

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL FLUMINENSE

Matheus S. D'Andrea Alves

Recognition of (r, ℓ) -partite Graphs

Niterói

2020

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL FLUMINENSE

Matheus S. D'Andrea Alves

Recognition of (r, ℓ) -partite Graphs

Dissertação de Mestrado apresentada ao Programa de Pós-Graduação em Computação da Universidade Federal Fluminense como requisito parcial para a obtenção do Grau de Mestre em Computação. Área de concentração: Algoritmos e Otimização

Orientador:

Uéverton dos Santos Souza

Niterói

2020

Abstract

The complexity to recognize if a graph has an (r, ℓ) -partition, i.e. if it can be partitioned into r cliques and ℓ independent sets, is well defined(1). However, as we will demonstrate, the literature-stabilished values for those on the P class can be improved. The following work provides a set of strategies and algortihms that pushes the previous results for the $(2, 1)$ -partite (from n^4 to $n * m$), $(1, 2)$ -partite (from n^4 to $n * m$) and $(2, 2)$ -partite (from n^{12} to $n^2 * m$) recognition.

Keywords— (r, ℓ) -graphs, (r, ℓ) -partitions

List of Figures

List of Tables

1.1	Incomplete complexity analysis of the (r, ℓ) -partite recognition problem . .	6
1.2	Incomplete complexity analysis of the (r, ℓ) -partite recognition problem . .	7
1.3	Current complexity analysis of the (r, ℓ) -partite recognition problem	8

Contents

1	Introduction	6
1.1	Current results	6
1.1.1	m -bounded results	6
1.1.2	NP -Complete results	7
1.1.3	Frontier results	7
1.2	Brandstädt's recognition of $(2, 1)$ -graphs	8
2	Our strategy	10
2.1	Finding the 3,1 partition	10
2.2	Odd Cycle transversal	11
2.3	The critical paths	12
2.4	Finding the viable movements on the critical paths	12
2.5	The Algorithm	12
2.5.1	Pseudo-Code	12
2.5.2	Correctness	17
	Bibliography	18

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Current results

In this section we will explore the current state of the complexity analysis as r and ℓ grows. As we fulfill the following table we expose the strategies and how those can be used to enlighten the more complex results.

The most trivial result is the recognition of the $(1, 0)$ -graphs, as in order to recognize it we just need to know if $|E(G)| > 0$. Therefore, it's complexity is $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

$\ell \backslash r$	0	1	2	3	4	...
0	-	?	?	?	?	...
1	$\mathcal{O}(1)$?	?	?	?	...
2	?	?	?	?	?	...
3	?	?	?	?	?	...
4	?	?	?	?	?	...
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots

Table 1.1: Incomplete complexity analysis of the (r, ℓ) -partite recognition problem

1.1.1 m -bounded results

Naturally, we wish to find the complexity for those problems of small partition cardinality.

At (2), König showed that it takes $\mathcal{O}(m)$ steps to recognize a bipartite graph. For complete graphs, is enough to check if $|E(G)| = n(n-1)/2$, if it doesn't then we have an answer; If it does, checking vertex by vertex if it's neighborhood contains all other vertex is $\mathcal{O}(m)$.

For co-bipartite graphs recognition, we need only to verify if it's complement is a bipartite graph. The recognition of a split graph can be done using their vertex degrees, obtaining all vertices degrees is $\mathcal{O}(m)$ therefore, the recognition of split graphs is $\mathcal{O}(m)$

1.1.2 *NP*-Complete results

An adequate strategy at this moment is to find when the recognition problem gets *NP*-Complete.

At (3) is shown that 3-coloring a graph (i.e. assign a color between three possibles to each vertex such that no neighborhood repeats a color) is *NP*-Complete, it's trivial to see how the 3-coloring of a graph can be reduced to the problem of finding if a graph is a $(3, 0)$ -graph, therefore the recognition of $(3, 0)$ -graphs is *NP*-Complete.

It's noticeable that the recognition of (r, ℓ) -graphs is monotonic, and therefore if the recognition of $(3, 0)$ -graphs are *NP*-Complete then the recognition of any $(r, 0)$ -graph or $(3, \ell)$ -graph is *NP*-Complete for $r > 3$ and $\ell > 0$.

We can extrapolate these findings and argument that the recognition of a $(0, 3)$ -graph is also *NP*-Complete, as it is the same as recognize it's complement as a $(3, 0)$ -graph, and use the property of monotonicity to state that the recognition of any $(r, 3)$ -graph or $(0, \ell)$ -graph is *NP*-Complete for $r > 0$ and $\ell > 3$.

