

# Buffer-stock with continuous choices for consumption and debt

Andreas Laursen, Carl Buan and Peter Ravn

May 2023

# Motivation

**Research question:** Can the buffer-stock model be used to explain the credit card debt puzzle?

**The credit card debt puzzle:** When some households take on high-interest credit card debt, while still holding low-interest liquid assets.

**Possible explanation:** Some low-income households can become credit constrained in any period  $\implies$  they borrow as a precaution.

**Our goal:** Model this using the buffer-stock model as in *Precautionary Borrowings and The Credit Card Debt Puzzle*, J. Druedahl and C. Jørgensen, 2015

# Model overview

State variables:  $\mathbf{S}_t = (D_{t-1}, A_{t-1}, P_t, Y_t, u_t)$

$$V(\mathbf{S}_t) = \max_{D_t, C_t} \frac{C_t^{1-\rho}}{1-\rho} + \beta \cdot \mathbb{E}_t[V(\mathbf{S}_{t+1})] \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$N_t = A_t - D_t$$

$$D_t \leq \max \{ (1 - \lambda) \cdot D_{t-1}, \mathbf{1}_{u_t=0} \cdot (\eta \cdot N_t + \varphi \cdot P_t) \}$$

$$A_t = (1 + r_a) \cdot A_{t-1} + Y_t - C_t - \underbrace{r_d \cdot D_{t-1}}_{\text{Interest}} - \underbrace{\lambda \cdot D_{t-1}}_{\text{Installment}} + \underbrace{(D_t - (1 - \lambda) \cdot D_{t-1})}_{\text{New debt}}$$

## Key points:

- A classic buffer-stock model
- Two choice variables: Consumption  $C_t$  and debt  $D_t$
- The allowed amount of debt depends on unemployment status  
i.e. unemployed  $u_t = 1 \implies$  no access to new credit, and vice versa

# Model overview

## Income shocks:

$$Y_{t+1} = \tilde{\xi}(u_{t+1}, \xi_{t+1}) \cdot P_{t+1}$$

$$P_{t+1} = \Gamma \cdot \psi_{t+1} \cdot P_t$$

$$\tilde{\xi}(u_{t+1}) \equiv \begin{cases} \mu & \text{if } u_{t+1} = 1 \\ \frac{\xi_{t+1} - u_* \cdot \mu}{1 - u_*} & \text{if } u_{t+1} = 0 \end{cases}$$

with  $\xi_{t+1}$  and  $\psi_{t+1}$  log-normal.

## Unemployment indicator:

$$u_{t+1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } u^* \text{ (the unemployment rate)} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

# Plan of action

## Starting point:

- Solve the model using VFI
- Simplifying assumption:  $\eta = 0$  to avoid non-convexities
- Simplifying assumption: Credit-constrained once unemployed  
i.e.  $u_t = 1 \iff$  no borrowing

## Extensions:

- Solve by NVFI and NEGM and compare performance of solution methods (inspired by Druedahl 2020)
- Discuss implications of non-convexities
- More complex modelling of the credit-constraint  $\implies$  whether or not one is credit-constrained does not *only* depend on  $u_t$