

Matheus S. D'Andrea Alves

# **Recognition of $(r, \ell)$ -partite Graphs**

2020

# Abstract

The complexity to recognize if a graph has an  $(r, \ell)$ -partition, i.e. if it can be partitioned into  $r$  cliques and  $\ell$  independent sets, is well defined(1). However, as we will demonstrate, the literature-stabilished values for those on the  $P$  class can be improved. The following work provides a set of strategies and algortihms that pushes the previous results for the  $(2, 1)$ -partite (from  $n^4$  to  $n * m$ ),  $(1, 2)$ -partite (from  $n^4$  to  $n * m$ ) and  $(2, 2)$ -partite (from  $n^{12}$  to  $n^2 * m$ ) recognition.

**Keywords**—  $(r, \ell)$ -graphs,  $(r, \ell)$ -partitions

# List of Figures

## List of Tables

Table 1 – Incomplete complexity analysis of the $(r, \ell)$ -partite recognition problem	3
Table 2 – Incomplete complexity analysis of the $(r, \ell)$ -partite recognition problem	4
Table 3 – Current complexity analysis of the $(r, \ell)$ -partite recognition problem . .	4

## Contents

	<b>Contents . . . . .</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION . . . . .</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1.1</b>	<b>Current results . . . . .</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1.1	<i>m</i> -bounded results . . . . .	3
1.1.2	<i>NP</i> -Complete results . . . . .	3
1.1.3	Frontier results . . . . .	4
<b>1.2</b>	<b>Brandstädt's recognition of <math>(2, 1)</math>-graphs . . . . .</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>OUR STRATEGY . . . . .</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2.1</b>	<b>Finding the 3,1 partition . . . . .</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2.2</b>	<b>Odd Cycle transverse . . . . .</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2.3</b>	<b>The critical paths . . . . .</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2.4</b>	<b>Finding the viable movements on the critical paths . . . . .</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2.5</b>	<b>The Algorithm . . . . .</b>	<b>6</b>
2.5.1	Pseudo-Code . . . . .	6
2.5.2	Correctness . . . . .	11
	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . .</b>	<b>12</b>

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Current results

In this section we will explore the current state of the complexity analysis as  $r$  and  $\ell$  grows. As we fullfill the following table we expose the strategies and how those can be used to enlight the more complex results.

The most trivial result is the recognition of the  $(1, 0)$ -graphs, as in order to recognize it we just need to know if  $|E(G)| > 0$ . Therefore it's complexity is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ .

$r \backslash \ell$	0	1	2	3	4	...
0	-	?	?	?	?	...
1	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	?	?	?	?	...
2	?	?	?	?	?	...
3	?	?	?	?	?	...
4	?	?	?	?	?	...
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$

Table 1 – Incomplete complexity analysis of the  $(r, \ell)$ -partite recognition problem

### 1.1.1 $m$ -bounded results

Naturally, we wish to find the complexity for those problems of small partition cardinality.

At (2), König showed that it takes  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  steps to recognize a bipartite graph. For complete graphs, is enough to check if  $|E(G)| = n(n-1)/2$ , if it doesn't then we have an answer; If it does, checking vertex by vertex if it's neighborhood contains all other vertex is  $\mathcal{O}(m)$ .

For co-bipartite graphs recognition, we need only to verify if it's complement is a bipartite graph. The recognition of a split graph can be done using their vertex degrees (??), obtaining all vertices degrees is  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  therefore the recognition of split graphs is  $\mathcal{O}(m)$

### 1.1.2 $NP$ -Complete results

An adequate strategy at this moment is to find when the recognition problem gets  $NP$ -Complete.

At (3) is shown that 3-coloring a graph (i.e. assign a color between three possibles to each vertex such that no neighborhood repeats a color) is  $NP$ -Complete, it's trivial to

see how the 3-coloring of a graph can be reduced to the problem of finding if a graph is a  $(3, 0)$ -graph, therefore the recognition of  $(3, 0)$ -graphs is *NP*-Complete.