$r \backslash \ell$	0	1	2	3	4	...
0	-	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
1	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(m)$?	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
2	$\mathcal{O}(m)$?	?	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
3	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
4	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	<i>NPc</i>	...
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots

Table 1.2: Incomplete complexity analysis of the (r, ℓ) -partite recognition problem

1.1.3 Frontier results

Finally, the frontier cases $(1, 2)$, $(2, 1)$ and $(2, 2)$ were subject of studies by Brandstädt(1, 4). He's findings show that:

- Recognition of $(1, 2)$ -graphs are $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$.

- Recognition of $(2, 1)$ -graphs are $\mathcal{O}(n^4)$.
- Recognition of $(2, 2)$ -graphs are $\mathcal{O}(n^{12})$.

$r \backslash \ell$	0	1	2	3	4	...
0	-	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	NPc	NPc	...
1	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^4)$	NPc	NPc	...
2	$\mathcal{O}(m)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^4)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^{12})$	NPc	NPc	...
3	NPc	NPc	NPc	NPc	NPc	...
4	NPc	NPc	NPc	NPc	NPc	...
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots

Table 1.3: Current complexity analysis of the (r, ℓ) -partite recognition problem

1.2 Brandstädt's recognition of $(2, 1)$ -graphs

In this section we will describe the algorithm designed by Brandstädt to recognize a $(2, 1)$ -graph.

Let G be a graph. In order for G to accept a $(2, 1)$ -partition a necessary condition is that for any vertex $v \in V(G)$:

- (N1) $N(v)$ induces a split graph.
- (N2) Or, $\bar{N}(v)$ should induces a bipartite graph

More specifically, if G has a $(2, 1)$ -partition I_1, I_2, C then (N2) holds for every vertex $v \in C$ and (N1) holds for the vertices $v \in I_1 \cup I_2$

Let A be the set of vertices that is satisfied by (N1), let B be the set o vertices satisfied by (N2) then if $R = A \cap B = \emptyset$ then we already have a $(2, 1)$ -partition of G . Otherwise

This conditions leads us to the following algorithm:

1: Brandstadt

```

1 def Get_21(Graph G) 2-1-Partition
2   for v in V(G) do
3     N = N(v) # open neighborhood of v
4     K =  $\bar{N}(v)$  #  $V(G) - N$ 
5     if (!N.is_Split() and !K.is_Bipartite()) then

```

```

6      return None; #  $G \notin (2,1)$ 
7  end
8  cl = { v in V(G) : !N(v).is_Split() and  $\bar{N}(v)$ .is_Bipartite() }
9  bi = { v in V(G) : N(v).is_Split() and ! $\bar{N}(v)$ .is_Bipartite() }
10 if ( !cl.is_Clique() or !bi.is_Bipartite() ) then
11     return None; #  $G \notin (2,1)$ 
12 R = {v in V(G) : N(v).is_Split() and  $\bar{N}(v)$ .is_Bipartite()}
13 if R.is_Empty() then
14     return new 2-1-Partition {
15          $I_1$ : bi. $I_1$ ,
16          $I_2$ : bi. $I_2$ ,
17         C: cl
18     }
19 else return AllocateR(bi,cl,R)
20 end

```

2: AllocateR

```

1 def AllocateR(bi Bipartite, cl Clique, R Graph)
2   if R.is_Split() then

```

Chapter 2

Our strategy

2.1 Finding the 3,1 partition

As seen on the Brandstädt's algorithm every vertex of a 2,1 partition must at least be compliance to one of the neighborhood rules:

- (N1) $N(v)$ induces a split graph.
- (N2) Or, $\bar{N}(v)$ should induce a bipartite graph.

If every vertex match exactly one of these rules, then the Graph is known to be a 2,1 Graph. On the other hand if any vertex does not match N1 or N2 then the Graph is bound to not have a 2,1 partition. However, when a vertex matches both N1 and N2 the graph could have a 2,1 partition but clearly has a 3,1 partition as the union of the induced split of it's open neighborhood, and the bipartite induced by its complement describe such partition.

Therefore, the following algorithm can be declared.

3: Get 2-1-Partition

```
1 def Get_21(Graph G) 2-1-Partition
2   cl = new Graph #intended clique
3   bi = new Graph #intended bipartite
4   for v in V(G) do #  $O(n)$ 
5       N = N(v) # open neighborhood of v
6       K =  $\bar{N}(v)$  #  $V(G) - N$ 
7       if N.is_Split() then #  $O(m)$ 
8           bi.Add(v)
9       if K.is_Bipartite() then #  $O(m)$ 
```

```

10     cl.Add(v)
11     if (!bi.contains(v) and !cl.contains(v)) then
12         return None; #  $G \notin (2,1)$ 
13     end #  $O(n*m)$ 
14     if  $cl.V \cap bi.V = \emptyset$  then
15         if (!cl.is_Clique() or !bi._is_Bipartite()) then
16             return None; #  $G \notin (2,1)$ 
17         else return new 2-1-partition{
18             C: cl
19             I1: bi.I1,
20             I2: bi.I2
21         }
22     else
23         using any  $v \in cl.V \cap bi.V$ 
24         N = N(v) # open neighborhood of v
25         K =  $\bar{N}(v)$  #  $V(G) - N$ 
26         tr = new 3-1-Partition{
27             C: N.Clique,
28             I1: N.Independent,
29             I2: K.I1,
30             I3: K.I2,
31         }
32         return 3-1-to-2-1(tr)
33     end
34 end

