summary statistics is hampered by the absence of a universally adopted storage format and associated tools.

Historic lack of a common standard has resulted in GWAS analysis tools outputting results in different formats (e.g. plink 10, GCTA 11, BOLT-LMM 12, GEMMA 13 and meta-analysis tools e.g. METAL 14). As a consequence, various processing issues are typically encountered during secondary analysis. First, inconsistency and ambiguity of which allele relates to the effect size estimate (the “effect” allele). Confusion over the effect allele can have disastrous consequences on the interpretation of GWAS findings and the validity of post-GWAS analyses. For example MR studies may provide causal estimates with incorrect effect directionality 15. Likewise, prediction models based on polygenic risk scores might predict disease groups wrongly or suffer reduced power if some of the effect directionalities are incorrect. Related issues are encountered with naïve usage of dbSNP 16 identifiers which uniquely identify the variant base-position but do not distinguish between multiple alternative alleles. Second, summary statistic files are provided with a variety of content and field (column) names. Absent fields can limit analyses and although approaches exist to estimate the values of some of these missing columns (e.g. standard error from P value) imprecision is introduced reducing subsequent test power. Varying field names are easily addressed in principle but can be cumbersome and error prone. Third, data are frequently distributed with no or insufficient metadata describing the trait, measurement units, publication source, etc. which can lead to errors and impede research reproducibility. Fourth, querying plain text tabular files is slow and memory inefficient, making some potential applications computationally infeasible (e.g. systematic hypothesis-free analyses).

Some proposals for a standard format have been made. The EBI-NHGRI GWAS catalog developed a text format with uniform column names 7. The SMR tool 8 introduced a binary format for rapid querying of quantitative trait loci. These approaches are adequate for storing variant level summary statistics but do not enforce allele consistency or have capacity for essential metadata. Learning from these examples and our experiences performing high-throughput analyses across two research centres, we developed a set of requirements for a suitable universal format (Table 1). These features place emphasis on consistency and robustness, capacity for metadata to provide a full audit trail, efficient querying and file storage, ensuring data integrity, interoperability with existing open-source tools and across multiple datasets to support data sharing and integration. We determined that adapting the variant call format (VCF) 9 was a convenient and constructive solution to these issues. We provide evidence demonstrating how the VCF meets our requirements and showcase capabilities of this medium (Table 1).

The VCF is organized into three components: a flexible file header containing metadata (lines beginning with ‘#’), variant information (one locus per row) and sample information (one sample per column). We adapt this format to include GWAS-specific metadata (Supplementary Table 1) and utilise the sample column to store variant-trait association data (Figure 1; Supplementary Table 2).

The VCF header is mandatory for defining fields throughout the file body including variable description, value requirements (i.e. number of values permitted and null values) and data type (i.e. string, number and boolean). Metadata define important characteristics of the GWAS including trait description(s), units and identifiers, genome build, chromosome lengths and number, number of variants, type of trait (continuous or binary), sample size and study identifier.

Each row of the file body contains a single variant position describing chromosome name, base-pair position, variant identifier (i.e. dbSNP identifier), reference (non-effect allele) and alternative/effect allele(s), quality and filter. The latter can be used to flag poor quality variants for exclusion in downstream analyses. Additionally, the INFO column is a flexible store for variant-level data such as population frequency, genomic annotations and functional effects. Finally, the sample column is used to store allele-trait association metrics: effect size, standard error, association P-value, analysis cohort allele frequency and GWAS marker identifier.

While we have retained the use of dbSNP identifier for as the variant ID due to its extensive use within the genetics community, it should be noted that rsID’s are non-unique, regularly abandoned due to clustering resulting in different rsIDs across dbSNP versions, and non-informative with regards to precise genomic location. An alternative approach is that variants can be represented using a unique identifier in the form of “chrom:pos:ref:alt”. Where indels have alleles longer than 32 bp, md5 hashing can be used to shorten the allele length while ensuring the uniqueness of the variant id and the compatibility with standard genetic analysis tools like PLINK.  Ambiguities in chromosomal coordinates due to the use of different reference genomes is resolved by the inclusion of the human genome build used for harmonization in the meta-information of the VCF file.