It's noticeable that the recognition of  $(r, \ell)$ -graphs is monotonic, and therefore if the recognition of  $(3, 0)$ -graphs are *NP*-Complete then the recognition of any  $(r, 0)$ -graph or  $(3, \ell)$ -graph is *NP*-Complete for  $r > 3$  and  $\ell > 0$ .

We can extrapolate these findings and argument that the recognition of a  $(0, 3)$ -graph is also *NP*-Complete, as it is the same as recognize it's complement as a  $(3, 0)$ -graph, and use the property of monotonicity to state that the recognition of any  $(r, 3)$ -graph or  $(0, \ell)$ -graph is *NP*-Complete for  $r > 0$  and  $\ell > 3$ .

$r \backslash \ell$	0	1	2	3	4	...
0	-	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
1	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	?	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
2	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	?	?	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
3	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
4	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$

Table 2 – Incomplete complexity analysis of the  $(r, \ell)$ -partite recognition problem

### 1.1.3 Frontier results

Finally, the frontier cases  $(1, 2), (2, 1)$  and  $(2, 2)$  were subject of studies by Brandstädt(1, 4). He's findings show that:

- Recognition of  $(1, 2)$ -graphs are  $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$ .
- Recognition of  $(2, 1)$ -graphs are  $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$ .
- Recognition of  $(2, 2)$ -graphs are  $\mathcal{O}(n^{12})$ .

$r \backslash \ell$	0	1	2	3	4	...
0	-	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
1	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^4)$	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
2	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^4)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^{12})$	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
3	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
4	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$

Table 3 – Current complexity analysis of the  $(r, \ell)$ -partite recognition problem

## 1.2 Brandstädt's recognition of $(2, 1)$ -graphs

In this section we will describe the algorithm designed by Brandstädt to recognize a  $(2, 1)$ -graph.

Let  $G$  be a graph. In order for  $G$  to accept a  $(2, 1)$ -partition a necessary condition is that for any vertex  $v \in V(G)$ :

- (N1)  $N(v)$  induces a split graph.
- (N2) Or,  $\bar{N}(v)$  should induces a bipartite graph

More specifically, if  $G$  has a  $(2, 1)$ -partition  $I_1, I_2, C$  then (N2) holds for every vertex  $v \in C$  and (N1) holds for the vertices  $v \in I_1 \cup I_2$

Let  $A$  be the set of vertices that is satisfied by (N1), let  $B$  be the set o vertices satisfied by (N2) then if  $R = A \cap B = \emptyset$  then we already have a  $(2, 1)$ -partition of  $G$ . Otherwise

This conditions leads us to the following algorithm:

1: Get  $(2,1)$ -partition

```
1 def Get_21(Graph G) 2-1-Partition
2   for v in V(G) do
3     N = N(v) # open neighborhood of v
4     K =  $\bar{N}(v)$  #  $V(G) - N$ 
5     if (!N.is_Split() and !K.is_Bipartite()) then
6       return None; #  $G \notin (2,1)$ 
7     end
8     cl = { v in V(G) : !N(v).is_Split() and  $\bar{N}(v)$ .is_Bipartite() }
9     bi = { v in V(G) : N(v).is_Split() and ! $\bar{N}(v)$ .is_Bipartite() }
10    if ( !cl.is_Clique() or !bi.is_Bipartite() ) then
11      return None; #  $G \notin (2,1)$ 
12    R = {v in V(G) : N(v).is_Split() and  $\bar{N}(v)$ .is_Bipartite()}
13    if R.is_Empty() then
14      return new 2-1-Partition {
15        I1: bi.I1,
16        I2: bi.I2,
17        C: cl
18      }
19    else return AllocateR(bi,cl,R)
20 end
```

2: AllocateR

```
1 def AllocateR(bi Bipartite, cl Clique, R Graph)
2   if R.is_Split() then
```