```

2.2 Odd Cycle transversal

The Odd Cycle transversal can be described as the problem of finding a subset of vertices of a graph such that when removed from said graph makes it a bipartite graph. This problem is known to be NP-Complete, however, Reed, Smith and Vetta presented a fixed-parameter tractable algorithm for this problem. Such algorithm obtain the desirable subset running in time $3^k n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ (5)

Is imperative we further analyze this algorithm, as it's strategy will be relevant for our next steps.

2.3 The critical paths

2.4 Finding the viable movements on the critical paths

2.5 The Algorithm

2.5.1 Pseudo-Code

```

4: 3-1-to-2-1
1 def 3-1-to-2-1(Graph-3-1 G)
2   tri =  $G.I_1 \cup G.I_2 \cup G.I_3$ 
3   oct = Odd-Cycle-Transversal(tri,3)
4   case oct is None then
5     return None; # There's more then 3 vertices in the tripartite that should move.
6   case oct = 1 then
7     for v in tri.v do #  $O(n)$ 
8       inter =  $\bar{N}(v) \cap G.C$ 
9       if size(inter) > 2 then
10        break loop;
11      cl =  $G.C - inter + v$ 
12      bi = tri - v + inter
13      if (cl.is_Clique() and bi.is_Bipartite()) then #  $O(m)$ 
14        return new 2-1-partition{
15          C: cl
16          I1: bi.I1,
17          I2: bi.I2
18        }
19      end
20    end
21    return None; # There's no vertex that can be moved.
22  case oct = 2 then
23    return Transform2(tri,G.C,G)
24  case oct = 3 then
25    for v in oct do
26      inter =  $\bar{N}(v) \cap G.C$ 
27      if size(inter) > 2 then
28        break loop;
29      cl =  $G.C - inter + v$ 
30      neoTri = tri - v + inter
31      result = Transform2(neoTri,cl,G)
32      if result is not None then

```

```

33     return result;
34 end
35 return None;
36 end

```

5: Transform2

```

1 def Transform2(Tripartite, clique, G)
2    $P_1, P_2 = \text{CriticalPaths}(\text{Tripartite})$ 
3   # Table
4   # rank \ aux
5   rank1 = Table[Label] [Int] [Int]
6   for v in  $V(G[P_1])$  do # Rank 1
7     max_out = 0
8     for out in  $E^+(v) \in G[P_1]$  do
9       max_out = Max(out, max_out)
10    end # max_out is the closest vertex to T that can be reached by an out edge
11    previous = v.prev
12    rank = v.position
13    if previous is not None then
14      # rank is either v position or the foremost
15      # vertex that can be reached by the previous vertex
16      rank = Max(rank, rank1[previous][1])
17      # max_out is the max between the farthest
18      # vertex that can be reached by v or by the previous vertex
19      max_out = Max(max_out, rank1[previous][1])
20    end
21    rank1[v][0] = rank
22    rank1[v][1] = max_out
23  end
24  rank2 = Table[Label] [Int] [Int]
25  for u in  $V(G[P_2])$  do # Rank 2
26    max_out = 0
27    for out in  $E^+(u) \in G[P_2]$  do
28      max_out = Max(out, max_out)
29    end # max_out is the closest vertex to T that can be reached by an out edge
30    previous = u.prev
31    rank = u.position
32    if previous is not None then
33      # rank is either u position or the foremost
34      # vertex that can be reached by the previous vertex
35      rank = Max(rank, rank2[previous][1])
36      # max_out is the max between the farthest