This format has a number of advantages over existing solutions. First, established VCF parsing libraries (HTSLIB 17 & HTSJDK 17) provide robust methods for handling complex variation such as multi-allelic (multiple variant alleles at a single genomic position) and insertion-deletion variants which are typically discarded during analyses. Second, VCF provides format validation ensuring values are of the appropriate data type and without missing entries unless explicitly permitted. These features reduce parsing errors and prevent unexpected program operation. Third, file headers support embedding custom study/variant/trait-level metadata. Fourth, VCF is an established format supported by existing tools providing a range of analytical functions. Finally, VCF can store individual or multiple GWAS traits in a single file which is beneficial for data integration and distribution.

Simulations of query performance suggest compressed VCF is substantially quicker than unindexed and uncompressed flat files for querying by genomic position. On average VCF was 16x faster to extract a single variant using chromosome position (0.08 seconds [95% CI 0.08, 0.08]) than unindexed text (1.29 seconds [95% CI 1.29, 1.30]) and 9x quicker using the dbSNP identifier (0.09 seconds [95% CI 0.09, 0.09] vs 0.81 seconds [95% 0.80, 0.82]). Using a 1Mb window of variants VCF was 46x quicker (0.11 seconds [95% CI 0.11, 0.11] vs 5.02 seconds [95% CI 4.99, 5.04]). Although querying on association P value was faster using unindexed text (7.18 seconds [95% CI 7.09, 7.26] vs 18.04 seconds [95% CI 17.92, 18.16]) VCF could be improved by using variant flags (i.e. in the INFO filed) to highlight records below prespecified thresholds if the exact value is unimportant. For example, all variants at genome-wide significance (P=5e-8) or a more relaxed threshold (e.g. P=5e-5). Alternatively, VCF files could be read entirely into memory (if possible) or loaded into a dedicated database such as GenomicsDB 18 which might offer better query performance.

To automate mapping tabular summary statistics to VCF, we developed open-source Python3 software (Gwas2VCF; Table 2). The application reads in metadata and variant-trait associations using a user-defined schema. During processing, variants are harmonised using a supplied reference genome file to ensure the non-effect allele matches the reference sequence enabling consistent inter-study comparisons. Insertion-deletion variants are subsequently left-aligned and trimmed using bcftools 17 to ensure consistent representation. Finally, the VCF is indexed using tabix 17 and rsidx 19 which enable rapid queries by genomic position and dbSNP identifier, respectively. We have developed a freely available web application providing a user-friendly interface for this implementation and encourage other centres to deploy their own instance (Table 2).

Once in GWAS-VCF, summary statistics can be read and queried using R or Python programming languages with our open-source libraries (Table 2). Further, gwasglue provides convenient R programming functions to automate preparation of genetic association data for downstream analysis (Table 2). Currently, methods exist for streamlining variant fine-mapping, colocalization, MR 4 and data visualisation. New methods are being actively added and users may request new features via the repository issues page.

To encourage adoption, we made freely available over 10,000 complete GWAS summary statistics in VCF. These studies include a broad range of traits, diseases and molecular phenotypes initially collected as part of the MR Base platform 20.

Here we present a specification for GWAS summary statistics storage amenable to high-throughput analyses and robust data sharing. We implement open-source tools to convert summary statistics to VCF and libraries for reading or querying the format and integrating with existing analysis tools. Finally, we provide complete GWAS summary statistics for over 10,000 traits in GWAS-VCF. We anticipate these resources will enable convenient and efficient secondary analyses of summary statistics and support future tool development.