## 2 Our strategy

### 2.1 Finding the 3,1 partition

### 2.2 Odd Cycle transverse

### 2.3 The critical paths

### 2.4 Finding the viable movements on the critical paths

### 2.5 The Algorithm

#### 2.5.1 Pseudo-Code

##### 3: My Code

```
1 def Get_21(Graph G) 2-1-Partition
2   cl = new Graph #intended clique
3   bi = new Graph #intended bipartite
4   for v in V(G) do #  $O(n)$ 
5       N = N(v) # open neighborhood of v
6       K =  $\bar{N}(v)$  #  $V(G) - N$ 
7       if N.is_Split() then #  $O(m)$ 
8           bi.Add(v)
9       if K.is_Bipartite() then #  $O(m)$ 
10          cl.Add(v)
11       if (!bi.contains(v) and !cl.contains(v)) then
12           return None; #  $G \notin (2,1)$ 
13   end #  $O(n*m)$ 
14   if cl.V  $\cap$  bi.V =  $\emptyset$  then
15       if (!cl.is_Clique() or !bi.is_Bipartite()) then
16           return None; #  $G \notin (2,1)$ 
17       else return new 2-1-partition{
18           C: cl
19           I1: bi.I1,
20           I2: bi.I2
21       }
22   else
23       using any v  $\in$  cl.V  $\cap$  bi.V
24       N = N(v) # open neighborhood of v
25       K =  $\bar{N}(v)$  #  $V(G) - N$ 
26       tr = new 3-1-Partition{
```

```

27         C: N.Clique,
28         I1: N.Independent,
29         I2: K.I1,
30         I3: K.I2,
31     }
32     return 3-1-to-2-1(tr)
33 end
34 end

```

#### 4: 3-1-to-2-1

```

1 def 3-1-to-2-1(Graph-3-1 G)
2   tri = G.I1 ∪ G.I2 ∪ G.I3
3   oct = Odd-Cycle-Transversal(tri,3)
4   case oct is None then
5     return None; # There's more than 3 vertices in the tripartite that should move.
6   case oct = 1 then
7     for v in tri.v do #O(n)
8       inter =  $\bar{N}(v) \cap G.C$ 
9       if size(inter) > 2 then
10        break loop;
11      cl = G.C - inter + v
12      bi = tri - v + inter
13      if (cl.is_Clique() and bi.is_Bipartite()) then #O(m)
14        return new 2-1-partition{
15          C: cl
16          I1: bi.I1,
17          I2: bi.I2
18        }
19      end
20    end
21    return None; # There's no vertex that can be moved.
22  case oct = 2 then
23    return Transform2(tri,G.C,G)
24  case oct = 3 then
25    for v in oct do
26      inter =  $\bar{N}(v) \cap G.C$ 
27      if size(inter) > 2 then
28        break loop;
29      cl = G.C - inter + v
30      neoTri = tri - v + inter
31      result = Transform2(neoTri,cl,G)
32      if result is not None then
33        return result;
34      end
35    return None;
36  end

