**PREDICTING HIE: CONVENTIONAL RISK FACTORS COMPARED TO AGNOSTIC MACHINE LEARNING ALGORITHMS**

**INTRODUCTION**

While mothers report that the wellbeing of their unborn infant is the single biggest priority for them1 there is little evidence to guide them or the professionals looking after their baby2. The prediction of which infants will become compromised around birth is poorly understood3, and has been identified as a priority for the RCOG4 and the UK Department of Health5. We have presented some work that shows that modelling of risk is feasible6 and we know that simple interventions can improve neonatal and maternal7,8 outcomes.

One significant cause of perinatal brain injury is perinatal asphyxia, leading to hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy (HIE). HIE is often devastating, with life-long impacts for the infant13 and their family, as well as costing society millions of pounds in medical compensation, lost earnings and welfare support14. In addition to the direct impact on infants and families, obstetric practice represents the biggest proportion of legal claims against the NHS15 and even small improvements in outcomes would yield substantial health benefits for individuals and economic benefits for healthcare services. Indeed, perinatal asphyxia is the 12th biggest cause of disability life years worldwide16 (i.e. a bigger impact than diabetes mellitus or tuberculosis), and even those infants with mild asphyxia have worse measures in cognition, movement and social metrics when compared to their peers17–19 and the true impact of this and other post-term related pathologies, and the economic implications, are unclear20. However interventions, such as induction of labour or operative delivery, can be employed if the risks of continuing the pregnancy are higher than delivery: for either the mother or the infant21. This lack of clear data on the perinatal risks and long term outcomes of these infants likely contributes to the variation in management of mothers with post-term babies11 and current NICE guidelines recognise this, and suggest that a research priority is to “identify babies at particularly high risk of morbidity and mortality who will benefit from induction and therefore avoid induction for babies who do not need it”22.

Risk factors for perinatal asphyxia and encephalopathy have been derived by a number of papers; although one of the most cited remains the work by Badawi *et al*10,26. This work identifies 35 potential risk factors for encephalopathy in an Australian population (Table 1) and together have been cited over 700 times (Data extracted Web of Science 17/12/2019). Analysing such data with machine learning (ML) algorithms to predict health outcomes is currently of great interest. However often algorithms require cleaned data, careful feature selection/engineering and significant training and expertise to develop, before they are able to meet, or exceed, clinical prediction. Recently more automated approaches to ML have become available, collectively known as automated machine learning (AutoML). We investigate the potential of such approaches to simplify the development of a clinical prediction model for HIE.

This work is based on the Collaborative Perinatal Project (CPP) 24. Collection of data was from 14 units across the United States and showed little evidence of selection bias25. The dataset includes data on approximately 60,000 pregnancies, and 58,000 live born infants born between 1959 and 1965. Data was collected throughout the prenatal period, labour and delivery, postpartum and as the child grew.

**AIMS**

1. To evaluate if automated feature selection, feature engineering and ML approaches applied to a large dataset with minimal human input can produce models with that predict disease outcomes as well, or better than conventional approaches with established risk factors.
2. To test if measures of infant growth can improve the prediction of HIE.
3. Determine and compare the performance of a range of binary classifiers in predicting HIE.

**DISCUSSION**

Using freely available historical data, we have shown that agnostic feature selection, feature engineering and ML algorithms are able to predict the risk of HIE and perinatal death to a similar level of precision as expert-developed clinical prediction models despite minimum data preparation of the cohort. In addition, measure of prediction did improve in many of the models after addition of growth measures (did they?) but not in all. While the ML models were able to match the conventional analysis, it should be noted that the risk factors used in this (and the categories etc used) were derived from data measures in a different population some years late.

Internal limitations of this work include missing data (selection bias); although only 390 infants were not included in any of the conventional or ML models. While the data was derived from a large cohort study considered to be of high methodological quality, it is clearly limited by the age of the data; although the risk factors proposed in Badawi’s work (derived from an Australian population) some decades later were still strong predictors of outcome; suggesting that the main underlying causes of perinatal death and HIE remain significant over this time frame. In addition, for this to provide a valid use to clinicians it need to be tested on recent, but also routinely collected data; with likely less cleaning and more missing data points than is present in this research dataset.

MATT CAN YOU ADD YOUR ‘AREAS’ HERE?

This work is consistent with other prediction work, suggesting that poor birth condition can be predicted, although in this study we have attempted to test if ‘raw’ data, mostly unprepared by the research team, could be used by the ML model to aid clinicians. The advantages of this would mean application across the multiple data standards and systems in place within healthcare services, and the development of adaptive risk scores to aid decisions around and before birth. Women in the highest decile of risk had risks of between 20% and 40% of their infant developing brain injury around birth (compared to the background risk of only 0.5%); with this risk predictable from antenatal measures. If replicable using live data, this would give the mother and clinicians valuable data to help guide management as we know interventions exists to reduce or modify the risk. We also wanted to test if growth measure, at the time measures after the birth of the infant but now measurable in-utero using ultrasound would add prediction to the model. In the conventional model growth did add additional value for HIE (p=0.0263) and perinatal death (p=0.005), but ?NOT? in the ML models. This may reflect other measures of growth or correlated of it (e.g. number of outpatient appointments) stored in the antenatal record and further work using antenatal measures should interrogate this.

**Conclusion**

In this work, on a historical cohort, machine learning models with minimum data preparation were able to match and, in some examples, exceed the prediction of conventional analysis in predicting which infants would develop HIE after birth. Some predictions improved when measures of growth were included, supporting the role for routine antenatal measures of growth during pregnancies using modern imaging techniques. Routine growth measures, and automated ML models on other routinely collected health data may provide an additional tool to obstetric services to help identify infants at high risk of brain injury around birth and help target additional observation or interventions.
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