

Matheus S. D'Andrea Alves

Recognition of (r, ℓ) -partite Graphs

2019

Abstract

The complexity to recognize if a graph has an (r, ℓ) -partition, i.e. if it can be partitioned into r cliques and ℓ independent sets, is well defined(1). However, as we will demonstrate, the literature-stabilished values for those on the P class can be improved. The following work provides a set of strategies and algortihms that pushes the previous results for the $(2, 1)$ -partite (from n^4 to $n * m$), $(1, 2)$ -partite (from n^4 to $n * m$) and $(2, 2)$ -partite (from n^{12} to $n^2 * m$) recognition.

Keywords— (r, ℓ) -graphs, (r, ℓ) -partitions

List of Figures

List of Tables

Table 1	– Incomplete complexity analysis of the (r, ℓ) -partite recognition problem	3
Table 2	– Incomplete complexity analysis of the (r, ℓ) -partite recognition problem	4
Table 3	– Current complexity analysis of the (r, ℓ) -partite recognition problem . .	4

Contents

	Contents	2
1	INTRODUCTION	3
1.1	Current results	3
1.1.1	m -bounded results	3
1.1.2	NP -Complete results	3
1.1.3	Frontier results	4
1.2	On the recognition of $(2, 1)$-graphs	5
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	7

1 Introduction

1.1 Current results

In this section we will explore the current state of the complexity analysis as r and ℓ grows. As we fullfill the following table we expose the strategies and how those can be used to enlight the more complex results.

The most trivial result is the recognition of the $(1, 0)$ -graphs, as in order to recognize it we just need to know if $|E(G)| > 0$. Therefore it's complexity is $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

$r \backslash \ell$	0	1	2	3	4	...
0	-	?	?	?	?	...
1	$\mathcal{O}(1)$?	?	?	?	...
2	?	?	?	?	?	...
3	?	?	?	?	?	...
4	?	?	?	?	?	...
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots

Table 1 – Incomplete complexity analysis of the (r, ℓ) -partite recognition problem

1.1.1 m -bounded results

Naturally, we wish to find the complexity for those problems of small partition cardinality.

At (2), König showed that it takes $\mathcal{O}(m)$ steps to recognize a bipartite graph. For complete graphs, is enough to check if $|E(G)| = n(n-1)/2$, if it doesn't then we have an answer; If it does, checking vertex by vertex if it's neighborhood contains all other vertex is $\mathcal{O}(m)$.

For co-bipartite graphs recognition, we need only to verify if it's complement is a bipartite graph. The recognition of a split graph can be done using their vertex degrees (??), obtaining all vertices degrees is $\mathcal{O}(m)$ therefore the recognition of split graphs is $\mathcal{O}(m)$

1.1.2 NP -Complete results

A adequate strategy at this moment is to find when the recognition problem gets NP -Complete.

At (3) is shown that 3-coloring a graph (i.e. assign a color between three possibles to each vertex such that no neighborhood repeats a color) is NP -Complete, it's trivial to

see how the 3-coloring of a graph can be reduced to the problem of finding if a graph is a $(3, 0)$ -graph, therefore the recognition of $(3, 0)$ -graphs is *NP*-Complete.

It's noticeable that the recognition of (r, ℓ) -graphs is monotonic, and therefore if the recognition of $(3, 0)$ -graphs are *NP*-Complete then the recognition of any $(r, 0)$ -graph or $(3, \ell)$ -graph is *NP*-Complete for $r > 3$ and $\ell > 0$.

We can extrapolate these findings and argument that the recognition of a $(0, 3)$ -graph is also *NP*-Complete, as it is the same as recognize it's complement as a $(3, 0)$ -graph, and use the property of monotonicity to state that the recognition of any $(r, 3)$ -graph or $(0, \ell)$ -graph is *NP*-Complete for $r > 0$ and $\ell > 3$.

$r \backslash \ell$	0	1	2	3	4	...
0	-	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
1	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(m)$?	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
2	$\mathcal{O}(m)$?	?	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
3	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
4	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots

Table 2 – Incomplete complexity analysis of the (r, ℓ) -partite recognition problem

1.1.3 Frontier results

Finally, the frontier cases $(1, 2), (2, 1)$ and $(2, 2)$ were subject of studies by Brandstädt(1, 4). He's findings show that:

- Recognition of $(1, 2)$ -graphs are $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$.
- Recognition of $(2, 1)$ -graphs are $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$.
- Recognition of $(2, 2)$ -graphs are $\mathcal{O}(n^{12})$.

$r \backslash \ell$	0	1	2	3	4	...
0	-	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
1	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^4)$	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
2	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^4)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^{12})$	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
3	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
4	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots

Table 3 – Current complexity analysis of the (r, ℓ) -partite recognition problem

1.2 On the recognition of $(2, 1)$ -graphs

In this section we will describe a algorithm to recognize a $(2, 1)$ -graph.

Let G be a graph which we wish to know if posses a $(2, 1)$ -partition. In order for G to accept a $(2, 1)$ -partition a necessary condition is that no vertex $v \in V(G)$ can spawn a open neighborhood N which does not induces a split graph, or, the vertices not in N should induce a bipartite graph.

This conditions leads us to the following algorithm:

1: Is not $(2,1)$ -partitionated

```
1 def is_Not_21(Graph G) Bool
2   for v in V(G) begin
3     N = open_neighborhood(v)
4     K = V(G) - N
5     if (!is_Split(N) and !is_Bipartite(K))
6       return true;
7   end
8   return false;
9 end
```

A ‘false’ return from the algorithm described above, doesn’t indicates that the graph is a $(2, 1)$ -graph, but raises a interesting condition.

Let A be the set of vertices that posses the property where it’s open neighborhood(N) induces a split graph in G , and B the set of vertices that the not-neighborhood induces a bipartite graph. If $A \cap B = \emptyset$ then the graph is a $(2, 1)$ -graph; Otherwise the graph is guarantee to be a $(3, 1)$ -graph, since there’s a vertex which is capable of delimiting 3 disjointed stable sets (Both from the one induced by the not-neighborhood and the on induced by the neighborhood) and a clique (induced by the neighborhood). Then the remaining work shall be to determine if the $(3, 1)$ -graph is a $(2, 1)$ -graph.

2: Get a $(3,1)$ -partition

```
1 def get_31(Graph G) 3-1-Partition
2   for v in V(G) begin
3     N = open_neighborhood(v)
4     K = V(G) - N
5     if (is_Split(N) and is_Bipartite(K))
6       return new 3-1-Partition{
7         R1: K.R1,
8         R2: K.R2,
9         R3: N.R,
10        L: N.L
11      };
12   end
13   return empty31();
```


Bibliography

- 1 BRANDSTÄDT, A. *Partitions of graphs into one or two independent sets and cliques*. 1984.
- 2 KÖNIG, D. *Theorie der endlichen und unendlichen Graphen: Kombinatorische Topologie der Streckenkomplexe*. [S.l.]: Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft mbh, 1936. v. 16.
- 3 GAREY, M. R.; JOHNSON, D. S. *Computers and intractability*. [S.l.]: wh freeman New York, 2002. v. 29.
- 4 BRANDSTÄDT, A. Partitions of graphs into one or two independent sets and cliques. *Discrete Mathematics*, Elsevier, v. 152, n. 1-3, p. 47–54, 1996.