```

```

37     # vertex that can be reached by u or by the previous vertex
38     max_out = Max(max_out, rank2[previous][1])
39 end
40 rank2[u][0] = rank
41 rank2[u][1] = max_out
42 end
43 for v in V(G[P2]) # Rank 1.2
44     max_out = 0
45     for out in E+(v) ∈ G[P1 ∪ v] do
46         max_out = Max(out, max_out)
47     end # max_out is the closest vertex to T that can be reached by an out edge
48     previous = v.prev
49     rank = v.position
50     if previous is not None then
51         # rank is either v position or the foremost
52         # vertex that can be reached by the previous vertex in P1
53         rank = Max(rank, rank1[previous][1])
54         # max_out is the max between the farthest
55         # vertex that can be reached by v or by the previous vertex
56         max_out = Max(max_out, rank1[previous][1])
57     end
58     rank1[v][0] = rank
59     rank1[v][1] = max_out
60 end
61 for u in V(G[P1]) # Rank 2.1
62     max_out = 0
63     for out in E+(u) ∈ G[P2 ∪ u] do
64         max_out = Max(out, max_out)
65     end # max_out is the closest vertex to T that can be reached by an out edge
66     previous = u.prev
67     rank = u.position
68     if previous is not None then
69         # rank is either u position or the foremost
70         # vertex that can be reached by the previous vertex in P2
71         rank = Max(rank, rank2[previous][1])
72         # max_out is the max between the farthest
73         # vertex that can be reached by v or by the previous vertex
74         max_out = Max(max_out, rank2[previous][1])
75     end
76     rank2[v][0] = rank
77     rank2[v][1] = max_out
78 end

```

```

79 for z in V(clique) do # for all vertex in the clique
80     # take all edges on the tripartite that have an end on z
81     for e(z,i) in E(Tripartite  $\cap$  N[z]) do
82         z.left_u =  $+\infty$ 
83         z.left_v =  $+\infty$ 
84         z.right_u = 0
85         z.right_v = 0
86         # Find which are the closest and farthest vertex in  $P_1$  z can reach
87         if i in V( $G[P_1]$ ) then
88             if i.position < z.left_u then
89                 z.left_u = i.position
90             end
91             if i.position > z.right_u then
92                 z.right_u = i.position
93             end
94         end
95         # Find which are the closest and farthest vertex in  $P_2$  z can reach
96         if i in V( $G[P_2]$ ) then
97             if i.position < z.left_v then
98                 z.left_v = i.position
99             end
100             if i.position > z.right_v then
101                 z.right_v = i.position
102             end
103         end
104     end
105 end
106 for e(u,v) in E(G) where u in V( $G[P_1]$ ) and v in V( $G[P_1]$ ) do
107     # let  $N_k^-(v)$  be the not-neighborhood of a vertex in the clique
108     S =  $N_k^-(u) \cap N_k^-(v)$ 
109     if size(S) <= 2 then
110         if rank1[u][0] <= u.position then
111             if rank2[v][0] <= v.position then
112                 if rank2[u][0] <= v.position and rank1[v][0] <= u.position then
113                     if size(S) = 0 then
114                         c = clique  $\cup$  {u,v}
115                         bi = Bipartition_Of(Tripartite  $\setminus$  {u,v})
116                         return new 2-1-partition{
117                             C: c
118                             I1: bi.I1,
119                             I2: bi.I2
120                         }

```



```

121     end
122     max_right_u = 0
123     max_right_v = 0
124     min_left_u = +∞
125     min_left_v = +∞
126     c = clique ∪ {u, v} \ S
127     bi = Bipartition_Of(Tripartite ∪ S \ {u, v})
128     h = new 2-1-partition{
129         C: c
130         I1: bi.I1,
131         I2: bi.I2
132     }
133     for z in V(S) do
134         if z.right_u > max_right_u then
135             max_right_u = z.right_u
136         end
137         if z.right_v > max_right_v then
138             max_right_v = z.right_v
139         end
140         if z.left_v < min_left_v then
141             min_left_v = z.left_v
142         end
143         if z.left_u < min_left_u then
144             min_left_u = z.left_u
145         end
146     end
147     if max_right_u < u.position and max_right_v < v.position then
148         return h;
149     end
150     if min_left_u > u.position and min_left_v > v.position then
151         return h;
152     end
153 end
154 end
155 end
156 end
157 end
158 return None;
159 end

```

2.5.2 Correctness

Bibliography

- 1 BRANDSTÄDT, A. *Partitions of graphs into one or two independent sets and cliques*. 1984.
- 2 KÖNIG, D. *Theorie der endlichen und unendlichen Graphen: Kombinatorische Topologie der Streckenkomplexe*. [S.l.]: Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft mbh, 1936. v. 16.
- 3 GAREY, M. R.; JOHNSON, D. S. *Computers and intractability*. [S.l.]: wh freeman New York, 2002. v. 29.
- 4 BRANDSTÄDT, A. Partitions of graphs into one or two independent sets and cliques. *Discrete Mathematics*, Elsevier, v. 152, n. 1-3, p. 47–54, 1996.
- 5 REED, B.; SMITH, K.; VETTA, A. Finding odd cycle transversals. *Operations Research Letters*, Elsevier, v. 32, n. 4, p. 299–301, 2004.