**Code availability**

Query performance evaluation source code available from GitHub (https://github.com/MRCIEU/gwas-vcf-performance) or pre-built container available from DockerHub (mrcieu/gwas-vcf-performance)

**Data availability**

Version 1.0.0 of the GWAS summary statistics VCF specification is available from: <https://github.com/MRCIEU/gwas-vcf-spec/releases/tag/1.0.0>

Full summary statistics for over 10,000 GWAS in VCF are available from the IEU GWAS Database (<https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk>)

**Method**

**Specification**

The specification was developed through experience of collecting and harmonising GWAS summary data across two research centres at scale 20 and performing a range of representative high throughput analyses on these data (for example LD score regression 21, MR 22, genetic colocalization analysis 23 and polygenic risk scores 24).

**Query performance simulation**

Densely imputed summary statistics (13,791,467 variants) for a large GWAS of body mass index data were obtained from Neale et al 25. The data were mapped to VCF using Gwas2VCF v1.1.1 and processed using bcftools v1.10 17 to remove multiallelic variants or records with missing dbSNP identifiers. A tabular (unindexed) file was prepared from the VCF to replicate a typical storage medium currently used for distributing summary statistics. Query runtime performance was compared between tabix and standard UNIX commands under the following conditions: single variant selection using dbSNP identifier or chromosome position, multi-variant selection by association P value (thresholds: P < 5e-8, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8) or 1 Mb genomic interval. Tests were undertaken with 100 repetitions using VCF or unindexed text formats with and without GZIP compression on an Ubuntu v18.04 server with Intel Xeon(R) 2.0 Ghz processor. All comparisons were performed using singled thread operations and therefore differences in runtime performance were due to tool and/or file index usage.
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Table 1. Requirements for a summary statistics storage format and solutions offered by the VCF

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Requirement | Solution using VCF |
| Human readable and easy to parse | Easily read with any text viewer. Open-source parsing libraries are available in C (HTSLIB 26) and Java (HTSJDK 26) which can be implemented in most modern programming languages. For example, the VariantAnnotation 27 package is available in the R/Bioconductor project, and the pysam 28 package in Python are mature options for handling VCF files. Bcftools 17 also provides user-friendly functionality from the command line. |
| Unambiguous interpretation of the data | Data field descriptions and value types are required and defined in the file header. File validity is enforced during each read/write. |
| Unambiguous representation of bi-allelic, multi-allelic and insertion-deletion variants | Each locus (row) has capacity to store multiple alternative alleles as required. GWAS effect sizes are stored one per alternative allele allowing for bi/multi-allelic and insertion-deletion variants. HTSLIB 26 and HTSJDK 26 parsing libraries have routines for handling complex variants. Using this approach alternative allele(s) are always the effect allele allowing consistency between studies for ease of comparison. |
| Genomic information can be validated | The file header contains genome build, contig identifiers and sequence length. Reference alleles must match the specified reference FASTA. GATK 29 ValidateVariants can be used to verify file validity in which the reference information is compared against the corresponding human genome reference sequence. |
| Flexibility on which GWAS fields are recorded and enforcement of essential fields | All fields are defined in the file header and can be set optional or required as desired. Our specification implements essential fields and reserved keys. |
| Capacity to store metadata about the study and trait(s) | Each GWAS trait has a row in the file header to store trait description and units, type (case/control or continuous) and unique identifier (e.g., Ensembl Gene ID for eQTLs). |
| Allows multiple traits to be stored together | The sample column was chosen to store GWAS association metrics to allow for multiple traits from a single study to be stored in a single file, or as individual files if desired. |
| Rapid querying by dbSNP identifier, genomic position range or GWAS summary data values | The file is sorted karyotypically and indexed to allow rapid queries by genomic position. Additional indexing on dbSNP identifier is also possible 19. Refer below for performance comparison of indexed VCF files and standard UNIX tools. |
| File compression | VCF files may be compressed with block GZIP 17 or converted to a binary call file which is a binary VCF companion format 17. |
| Readable by existing open-source tools | A large number of tools support VCF files including: GATK 29, Picard 30, bcftools 17, bedtools 31, vcftools 9 and plink 10. Bcftools 17 can also provide a tabular extract for use with non-compatible tools. |
| Amenable to cloud-based streaming and database storage | Genomic intervals may be extracted over a network using range-requests which allows for file segments to be read without transferring the whole file. This enables rapid streaming of queries over the internet.  For high-throughput and distributed storage and querying, VCF files can be easily imported into GenomicsDB 18. |

GWAS, genome-wide association study. dbSNP, database of single-nucleotide polymorphisms. HTSLIB, high-throughput sequencing data library. HTSJDK, high-throughput sequencing data java development kit. GATK, genome-analysis toolkit. dbSNP, single nucleotide polymorphism database.

