Lecture8

Monday, February 4, 2019

7:53 PM

Curvature coupling, Equivalence, Saws of Physics

· Consider stress-energy tensor for perfect fluid,

午=(g+P) to ot + Pg

- a lacal, SR, non-gravitational low of physics

· Equivalence principle tells us the form is the same in GR!

if are can write SR laws in fromecirclependent way, then they are egimnalent to the GR versions. But they must be local!

· technically, equivalence principle only nation of the origin of a LLF (that is, along timelike geodesics)

glebal law of 4-momentum conservation,

J87 · CIGB - C

runs ofoul of these requirements. Le equivalence principle does not hold!

· any not-completely leval law will be in trouble. Consider spin angular momentum of some particle.

Si = Sinterior Eijkx TED dx dydz

(+ 20 are corponents of momentum density)

· in SR, $\nabla_{\vec{n}} \vec{S} = 0$

to spin angulor momentum is parallel transported along cuorled line

- · analogous to 4-momentur conservation, derivation of this is not totally local
- · ib particle is small (ie., always to only of crigin of LLF) then we are fine
- · for finite size particle, curvature to guadrupale moments cauple to produce a torque on particle,

- S'in u' = E FOOD I FAR R'NSS US U' US
- · Converture of Cartle (R^apss) from sin-Earth-moon system couples to slightly flattened earth (non-zero guadrupole moment). Lo precession of poles
- · When does curvature coupling matter? When the physics involves double gradients.
 - since connection coeffs. Namish of origin of LLF, gradient is the same in LLF (GR) es in global LF (SR), $A^{\alpha}_{;\beta} = \delta A^{\alpha}/\partial x^{\beta}$
 - but recall devivatives of connection coeffs do NOT vanish if spacetime is curved. So double gradient will NoT vanish.
 - Example: wave equation for EM vector 4-potential in SR: $A^{\alpha;\mu}_{\mu} = 0$

in GR: A^{\alpha}; \(\rightarrow = R^\alpha A \rightarrow \)

[all inclines after semicolon differentiator, \(A^\alpha; \(\rightarrow = A^\alpha; \(\rightarrow \)

Einstein Field Equations

- · Have reen how arresture leads to motion, but what causes spacetime cureroture?
- · Can use the Newtonian limit for quidance.

V2 = 4π G S

- Explacion can be re-witten in terms ob-le tidal field tensor,

LO E'; = 4 TT GS -- trave of tedal field

o so Newtonian gravity is completely determined by potential \$\pm\$, as lombodied in tidal field

our anaways, OK graving muss ere
determined by the metric \hat{g} , as
embodied by some piece of the
currecture tensor \hat{R} [recall, this is the GR equivalent
of the tidal field],
plus some GR equivalent of mass density
in LLF,

Ejk = Rjoko
now take trace, E'j = R'odo = Roo
Since R'ooo = O by symmetry
then arrive at a guess for GR gravity,

Roo = 4π G S · Must generalize this to a frame-inelependent law of the covert Newtonian limit. generalized mass density must be

stress-energy tensor so,

Rap = 4TG Tap

tooks good, but ... too many inelependent equations (10) for the number of unknowns!. ... over-specified! Only 6 unknowns.

· Einstein (1915) & Hilbert (1915) hatte realized a trick to resolve this, - replace Ricci tensor my some newcurreture tensor times a constant, $G^{\alpha\beta} = \chi T^{\alpha\beta}$

where we require new tensor to be divergenceless, $G^{ab}_{;b} \equiv 0$.