```



## 5: CriticalPaths

```
1 def CriticalPaths(Tripartite)
```

## 6: Transform2

```
1 def Transform2(Tripartite, clique, G)
2    $P_1, P_2 = \text{CriticalPaths}(\text{Tripartite})$ 
3   # Table
4   # rank \ aux
5   rank1 = Table[Label][Int][Int]
6   for v in  $V(G[P_1])$  do # Rank 1
7     max_out = 0
8     for out in  $E^+(v) \in G[P_1]$  do
9       max_out = Max(out, max_out)
10    end # max_out is the closest vertex to T that can be reached by an out edge
11    previous = v.prev
12    rank = v.position
13    if previous is not None then
14      # rank is either v position or the foremost
15      # vertex that can be reached by the previous vertex
16      rank = Max(rank, rank1[previous][1])
17      # max_out is the max between the farthest
18      # vertex that can be reached by v or by the previous vertex
19      max_out = Max(max_out, rank1[previous][1])
20    end
21    rank1[v][0] = rank
22    rank1[v][1] = max_out
23  end
24  rank2 = Table[Label][Int][Int]
25  for u in  $V(G[P_2])$  do # Rank 2
26    max_out = 0
27    for out in  $E^+(u) \in G[P_2]$  do
28      max_out = Max(out, max_out)
29    end # max_out is the closest vertex to T that can be reached by an out edge
30    previous = u.prev
31    rank = u.position
32    if previous is not None then
33      # rank is either u position or the foremost
34      # vertex that can be reached by the previous vertex
35      rank = Max(rank, rank2[previous][1])
36      # max_out is the max between the farthest
37      # vertex that can be reached by u or by the previous vertex
38      max_out = Max(max_out, rank2[previous][1])
39    end
40    rank2[u][0] = rank
41    rank2[u][1] = max_out
42  end
```

```

43 for v in V( $G[P_2]$ ) # Rank 1.2
44     max_out = 0
45     for out in  $E^+(v) \in G[P_1 \cup v]$  do
46         max_out = Max(out,max_out)
47     end # max_out is the closest vertex to T that can be reached by an out edge
48     previous = v.prev
49     rank = v.position
50     if previous is not None then
51         # rank is either v position or the foremost
52         # vertex that can be reached by the previous vertex in  $P_1$ 
53         rank = Max(rank, rank1[previous][1])
54         # max_out is the max between the farthest
55         # vertex that can be reached by v or by the previous vertex
56         max_out = Max(max_out,rank1[previous][1])
57     end
58     rank1[v][0] = rank
59     rank1[v][1] = max_out
60 end
61 for u in V( $G[P_1]$ ) # Rank 2.1
62     max_out = 0
63     for out in  $E^+(u) \in G[P_2 \cup u]$  do
64         max_out = Max(out,max_out)
65     end # max_out is the closest vertex to T that can be reached by an out edge
66     previous = u.prev
67     rank = u.position
68     if previous is not None then
69         # rank is either u position or the foremost
70         # vertex that can be reached by the previous vertex in  $P_2$ 
71         rank = Max(rank, rank2[previous][1])
72         # max_out is the max between the farthest
73         # vertex that can be reached by v or by the previous vertex
74         max_out = Max(max_out,rank2[previous][1])
75     end
76     rank2[v][0] = rank
77     rank2[v][1] = max_out
78 end
79 for z in V(clique) do # for all vertex in the clique
80     # take all edges on the tripartite that have an end on z
81     for e(z,i) in  $E(\text{Tripartite} \cap N[z])$  do
82         z.left_u =  $+\infty$ 
83         z.left_v =  $+\infty$ 
84         z.right_u = 0
85         z.right_v = 0
86         # Find which are the closest and farthest vertex in  $P_1$  z can reach
87         if i in V( $G[P_1]$ ) then
88             if i.position < z.left_u then

```



```

135         max_right_u = z.right_u
136     end
137     if z.right_v > max_right_v then
138         max_right_v = z.right_v
139     end
140     if z.left_v < min_left_v then
141         min_left_v = z.left_v
142     end
143     if z.left_u < min_left_u then
144         min_left_u = z.left_u
145     end
146     end
147     if max_right_u < u.position and max_right_v < v.position then
148         return h;
149     end
150     if min_left_u > u.position and min_left_v > v.position then
151         return h;
152     end
153     end
154     end
155     end
156     end
157     end
158     return None;
159 end

```

## 2.5.2 Correctness

# Bibliography

- 1 BRANDSTÄDT, A. *Partitions of graphs into one or two independent sets and cliques*. 1984.
- 2 KÖNIG, D. *Theorie der endlichen und unendlichen Graphen: Kombinatorische Topologie der Streckenkomplexe*. [S.l.]: Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft mbh, 1936. v. 16.
- 3 GAREY, M. R.; JOHNSON, D. S. *Computers and intractability*. [S.l.]: wh freeman New York, 2002. v. 29.
- 4 BRANDSTÄDT, A. Partitions of graphs into one or two independent sets and cliques. *Discrete Mathematics*, Elsevier, v. 152, n. 1-3, p. 47–54, 1996.