Table 2. Open-source tools for working with GWAS-VCF

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Program | Purpose | Implementation | Source code link |
| gwas2vcf | Mapping tab separated GWAS summary statistics and EBI format to VCF | Python3 (Docker) | <https://github.com/mrcieu/gwas2vcf> |
| gwas2vcfweb <http://64.227.44.193> | Front-end and queue schedular for gwas2vcf | Python3, Cromwell32  (Docker) | <https://github.com/mrcieu/gwas2vcfweb> |
| R/gwasvcf | Library for querying and reading GWAS-VCF files | R | <https://github.com/mrcieu/gwasvcf> |
| pygwasvcf | Library for querying and reading GWAS-VCF files | Python3 | <https://github.com/mrcieu/pygwasvcf> |
| R/gwasglue | Library for processing GWAS summary statistics ready for secondary analysis | R | <https://github.com/mrcieu/gwasglue> |
| LD Score Regression 5 (patch) | Estimating genetic correlation and heritability | Python | <http://github.com/explodecomputer/ldsc> |

GWAS, genome-wide association study. LD, linkage disequilibrium. VCF, variant call format. EBI, European Bioinformatics Institute.

Figure 1. VCF adapted to store GWAS summary statistics



Variant call file format for storing GWAS summary statistics. The file contains study and trait(s) metadata, variant-level content and variant-trait association statistics. Each field is defined in the file header including variable type and number. The format can accommodate multiple traits or one per file as required.

Figure 2. Performance comparison for querying summary statistics in plain text and VCF



Mean query time (log milliseconds; repetitions n=100) to extract either: a single variant using the chromosome position or dbSNP identifier or multiple variants using a 1 Mb interval or association P value. AWK, grep, bcftools 17 and rsidx 19 were evaluated using uncompressed and GZIP/BGZIP 17 compressed unindexed text and VCF. Error bars represent the 95% confidence interval.

Supplementary Table 1. VCF header metadata fields for GWAS summary statistics

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Field | Type | Description |
| TotalVariants | Integer | Total number of variants in input |
| VariantsNotRead | Integer | Number of variants that could not be read |
| HarmonisedVariants | Integer | Total number of harmonised variants |
| VariantsNotHarmonised | Integer | Total number of variants that could not be harmonised |
| SwitchedAlleles | Integer | Total number of variants strand switched |
| TotalControls | Integer | Total number of controls in the association study |
| TotalCases | Integer | Total number of cases in the association study |
| StudyType | String | Type of GWAS study [Continuous or CaseControl] |

Reserved fields for storing essential metadata in the VCF header.

Supplementary Table 2. VCF variant metadata fields for GWAS summary statistics using the SAMPLE fields

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Field | Type | Description |
| ES | Float | Effect size estimate relative to the alternative allele |
| SE | Float | Standard error of effect size estimate |
| LP | Float | -log10 p-value for effect estimate |
| AF | Float | Alternate allele frequency in the association study |
| SS | Float | Sample size used to estimate genetic effect |
| EZ | Float | Z-score provided if it was used to derive the ES and SE fields |
| SI | Float | Accuracy score of summary data imputation |
| NC | Float | Number of cases used to estimate genetic effect |
| ID | String | Study variant identifier |

Reserved fields for storing essential variant contextual data in the VCF sample column. ES, effect size. SE, standard error. LP, log P value. AF, allele frequency. SS, sample size. EZ, effect Z-score. SI, summary imputation. NC, number of cases. ID, identifier.