- since $T^{\alpha\beta}_{;\beta} = 0$ due to local 4-momentum conservation,

(GdB-RTdB); =0

is cultimatically satisfied by the preperties of these two tensors, ie. these four egns do NOT constrain the metric!

i. 10 equations become le. Huggal. • perm of the Einstein curvature tenser,

Gas = Ras - IRgas

convature
scalar

· inclependent of metric, $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \cdot \overrightarrow{G} \equiv 0$

· so what is constant it in new

field equations? Can determine by making connection of Newtonian limit field egns. in terms of Ricci tensor:

 $R^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}R = \kappa + \alpha\beta$

-take the trace of this: $R = -R g_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu}$ which determines curvature scalar La $R^{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{K} \left(T^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu} \right)$

- in Neutonian limit, mass-energy density $T^{\circ\circ} \cong g >> T^{jo} \pmod{momentum density}$ and $T^{\circ\circ} >> T^{jk} \pmod{the stress}$

so consider only time-time component,

 $R^{\circ\circ} = \mathcal{K} \left(T^{\circ\circ} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N}^{\circ\circ} \mathcal{N}_{\circ\circ} T^{\circ\circ} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K} T^{\circ\circ} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{S}$ where \mathcal{S} is mass density and \mathcal{N} is flot metric.

- since $R^{\circ\circ} = R_{\circ\circ}$ in LLF \bullet the earlier Newtonian limit was $R_{\circ\circ} = 4\pi Gg$, $\mathcal{R} = 8\pi G$

 $G^{\alpha\beta} = 8\pi G T^{\alpha\beta}$ Einstein field equations

· Can re-express this in geometrized units,

G=C=1

Ly 1= G=7.42×10⁻²⁸ m

or, 1 kg = 7.42×10⁻²⁸ m

- mass is now length

- phield egms. become,

GaB = 8 T T aB (frame-inelependent)

and now you've taken your first

steps into a larger avoiled.

Veak Bravitational Fields

The Newtoneau Limit

· hy "weak" we mean there's nearly a global ferents frame,

gap = 7xp + hap --- | hap | « 1

· To use this to alitain Newtonian limit, have following reguirements:

1. [hap,t / K] hap, j]
gravity changes slowly in time

- 2. $|T^{i0}| \ll T^{oo} \equiv g$... energy density stuff is moving slowly
- 3. $|u^i| \ll u^o$ particles influenced by gravity
 are moving slowly.

u ·

- 4. |Tik/ << Too stresses in gravitating leadies are small.
- · if the above are twee them, to leading order, GR reduces to Newtonian.

Linearized Theory

· Sets releax all of the above Newtonian Constraints except for eveal gravity,

gys = Mys + hyp, hap/«1 then are can develop of theory that

is linear in hap.

· h, is regarded as gravitational hield

hving in flat specetime; essentially SR · Riemann tensor is Rapos = = (has, Bx + hpx, as - hax, ps - hps, ax) · Einstein tensor: GdB = RdB- = RqdB - use above metric & Riemann: 2 G m = h m n + h v d m a - h m n a ~ - h, pr - 7pr (hap) - h, p) = 16 TT TMV where h = nds has is trace of has · define trace- reversed metric perturbation: Thur = har - Zho such that h= hap yap = -h · substitute into field egn. - hyura - Mur hap, ap + hyur, a + hua, n = 16TTur · now introduce new coords for nearly global Lorenty frame: $\chi_{\text{new}}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}) = \chi_{\text{old}}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}) + \xi^{\alpha}(\mathcal{P})$ $\downarrow_{\text{huv}}^{\text{new}} = h_{\mu\nu}^{\text{old}} - \xi_{\mu,\nu} - \xi_{\nu,\mu}$ - this is a gauge transformation

· recall from E+M, for scalar field P Can gauge transform vector potential,

An = And - Yin

- under such transformation, EM field tensor Fur is invariant.

· turns out, gauge transformations leave Riemann tensor unchanged, too

· Choosing & approporately, can injuose gauge conditions:

hur, " = 0 forents gauge
[just like Sevents gauge, An, " = 0]

· now field egns. become,

- h_{μν, a} = 16π T_{μν} ---- gravitational

· can solve for $\overline{h}_{\mu\nu}$ via $\overline{h}_{\mu\nu}(t,\vec{x}) = \int \frac{4T_{\mu\nu}(t-l\vec{x}-\vec{x}'),\vec{x}'\vec{1}}{l\vec{x}-\vec{x}'l} dV_{x'}$

where $t'=t-|\vec{x}-\vec{x}'|$ is "retorded" time — there equations imply that changing Tur can generate waves in spacetime La gravitational waves